



GEGISLATIVE HEFERENCE LIBRARY
STATE OPTICE BUILDING
ST. PAUL, MN 55155

2000 Business Assistance Report

Summary of Business and Financial
Assistance Reported by State and Local
Government Agencies Provided between
August 1, 1999 and December 31, 1999
In Accordance to Minnesota Statutes § 116J.993
through § 116J.995

VOLUME 1 OF 2

Prepared by:

Analysis and Evaluation Office Minnesota Department of Trade and Economic Development 500 Metro Square Building 121 7th Place East St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-2146

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE <u>NUMBER</u>
Introduction - Overview of the Business Subsidy Law	1
Data Collection	2
MBAF Distribution	2
Findings	3
Overview	3
Data Limitations	4
Public Hearing and Adopted Criteria	4
Distribution of Business Assistance by Government Agency	5
Distribution of Financial Assistance by Government Agency	6
Regional Distribution of Business Subsidy Agreements	6
Regional Distribution of Business Subsidy Agreements	
by Value	7
Regional Distribution of Financial Assistance Agreements	8
Type of Business Subsidies Provided	8
Type of Financial Assistance Provided	10
Type and Value of TIF District for Business	
Subsidy Agreements	10
Public Purpose for Business Subsidy Agreements	11
Public Purpose for Financial Assistance Agreements	11
Industry Sector of Business Subsidy Agreements	
for Recipients	12
Establishment and Attainment of Goals Identified	
in the Agreement	13
Job Creation and Wage Goals for Business	
Subsidy Agreements	14
Recipient's Achievement of Goals and Fulfillment of	
Obligations for Business Subsidies Awarded	20
Recipient's Achievement of Goals and Fulfillment of	
Obligations for Financial Assistance Awarded	20
Recipients Failing to Fulfill Obligations	21
Administration of M.S. 8 116I 993 through 116I 995	21

Appendices:

Appendix A: Minnesota Statutes §116J.993 - § 116J.995 (1999 Law)

Appendix B: Minnesota Statutes §116J.993 - § 116J.995 (2000 Amendments)

Appendix C: 2000 Minnesota Business Assistance Form

Appendix D: Fact Sheet (1999 Law)

Appendix E: Frequently Asked Question (1999 Law)

Appendix F: County Representation in DTED Economic Development Regions

Appendix G: DTED Letters to Agencies

Appendix H: Listing of Agencies Required to Submit a 2000 MBAF Either Because

of Population Size or Previous Activity

Appendix I: Listing of Agencies Submitting a 2000 MBAF but not Otherwise

Required to Report

Appendix J: Listing of Agencies that Held a Public Hearing and Adopted Criteria

Per M.S. § 116J.993 - § 116J.995

Appendix K: Listing of Agencies that Submitted Criteria in 2000 for Business Subsidies

Per M.S. § 116J.993 - § 116J.995

Appendix L: Distribution of Business Subsidies by Value

Appendix M: Distribution of Financial Assistance by Value

Appendix N: Summary Sheet of 2000 Minnesota Business Assistance Forms

Submitted by City and County Government Agencies

Appendix O: Summary Sheet of 2000 Minnesota Business Assistance Forms

Submitted by "Other" Government Agencies

Appendix P: Summary Sheet of 2000 Minnesota Business Assistance Forms

Submitted by "Other" Government Agencies (Financial Assistance)

SUMMARY OF 2000 BUSINESS ASSISTANCE FORMS SUBMITTED BY STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT AGENCIES

Introduction - Overview of the Business Subsidy Law

In 1999, the Minnesota Legislature adopted new regulations for granting business subsidies for state and local agencies authorized to provide business subsidies. Minnesota Statutes §116J.993 through 116J.995 (see Appendix A), regulate business subsidy agreements signed on or after August 1, 1999, and replaces Minnesota Statute §116J.991. Agencies and entities created or authorized to provide business subsidies were no longer subject to the reporting requirements for agreements signed under Minnesota Statute §116J.991, but now must still comply with agreements signed after August 1, 1999.¹

This report reflects only a five-month period of analysis and should not be interpreted as an accurate reflection of activity related to business subsidies and financial assistance. A longer time is needed to capture the dynamics of such activities and properly evaluate the results. Moreover, since the reporting and operating requirements of Minnesota Statutes §116J.993 through §116J.995 are different than those of the Minnesota Statute §116J.991, the data from the 1999 Business Assistance Report and previous reports should not be compared with this report.

The Statutes set forth a definition and explicitly exclude 18 types of assistance from the definition of business subsidies including all awards less than \$25,000. Many of these exclusions are defined as financial assistance by the law and must be reported if provided by an agency. Under the law, local government agencies in communities with a population of more than 2,500 and state government agencies with the authority to grant subsidies must submit a report to the Department of Trade and Economic Development (DTED), regardless of whether they have awarded business subsidies. Local government agencies in communities with a population of 2,500 or less are exempt from filing the Minnesota Business Assistance Form (MBAF), unless they have awarded a subsidy in the past five years. DTED is required to compile and publish the results of the reports of the previous calendar year by July 1 of each year.²

¹In May 2000, Minnesota Statutes §116J.993 through 116J.995 were amended by the Legislature and now explicitly exclude 22 types of assistance from the definition of business subsidies. In accordance with the law, business loans and loan guarantees of \$75,000 or less are excluded from the analysis in this report. In the future, DTED's report will reflect on the other amended provisions as set forth in the statute. Because the amended statute reinstated the requirements under Minnesota Statute §116J.991, certain business subsidy agreements signed between July 1, 1999 and July 31, 1999, must report as well until all goals are achieved (see Appendix B).

²Resource constraints prevented DTED from submitting this report by July 1, 2000.

Data Collection

To facilitate the collection of report information, DTED created the MBAF (see Appendix C). At the beginning of the 2000 calendar year, DTED directed government agencies to submit a completed MBAF for each business subsidy agreement signed between August 1, 1999, and December 31, 1999. DTED required that agencies submit forms based on the year the agreement was signed rather than when assistance was actually awarded, and report annually on each agreement until they submitted a MBAF documenting the achievement of all goals as outlined in the agreement for two years after the benefit date or until the goals are met, whichever is later as required by the law. Since the effective date of the legislation was August 1, 1999, government agencies were not required to complete a MBAF for agreements signed prior to that date.

The 2000 MBAF collected more detailed information about each business subsidy agreement compared to the 1999 MBAF, including;

- the type, public purpose, amount of subsidy, and type of district if the subsidy is TIF;
- the hourly wage of each job created with separate bands of wages;
- the sum of the hourly wages and cost of health insurance provided by the recipient;
- the date(s) by which job and wage goals will be met;
- a statement of goals identified in the agreement and an update on progress toward meeting them;
- the location of the recipient prior to receiving the business subsidy;
- information on why the recipient did not complete the project outlined in the subsidy agreement at its previous location, if previously at another site in Minnesota;
- the name and address of the parent corporation of the recipient, if any; and
- a list of all financial assistance by all grantors for the project (see Appendix C for the 2000 MBAF form).

To avoid requiring agencies to amend agreements reached prior to August 1, 1999, the information outlined in Minnesota Statute §116J.994, subdivision 7, is only required for agreements signed on or after August 1, 1999. Forms summarized in this report cover business subsidy agreements reached from August 1, 1999, through December 31, 1999 and submitted to DTED. Forms submitted by government agencies for eligible projects are available on the Department's website.

MBAF Distribution

In early 2000, DTED distributed the MBAF and a letter to 3,500 government (city, township, county and state) agency officials throughout Minnesota that may use public money to provide business subsidies. The department also distributed the MBAF to non-profit organizations, foundations and development corporations that may provide business assistance (see Table 1). The MBAF form was also posted on the department's website and the department also worked with the League of Minnesota Cities and the Economic Development Association of Minnesota to publicize and promote the law.

In addition to mailing the form and posting it on the department website, DTED also took several steps to notify government agencies of the need to file the 2000 MBAF. DTED made several presentations throughout the state and provided a fact sheet and a Frequently Asked Questions publication that detailed the provisions and requirements of the 1999 Business Subsidy law (see Appendices D and E). In April 2000, DTED also sent a letter to government agencies reminding them to submit a report because either they awarded business subsidies during the last five years or represented a population of more than 2,500 persons. The department also informed agencies that if the department did not receive a report by June 1, 2000, those agencies were prohibited from awarding any business subsidies until a report had been filed as required by the statute (see Appendix G).

TABLE 1 Distribution of 2000 Minnesota Business Assistance Form

County Board Chairs
County Planning Commission Chairs
County Seat Offices
State Department Heads
Housing Redevelopment Authorities
Minnesota Mayors
Minnesota Initiative Fund Members
Economic Development Authorities
Township Officials

Regional Development Commission Chairs
Regional Development Commission Directors
Community Development Corporations
Minnesota Enterprise Zone Administrators
Economic Development Association of Minnesota
Port Authorities
Rural Development Finance Authorities
Economic Development Commissions
Regional Development Board Members

Findings

Overview

Of the 494 state and local government agencies that were required to file either because of population size or previous business subsidy activity, 383 agencies submitted a 2000 MBAF form and 111 agencies did not submit a form (see Appendix H).³ There were also 3 agencies that submitted a 2000 MBAF but were not otherwise required to report (see Appendix I). DTED received a total of 425 forms from government agencies in 2000. Out of the 383 agencies who submitted a form, 44 government agencies reported on 65 eligible business subsidy agreements that were reached between August 1, 1999 and December 31, 1999. There were also 3 eligible

³The 494 state and local government agencies were identified through several means; state agencies, previous MBAFs submitted, population size and government bodies identified through organizational membership lists (e.g., Economic Development Association of Minnesota). Since a comprehensive list of agencies is not available, the actual number of required filers may be higher.

business subsidy agreements that were reported by agencies that were not otherwise required to report. The \$20.7 million of business assistance provided by these 68 agreements ranged from a \$27,800 land contribution agreement to a \$3.9 million TIF agreement (see Appendices L, N and O). One state agency also reported 3 eligible financial assistance agreements for pollution control and abatement for a totaled value of \$123,118 (see Appendix P).

MBAF forms that reported ineligible projects (e.g., assistance valued at less than \$25,000 or business loans and loan guarantees of \$75,000 or less, and subsidies awarded in agreements reached before August 1, 1999, or after December 31, 1999) were not analyzed and are not included in this summary.⁴ In addition, one form reporting a project that was scheduled to receive funding, but which was no longer funded, was also excluded from this analysis.

Data Limitations

The 2000 Business Assistance Report also does not provide comparisons with agreements signed prior to August 1, 1999, because it only analyzes those agreements reported in 2000 and the business subsidy reporting requirements have changed significantly from M.S. § 116J.991. Moreover, since this report provides data for only five months of activity, any conclusions on agency activity are premature.

DTED has developed a tracking system that is now in place to track the progress of agreements signed on and after August 1, 1999, in accordance with the statutory requirements outlined in M.S. § 116J.994, Subdivision 9. This system will enable the department to track current agreements and those entered into in the future.

Public Hearing and Adopted Criteria

According to the statute, business subsidies may not be granted until the grantor has held a public hearing and adopted criteria for awarding subsidies in compliance with M.S. § 116J.994. Grantors were also required to submit a copy of their adopted criteria policies to DTED. Of the 494 agencies that were required to submit an 2000 MBAF either because of population size or previous business subsidy activity, DTED received 383 reports. There were 111 agencies that did not submit a 2000 MBAF as required (see Appendix H). DTED also received 3 reports from agencies that submitted a 2000 MBAF but were not otherwise required to report (see Appendix I). Not included in this analysis were forms by government agencies that reported activity prior to and after the effective date of the statute and local government agencies with communities of less than 2,500 persons or those that had no prior activity for more than 5 years.

⁴DTED received more than 300 phone calls from agencies requesting information about the 2000 MBAF and business subsidy law as of July 26, 1999. DTED also contacted more than 50 agencies that completed forms with inconsistencies or incomplete information and modified the forms and data as necessary. The department in some instances, relied on logic and judgement in evaluating forms that were submitted by agencies with inconsistent data.

Of the 497 agencies that were required to submit a copy of their criteria in accordance with the statute because of population size, previous activity, or who submitted a 2000 MBAF but were not otherwise required to report, the distribution of the reports submitted by agencies are as follows:


- 176 agencies or 35.4 percent reported holding a public hearing and adopting criteria in compliance with the statute;
- 297 agencies or 59.8 percent reported not holding a public hearing or adopting criteria;
- 7 agencies or 1.4 percent reported that they held a public hearing but have not yet adopted criteria;
- 10 agencies or 2.0 percent provided other explanations for not being in compliance with the statute during the period of 8/01/99 through 12/31/99; and,
- 7 agencies or 1.4 percent had missing data on the report.

In summary, 147 agencies or 29.6 percent submitted criteria in accordance with the statute and 350 agencies or 70.4 percent did not submit criteria (See Appendices J and K).

Distribution of Business Assistance by Government Agency


Cities accounted for most of the business subsidy agreements reported on in 2000. As Figure 1 shows, of the 68 business subsidy agreements reported in the 2000 MBAF, cities accounted for 52 agreements (76.5 percent), the state for 7 agreements (10.3 percent), regional agencies for 5 agreements (7.4 percent), and counties for 4 agreements (5.9 percent).

FIGURE 1
Distribution of Business Subsidy Agreements by Government Agency for Agreements Reached Between August 1, 1999 and December 31, 1999



As Figure 2 indicates, the distribution of the value of business subsidies provided by agencies was somewhat different than the distribution of subsidy agreements. County agencies contributed significantly higher and the remaining types of agencies somewhat lower shares in terms of value. Of the \$20.7 million in business subsidies reported by the MBAF, city agencies provided about \$12.3 million (59.1 percent), state agencies, \$1.5 million (7.3 percent), counties agencies, \$6.5 million (31.3 percent), and regional agencies, \$470,000 (2.3 percent).

FIGURE 2
Distribution of Business Subsidy Agreements by Value for Agreements
Reached Between August 1, 1999 and December 31, 1999




Distribution of Financial Assistance by Government Agency

One state agency accounted for all of the financial assistance activity reported on in 2000. The agency reported 3 eligible agreements which had a total value of \$123,118.

Regional Distribution of Business Subsidy Agreements

The Twin Cities region accounted for most of the business subsidy agreements reported on in 2000. As Figure 3 shows, of the 68 business subsidy agreements reported on the 2000 MBAF, the Twin Cities region accounted for 20 agreements (29.4 percent), Central for 16 agreements (23.5 percent), Southwest for 11 agreements (16.2 percent), Southeast 10 agreements (14.7 percent), West Central 5 agreements (7.4 percent), Northwest 4 agreements (5.9 percent), and Northeast 2 agreements (2.9 percent) (see Appendix F for county representation in DTED Economic Development Regions).

