

920505

BIENNIAL REPORT OF EXAMINING AND LICENSING BOARDS

(M.S. Section 214.07, Subd. 1)

BOARD: Veterinary Medicine

LOCATION: 2700 University Ave. W. #102
St. Paul, MN 55114

STAT. AUTHORITY: Chapter 156

REPORT PERIOD: July 1, 1990 to June 30, 1992

SUBMITTED BY: Roland C. Olson, DVM
Executive Director 10/1/92

Copies: Secretary of the Senate
Chief Clerk of the House of Representatives
Legislative Reference Library
Governor
Commissioner of Health

Board of Veterinary Medicine

Clause (a): GENERAL STATEMENT OF BOARD ACTIVITIES

The Board of Veterinary Medicine is the licensing agency for veterinarians in Minnesota, established under Minn. Stat. 156 to ensure that veterinary practitioners meet and maintain competency standards.

The Board reviews all license applications, prepares and administers the State Board Examination, and administers the National Board Examination and Clinical Competency Test to qualified applicants. Consumer complaints are processed and investigated. When indicated, the Board inspects veterinary premises for sanitation, conducts disciplinary hearings and disciplines veterinarians found to be in violation of Minn. Stat. 156. The Board also develops, alters or amends rules governing the practice of veterinary medicine in Minnesota.

The Board sets fees to ensure recovery of the costs of its operation. Approximately 2700 licenses were renewed in FY 1992.

Clause b: TOTAL NUMBER MEETINGS HELD FY 91 4 FY 92 7 FY 91 AND 92 11

PROXIMATE TOTAL NUMBER OF HOURS SPENT BY BOARD MEMBERS IN MEETINGS AND ON OTHER BOARD ACTIVITIES.

BOARD MEMBER'S NAME	MEETING TYPE	HOURS			OTHER ACTIVITIES TYPE	HOURS		
		FY 91	FY 92	FY 91 & 92		FY 91	FY 92	FY 91 & 92
Greg C. Abnet	Board Meeting	-	6	6				
Milton Crenshaw, DVM	Board Meeting	8	-	8	Exams, Complaint Review	44	-	44
A. J. Eckstein, DVM	Board Meeting	8	17	25	Exams	16	24	40
Marion Fogarty	Board Meeting	6	12	18	Exams, Board Pres. Mtg	16	19	32
Joseph Glenn, DVM	Board Meeting	8	16	24	Exams, Complaint Review	14	45	59
Gary Hayer	Board Meeting	8	10	18	Leg. Testimony, Exams, Complaint Review	31	15	46
Ronald Kuecker, DVM	Board Meeting	-	12	12	Exams	-	16	16
Alphonse Kunkel, DVM	Board Meeting	8	17	25	Exams	8	8	16
William Maher, DVM	Board Meeting	5.5	-	5.5				
Susan Poirot, DVM	Board Meeting	-	17	17	Exams, Complaint Review	-	17	17

Clause c: THE RECEIPT AND DISBURSEMENT OF BOARD FUNDS

	FY 91	FY 92	FY'S 91 & 92
Total State Appropriations	96,000	110,000	206,000
Total Non-Dedicated Fee Receipts	130,615	135,211	265,826
Total Disbursements	132,252	120,498	252,750

COMMENTS (Optional)

Total disbursements figure includes Attorney General charges and statewide indirect costs.

Discrepancy between total receipts and total disbursements is due to unpredictability of the number of cases which will require Attorney General services.

Clause d: LIST OF BOARD MEMBERS WHO SERVED DURING FY AND FY

FOR EASY REFERENCE PLEASE GIVE:

(A) Number of Board members required by statute: 7.

(B) The statutory length of term: 4 years.

NAME & ADDRESS	OCCUPATION	GIVE BEGIN AND END DATE OF APPOINTMENT AND EACH RE-APPOINTMENT
Greg C. Abnet	Public Member	2/26/92 - 1/96
Milton Crenshaw	Veterinarian	6/6/83 - 1/87 1/1/87 - 1/91
A. J. Eckstein	Veterinarian	5/3/84 - 1/88 1/26/88 - 1/92 2/26/92 - 1/96
Marion Fogarty	Public Member	8/8/85 - 1/89 2/1/89 - 1/93
Joseph Glenn	Veterinarian	1/16/90 - 1/94
Gary Hayer	Public Member	5/3/84 - 1/88 1/26/88 - 1/92
Ronald Kuecker	Veterinarian	6/29/91 - 1/95
Alphonse Kunkel	Veterinarian	1/26/87 - 1/90 1/16/90 - 1/94
William Maher	Veterinarian	6/6/86 - 1/87 1/26/87 - 1/91
Susan Poirot	Veterinarian	1/29/91 - 1/95

Board of Veterinary Medicine

Clause (f) a brief summary of board rules proposed or adopted during the reporting period with appropriate citations to the State Register and published rules

No new rules or amendments were adopted or proposed during this reporting period.