FIGURE 3
Regional Distribution of Business Subsidy Agreements Reached
Between August 1, 1999 and December 31, 1999




Regional Distribution of Business Subsidy Agreements by Value

Of the \$20.7 million in business subsidies reported in 2000 by government agencies, the Twin Cities region provided about \$9.41 million (45.4 percent), Central, \$6.61 million (31.9 percent), Southeast \$1.57 million (7.6 percent), Southwest \$1.54 million (7.4 percent), Northeast \$0.86 million (4.1 percent), West Central \$0.51 million (2.5 percent), and the Northwest \$0.24 million (1.2 percent) (see Figure 4).

FIGURE 4

Regional Distribution of Business Assistance Agreements by Value for Agreements Reached Between August 1, 1999 and December 31, 1999

in millions



Regional Distribution of Financial Assistance Agreements

Of the 3 financial assistance agreements reported in 2000, the Twin Cities region accounted for two agreements that provided \$89,532 in assistance and the Southeast region reported one agreement of \$39,532. The total value of financial assistance awarded by government agencies was \$123,118.


Type of Business Subsidies Provided

Of the \$20.7 million in subsides reported in 2000 by state, county and local governments agencies, amounts ranged from a \$27,800 land contribution to a \$3,924,500 TIF agreement. The median value was \$122,500 for all agreements awarded.

Loans and TIF were the most common types of subsidies provided. Of the 68 business subsidies agreements reported by government agencies, there were 73 types of business subsidies reported because several agencies reported more than one type of assistance for each project. Those

agencies also separated out each type of subsidy by dollar amount. As Figure 5 shows, of the 73 types of business subsidies reported by government agencies, loans were involved in 26 agreements (35.6 percent), TIF was used in 22 agreements (30.1 percent), other types of subsidies, 7 agreements (9.6 percent), multiple types of subsidies, 7 agreements (9.6 percent), grants, 3 agreements (4.1 percent), land contribution, 4 agreements (5.5 percent), tax abatement, 3 agreements (4.1 percent), contribution of property, 1 agreement (1.4 percent). There was no activity reported by agencies concerning guarantees of payment or preferential land use.


FIGURE 5
Distribution of Business Subsidy Agreements by Type for Agreements
Reached Between August 1, 1999 and December 31, 1999



In contrast to the distribution of agreements by type of subsidies, TIF accounted for the highest share, 36.8 percent (\$7.63 million) of the value reported business subsidies, exceeding loans, 19.5 percent (\$4.04 million), followed by other types of subsidies, 19.4 percent (\$4.03 million), grants, 9.7 percent (\$2.02 million), multiple types of subsidies, 9.2 percent (\$1.92 million), land contribution, 3.0 percent (\$0.63 million), tax abatement, 1.9 percent (\$0.4 million), and contribution of property, 0.4 percent (\$0.08 million) (see Figure 6).

⁵Other types of business subsidies include: clearance and redevelopment; reduction of trunk fees; Border City Development Zone; land write down; storm sewer connection grant; and tax-exempt financing.

FIGURE 6
Distribution of Business Subsidy Agreements by Value for Agreements
Reached Between August 1, 1999 and December 31, 1999



Type of Financial Assistance Provided

Of the \$123,118 in financial assistance reported in 2000 by a state agency, 100 percent (3 agreements) were for pollution control or abatement.

Type and Value of TIF District for Business Subsidy Agreements


Of the 68 business subsidy agreements entered into by government agencies, 34 agreements included tax increment financing. Of the 34 agreements, 19 agreements (55.9 percent) were TIF redevelopment districts, and 15 agreements (44.1 percent) were TIF economic development districts.

Of the \$20.7 million in business subsidies provided by government agencies, \$13.9 million consisted of tax increment financing. TIF redevelopment, 83.5 percent (\$11.6 million), accounted for the largest share of TIF district types, followed by TIF economic development, 16.5 percent (2.3 million).

Public Purpose for Business Subsidy Agreements

Minnesota Statute §116J.994 requires that business subsidy and financial assistance agreements state a public purpose. Of the 68 business subsidy agreements entered into by government agencies, increasing the tax base, 47 agreements (69.1 percent)⁶, and job growth, 41 agreements (60.3 percent), accounted for the highest share of the type of public purpose reported by government agencies. Other types of public purpose included increasing economic diversity, 31 agreements (45.6 percent), other purposes⁷, 24 agreements (35.3 percent), and job retention and stabilizing the community which each accounted for 12 agreements (17.6 percent) (see Figure 7).

FIGURE 7
Distribution for Business Subsidies by Public Purpose for Agreements
Reached Between August 1, 1999 and December 31, 1999



Public Purpose for Financial Assistance Agreements

Of the 3 financial assistance agreements entered into by government agencies in 2000, environmental improvement accounted for the public purpose reported in each agreement.


⁶Increasing the tax base was used by agencies in conjunction with other public purposes as outlined in the public purpose section of the report (see Appendices N, O, and P for more detailed public purpose information).

⁷Other types of public purpose indicated in 27 agreements included: encouraging high quality construction; leveraging private investment; increasing major infrastructure; eliminating blight; redevelopment; increasing tourism; retail; business growth; environmental improvements; improving social welfare services; and, improving medical services.

Industry Sector of Business Subsidy Agreements for Recipients

Of the 68 business subsidy agreements reported by government agencies in 2000, the manufacturing sector accounted for 31 agreements (45.6 percent), services, 19 agreements (27.9 percent), retail trade, 6 agreements (8.8 percent), finance, insurance, and real estate, 5 agreements (7.4 percent), wholesale trade, 3 agreements (4.4 percent), construction, 3 agreements (4.4 percent), and other, 1 agreement (1.5 percent) (see Figure 8).


FIGURE 8
Distribution of Business Subsidy Agreements by Industrial Sector for Agreements
Reached Between August 1, 1999 and December 31, 1999



Of the \$20.7 million in business subsidies provided by government agencies, the services sector accounted for \$7.6 million (36.9 percent), manufacturing, \$5.1 million (24.6 percent), retail trade, \$4.8 million (23.2 percent), finance, insurance, and real estate, \$2.0 million (9.5 percent), other, \$0.6 million (3.0 percent), wholesale, \$0.4 million (2.0 percent), and construction, \$0.2 million (0.9 percent) (see Figure 9).

FIGURE 9
Distribution of Value for Industrial Sectors for Agreements Reached
Between August 1, 1999 and December 31, 1999

in millions



Establishment and Attainment of Goals Identified in the Agreement

The MBAF asked grantors to identify the type of goals the recipients were expected to achieve. Grantors were also required to indicate the progress toward these goals. This section provides general information on those issues. The discussion of specific job creation and wage goal levels for business subsidy agreements begins on page 14.

Specific Job and Wage Goals for Business Subsidy Agreements

Under the law, government agencies are required to include in agreements specific job and wage goals that must be attained within 2 years of the benefit date. Of the 68 eligible business agreements entered into by government agencies between August 1, 1999 and December 31, 1999, 89.7 percent or 61 agreements established specific job and wage goals, 5.9 percent or 4 agreements did not, and 4.4 percent or 3 agreements were missing data.

Of the 61 agreements that were reported by agencies that established specific job and wage goals, 8 percent or 5 agreements have attained specific job and wage goals; 67 percent or 41 agreements reported that the recipient had not attained specific job and wage goals; and 25 percent or 15 agreements were missing information. All agencies reported that recipients had more time to meet their job and wage goals.

Other Job Creation and Wage Goals for Business Subsidy Agreements

There were 6 agreements reported by agencies that established other job creation and wage goals. Of the 6 agreements reported by agencies as establishing other job creation and wage goals, 3 recipients attained their goals. All agencies reported that recipients had more time to meet their other job creation and wage goals.

Other Wage Goals for Business Subsidy Agreements

There were 2 agreements reported by agencies that established other wage goals. At this time, no agency has reported that a recipient has attained its goals. All agencies reported that recipients had more time to meet their other wage goals.

Goals Other than Wage and Job Goals for Business Subsidy Agreements

There were 8 agreements reported by agencies that established goals other than wage and job goals. Of the 8 agreements reported by agencies that established goals other than wage and job goals, 1 recipient attained its goals. All agencies reported that recipients had more time to meet their goals other than wage and job goals.

Goals for Financial Assistance Agreements

Of the 3 eligible financial assistance agreements reported by a government agency, no job and wage goals were established because the goal was to reduce consumption of hazardous solvents. The recipients have achieved all goals and fulfilled all obligations stipulated in the agreements.

Job Creation and Wage Goals for Business Subsidy Agreements

Under the law, the subsidy agreement, in addition to other goals, must include goals for the number of jobs created, which may include separate goals for the number of full-time or part-time part-time jobs, and wage goals for jobs created or retained.


Full-time Job Creation and Wage Goals

Agencies reported full-time job creation and wage goals in 52 of the 68 business subsidy agreements. Those agencies reported a full-time creation goal of 844 jobs. The distribution of full-time job creation and wage goals are as follows:

- 3.1 percent or 26 jobs were expected to pay an hourly wage of \$15.00 or higher;
- 5.3 percent or 45 jobs between \$13.00 and \$14.99;
- 14.2 percent or 120 jobs between \$11.00 and \$12.99;
- 20.3 percent or 171 jobs between \$9.00 and \$10.99;
- 37.3 percent or 315 jobs between \$7.00 and \$8.99;
- 0.8 percent or 7 jobs at less than \$7.00; and,
- 19.0 percent or 160 jobs with no hourly wage level goal (see Figure 10).

Agencies reported a full-time hourly health insurance value in 16 business subsidy agreements for a total of 247 jobs with an average hourly value of \$2.07.

FIGURE 10
Distribution of Full-time Job Creation and Wage Goals for Agreements Reached
Between August 1, 1999 and December 31, 1999




Actual Full-time Job Creation and Wages

Agencies reported actual full-time job creation and wage goals in 15 of the 68 business subsidy agreements. Agencies reported 161 actual full-time jobs created compared to a goal of 844 jobs. All agencies reported that recipients had more time to meet their job creation and wage goals. The distribution of actual full-time job creation and wage goals are as follows:

- 21.1 percent or 34 jobs are paying an hourly wage of \$15.00 or higher;
- 8.1 percent or 13 jobs between \$13.00 and \$14.99;
- 24.2 percent or 39 jobs between \$11.00 and \$12.99;
- 26.7 percent or 43 jobs between \$9.00 and \$10.99;
- 18.0 percent or 29 jobs between \$7.00 and \$8.99; and,
- 1.9 percent or 3 jobs at less than \$7.00 (see Figure 11).

Agencies reported actual full-time hourly health insurance value in 5 business assistance agreements for a total of 34 jobs with an actual average hourly value of \$2.09.

FIGURE 11
Distribution of Actual Full-time Job Creation and Wages for Agreements Reached
Between August 1, 1999 and December 31, 1999



Full-time Equivalent (FTE) Job Creation and Wage Goals

Some agreements called for job creation in terms of FTE. Agencies reported FTE job creation and wage goals in 11 agreements or 164 jobs. The distribution of full-time equivalent job creation and wage goals are as follows:

- 2.4 percent or 4 jobs were expected to pay an hourly wage of \$15.00 or higher;
- no jobs between \$13.00 and \$14.99;
- 3.7 percent or 6 jobs between \$11.00 and \$12.99;
- 5.5 percent or 9 jobs between \$9.00 and \$10.99;
- 25.6 percent or 42 jobs between \$7.00 and \$8.99;
- 50.6 percent or 83 jobs less than \$7.00; and,
- 12.2 percent or 20 jobs without an hourly wage level.

One agency reported full-time equivalent hourly health value in 1 business assistance agreement for a total of 8 jobs with an average hourly value of \$1.33.

⁸Numbers were rounded to the nearest whole number.

Actual Full-time Equivalent (FTE) Job Creation and Wages

Agencies reported actual full-time equivalent job creation and wage goals in 4 of the 68 business assistance agreements. Those agencies reported 32 actual full-time equivalent jobs created compared to a goal of 163 jobs. All agencies reported that recipients had more time to meet their job creation and wage goals. The distribution of actual FTE job creation and wage goals are as follows:

- 12.5 percent or 4 jobs were reported paying an hourly wage of \$15.00 or higher;
- no jobs between \$13.00 and \$14.99;
- 18.8 percent or 6 jobs between \$11.00 and \$12.99;
- no jobs between \$9.00 and \$10.99;
- 68.8 percent or 22 jobs between \$7.00 and \$8.99°; and,
- no jobs at less than \$7.00.

One agency reported an actual full-time equivalent hourly health value in 1 business assistance agreement for a total of 1 job with an average hourly value of \$1.33.

Part-time Job Creation and Wage Goals

Agencies reported part-time job creation and wage goals in 10 of the 68 business subsidy agreements. Those agencies reported a part-time creation goal of 78 jobs. ¹⁰ The distribution of part-time job creation and wage goals are as follows:

- 1.3 percent or 1 job was expected to pay an hourly wage of \$15.00 or higher;
- 2.6 percent or 2 jobs at between \$13.00 and \$14.99;
- no jobs between \$11.00 and \$12.99;
- 3.8 percent or 3 jobs between \$9.00 and \$10.99;
- 24.4 percent or 19 jobs between \$7.00 and \$8.99;
- 67.9 percent or 53 jobs less than \$7.00; and,
- no jobs without an hourly wage level goal.

Agencies reported a part-time hourly health insurance value in 2 business subsidy agreements for a total of 8.5 jobs with an average hourly value of \$2.25.

⁹Percentages were more than 100 percent because of rounding.

¹⁰Numbers were rounded to the nearest whole number.

Actual Part-time Job Creation and Wages

Agencies reported actual part-time job creation and wage goals in 8 of the 68 business subsidy agreements. Agencies reported 66 actual part-time jobs created compared to a goal 78 jobs. All agencies reported that recipients had more time to meet their job creation and wage goals. The distribution of actual part-time job creation and wage goals are as follows:

- no part-time jobs were reported paying an hourly wage between \$15.00 or \$13.00;
- 6.1 percent or 4 jobs between \$11.00 and \$12.99;
- 6.1 percent or 4 jobs between \$9.00 and \$10.99;
- 21.2 percent or 14 jobs between \$7.00 and \$8.99; and,
- 66.7 percent or 44 jobs at less than \$7.00.

One agency reported actual full-time hourly health insurance value in 1 business assistance agreement for a total of 1 job with an actual average hourly value of \$1.33.

Job Retention and Wage Goals

Agencies reported job retention and wage goals in 9 agreements or 230 jobs.¹² The distribution of job retention and wage goals are as follows:


- 6.9 percent or 16 jobs were expected to pay an hourly wage of \$15.00 or higher;
- 1.7 percent or 4 jobs between \$13.00 and \$14.99;
- 15.6 percent or 36 jobs between \$11.00 and \$12.99;
- 15.2 percent or 35 jobs between \$9.00 and \$10.99;
- 42.0 percent or 97 jobs between \$7.00 and \$8.99;
- no jobs at less than \$7.00; and,
- 18.6 percent or 43 jobs with no hourly wage level (see Figure 12).

Four agencies reported an hourly value of health insurance for jobs retained in 4 business assistance agreements for a total of 127 jobs for an average hourly value of \$1.07.

¹¹Numbers were rounded to the nearest whole number.

¹²Numbers were rounded to the nearest whole number.

FIGURE 12
Distribution of Job Retention and Wage Goals for Agreements Reached
Between August 1, 1999 and December 31, 1999



Actual Job Retention and Wages


Agencies reported actual job retention and wage goals in 9 of the 68 business subsidy agreements. Those agencies reported 200 jobs retained compared to a goal of 230 jobs. All agencies reported that recipients had more time to meet their job creation and wage goals. The distribution of actual job retention and wage goals are as follows:

- 13.0 percent or 26 jobs were created paying an hourly wage of \$15.00 or higher;
- 2.0 percent or 4 jobs between \$13.00 and \$14.99;
- 19.5 percent or 39 jobs between \$11.00 and \$12.99;
- 30.5 percent or 61 jobs between \$9.00 and \$10.99;
- 31.5 percent or 63 jobs between \$7.00 and \$8.99; and,
- 3.5 percent or 7 jobs less than \$7.00 (see Figure 19).

Four agencies reported an actual hourly value of health insurance for jobs retained in 4 business subsidy agreements for a total of 106 jobs for an average hourly value of \$1.12.

¹³Numbers were rounded to the nearest whole number.

FIGURE 13
Distribution of Actual Job Retention and Wages for Agreements
Reached Between August 1, 1999 and December 31, 1999



Recipient's Achievement of Goals and Fulfillment of Obligations for Business Subsidies Awarded

Of the 68 business subsidy agreements entered into by government agencies between August 1, 1999 and December 31, 1999, agencies reported 9 agreements where recipients had achieved all goals and obligations stipulated in the agreements. The total value for those 9 agreements was \$3.96 million out of the \$20.7 million of business assistance provided between August 1, 1999 and December 31, 1999.

Recipient's Achievement of Goals and Fulfillment of Obligations for Financial Assistance Awarded

Of the 3 financial assistance agreements entered into by a government agency between August 1, 1999 and December 31, 1999, the agency reported that all 3 agreements entered into by each recipient had achieved all goals and obligations stipulated in the agreements. The total value of those 3 agreements was \$123,118.

Recipients Failing to Fulfill Obligations

Of the 425 eligible forms received by DTED from government agencies in 2000, 419 forms or 98.6 percent, reported that no recipient failed to report or had any recipient who failed to achieve goals or fulfill any other obligations under agreements signed on or after August 1, 1999, as required by Minnesota Statutes §116J.993 and §116J.994. Of the 425 forms received by DTED, only 6 forms or 1.4 percent, were missing information.

Of the 71 eligible business subsidy and financial assistance forms received by DTED from government agencies in 2000, no agency reported any recipient that failed to report or had any recipient who failed to achieve goals or fulfill any other obligations under agreements signed on or after August 1, 1999, as required by the law.

Administration of M.S. § 116J.993 through 116J.995

DTED has strived to improve compliance with M.S. § 116J.993 through 116J.995. In addition to the letters sent to agencies, Frequently Asked Questions publication and fact sheets, the department has responded to more than 300 phone calls and e-mails from government agencies and other interested parties regarding MBAF and made more than 50 calls to agencies to clarify inconsistent data on the form. The department also conducted more than 15 presentations on the business subsidy law to various groups and increased the information available via the Internet.

DTED has also worked to improve internal administration of the law. Since no funds were appropriated to administer the law, the department needed to reallocate internal resources and was able to hire staff to administered the business subsidy statute in September 2000. In addition, DTED has also created a database to improve management and analysis of submitted forms. The department estimates that over the coming year, hundreds of additional hours will be needed to improve the form, provide additional training and assistance to government officials and analyze additional information collected in the future.

APPENDIX A:

Minnesota Statutes 116J.993 - 116J.995 (1999 Law)

116J.993 DEFINITION.

Subdivision 1. **Scope**. For the purpose of sections 116J.993 to 116J.995, the terms defined in this section have the meanings given them.

- Subd. 2. **Benefit date**. "Benefit date" means the date that the recipient receives the business subsidy. If the business subsidy involves the purchase, lease, or donation of physical equipment, then the benefit date begins when the recipient puts the equipment into service. If the business subsidy is for improvements to property, then the benefit date refers to the earliest date of either:
 - (1) when the improvements are finished for the entire project; or
- (2) when a business occupies the property. If a business occupies the property and the subsidy grantor expects that other businesses will also occupy the same property, the grantor may assign a separate benefit date for each business when it first occupies the property.
- Subd. 3. **Business subsidy**. "Business subsidy" or "subsidy" means a state or local government agency grant, contribution of personal property, real property, infrastructure, the principal amount of a loan at rates below those commercially available to the recipient, any reduction or deferral of any tax or any fee, any guarantee of any payment under any loan, lease, or other obligation, or any preferential use of government facilities given to a business.

The following forms of financial assistance are not a business subsidy:

- (1) a business subsidy of less than \$25,000;
- (2) assistance that is generally available to all businesses or to a general class of similar businesses, such as a line of business, size, location, or similar general criteria;
- (3) public improvements to buildings or lands owned by the state or local government that serve a public purpose and do not principally benefit a single business or defined group of businesses at the time that improvements are made;
- (4) redevelopment property polluted by contaminants as defined in section 116J.552, subdivision 3;
- (5) assistance provided for the sole purpose of renovating old or decaying building stock or bringing it up to code, provided that the assistance is equal to or less than 50 percent of the total cost;
- (6) assistance provided to organizations whose primary mission is to provide job readiness and training services if the sole purpose of the assistance is to provide those services;
 - (7) assistance for housing;
 - (8) assistance for pollution control or abatement;
 - (9) assistance for energy conservation;
 - (10) tax reductions resulting from conformity with federal tax law;
 - (11) workers' compensation and unemployment compensation;
 - (12) benefits derived from regulation;
 - (13) indirect benefits derived from assistance to educational institutions;
 - (14) funds from bonds allocated under chapter 474A;
- (15) assistance for a collaboration between a Minnesota higher education institution and a business;
- (16) assistance for a tax increment financing soils condition district as defined under section 469.174, subdivision 19;
- (17) redevelopment when the recipient's investment in the purchase of the site and in site preparation is 70 percent or more of the assessor's current year's estimated market value; and

- (18) general changes in tax increment financing law and other general tax law changes of a principally technical nature.
- Subd. 4. **Grantor**. "Grantor" means any state or local government agency with the authority to grant a business subsidy.
- Subd. 5. Local government agency. "Local government agency" includes a statutory or home rule charter city, housing and redevelopment authority, town, county, port authority, economic development authority, community development agency, nonprofit entity created by a local government with authority to provide business subsidies.
- Subd. 6. **Recipient**. "Recipient" means any for-profit or nonprofit business entity that receives a business subsidy. Only nonprofit entities with at least 100 full-time equivalent positions and with a ratio of highest to lowest paid employee, that exceeds ten to one, determined on the basis of full-time equivalent positions, are included in this definition.
- Subd. 7. **State government agency**. "State government agency" means any state agency that has the authority to award business subsidies.

History: 1999 c 243 art 12 s 1

116J.994 REGULATING LOCAL AND STATE BUSINESS SUBSIDIES.

Subdivision 1. **Public purpose**. A business subsidy must meet a public purpose other than increasing the tax base. Job retention may only be used as a public purpose in cases where job loss is imminent and demonstrable.

- Subd. 2. **Developing a set of criteria**. A business subsidy may not be granted until the grantor has adopted criteria after a public hearing for awarding business subsidies that comply with this section. The criteria must include a policy regarding the wages to be paid for the jobs created. The commissioner of trade and economic development may assist local government agencies in developing criteria.
- Subd. 3. **Subsidy agreement**. (a) A recipient must enter into a subsidy agreement with the grantor of the subsidy that includes:
- (1) a description of the subsidy, including the amount and type of subsidy, and type of district if the subsidy is tax increment financing;
 - (2) a statement of the public purposes for the subsidy;
 - (3) goals for the subsidy;
 - (4) a description of the financial obligation of the recipient if the goals are not met;
 - (5) a statement of why the subsidy is needed;
- (6) a commitment to continue operations at the site where the subsidy is used for at least five years after the benefit date;
 - (7) the name and address of the parent corporation of the recipient, if any; and
 - (8) a list of all financial assistance by all grantors for the project.
- (b) Business subsidies in the form of grants must be structured as forgivable loans. If a business subsidy is not structured as a forgivable loan, the agreement must state the fair market value of the subsidy to the recipient, including the value of conveying property at less than a fair market price, or other in-kind benefits to the recipient.

- (c) If a business subsidy benefits more than one recipient, the grantor must assign a proportion of the business subsidy to each recipient that signs a subsidy agreement. The proportion assessed to each recipient must reflect a reasonable estimate of the recipient's share of the total benefits of the project.
- (d) The state or local government agency and the recipient must both sign the subsidy agreement and, if the grantor is a local government agency, the agreement must be approved by the local elected governing body, except for the St. Paul Port Authority and a seaway port authority.
- Subd. 4. Wage and job goals. The subsidy agreement, in addition to any other goals, must include: (1) goals for the number of jobs created, which may include separate goals for the number of part-time or full-time jobs, or, in cases where job loss is imminent and demonstrable, goals for the number of jobs retained; and (2) wage goals for the jobs created or retained.

In addition to other specific goal time frames, the wage and job goals must contain specific goals to be attained within two years of the benefit date.

- Subd. 5. **Public notice and hearing**. (a) Before granting a business subsidy that exceeds \$500,000 for a state government grantor and \$100,000 for a local government grantor, the grantor must provide public notice and a hearing on the subsidy. A public hearing and notice under this subdivision is not required if a hearing and notice on the subsidy is otherwise required by law.
- (b) Public notice of a proposed business subsidy under this subdivision by a state government grantor must be published in the State Register. Public notice of a proposed business subsidy under this subdivision by a local government grantor must be published in a local newspaper of general circulation. The public notice must identify the location at which information about the business subsidy, including a copy of the subsidy agreement, is available. Published notice should be sufficiently conspicuous in size and placement to distinguish the notice from the surrounding text. The grantor must make the information available in printed paper copies and, if possible, on the Internet. The government agency must provide at least a ten-day notice for the public hearing.
 - (c) The public notice must include the date, time, and place of the hearing.
 - (d) The public hearing by a state government grantor must be held in St. Paul.
- Subd. 6. Failure to meet goals. The subsidy agreement must specify the recipient's obligation if the recipient does not fulfill the agreement. At a minimum, the agreement must require a recipient failing to meet subsidy agreement goals to pay back the assistance plus interest to the grantor provided that repayment may be prorated to reflect partial fulfillment of goals. The interest rate must be set at the implicit price deflator defined under section 275.70, subdivision 2. The grantor, after a public hearing, may extend for up to one year the period for meeting the goals provided in a subsidy agreement.

A recipient that fails to meet the terms of a subsidy agreement may not receive a business subsidy from any grantor for a period of five years from the date of failure or until a recipient satisfies its repayment obligation under this subdivision, whichever occurs first.

Before a grantor signs a business subsidy agreement, the grantor must check with the compilation and summary report required by this section to determine if the recipient is eligible to receive a business subsidy.

Subd. 7. Reports by recipients to grantors. (a) A business subsidy grantor must monitor the progress by the recipient in achieving agreement goals.

- (b) A recipient must provide information regarding goals and results for two years after the benefit date or until the goals are met, whichever is later. If the goals are not met, the recipient must continue to provide information on the subsidy until the subsidy is repaid. The information must be filed on forms developed by the commissioner in cooperation with representatives of local government. Copies of the completed forms must be sent to the commissioner and the local government agency that provided the business subsidy. The report must include:
- (1) the type, public purpose, and amount of subsidies and type of district, if the subsidy is tax increment financing;
 - (2) the hourly wage of each job created with separate bands of wages;
- (3) the sum of the hourly wages and cost of health insurance provided by the employer with separate bands of wages;
 - (4) the date the job and wage goals will be reached;
- (5) a statement of goals identified in the subsidy agreement and an update on achievement of those goals;
 - (6) the location of the recipient prior to receiving the business subsidy;
- (7) why the recipient did not complete the project outlined in the subsidy agreement at their previous location, if the recipient was previously located at another site in Minnesota;
 - (8) the name and address of the parent corporation of the recipient, if any;
 - (9) a list of all financial assistance by all grantors for the project; and
 - (10) other information the commissioner may request.

A report must be filed no later than March 1 of each year for the previous year and within 30 days after the deadline for meeting the job and wage goals.

- (c) Financial assistance that is excluded from the definition of "business subsidy" by section 116J.993, subdivision 3, clauses (4), (5), (8), and (16) is subject to the reporting requirements of this subdivision, except that the report of the recipient must include:
- (1) the type, public purpose, and amount of the financial assistance, and type of district is the subsidy is tax increment financing;
- (2) progress towards meeting goals stated in the subsidy agreement and the public purpose of the assistance;
 - (3) the hourly wage of each job created with separate bands of wages;
- (4) the sum of the hourly wages and cost of health insurance provided by the employer with separate bands of wages;
 - (5) the location of the recipient prior to receiving the assistance; and
 - (6) other information the grantor requests.
- (d) If the recipient does not submit its report, the local government agency must mail the recipient a warning within one week of the required filing date. If, after 14 days of the postmarked date of the warning, the recipient fails to provide a report, the recipient must pay to the grantor a penalty of \$100 for each subsequent day until the report is filed. The maximum penalty shall not exceed \$1,000.
- Subd. 8. **Reports by grantors**. (a) Local government agencies of a local government with a population of more than 2,500 and state government agencies, regardless of whether or not they have awarded any business subsidies, must file a report by April 1 of each year with the commissioner. Local government agencies of a local government with a population of 2,500 or less are exempt from filing this report if they have not awarded a business subsidy in the past five years. The local government agency must include a list of recipients that did not complete the

report and of recipients that have not met their job and wage goals within two years and the steps being taken to bring them into compliance or to recoup the subsidy.