Board of Veterinary Medicine

Clause
 (i) (j) (k) the number of persons examined by the board, licensed by the board after examination, and not licensed by the board after examination with the persons subdivided into groups showing age categories, sex, and states of residency

	NUMBER EXAMINED		NUMBER LICENSED		NUMBER NOT LICENSED	
	FY 91	FY 92	FY 91	FY 92	FY 91	FY 92
AGE						
Under 18	0	0	0	0	0	0
18-25	10	5	10	5	0	0
26-34	73	113	72	111	1	2
35-59	17	26	17	26	0	0
Over 59	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
TOTAL	100	144	99	142	1	2

	NUMBER EXAMINED		NUMBER LICENSED		NUMBER NOT LICENSED	
	FY 91	FY 92	FY 91	FY 92	FY 91	FY 92
STATE						
Arizona	1	0	1	0	0	0
California	1	3	1	3	0	0
Colorado	0	2	0	2	0	0
Connecticut	2	1	2	1	0	0
Delaware	1	0	1	0	0	0
Illinois	5	4	5	4	0	0
Iowa	2	16	2	16	0	0
Indiana	0	1	0	1	0	0

Clause (i) (j) (k) continued

STATE	NUMBER EXAMINED		NUMBER LICENSED		NUMBER NOT LICENSED	
	FY 91	FY 92	FY 91	FY 92	FY 91	FY 92
Kansas	2	1	2	1	0	0
Kentucky	1	0	1	0	0	0
Maryland	2	0	2	0	0	1
Massachusetts	1	1	1	1	0	0
Michigan	0	2	0	2	0	0
Minnesota	57	85	64	74	0	0
Missouri	0	1	0	1	0	0
New Hampshire	0	1	0	1	0	0
New Jersey	1	1	1	0	1	0
New Mexico	1	1	1	1	0	0
New York	3	2	3	2	0	0
North Carolina	1	0	1	0	0	0
North Dakota	2	4	2	4	0	1
Ohio	1	1	1	1	0	0
Oregon	2	0	2	0	0	0
Pennsylvania	3	1	3	1	0	0
South Dakota	1	1	1	1	0	0
Texas	1	0	1	0	0	0
Washington	1	2	1	2	0	0
Wisconsin	7	13	7	13	0	0
Wyoming	1	0	1	0	0	0
TOTALS	100	144	100	126	1	2

NOTE: The Board of Veterinary Medicine does not maintain gender data, so figures are not subdivided by sex.

Clause 1: THE NUMBER OF PERSONS NOT TAKING EXAMINATIONS WHO WERE LICENSED OR REGISTERED BY THE BOARD OR WHO WERE DENIED LICENSING OR REGISTRATION WITH THE REASONS FOR THE LICENSING OR REGISTRATION OR DENIAL THEREOF .

	FY 91	FY 92	FY 91 & 92
TOTAL NUMBER OF PERSONS <u>NOT</u> TAKING EXAMS AND GRANTED LICENSES OR REGISTRATION	0	0	0
TOTAL NUMBER OF PERSONS <u>NOT</u> TAKING EXAMS AND DENIED LICENSES OR REGISTRATION	0	0	0

FOR EACH PERSON GIVE:

Type of lic./Regis.;	State of Res.	AGE GROUP						SEX		* Method of Lic./Regis.	** Reasons for Granting or Denial	
		0-18	18-25	26-34	35-59	60-65	66-	M	F		Grant	Deny

* IDENTIFY METHOD: e.g. Application, Reciprocity, Endorsements, Credential Evaluation, Comity, etc.
 ** REASONS FOR GRANTING OR DENIAL: Attach Additional Sheets if necessary.

Clause m: PERSONS PREVIOUSLY LICENSED OR REGISTERED BY THE BOARD
WHOSE LICENSES OR REGISTRATIONS WERE REVOKED, SUSPENDED
OR OTHERWISE ALTERED IN STATUS, WITH BRIEF STATEMENTS OF
THE REASONS FOR THE REVOCATION, SUSPENSION OR ALTERATION.