If the commissioner has not received the report by April 1 from an entity required to report, the commissioner shall issue a warning to the government agency. If the commissioner has still not received the report by June 1 of that same year from an entity required to report, then that government agency may not award any business subsidies until the report has been filed.

- (b) The commissioner of trade and economic development must provide information on reporting requirements to state and local government agencies.
- Subd. 9. Compilation and summary report. The department of trade and economic development must publish a compilation and summary of the results of the reports for the previous calendar year by July 1 of each year. The reports of the government agencies to the department and the compilation and summary report of the department must be made available to the public.

The commissioner must coordinate the production of reports so that useful comparisons across time periods and across grantors can be made. The commissioner may add other information to the report as the commissioner deems necessary to evaluate business subsidies. Among the information in the summary and compilation report, the commissioner must include:

- (1) total amount of subsidies awarded in each development region of the state;
- (2) distribution of business subsidy amounts by size of the business subsidy;
- (3) distribution of business subsidy amounts by time category, such as monthly or quarterly;
 - (4) distribution of subsidies by type and by public purpose;
 - (5) percent of all business subsidies that reached their goals;
- (6) percent of business subsidies that did not reach their goals by two years from the benefit date;
- (7) total dollar amount of business subsidies that did not meet their goals after two years from the benefit date;
 - (8) percent of subsidies that did not meet their goals and that did not receive repayment;
- (9) list of recipients that have failed to meet the terms of a subsidy agreement in the past five years and have not satisfied their repayment obligations;
 - (10) number of part-time and full-time jobs within separate bands of wages; and
 - (11) benefits paid within separate bands of wages.

History: 1999 c 243 art 12 s 2

116J.995 ECONOMIC GRANTS.

An appropriation rider in an appropriation to the department of trade and economic development that specifies that the appropriation be granted to a particular business or class of businesses must contain a statement of the expected benefits associated with the grant. At a minimum, the statement must include goals for the number of jobs created, wages paid, and the tax revenue increases due to the grant.

History: 1999 c 243 art 12 s 3

APPENDIX B:

Minnesota Statutes 116J.993 - 116J.995 (2000 Laws)

116J.993 Definitions.

Subdivision 1. **Scope.** For the purposes of sections 116J.993 to 116J.995, the terms defined in this section have the meanings given them.

- Subd. 2. **Benefit date.** "Benefit date" means the date that the recipient receives the business subsidy. If the business subsidy involves the purchase, lease, or donation of physical equipment, then the benefit date begins when the recipient puts the equipment into service. If the business subsidy is for improvements to property, then the benefit date refers to the earliest date of either:
 - (1) when the improvements are finished for the entire project; or
- (2) when a business occupies the property. If a business occupies the property and the subsidy grantor expects that other businesses will also occupy the same property, the grantor may assign a separate benefit date for each business when it first occupies the property.
- Subd. 3. **Business subsidy.** "Business subsidy" or "subsidy" means a state or local government agency grant, contribution of personal property, real property, infrastructure, the principal amount of a loan at rates below those commercially available to the recipient, any reduction or deferral of any tax or any fee, any guarantee of any payment under any loan, lease, or other obligation, or any preferential use of government facilities given to a business.

The following forms of financial assistance are not a business subsidy:

- (1) a business subsidy of less than \$25,000;
- (2) assistance that is generally available to all businesses or to a general class of similar businesses, such as a line of business, size, location, or similar general criteria;
- (3) public improvements to buildings or lands owned by the state or local government that serve a public purpose and do not principally benefit a single business or defined group of businesses at the time the improvements are made;
- (4) redevelopment property polluted by contaminants as defined in section 116J.552, subdivision 3;
- (5) assistance provided for the sole purpose of renovating old or decaying building stock or bringing it up to code and assistance provided for designated historic preservation districts, provided that the assistance is equal to or less than 50 percent of the total cost;
- (6) assistance to provide job readiness and training services if the sole purpose of the assistance is to provide those services;
 - (7) assistance for housing;
- (8) assistance for pollution control or abatement, including assistance for a tax increment financing hazardous substance subdistrict as defined under section 469.174, subdivision 23;
 - (9) assistance for energy conservation;
 - (10) tax reductions resulting from conformity with federal tax law;
 - (11) workers' compensation and unemployment compensation;
 - (12) benefits derived from regulation;
 - (13) indirect benefits derived from assistance to educational institutions;
- (14) funds from bonds allocated under chapter 474A, bonds issued to refund outstanding bonds, and bonds issued for the benefit of an organization described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended through December 31, 1999;
- (15) assistance for a collaboration between a Minnesota higher education institution and a business;

- (16) assistance for a tax increment financing soils condition district as defined under section 469.174, subdivision 19;
- (17) redevelopment when the recipient's investment in the purchase of the site and in site preparation is 70 percent or more of the assessor's current year's estimated market value;
- (18) general changes in tax increment financing law and other general tax law changes of a principally technical nature;
- (19) federal assistance until the assistance has been repaid to, and reinvested by, the state or local government agency;
 - (20) funds from dock and wharf bonds issued by a seaway port authority;
- (21) business loans and loan guarantees of \$75,000 or less; and
- (22) federal loan funds provided through the United States Department of Commerce, Economic Development Administration.
- Subd. 4. **Grantor.** "Grantor" means any state or local government agency with the authority to grant a business subsidy.
- Subd. 5. **Local government agency.** "Local government agency" includes a statutory or home rule charter city, housing and redevelopment authority, town, county, port authority, economic development authority, community development agency, nonprofit entity created by a local government with authority to provide business subsidies.
- Subd. 6. **Recipient.** "Recipient" means any for-profit or nonprofit business entity that receives a business subsidy. Only nonprofit entities with at least 100 full-time equivalent positions and with a ratio of highest to lowest paid employee, that exceeds ten to one, determined on the basis of full-time equivalent positions, are included in this definition.
- Subd. 7. **State government agency.** "State government agency" means any state agency that has the authority to award business subsidies.

HIST: 1999 c 243 art 12 s 1; 2000 c 482 s 1

Copyright 2000 by the Office of Revisor of Statutes, State of Minnesota.

116J.994 Regulating Local And State Business Subsidies.

Subdivision 1. **Public purpose.** A business subsidy must meet a public purpose which may include, but may not be limited to, increasing the tax base. Job retention may only be used as a public purpose in cases where job loss is specific and demonstrable.

- Subd. 2. **Developing a set of criteria.** A business subsidy may not be granted until the grantor has adopted criteria after a public hearing for awarding business subsidies that comply with this section. The criteria may not be adopted on a case-by-case basis. The criteria must set specific minimum requirements that recipients must meet in order to be eligible to receive business subsidies. The criteria must include a specific wage floor for the wages to be paid for the jobs created. The wage floor may be stated as a specific dollar amount or may be stated as a formula that will generate a specific dollar amount. A grantor may deviate from its criteria by documenting in writing the reason for the deviation and attaching a copy of the document to its next annual report to the department. The commissioner of trade and economic development may assist local government agencies in developing criteria. A copy of the criteria must be submitted to the department of trade and economic development along with the first annual report following the enactment of this section or with the first annual report after it has adopted criteria, whichever is earlier.
- Subd. 3. **Subsidy agreement.** (a) A recipient must enter into a subsidy agreement with the grantor of the subsidy that includes:
- (1) a description of the subsidy, including the amount and type of subsidy, and type of district if the subsidy is tax increment financing;
 - (2) a statement of the public purposes for the subsidy;
 - (3) measurable, specific, and tangible goals for the subsidy;
 - (4) a description of the financial obligation of the recipient if the goals are not met;
 - (5) a statement of why the subsidy is needed;
- (6) a commitment to continue operations in the jurisdiction where the subsidy is used for at least five years after the benefit date;
 - (7) the name and address of the parent corporation of the recipient, if any; and
 - (8) a list of all financial assistance by all grantors for the project.
- (b) Business subsidies in the form of grants must be structured as forgivable loans. For other types of business subsidies, the agreement must state the fair market value of the subsidy to the recipient, including the value of conveying property at less than a fair market price, or other in-kind benefits to the recipient.
- (c) If a business subsidy benefits more than one recipient, the grantor must assign a proportion of the business subsidy to each recipient that signs a subsidy agreement. The proportion assessed to each recipient must reflect a reasonable estimate of the recipient's share of the total benefits of the project.
- (d) The state or local government agency and the recipient must both sign the subsidy agreement and, if the grantor is a local government agency, the agreement must be approved by the local elected governing body, except for the St. Paul Port Authority and a seaway port authority.
 - (e) Notwithstanding the provision in paragraph (a), clause
- (6), a recipient may be authorized to move from the jurisdiction where the subsidy is used within the five-year period after the benefit date if, after a public hearing, the grantor approves the recipient's request to move. For the purpose of this paragraph, if the grantor is a state

government agency other than the iron range resources and rehabilitation board, "jurisdiction" means a city or township.

Subd. 4. **Wage and job goals.** The subsidy agreement, in addition to any other goals, must include: (1) goals for the number of jobs created, which may include separate goals for the number of part-time or full-time jobs, or, in cases where job loss is specific and demonstrable, goals for the number of jobs retained; and (2) wage goals for the jobs created or retained. After a public hearing, if the creation or retention of jobs is determined not to be a goal, the wage and job goals may be set at zero.

In addition to other specific goal time frames, the wage and job goals must contain specific goals to be attained within two years of the benefit date.

- Subd. 5. **Public notice and hearing.** (a) Before granting a business subsidy that exceeds \$500,000 for a state government grantor and \$100,000 for a local government grantor, the grantor must provide public notice and a hearing on the subsidy. A public hearing and notice under this subdivision is not required if a hearing and notice on the subsidy is otherwise required by law.
- (b) Public notice of a proposed business subsidy under this subdivision by a state government grantor, other than the iron range resources and rehabilitation board, must be published in the State Register. Public notice of a proposed business subsidy under this subdivision by a local government grantor or the iron range resources and rehabilitation board must be published in a local newspaper of general circulation. The public notice must identify the location at which information about the business subsidy, including a summary of the terms of the subsidy, is available. Published notice should be sufficiently conspicuous in size and placement to distinguish the notice from the surrounding text. The grantor must make the information available in printed paper copies and, if possible, on the Internet. The government agency must provide at least a ten-day notice for the public hearing.
 - (c) The public notice must include the date, time, and place of the hearing.
- (d) The public hearing by a state government grantor other than the iron range resources and rehabilitation board must be held in St. Paul.
- (e) If more than one nonstate grantor provides a business subsidy to the same recipient, the nonstate grantors may designate one nonstate grantor to hold a single public hearing regarding the business subsidies provided by all nonstate grantors. For the purposes of this paragraph, "nonstate grantor" includes the iron range resources and rehabilitation board.
- Subd. 6. Failure to meet goals. The subsidy agreement must specify the recipient's obligation if the recipient does not fulfill the agreement. At a minimum, the agreement must require a recipient failing to meet subsidy agreement goals to pay back the assistance plus interest to the grantor or, at the grantor's option, to the account created under section 116J.551 provided that repayment may be prorated o reflect partial fulfillment of goals. The interest rate must be set at no less than the implicit price deflator as defined under section 275.70, subdivision 2. The grantor, after a public hearing, may extend for up to one year the period for meeting the wage and job goals under subdivision 4 provided in a subsidy agreement. A grantor may extend the period for meeting other goals under subdivision 3, paragraph (a), clause (3), by documenting in writing the reason for the extension and attaching a copy of the document to its next annual report to the department.

A recipient that fails to meet the terms of a subsidy agreement may not receive a business subsidy from any grantor for a period of five years from the date of failure or until a recipient

satisfies its repayment obligation under this subdivision, whichever occurs first.

Before a grantor signs a business subsidy agreement, the grantor must check with the compilation and summary report required by this section to determine if the recipient is eligible to receive a business subsidy.

Subd. 7. Reports by recipients to grantors.

- (a) A business subsidy grantor must monitor the progress by the recipient in achieving agreement goals.
- (b) A recipient must provide information regarding goals and results for two years after the benefit date or until the goals are met, whichever is later. If the goals are not met, the recipient must continue to provide information on the subsidy until the subsidy is repaid. The information must be filed on forms developed by the commissioner in cooperation with representatives of local government. Copies of the completed forms must be sent to the local government agency that provided the subsidy or to the commissioner if the grantor is a state agency. If the iron range resources and rehabilitation board is the grantor, the copies must be sent to the board. The report must include:
- (1) the type, public purpose, and amount of subsidies and type of district, if the subsidy is tax increment financing;
 - (2) the hourly wage of each job created with separate bands of wages;
- (3) the sum of the hourly wages and cost of health insurance provided by the employer with separate bands of wages;
 - (4) the date the job and wage goals will be reached;
- (5) a statement of goals identified in the subsidy agreement and an update on achievement of those goals;
 - (6) the location of the recipient prior to receiving the business subsidy;
- (7) why the recipient did not complete the project outlined in the subsidy agreement at their previous location, if the recipient was previously located at another site in Minnesota;
 - (8) the name and address of the parent corporation of the recipient, if any;
 - (9) a list of all financial assistance by all grantors for the project; and
 - (10) other information the commissioner may request.

A report must be filed no later than March 1 of each year for the previous year. The local agency and the iron range resources and rehabilitation board must forward copies of the reports received by recipients to the commissioner by April 1.

- (c) Financial assistance that is excluded from the definition of "business subsidy" by section 116J.993, subdivision 3, clauses (4), (5), (8), and (16), is subject to the reporting requirements of this subdivision, except that the report of the recipient must include instead:
- (1) the type, public purpose, and amount of the financial assistance, and type of district if the assistance is tax increment financing;
- (2) progress towards meeting goals stated in the assistance agreement and the public purpose of the assistance;
- (3) if the agreement includes job creation, the hourly wage of each job created with separate bands of wages;
- (4) if the agreement includes job creation, the sum of the hourly wages and cost of health insurance provided by the employer with separate bands of wages;
 - (5) the location of the recipient prior to receiving the assistance; and
 - (6) other information the grantor requests.

- (d) If the recipient does not submit its report, the local government agency must mail the recipient a warning within one week of the required filing date. If, after 14 days of the postmarked date of the warning, the recipient fails to provide a report, the recipient must pay to the grantor a penalty of \$100 for each subsequent day until the report is filed. The maximum penalty shall not exceed \$1,000.
- Subd. 8. **Reports by grantors.** (a) Local government agencies of a local government with a population of more than 2,500 and state government agencies, regardless of whether or not they have awarded any business subsidies, must file a report by April 1 of each year with the commissioner. Local government agencies of a local government with a population of 2,500 or less are exempt from filing this report if they have not awarded a business subsidy in the past five years. The report must include a list of recipients that did not complete the recipient report required under subdivision 7 and a list of recipients that have not met their job and wage goals within two years and the steps being taken to bring them into compliance or to recoup the subsidy.

If the commissioner has not received the report by April 1 from an entity required to report, the commissioner shall issue a warning to the government agency. If the commissioner has still not received the report by June 1 of that same year from an entity required to report, then that government agency may not award any business subsidies until the report has been filed.

- (b) The commissioner of trade and economic development must provide information on reporting requirements to state and local government agencies.
- Subd. 9. **Compilation and summary report.** The department of trade and economic development must publish a compilation and summary of the results of the reports for the previous calendar year by August 1 of each year. The reports of the government agencies to the department and the compilation and summary report of the department must be made available to the public.

The commissioner must coordinate the production of reports so that useful comparisons across time periods and across grantors can be made. The commissioner may add other information to the report as the commissioner deems necessary to evaluate business subsidies. Among the information in the summary and compilation report, the commissioner must include:

- (1) total amount of subsidies awarded in each development region of the state;
- (2) distribution of business subsidy amounts by size of the business subsidy;
- (3) distribution of business subsidy amounts by time category;
- (4) distribution of subsidies by type and by public purpose;
- (5) percent of all business subsidies that reached their goals;
- (6) percent of business subsidies that did not reach their goals by two years from the benefit date;
- (7) total dollar amount of business subsidies that did not meet their goals after two years from the benefit date;
 - (8) percent of subsidies that did not meet their goals and that did not receive repayment;
- (9) list of recipients that have failed to meet the terms of a subsidy agreement in the past five years and have not satisfied their repayment obligations;
 - (10) number of part-time and full-time jobs within separate bands of wages; and
 - (11) benefits paid within separate bands of wages.
- Subd. 10. **Compilation.** The department of trade and economic development must publish a compilation of granting agencies' criteria policies adopted in the previous calendar

year by August 1 of each year.

HIST: 1999 c 243 art 12 s 2; 2000 c 482 s 2-11

Copyright 2000 by the Office of Revisor of Statutes, State of Minnesota.

116J.995 Economic Grants.

An appropriation rider in an appropriation to the department of trade and economic development that specifies that the appropriation be granted to a particular business or class of businesses must contain a statement of the expected benefits associated with the grant. At a minimum, the statement must include goals for the number of jobs created, wages paid, and the tax revenue increases due to the grant. The wage and job goals must contain specific goals to be attained within two years of the benefit date. The statement must specify the recipient's obligation if the recipient does not attain the goals. At a minimum, the statement must require a recipient failing to meet the job and wage goals to pay back the assistance plus interest to the department of trade and economic development provided that repayment may be prorated to reflect partial fulfillment of goals. The interest rate must be set at no less than the implicit price deflator as defined under section 275.70, subdivision 2. The legislature, after a public hearing, may extend for up to one year the period for meeting the goals provided in the statement.

HIST: 1999 c 243 art 12 s 3; 2000 c 482 s 12

Copyright 2000 by the Office of Revisor of Statutes, State of Minnesota.

APPENDIX C:

2000 Minnesota Business Assistance Form



2000 Minnesota Business Assistance Form

- The 2000 Minnesota Business Assistance Form (MBAF) is used to report each business subsidy and financial assistance agreements signed from <u>August 1, 1999 through December 31, 1999</u> per Minn. Stat. §116J.993 to §116J.995. Please use a separate form to report each agreement.
- The following government agencies must submit a 2000 MBAF even if an agreement was not signed during the period <u>August 1, 1999 through December 31, 1999</u>: 1) any local government/agency that signed a business subsidy agreement since January 1, 1995, or represents a population of more than 2,500; 2) all state government agencies. If the local/state government agency does not have any subsidies or assistance to report, please answer questions 1 through 13 and follow directions.
- If a local or state government agency that is required to report has not done so by April 1, DTED will mail a warning. If it fails to report by June 1, it may not award any business subsidies until a report has been filed.
- Questions? Call (651) 297-2335. Information on where to mail or fax your completed MBAF(s) in on page 4.

Section 1 Information A	bout Grantor				
Name of grantor (fundir	. Name of grantor (funding entity)		2. Name of person completing this form		
3. Street address		4. City	5. ZIP code		
6. County	7. Phone number	8. Fax number 9. E-mail address			
10. Please indicate who in	your organization should receive the	2001 MBAF if different fro	om the person in Qua	estion 2.	
Name/Title	Phone number	Street address	City	ZIP code	
created by gov't agenc	or (Mark one. If grantor is entity cy, please indicate affiliation. For yould check "City government.")	12. Has your organization held a public hearing on and adopted criteria for awarding business subsidies in compliance with Minn. Stat. §116J.994? (Mark one.)			
City government County government Regional government State government Cother (Please specify.)		 ☐ Yes (Indicate hearing date and attach criteria) ☐ No ☐ We held a public hearing but have not yet adopted criteria (Indicate date of initial hearing) ☐ Other (Please attach explanation.) 			
through December 31,	signed any agreements to award a bu 1999 that is required to be reported to Complete the remainder of the form.)		3 and §116J.994? (N	1ark one.)	
ection 2 Information A		140 (Stop nere, go to	section 5 on page 4.	/	
14. Name of business or or receiving subsidy or fin		15. Address where busing will be used	ness subsidy or finan	icial assistance	
		Street address	City	ZIP code	
16. Does the recipient have	a parent corporation? (Mark one.)				
☐ Yes (Indicate name and a☐ No	address of parent corporation below.	If more than one, indicate	ultimate owner.)		
Name of parent corporation		Street address C	ity Sta	te ZIP code	

17. Industry of recipient's facility (Mark one.):						
8	☐ Services ☐ Wholesale Trade	☐ Finance, Insurance, Real Estate ☐ Construction ☐ Other (please specify)				
18. Did the recipient relocate as a result of sig	ming this agreement?	? (Mark one.)				
☐ Yes (Indicate city and state of previous add ☐ No (Go to Question 19.)	ress and reason recip	pient did not complete this project at that address.)				
City/State of previous address Reason proje	ect not completed at pr	previous address				
19. Would the recipient have remained in pre- financial assistance? (Mark one.)	vious location or relo	ocated elsewhere if not awarded this business subsidy or				
☐ Remained at previous location ☐	Relocated to differen	nt Minnesota location 🔲 Relocated outside Minnesota				
Section 3 General Information About th	e Agreement					
20. Total dollar value of business subsidy or assistance (Please separate by type - see Q and 25 - and indicate only principal amo	Questions 24	21. Date agreement signed (In addition to the agreement date, indicate any dates the agreement was amended.)				
		he business subsidy or financial assistance. For example, aced into service, or the recipient occupied the property,				
be reported? (Mark one.)		our types of financial assistance (see Question 25) required to				
24. If the agreement provided a business subsitindicate the type(s).	idy, please	25. If the assistance was one of the four types of financial assistance, please indicate the type(s).				
not applicable, agreement provided financia	al assistance	not applicable, agreement provided a business subsidy				
□ loan □ grant (i.e., forgivable loan) □ tax abatement □ TIF or other tax reduction or deferral □ guarantee of payment □ contribution of property or infrastructure □ preferential use of governmental facilities		 □ assistance for property polluted by contaminants □ assistance for renovating building stock or bringing it up to code, when 50% or less of total cost □ assistance for pollution control or abatement □ assistance for a TIF soils condition district 				
☐ land contribution☐ other (Specify subsidy type.)						
26. If the assistance included tax increment fi indicate the type of TIF district? (Mark one		27. Are any other grantors providing a business subsidy or financial assistance to the same project? (Mark one.)				
☐ not applicable, assistance was not in the for	m of TIF	☐ Yes (Specify each grantor and the value of their assistance below; attach an additional sheet if necessary.)				
□ redevelopment □ renewal and renovation □ soils condition □ economic development □ mined underground space		☐ No Grantor(s) and value of the agreement(s):				
☐ hazardous substance subdistrict		Grantor Value (\$)				
		Grantor Value (\$)				

28. Minn. Stat. §116J.994 roof the following public pur				s state a public pur	oose. Which	
Enhancing economic dive			☐ Increasing tax base (ca			
☐ Creating high-quality job☐ Job retention	growth		☐ Other (please specify) ☐ Other (please specify)			
☐ Stabilizing the community	y		Other (please specify)			
29. Indicate whether the agr at the time of this report			of goals, and whether the re			
			Goals Tars	get attainment	All goals	
A) Specific wage and job go	als to be attaine	d within 2 years		(month & year)	attained?	
3) Other job-creation and/or		·	O O	<u> </u>	☐ Yes ☐ No	
C) Other wage goals					☐ Yes ☐ No	
O) Other goals other than wa	age and job goal	S			☐ Yes ☐ No	
Please attach descriptions (of goals and pro	gress toward	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No	
rtease attach descriptions (attainment if not documente						
	age hourly value	of any employer-pro	reation and/or retention goal wided health insurance goal to separate goals by full- and FTE (only if goals not	ls for those jobs. (
Hourly Wage (excluding benefits)	Job Creation	Seasonal/Temp. Job Creation	stated as FT/PT) Job Creation	Job Retention	Hourly Value of Health Insurance	
no hourly wage-level goal					s	
less than \$7.00				<u>·</u>	s	
\$7.00 to \$8.99					s	
\$9.00 to \$10.99					s	
\$11.00 to \$12.99					s	
\$13.00 to \$14.99			<u>-</u>		s	
\$15.00 and higher					s	
31. For each of the followi	urly value of any	employer-provided	ber of actual jobs created a health insurance for those j ion into full- and part-time	obs. (Only indicat		
date and the actual hor	you are unable Full-time	Part-time/	FTE (only if unable to			
date and the actual hor	•		FTE (<u>only</u> if unable to separate FT/PT) Job Creation	Job Retention	Hourly Value of Health Insurance	
date and the actual hor full-time equivalents if Hourly Wage	Full-time Job	Part-time/ Seasonal/Temp.	separate FT/PT)	Job Retention	•	
date and the actual hor full-time equivalents if Hourly Wage (excluding benefits)	Full-time Job	Part-time/ Seasonal/Temp.	separate FT/PT)	Job Retention	Health Insurance	
date and the actual hot full-time equivalents if Hourly Wage (excluding benefits) less than \$7.00	Full-time Job	Part-time/ Seasonal/Temp.	separate FT/PT)	Job Retention	Health Insuranc	
date and the actual hot full-time equivalents if Hourly Wage (excluding benefits) less than \$7.00 \$7.00 to \$8.99	Full-time Job	Part-time/ Seasonal/Temp.	separate FT/PT)	Job Retention	Health Insurance s s	
date and the actual hot full-time equivalents if Hourly Wage (excluding benefits) less than \$7.00 \$7.00 to \$8.99 \$9.00 to \$10.99	Full-time Job	Part-time/ Seasonal/Temp.	separate FT/PT)	Job Retention	Health Insurance s s s	

□ No

☐ Yes

Section 5 Recipients Failing to Fulfill Obligations

(Do not complete this section if you completed it on another 2000 MBAF submitted to DTED.)

	gust 1 through December 31, 19 116J.993 and §116J.994? (Ma	999, did your organization have any ork one.)	y recipients who failed to report as
	of each recipient failing to repo litional pages if necessary.)	ort and the value of subsidy or fina	ncial assistance awarded to that
□ No			
Name of recipient	Type of subsidy or assistar	nce (See Questions 24 and 25.)	Value of subsidy or assistance
agreement signed on o	or after August 1, 1999, that we	d to achieve any goals or fulfill any ere required to be fulfilled by the tir	me of this report? (Mark one.)
☐ Yes (C	omplete the remainder of this s	rection.) \square No (Stop here and s	submit form to DTED .)
		pient failing to fulfill goals or any o ch additional pages if necessary.)	other terms of an agreement that
35. Information on recipion	ent and agreement:		
Name of recipient in defau	ult	Type of subsidy or assistance	Initial value of subsidy or assistance
Street address of recipient		City/ZIP code of recipient	Outstanding value of subsidy or assistance
36. Reason(s) for default	(Mark all that apply.):		
recipient ceased operati		☐ recipient relocated to a difference of the control of the contr	•
37. To date, has the recip	ient fulfilled its repayment obli	gation? (Mark one.)	
☐ Yes ☐ No, recipient	has begun to repay the assistan	ce. No, recipient has not beg	un to repay the assistance.
38. Has the agreement be	en amended to extend the recip	ient's deadline for fulfilling its obli	igations? (Mark one.)
		☐ Yes ☐ No	
39. Describe the steps bei	ng taken to bring recipient into	compliance or recoup the subsidy:	:

Return your completed MBAF(s) by April 1, 2000, to:

2000 Minnesota Business Assistance Form Minnesota Department of Trade and Economic Development - AEO 500 Metro Square, 121 East 7th Place St. Paul, MN 55101-2146

Or fax to: (651) 215-3841

APPENDIX D:

Fact Sheet (1999 Law)



FACT SHEET: 1999 Business Subsidies Law

Laws of Minnesota 1999, Chapter 243, Article 12; to be codified as Minn. Stat. §116J.993 to §116J.995

What is the 1999 Business Subsidies Law?

- ✓ Minnesota Statutes (Minn. Stat.) §116J.993 through §116J.995 regulate business subsidy agreements signed on or after August 1, 1999, and replace Minn. Stat. §116J.991.
- Agencies are no longer subject to reporting requirements for agreements signed under Minn. Stat. §116J.991, but businesses must still comply with agreements signed before August 1, 1999.

Who does the law apply to, and for what types of subsidies?