TOTAL number of revocations
 TOTAL number of suspensions
 TOTAL number of other status changes

FY. 91	FY. 92	FY's 91 & 92
0	0	0
1	1	2
48	57	105

TYPE OF LICENSE OR REGISTRATION (By case)	TYPE OF STATUS CHANGE			REASONS FOR EACH CHANGE IN STATUS FOR EACH CASE
	Revoked	Suspended	Other (Specify)	
Vet. License		X		Substance abuse
Vet. License			Restriction	Incompetency
Vet. License			Probation	Performed unnecessary services and allowed unlicensed individuals to practice vet. medicine.
Vet. License			Probation	Poor anesthetic and surgical technique, poor record keeping
Vet. License		X		Convicted of criminal mischief and theft
Vet. License			Deceased	4 FY 91 8 FY 92
Vet. License			Voluntary Non-renewal	44 FY 91 49 FY 92

Clause n: LIST THE NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS AND OTHER COMMUNICATIONS RECEIVED BY THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY, EACH BOARD MEMBER, EMPLOYEE OR OTHER PERSON PERFORMING SERVICES FOR THE BOARD

IN FY 91	30	Written
	No.	
	0	Oral
	No.	
IN FY 92	33	Written
	No.	
	0	Oral
	No.	

THAT ALLEGE OR IMPLY A VIOLATION OF A STATUTE OR RULE WHICH THE BOARD IS EMPOWERED TO ENFORCE. THESE TOTALS INCLUDE CASES REFERRED TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S STAFF WHO ARE ASSIGNED TO ASSIST YOUR BOARD.

IN FY 91	0	Written
	No.	
	0	Oral
	No.	
IN FY 92	0	Written
	No.	
	0	Oral
	No.	

WHICH ARE FORWARDED TO OTHER AGENCIES AS REQUIRED BY M.S. 214.10.

Please indicate the number of complaints referred to each other governmental agency (Federal, State, and Local) in each fiscal year:

Clause o: SUMMARIZE, BY SPECIFIC CATEGORY, THE SUBSTANCE OF THE COMPLAINTS AND COMMUNICATIONS REFERRED TO IN CLAUSE (n) OF M.S. 214.07 AND AND, FOR EACH SPECIFIC CATEGORY, THE RESPONSES OR DISPOSITIONS THEREOF PURSUANT TO M.S. 214.10 and 214.11 (INDICATE AUTHORITY/CITATIONS FOR DISPOSITION).

(Dispositions occurring during this period of complaints and communications received prior to July 1, 1992 and complaints and communications received but not disposed of as of June 30, 1992 should be included).

<p>SUMMARY OF COMPLAINTS AND COMMUNICATIONS BY SPECIFIC CATEGORY (Give number in each specific category)</p>	<p>SUMMARY OF RESPONSES AND DISPOSITIONS FOR EACH SPECIFIC CATEGORY (Give number in each specific category)</p>
<p>1 complaint of chemical dependency</p>	<p>License suspended</p>
<p>5 complaints of incompetence (one license)</p>	<p>License restricted</p>
<p>5 complaints of substandard practice (one license)</p>	<p>Probation, continuing education requirements</p>
<p>2 complaints of unnecessary procedures and allowing technicians and non-licensed veterinarians to practice veterinary medicine (one license)</p>	<p>Probation, continuing education requirements, practice monitored</p>
<p>1 conviction of crimes</p>	<p>License suspended</p>
<p>3 complaints of substandard practice (one license)</p>	<p>Stipulation and order requiring continuing education</p>
<p>2 complaints of failure to pay past-due state taxes</p>	<p>Licensees arranged to meet debt obligations</p>
<p>1 complaint of unsanitary conditions</p>	<p>Two inspections of practice - second inspection was satisfactory</p>
<p>43 complaints were received and resolved by the executive director or complaint panel's determination that the complaints were non-jurisdictional</p>	

Clause p: STATE ANY OTHER OBJECTIVE INFORMATION WHICH THE BOARD MEMBERS BELIEVE WILL BE USEFUL IN REVIEWING BOARD ACTIVITIES:

(For Example: In what other states do your licensees hold licenses? Number of Minnesota licenses verified/certified to other states? Number of inspections? Comparisons with past Biennial Reports?)

There were 30 complaints received in FY 91 and 33 in FY 92, an increase over the 17 complaints received in FY 89 and the 21 complaints received in FY 90.

In FY 91 and 92, 178 licenses were verified to other state or federal agencies.