- State and local government agencies with the authority to provide business subsidies with state or local government funds, and entities created or authorized by a local government with this authority, are subject to the law. The law gives a complete description of applicable agencies (i.e. "grantors").
- ✓ The law covers business subsidies to for-profit businesses, and to nonprofits with at least 100 full-time equivalent positions and a ratio of highest to lowest paid employee, determined on the basis of full-time equivalent positions, exceeding 10 to 1.
- ✓ Types of assistance meeting the definition of a "business subsidy" include:
 - state or local government agency grants;
 - contributions of personal property, real property, or infrastructure;
 - the principal amount of a loan at rates below those commercially available;
 - reductions or deferrals of taxes or fees, including tax increment financing (TIF);
 - guarantees of any payment under any loan, lease, or other obligation;
 - and preferential use of government facilities.
- ✓ The law explicitly excludes 18 types of assistance from the definition of business subsidies, including all awards of less than \$25,000.
- Four of the types of financial assistance excluded from the definition of business subsidies are subject to different reporting requirements under Minn. Stat. §116J.994, subdivision 7. These types of assistance include:
 - property polluted by contaminants as defined in Minn. Stat. §116J.552, subdivision 3 (i.e. brownfields);
 - assistance provided for the sole purpose of renovating building stock or bringing it up to code, if the assistance is 50 percent or less of the total cost;
 - assistance for pollution control or abatement;
 - and assistance for a TIF soils condition district as defined in Minn. Stat. §469.174, subdivision 19.

What is required in order to award a business subsidy?

- ✓ A business subsidy agreement may not be signed on or after August 1, 1999, until the grantor has held a public hearing on, and adopted criteria for, awarding business subsidies. The criteria must include a wage policy for jobs created by a recipient.
- ✓ The law outlines 8 elements that must be included in business subsidy agreements:
 - a description of the subsidy, including the amount and type of subsidy, and type of district if the subsidy is TIF;
 - a statement of the public purposes for the subsidy;
 - goals for the subsidy;
 - a description of the financial obligation of the recipient if goals are not met;
 - a statement of why the subsidy is needed;
 - a commitment to continue operations at the site where the subsidy is used for five years;
 - the name and address of the parent corporation of the recipient, if any;
 - and a list of all financial assistance by all grantors for the project.
- All business subsidy agreements must include job and wage goals with specific goals to be attained within two years of the benefit date. The law does not specify minimum criteria for these goals.

Fact Sheet: 1999 Business Subsidies Law

- ✓ Business subsidies must meet a public purpose other than increasing the tax base. The law specifies that job retention may be used as a public purpose only where job loss is imminent and demonstrable, but does not otherwise restrict allowable public purposes (see examples on page 4).
- ✓ Grantors must determine that the recipient is eligible to receive assistance by reviewing DTED's list of past recipients ineligible to receive a business subsidy because they failed to meet the terms of another subsidy agreement. (This will not take effect until DTED makes the first list available after receiving the 1999 reports in 2000.)
- ✓ Before granting a business subsidy that exceeds \$500,000 for a state government grantor and \$100,000 for a local government grantor, the grantor must provide public notice and hold a hearing on the subsidy unless a hearing and notice on the subsidy is otherwise required by law.
- If a business subsidy benefits more than one recipient, the grantor must assign a proportion of the subsidy to each recipient signing the agreement. If the grantor is a local government agency, the agreement must be approved by the local elected governing body, except for the St. Paul Port Authority and a seaway port authority. Also, subsidies in the form of grants must be structured as forgivable loans, and agreements for other types of business subsidies must state the fair market value of the subsidy or other in-kind benefits.
- In addition to any criteria developed in compliance with this law, agencies may be subject to additional criteria required by specific assistance programs such as the Community Development Block Grant (HUD) and Minnesota Investment Fund programs. Agencies may or may not choose to address specific program criteria in the criteria developed in compliance with this law.

What happens if a recipient does not meet business subsidy goals?

- ✓ Business subsidy agreements must specify the recipient's obligation if the recipient does not fulfill the agreement. At a minimum, a recipient failing to meet goals must pay back the assistance plus interest, although repayment may be prorated to reflect partial fulfillment of goals. The interest rate must be set at the Implicit Price Deflator rate as defined in Minn. Stat. §275.70, subdivision 2. DTED will provide information on the Implicit Price Deflator on its website.
- Recipients failing to fulfill business subsidy agreements may not receive business subsidies from any grantor for five years or until they have satisfied their repayment obligation, whichever occurs first.

Who is required to report business subsidies, and how?

- ✓ Recipients must provide grantors with information on their progress toward goals outlined in the agreement, and will be subject to a penalty as defined in Minn. Stat. §116J.994, subdivision 7(d) for failing to report.
- ✓ Grantors must submit the annual Minnesota Business Assistance Form (MBAF) to DTED for each business subsidy agreement signed on or after August 1, 1999. DTED will ask grantors to file an MBAF each year for each agreement for two years after the benefit date or until all goals outlined in the agreement have been met, whichever is later.
- Local government agencies in communities with a population of more than 2,500 and state government agencies must submit an MBAF regardless of whether they have awarded business subsidies. The form will ask agencies whether they have awarded any subsidies. Local government agencies in communities with a population of 2,500 or less are exempt from filing the MBAF if they have not awarded a subsidy in the past five years (i.e. those with a population of 2,500 or less who have not signed an agreement after December 31, 1994, will be exempt from reporting in 2000).
- ✓ DTED will develop a new MBAF in fall 1999. This form will ask grantors to report, at a minimum, the information that Minn. Stat. §116J.994, subdivision 7 requires recipients to provide to them, including:
 - the type, public purpose, and amount of the subsidy, and type of district if the subsidy is TIF;
 - the hourly wage of each job created with separate bands of wages;
 - the sum of the hourly wages and cost of health insurance provided by the recipient, broken down by wage level;
 - the date(s) by which job and wage goals will be met;
 - a statement of goals identified in the agreement and an update on progress toward them;
 - the location of the recipient prior to receiving the business subsidy;
 - information on why the recipient did not complete the project outlined in the subsidy agreement at its previous location, if previously located at another site in Minnesota;
 - the name and address of the parent corporation of the recipient, if any;
 - and a list of all financial assistance by all grantors for the project.

- ✓ With their reports, DTED will ask grantors to include a list of recipients that did not report, as well as a list of those failing to meet any goals outlined in the agreement and a description of the steps being taken to bring them into compliance or recoup the subsidy.
- DTED will post an MBAF on DTED's website this fall and mail the form in February. If DTED has not received an MBAF by April 1 from an entity required to report, DTED must issue a warning. If DTED has still not received the MBAF by June 1, the agency in default may not award any business subsidies until the report has been filed.
- ✓ State funds passed through local agencies to businesses (e.g. Minnesota Investment Fund awards) are reported by the state grantor. However, local agencies must report on applicable local funds awarded in conjunction with state funds and on state funds which have been repaid to and reinvested by the local agency (e.g. revolving funds).

How is non-business subsidy financial assistance reported?

- Recipients of the four types of financial assistance with different reporting requirements must provide grantors with the information outlined in Minn. Stat. §116J.994, subdivision 7(c), and will be subject to a penalty as defined in Minn. Stat. §116J.994, subdivision 7(d) for failing to report.
- ✓ DTED will ask grantors to report, at a minimum, the information that Minn. Stat. §116J.994, subdivision 7(c) requires recipients to provide to them on these four types of financial assistance.
- ✓ DTED will determine this fall whether to develop a separate form or ask grantors to use the MBAF for reporting on these agreements. The form(s) will be posted on DTED's website this fall and mailed to agencies in February. As with their business subsidy reports, grantors will have until April 1 to file these reports with DTED.

How will information reported by agencies be used?

DTED is required to publish a report summarizing information reported through the MBAF each year by July 1. DTED's report must include a list of recipients that have failed to meet the terms of a subsidy agreement in the past five years and have not satisfied their repayment obligations. Copies of the report will be submitted to the Legislature and posted on DTED's website.

Where can I find the law?

✓ The law can be found on DTED's website at <u>www.dted.state.mn.us</u> on the News and Information/Business and Economic Development page and may be printed from your web browser.

Clarifications to the law

- ✓ The following clarifications are in response to commonly asked questions about the law:
 - Regarding Minn. Stat. §116J.994, subdivision 7(b), the statute's author agrees that recipients should continue reporting to the granting agency, not to DTED. The granting agency will be responsible for reporting to DTED.
 - DTED will be collecting information only on public funds originating in Minnesota; therefore,
 DTED will not ask agencies to report on federal funds they administer unless the funds have been repaid to the agency and reinvested according to local policies.

This fact sheet is intended to help agencies understand the 1999 business subsidies law, and does not serve as a substitute for statute language. Agencies are responsible for complying with the law and should view the law for questions and specific details and requirements that are not outlined in this fact sheet. Questions about the law can be directed to DTED:

Minnesota Department of Trade and Economic Development
Analysis and Evaluation Office
500 Metro Square
121 7th Place East
St. Paul, MN 55101-2146

Phone: (651) 296-3646 | Fax: (651) 215-3841 | E-mail: caryn.mohr@state.mn.us

www.dted.state.mn.us

Developing Criteria and Stating Public Purposes for Business Subsidies

Under Minn. Stat. §116J.993 through §116J.995, granting agencies must develop criteria for awarding business subsidies after a public hearing. In addition, each business subsidy agreement must indicate a public purpose. The law allows grantors flexibility in stating public purposes appropriate for their communities, but requires that agreements meet a public purpose other than increasing the tax base and that job retention be used as a public purpose only when job loss is imminent and demonstrable. Although the law does not require public purposes to be addressed in the criteria, grantors may want to refer to the public purposes below for criteria ideas. The following public purposes and criteria were recommended by the legislatively established Corporate Subsidy Reform Commission.

Enhancing Economic Diversity

In what ways does the project improve the mix of businesses in the area so as to: (1) allow the area to participate in fast-growing industries; (2) protect the area from adverse economic consequences caused by slow growth or declining industries that are dominant in the area; and (3) provide essential consumer services, or develop a network of local suppliers to businesses within the community where they otherwise do not exist?

Creating High-Quality Job Growth

- ✓ How many new jobs will be created, and what will they pay?
- ✓ How do wages proposed to be paid compare to community wage levels?
- ✓ How many jobs will be created with opportunities for career advancement, educational opportunities, or occupational training?
- ✓ What are the projections for job growth at the project over the nest period of two to five years?
- ✓ What are the fringe benefits that are payable for the jobs (particularly, is there child care, health care, and pension coverage)?

Providing for Job Retention, Where Loss is Imminent and Demonstrable

Note: Under the 1999 law, job retention can be used as a public purpose only in cases where job loss is imminent and demonstrable.

- ✓ After collecting the necessary documents, is there substantial evidence that the company will have to shut down involuntarily?
- ✓ After collecting the necessary documents, is there substantial evidence that the company has received an offer to move to another state or community that is attractive enough that a reasonable person would seriously consider a move for business reasons?
- ✓ What potential negative effect would the subsidy have on other competing businesses and overall area job quality?

Stabilizing the Community

- ✓ How will the project constitute a significant investment in an area that (1) has not historically received similar investments; (2) is a blighted area; or (3) is an economically depressed area?
- ✓ How will the project stimulate other investment or create spinoff businesses and jobs in the area?

Increasing the Tax Base

Note: The law requires business subsidies to meet a public purpose other than increasing the tax base, but grantors may use increasing the tax base in conjunction with another public purpose.

- ✓ How will the project uniquely affect the property tax base for all taxing jurisdictions, both short term and long term and both directly and indirectly?
- ✓ How will the project affect other local business and individual property tax bills?

SOURCE: Corporate Subsidy Reform Commission, 1997 Corporate Subsidy Reform Commission Report, February 6, 1998.

APPENDIX E:

Frequently Asked Questions (1999 Law)



FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS About the 1999 Business Subsidies Law

Minn. Stat. §116J.993 to §116J.995

Following is a list of questions that the Department of Trade and Economic Development (DTED) has received about Minn. Stat. §116J.993 to §116J.995, and DTED's responses to those questions. The responses reflect DTED's understanding of the law's intent as well as conversations the department has had with the Attorney General's Office. Agencies that have proceeded with business assistance projects operating under an understanding that differs from an opinion provided below should use the following clarification as they proceed with future business assistance agreements.

DEFINITION OF BUSINESS SUBSIDY

- Q: If a grantor awards a subsidy of \$15,000 for a project, and a year later awards an additional \$15,000 for the same project under a new agreement, is that subsidy subject to the law or does it meet the exemption for "a business subsidy of less than \$25,000"?
- A: If the grantor awards both subsidies for the same project, the grantor should report the subsidy based on its total amount (i.e. \$30,000).
- Q: If more than one grantor contributes to a project that totals \$25,000 or more, but each individual grantor's contribution is less than \$25,000, is the project subject to the law?
- A: The definition of "business subsidy" applies to contributions from an *individual* grantor for an *individual* project. Therefore, if an individual grantor contributes less than \$25,000 to a project, that grantor is not subject to the law's requirements for that project.
- Q: Are subsidies originating from the federal government subject to the law?
- A: Funds that originate from the federal government and are invested by a state or local agency are subject to the law if they have been repaid to and subsequently reinvested by the state or local agency. In these cases, DTED views the state or local agency as having local control over how those funds are invested or who receives them. Federal funds are not subject to the law the initial time they pass through a local agency.
- Q: Does the exemption for "funds from bonds allocated under chapter 474A" exempt any bonds issued under M.S. 447.45 or M.S. 469.153 for 501(c)(3) organizations that would otherwise be considered a "business subsidy"?
- A: No, the statute does not exempt any bonds other than those allocated under chapter 474A.

 However, it is important to note that the statute does provide other conditions which may exempt a given bonding project.
- Q: The law defines business subsidies as including "the principal amount of a loan at rates below those commercially available to the recipient." Will DTED establish a rate that grantors can use to make this determination?
- A: No, because the rate commercially available to the recipient will differ for different projects and recipients, DTED does not intend to stipulate a particular rate below which loans are not commercially available. Individual grantors are responsible for making this determination.

B: Is the extension of a loan a new subsidy?

A: If a grantor modifies a pre-existing loan agreement without contributing any new funds to the project, DTED views the change as an amendment to an already existing agreement rather than as a new agreement. Grantors should note any amendments to an agreement when they submit a Minnesota Business Assistance Form (MBAF) to DTED for that agreement. However, if a grantor modifies an already existing agreement by contributing new funds to the project, the grantor should treat the modified agreement as a new agreement that may be subject to the law.

If a grantor modifies an agreement by contributing new funds to the project, and that agreement was not previously subject to the business subsidies law, the grantor should determine whether the assistance is subject to the law based on the total amount of assistance. For example, if a grantor awards a loan of \$15,000 that is exempt from the business subsidies law, and a year later awards an additional \$100,000 to the same recipient for the same project, the grantor should submit an MBAF for the total \$115,000 in assistance and fulfill the law's requirements for business subsidies in relation to that assistance. If a grantor modifies an agreement by contributing new funds to the project, and that agreement was previously subject to the business subsidies law, the grantor should submit one MBAF for the total amount of assistance and note amendments made to the original agreement.

- Q: Is enterprise zone assistance exempt from the law based on the exemption for "assistance that is generally available to all businesses or to a general class of similar businesses..."?
- A: No, because local government agencies have discretion over which qualifying businesses receive enterprise zone assistance, that assistance does not meet this exemption and therefore must be reported by the local government agency.
- Q: Should wages paid to government employees or contract workers contributing services to a business subsidy project be added to the value of the subsidy?
- A: No, the value of workers' services should not be included in the subsidy value, but the subsidy value should include all monetary contributions, including payment guarantees and tax and fee reductions and deferrals; the cost of preferential use of government facilities; and the cost of donations of property, equipment, and physical infrastructure.

DEFINITION OF GRANTOR

- Q: In the definition of "local government agency," what determines whether a nonprofit, community development corporation, or other entity was "created by or authorized by a local government"?
- A: DTED considers a nonprofit or other entity to have been "created by or authorized by a local government" if there is a written, legal agreement with a local government agency explicitly authorizing the entity to provide business assistance with funding received from the local government agency.

DEFINITION OF RECIPIENT

- Q: What calculation should be performed to determine whether a nonprofit has a ratio of highest-to lowest-paid employee that exceeds 10 to 1 determined on the basis of full-time equivalent positions?
- A: Compare the hourly wages (excluding benefits) of the lowest- and highest-paid employees. For example, if the highest-paid employee receives an annual salary and the lowest-paid employee is paid on an hourly basis, divide the annual salary of the former by 2080 (40 hours x 52 weeks = 2080) to determine an hourly wage that can be compared to the hourly wage of the part-time employee.

FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE WITH SEPARATE REQUIREMENTS

- B: Are the four types of financial assistance noted in Minn. Stat. §116J.994, subdivision 7(c) subject only to the separate reporting requirements and exempt from other requirements for business subsidies?
- A: Yes, the four types of financial assistance noted in Minn. Stat. §116J.994, subdivision 7(c) are subject to the reporting requirements and penalties for failing to report outlined in that subdivision, and to the reporting requirements for grantors (Minn. Stat. §116J.994, subdivision 8), but they are not subject to other requirements that pertain only to business subsidies (e.g. the requirement to hold a public hearing before awarding a business subsidy, the requirement to develop wage and job goals for business subsidies, the requirement to enter into a business subsidy agreement that includes the designated information, etc.).
- Q: Are the four types of financial assistance noted in Minn. Stat. §116J.994, subdivision 7(c) as having separate reporting requirements exempt from those separate reporting requirements if they are in amounts of less than \$25,000?
- A: Yes, if financial assistance that would have been subject to reporting under Minn. Stat. §116J.994, subdivision 7(c) meets one of the other exemptions noted in Minn. Stat. §116J.993, subdivision 3, it is exempt from reporting requirements.

ESTABLISHING PUBLIC PURPOSES AND WAGE AND JOB GOALS

- Q: Can agreements state job goals of 0 and wage goals of \$0.00 if they have a public purpose other than job creation?
- A: The law intends for agencies awarding business assistance to develop wage and job goals for economic development projects. However, if a project has a stated public purpose that is unrelated to job creation, the agreement may note that wage and job goals were 0 and should describe goals established for that assistance.
- Q: How should grantors demonstrate that job loss is imminent and demonstrable?
- A: DTED suggests that grantors use the following three criteria (excerpted from the 1997 Corporate Subsidy Reform Commission Report) to determine whether job loss is imminent and demonstrable and to provide documentation of that determination: 1) After collecting the necessary documents, is there substantial evidence that the company will have to shut down involuntarily?; 2) After collecting the necessary documents, is there substantial evidence that the company has received an offer to move to another state or community that is attractive enough that a reasonable person would seriously consider a move for business reasons?; and 3) What potential negative effect would the subsidy have on other competing businesses and overall area job quality?

APPROVAL BY ELECTED OFFICIALS

- Q: Are all economic development authorities and housing redevelopment authorities required to have all agreements approved by the full city council?
- A: Yes, even if representatives from the local elected governing body are appointed to the organization, the organization must have all business subsidy agreements approved by the full elected body.

FAILURE TO FULFILL AGREEMENTS

- B: If a recipient fulfills some but not all wage and job goals by the time they are to be attained and has not yet received the entire subsidy award, can assistance not yet received still go to that recipient if prorated to reflect partial goal attainment (e.g. could a business continue to receive some assistance under pay-as-you-go tax increment financing)?
- A: No, upon defaulting on an agreement, a recipient must fulfill repayment obligations and should not receive any additional assistance awarded under the agreement that has not yet been administered.
- Q: Can repayment for recipients in default be prorated to reflect the length of time a recipient accumulated toward the requirement to continue operations at the site for at least five years after the benefit date?
- A: No, if a recipient defaults on an agreement, repayment can be prorated based on the recipient's progress toward goal attainment, but not based on the recipient's progress toward the five-year requirement. Any recipient who fails to fulfill the five-year requirement is considered to have defaulted on the agreement and must fulfill repayment obligations, though that repayment may be prorated to reflect partial goal fulfillment.
- Q: How should agencies calculate the Implicit Price Deflator rate for agreements in default?
- A: To determine the Implicit Price Deflator rate for an agreement in default, a grantor should calculate the percent change in the Implicit Price Deflator for government consumption expenditures and gross investment for state and local governments from the quarter subsequent to the benefit date to the quarter prior to the date of default. The Implicit Price Deflator is prepared by the Bureau of Economic Analysis of the United States Department of Commerce. Grantors will not be able to calculate a specific Implicit Price Deflator rate until a recipient has defaulted and the figure for the quarter prior to default is available. Beginning in 2000, DTED will post Implicit Price Deflator figures and instructions for calculating the rate on its website.
- Q: If a recipient defaults on a loan given at an interest rate higher than the Implicit Price Deflator rate, can the grantor require that the recipient pay back the loan at that higher interest rate, or must they require that the loan be paid back at the lower Implicit Price Deflator rate?
- A: Grantors must, at a minimum, require that recipients in default of a business subsidy agreement repay the subsidy at an interest rate set to the Implicit Price Deflator rate. Grantors may require a recipient to pay back the business subsidy at a rate higher than the Implicit Price Deflator rate. Repayment obligations must be specified in the subsidy agreement.

This sheet is intended to inform agencies of DTED's responses to frequently asked questions about the 1999 business subsidies law, and does not serve as a substitute for statute language. Questions about the law can be directed to DTED:

Minnesota Department of Trade and Economic Development
Analysis and Evaluation Office
500 Metro Square
121 7th Place East
St. Paul, MN 55101-2146


Phone: (651) 297-3615 Fax: (651) 215-3841 E-mail: bob.isaacson@state.mn.us

www.dted.state.mn.us

APPENDIX F:

County Representation in DTED Economic Development Regions

APPENDIX F County Representation in DTED Economic Development Regions



APPENDIX G:

DTED Letters to Agencies



February 18, 2000

To all Minnesota state and local government agencies:

The 1999 Minnesota Legislature enacted a new law (Laws of Minnesota 1999, Chapter 243, Article 12; to be codified as Minn. Stat. §116J.993 to §116J.995) regulating business subsidies awarded on or after August 1. The 1999 law repeals its predecessor, Minn. Stat. §116J.991, and introduces new operating and reporting requirements. As the agency responsible for administering the state's business assistance reporting system, the Department of Trade and Economic Development (DTED) developed the accompanying fact sheet to help agencies understand how the law affects them.

Several points on the fact sheet should be emphasized. Agencies should note that before signing any business subsidy agreements on or after August 1, 1999, they must adopt criteria that have been developed after a public hearing. The law allows agencies considerable flexibility in determining what these criteria are. For instance, while the law requires that each business subsidy agreement include wage and job goals and meet a stated public purpose, it places responsibility on individual agencies for developing specific criteria for awarding business subsidies.

The law includes a detailed definition of business subsidies that are subject to administrative and reporting requirements. In addition, the law places different reporting requirements on four types of assistance that are not defined as business subsidies. Financial assistance requiring different reporting requirements include certain types of assistance for brownfields, building stock renovation, pollution control or abatement, and tax increment financing soils condition districts.

Agencies awarding business subsidies or qualifying financial assistance are required to report yearly on their agreements to DTED, although agencies no longer need to report on agreements signed prior to August 1, 1999. The law also requires that local government agencies representing a population of 2,500 or more and state government agencies file reports even if they have not awarded any business subsidies. Local government agencies in communities with fewer than 2,500 people are exempt from reporting unless they have awarded a business subsidy in the past five years.

As in the past, DTED will mail agencies the annual Minnesota Business Assistance Form in February, and agencies will have until **April 1** to submit their reports. The form will also be made available on DTED's website this fall. Please note that the legislative author has agreed that recipients should continue reporting to the granting agency, not to DTED; it is the granting agency's responsibility to report to DTED. Statute language consistent with this process will be introduced during the 2000 legislative session.

The accompanying fact sheet summarizes key elements in the law and provides sample public purposes and criteria which agencies may want to consider as they develop their own guidelines. Agencies should read the law for specific details. The fact sheet gives instructions for accessing the law on the Internet.

Kind regards,



February 22, 2000

To all Minnesota state and local government agencies:

The 1999 Minnesota Legislature enacted a new law (Laws of Minnesota 1999, Chapter 243, Article 12; codified as Minn. Stat. §116J.993 to §116J.995) regulating business subsidies entered into or authorized on or after August 1, 1999. This law repeals its predecessor, Minn. Stat. §116J.991, and introduces new operating and reporting requirements.

Agencies signing agreements to award business subsidies or qualifying financial assistance must provide annual reports to DTED for each agreement. Please note that agencies no longer need to report on agreements signed prior to August 1, 1999. The law also requires local government agencies representing a population of more than 2,500 and all state government agencies to file a report even if they have not awarded any business subsidies. Local government agencies representing 2,500 or fewer people are exempt from reporting unless they have awarded a business subsidy in the past five years.

The department has developed a new Minnesota Business Assistance Form to collect information from business subsidy and/or financial assistance agreements. If you are required to report but have not signed a business subsidy or financial assistance agreement during the period August 1, 1999 through December 31, 1999, please answer questions 1 through 13 on the form and follow the directions. Please submit a separate form for each agreement. All forms must be received or postmarked by April 1, 2000. Only forms meeting this deadline will be included in the department's summary analysis provided to the legislature. If forms are not received or postmarked by June 1, the responsible government agency may not award business subsidies until a report has been filed. Please make copies of the enclosed form or download additional forms from DTED's website (www.dted.state.mn.us, click on News and Information, then Business and Economic Development, then Business Subsidies). The web site also includes additional information related to the law including a fact sheet and answers to frequently asked questions.

Please understand that it is the grantor's responsibility to report to DTED. We do not want to interfere with grantor/recipient relationships and expect that recipients will report directly to grantors, not to DTED. Legislative leaders agree with this approach and have introduced bills this session designed to confirm this process.

Kind regards,



April 7, 2000

Name of entity:

The 1999 Minnesota Legislature enacted a new law (Laws of Minnesota 1999, Chapter 243, Article 12; codified as Minn. Stat. §116J.993 to §116J.995) regulating business subsidies entered into or authorized on or after August 1, 1999. This law repeals its predecessor, Minn. Stat. §116J.991, and introduces new operating and reporting requirements.

Agencies signing agreements to award business subsidies or qualifying financial assistance must provide annual reports to DTED for each agreement. The law also requires local government agencies representing a population of more than 2,500 and all state government agencies to file a report even if they have not awarded any business subsidies. Local government agencies representing 2,500 or fewer people are exempt from reporting unless they have awarded a business subsidy in the past five years.

The department has developed a new Minnesota Business Assistance Form to collect information from business subsidy and/or financial assistance agreements. If you are required to report but have not signed a business subsidy or financial assistance agreement during the period August 1, 1999 through December 31, 1999, please answer questions 1 through 13 on the form and follow the directions. Please submit a separate form for each agreement.

Our records indicate that your local government agency either awarded a business subsidy during the last five years or represents more than 2,500. As a result, your agency is required to provide a report. As of April 7, we have not received a report from your agency. If we do not receive a report by June 1, your agency may not award any business subsidies until a report has been filed.

If you have any questions about the law or need copies of the form, please visit DTED's website (www.dted.state.mn.us, click on News and Information, then Business and Economic Development, then Business Subsidies). The web site includes additional information related to the law including a fact sheet and answers to frequently asked questions.

Kind regards,



April 10, 2000

Name of entity:

The 1999 Minnesota Legislature enacted a new law (*Laws of Minnesota 1999*, Chapter 243, Article 12; codified as Minn. Stat. §116J.993 to §116J.995) regulating business subsidies entered into or authorized on or after August 1, 1999. This law repeals its predecessor, Minn. Stat. §116J.991, and introduces new operating and reporting requirements.

The law requires that state agencies signing agreements to award business subsidies or qualifying financial assistance must provide annual reports to DTED for each agreement. The law also mandates that all state government agencies with authority to award business subsidies to file a report even if they have not awarded any business subsidies. As of April 7, we have not received a report from your agency. If we do not receive a report by June 1, your agency may not award any business subsidies until a report has been filed.

The department has developed a new Minnesota Business Assistance Form to collect information from business subsidy and/or financial assistance agreements. If you are required to report but have not signed a business subsidy or financial assistance agreement during the period August 1, 1999 through December 31, 1999, please answer questions 1 through 13 on the form and follow the directions. Please submit a separate form for each agreement.

If you have any questions about the law or need copies of the form, please visit DTED's website (www.dted.state.mn.us, click on News and Information, then Business and Economic Development, then Business Subsidies). The web site includes additional information related to the law including a fact sheet and answers to frequently asked questions.

Kind regards,

APPENDIX H:

Listing of Agencies Required to Submit a 2000 MBAF Either Because of Population Size or Previous Activity

Agency Name	Pop >2500	PriorActivity*	99 Activity **	Rec'd Report
Afton	Yes	No	No	Yes
Aitkin County	Yes	No	No	Yes
Albany, City of	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Albert Lea Port Authority	Yes	No	No	Yes
Albert Lea, City of	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Albertville	Yes	No	No	Yes
Alexandria, City of	Yes	No	No	Yes
Alexandria (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No
Andover	Yes	No	No	Yes
Annandale EDA	No	Yes	No	Yes
Anoka, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Anoka County	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Apple Valley	Yes	No	No	Yes
Arden Hills, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Arrowhead Regional Development Commission	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Austin	Yes	No	No	Yes
Babbitt, City of	Yes	No	No	Yes
Bagley, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Baldwin (Township of)	Yes	No	No	Yes
Barnesville EDA	No	Yes	No	Yes
Baxter	Yes	No	No	Yes
Bayport	Yes	No	No	Yes
Becker, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Becker, City of. EDA	No	Yes	No	No
Becker County, EDA	Yes	No	No	Yes
Becker (Township of)	Yes	No	No	Yes
Belle Plaine, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Belle Plaine EDA	Yes	Yes	No	No
Beltrami County HRA	Yes	No	No	Yes
Bemidji	Yes	No	No	Yes
Bemidji (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No
Benson, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No
Benson, EDA	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Benson, HRA	Yes	No	No	Yes
Benton County	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Big Lake	Yes	No	No	Yes
Big Lake (Township of)	Yes	No	No	Yes
Big Stone County	Yes	No	No	Yes
Blaine	Yes	No	No	Yes
Blaine Area Development Company	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Blaine EDA	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Blooming Prairie, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Bloomington	Yes	No	No	Yes
Blue Earth	Yes	No	No	No
Blue Earth EDA	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Bradford (Township of)	Yes	No	No	Yes
Brainerd, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Brandon EDA	No	Yes	No	Yes
Breckenridge	Yes	No	No	Yes
Breezy Point EDA	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Brockway (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No
Brooklyn Center	Yes	No	No	Yes
Brooklyn Center EDA	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Brooklyn Park, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Brooklyn Park, City of EDA	Yes	No	No	Yes
Brooks, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
		Yes		
Brooten, City of	No No		No	Yes
Browerville, City of		Yes	No	Yes
Brown County Economic Development Partners. Inc.	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Buffalo, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Buffalo Lake, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Burns (Township of)	Yes	No	No	Yes
Burnsville	Yes	No	No	Yes
Burnsville EDA	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Business Development Inc.(Fergus Falls)	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Byron	Yes	No	No	Yes
Caledonia, City of	Yes	No	No	Yes
Caledonia EDA	Yes	Yes	No	No
Calumet, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Cambridge	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Canby, City of	No	Yes	No	No
Cannon Falls, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No
Cannon Falls EDA	Yes	Yes	No	No
Carlton County	Yes	No	No	Yes
Carver County HRA	Yes	No	No	Yes
Cascade (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No
Cass County	Yes	No	No	Yes
Cass County HRA	Yes	Yes	No	No
Centerville, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Central Minnesota Initiative Fund	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Champlin	Yes	No	No	No
I ~	1 63	1 110	1 110	, ,,,,,

	1 0 .3500	1 6 7 4 2 7 4	00 4 41 15 44	<u> </u>
Agency Name Champlin, EDA	Pop >2500 Yes	PriorActivity*	99 Activity ** Yes	Rec'd Report Yes
Chanhassen	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Chaska	Yes	No	No	Yes
Chaska EDA	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Charfield, City of	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Chippewa County HRA	Yes	No	No	Yes
Chisago City, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Chisago County HRA	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Chisago Lake (Township of)	Yes	No	No	Yes
Chisholm	Yes	No	No	Yes
Circle Pines	Yes	No	No	Yes
Claremont, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Clarissa, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Cloquet, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Cokato, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Cold Spring	Yes	No	No	Yes
Collegeville (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No
Columbia Heights, City of Columbia Heights EDA	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	No No	Yes
Columbus (Township of)	Yes	No	No	Yes No
Cook County	Yes	No	No	Yes
Coon Rapids, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Corcoran	Yes	No	No	Yes
Cosmos, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Cottage Grove, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Cottage Grove EDA	Yes	No	No	Yes
Credit River (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No
Crookston, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No
Crosby, City of	No	Yes	No	No
Crow Wing County	Yes	No	No	Yes
Crystal	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Dakota County	Yes	No	No	Yes
Dakota County Community Development Agency	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Dayton	Yes	No	No	No
Deephaven	Yes	No	No	No
Delano	Yes	No	No	No
Detroit Lakes	Yes	No	No	Yes
Detroit Lakes Dev. Authority	Yes	Yes	No	No
Dilworth	Yes	No	No	Yes
Dodge Center. City of	No	Yes	No	No
Douglas County HRA	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Duluth, City of	Yes	Yes	No_	Yes
Duluth EDA	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Duluth Seaway Port Authority	Yes	No	No	Yes
Dundas, City of	No	Yes	No	No
Dunnell, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Eagan, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
East Bethel	Yes Yes	No Yes	No Yes	Yes Yes
East Central Regional Dev. Commission East Grand Forks	Yes	Yes	No	No
East Grand Forks EDHA	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Eden Prairie	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Eden Valley, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Edina	Yes	No	No	No
Edina HRA	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Elbow Lake, City of	No	Yes	No	No
Elk River, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No
Elk River EDA	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Ely	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Ely HRA	Yes	No	No	Yes
Eveleth, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No
Eveleth EDA	Yes	No	No	Yes
Fairmount, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Falcon Heights	Yes	No	No	Yes
Faribault, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No
Faribault County Local Redevelopment Agency	Yes	No	No	Yes
Faribault EDA	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Farmington	Yes	No	No	No
Farmington HRA	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Fergus Falls, City of	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fergus Falls Port Authority	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Foley, City of	No	Yes	No	No
Forest Lake	Yes	No	No	Yes
Forest Lake (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No
Fountain, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Franklin (Township of)	Yes	No	No	Yes
Freeborn County HRA	Yes	No	No	Yes
Fridley	Yes	No	No	Yes
Fridley HRA	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Frogtown Action Alliance	No	Yes	No	No
Garrison, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes

Agency Name	Pop >2500	PriorActivity*	99 Activity **	Rec'd Report
Gaylord, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Glencoe	Yes	No	No	No
Glenwood Golden Valley	Yes Yes	No No	No No	Yes No
Goodhue County	Yes	No	No	Yes
Goodview	Yes	No	No	Yes
Grand Lake (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No
Grand Rapids	Yes	No	No	No
Grand Rapids EDA	Yes	No	No	Yes
Grand Rapids Township	Yes	No	No	No
Granite Falls Granite Falls EDA	Yes	Yes No	No No	Yes Yes
Granite Falls HRA	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Grant, City of	Yes	No	No	Yes
Grant County	Yes	No	No	Yes
Grant (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No
Grey Eagle, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Grygla, City of	No	Yes	No	No
Ham Lake, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Harris (Township of) Hassan (Township of)	Yes Yes	No No	No No	Yes Yes
Hastings, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Henderson, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Hennepin County	Yes	Yes	No	No
Henning, City of, EDA	No	Yes	No	No
Hermantown	Yes	No	No	Yes
Hibbing EDA	Yes	Yes	No	No
Hibbing, City of	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hinckley, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Hopkins, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No
Hopkins HRA Howard Lake	Yes No	Yes	No No	Yes Yes
Hubbard County	Yes	No	No	Yes
Hugo	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Hutchinson, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No
Hutchinson Community Development EDA	Yes	No	No	Yes
Independence	Yes	No	No	No
International Falls, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Inver Grove Heights, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB)	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Itasca County	Yes	No Yes	No	Yes
Jackson, City of Jackson County	Yes	Yes	Yes No	Yes Yes
Jenkins, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Joint Economic Development Commission	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Jordon, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Kanabee County	Yes	No	No	Yes
Karlstad EDA	No	Yes	No	No
Kasson, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Keewatin, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Kiester EDA	No	Yes No	No No	Yes
Kittson County Koochiching Development Authority	Yes Yes	No	No	Yes Yes
La Crescent	Yes	No	No	Yes
La Grand (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No
Lake City, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Lake Elmo	Yes	No	No	No
Lake of the Woods County	Yes	No	No	Yes
Lakeville, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
La Prairie, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Lauderdale, City of Le Center, City of	Yes No	No Yes	No No	Yes Yes
Let (Township of)	Yes	No	No	Yes
Leroy, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Lester Prairie, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Le Sueur	Yes	No	No	Yes
Le Sueur EDA	Yes	No	No	Yes
Le Suer County	Yes	No	No	Yes
Le Sueur County HRA	Yes	No	No	Yes
Lindstrom	Yes	No	No	Yes
Lino Lakes, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Linu Lakes EDA	Yes	Yes	No No	Yes Yes
Linwood (Township of) Litchfield	Yes Yes	Yes No	No	Yes
Little Canada	Yes	Yes	No	No
Little Falls, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Livonia (Township of)	Yes	No	No	Yes
Long Lake, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Long Prairie	Yes	No	No	Yes
Luverne	Yes	No	No	Yes
Luveme EDA	Yes	No	No	Yes

		T-5	1 00	
Agency Name Luverne HRA	Pop >2500 Yes	PriorActivity*	99 Activity **	Rec'd Report Yes
Lyon County	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Madelia, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Madison Lake, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Mahnomen County	Yes	No	No	Yes
Mahtomedi	Yes	No	No.	Yes
Mankato, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No
Maple Grove, City of	Yes.	No	Yes	Y e s
Maple Lake, City of	No	Yes	No	No
Maple Plain, City of	No	Yes	No	No
Mapleton	No	Yes	No	Yes
Maplewood	Yes	No No	No	Yes
Marion (Township of) Marshall	Yes Yes	No	No No	No Yes
Marshall EDA	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Marshall HRA	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
May (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No
Maynard, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
MEDA	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Medina (corporate name for Hamel)	Yes	No	No	Yes
Meeker County	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Melrose	Yes	No	No	No
Melrose Area Development Authority	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Melrose HRA	Yes	No	No	Yes
Mendota Heights, City of	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Metropolitan Council	Yes	No	No	Yes
Mid-Minnesota Development Commission	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Mille Lacs County	Yes	No	No	Yes
Minneapolis	Yes	No	No	No
Minneapolis Community Development Agecy	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Minneapolis Foundation	Yes	Yes	No	No
Minneapolis Foundation's Enterpreneurs Fund	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Minneapolis Public Housing Authority	Yes	No	No	Yes
Minnesota Agricultural and Economic Development Board	Yes	Yes	No	No
Minnesota Planning	Yes	No	No	Yes
Minnesota Pollution Control Agency	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Minnetonka	Yes	Yes	No	No
Minnetrista	Yes Yes	No	No	No
MN Dept. of Trade and Economic Development MN Rural Finance Authority	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes Yes
Montevideo, City of	Yes	Yes	Yes No	Yes
Montevideo Community Development Corporation	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Montgomery, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Monticello, City of	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Monticello EDA	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Monticello HRA	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Monticello (Township of)	Yes	No	No	Yes
Moorhead, City of	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Moose Lake	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Mora, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Morris	Yes	No	No	Yes
Motley, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Mound	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Mounds View, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Mountain Iron	Yes	No	No	Yes
Mountain Iron HRA	Yes	No	No	Yes
Mountain Lake EDA	No	Yes	No	Yes
Mower County	Yes	Yes	No	No
Murdock, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
New Brighton	Yes	No	No	Yes
New Hope	Yes	No	No	Yes
New London (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No
New Market (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No
Newport	Yes	No	No	Yes
New Prague, City of New Scandia (Township of)	Yes	Yes	No	No
New Ulm, City of	Yes	Yes	No No	No Yes
New York Mills EDA	Yes No	Yes	No	Yes No
Nicollet County	Yes	No	No	Yes
Nobles County	Yes	No	No	Yes
Norman County	Yes	No	No	Yes
North Branch	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Northeast Northland Foundation	Yes	No	No	No
North End Area Revitalization, Inc.	No	Yes	No	No
Northern (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No
Northfield, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Northfield EDA	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
North Mankato, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
North Mankato, North Mankato Port Authority	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
North Oaks	Yes	No	No	No
North Saint Paul	Yes	No	No	No

	D . 2500	D 1 A 11 12 A	00 4 11 15 44	D 11 D
Agency Name Northwest MN Foundation	Pop >2500 Yes	PriorActivity* Yes	99 Activity **	Rec'd Report Yes
Norwood	Yes	No	No	No
Oakdale, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Oak Grove	Yes	No	No	Yes
Oak Park Heights	Yes	No	No	Yes
Oak Park Heights EDA	Yes	No	No	Yes
Olivia, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Olivia EDA	No	Yes	No	Yes
Olmstead County	Yes	No	No	Yes
Onamia, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Отопо	Yes	No	No	No
Osseo, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Otsego	Yes	No	No	Yes
Owatonna	Yes	No	No	Yes
Owatonna EDA	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Park Rapids	Yes No	No Yes	No No	No Yes
Parkers Prairie, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Paynesville, City of Pélican Rapids, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Pennington County	Yes	No	No	Yes
Pequot Lakes, City of	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Perham, City of	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Pierz, City of	No No	Yes	No	Yes
Pine City, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Pine Island, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Pipestone EDA	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Pipestone, City of	Yeş	Yes	No	No
Pipestone County	Yes	No	No	Yes
Plainview, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Plato. City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Plymouth	Yes	No	No	Yes
Polk County	Yes	No	No	Yes
Pope County	Yes	No	No	Yes
Preston, City of	No	Yes	No	No
Princeton	Yes	No	No	Yes
Prior Lake, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Proctor, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Ramsey	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Ramsey County HRA	Yes	No	No	Yes
Red Lake Falls, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Red Wing	Yes	No	No	Yes
Red Wing HRA	Yes	No	No	Yes
Red Wing Port Authority	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Redwood County	Yes	No	No	Yes
Redwood Falls, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Renville, City of	No	Yes	No	No
Rice Lake (Township of)	Yes	No	No	Yes
Richfield	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Richfield HRA	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Robbinsdale	Yes	No	No	Yes
Robbinsdale Economic Development Authority	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Rochester (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No
Rochester, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Rockford, City of Rockford (Township of)	Yes	No	No	Yes
Rockford (Township of) Rogers, City of	Yes No	No Yes	No No	Yes No
Roseau, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Rosemount	Yes	No	No	No
Rosemount Port Authority	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Roseville, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Sacred Heart, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Saint Anthony Village	Yes	No	No	Yes
Saint Augusta (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No
Saint Augusta (Township of) Saint Charles	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Saint Cloud	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Saint Cloud, HRA	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Saint Francis	Yes	No	No	No
Saint James, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Saint James HRA	Yes	No	No	Yes
Saint Joseph, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Saint Joseph (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No
Saint Louis Park	Yes	No	No	Yes
Saint Louis Park EDA	Yes	No	No	Yes
Saint Michael, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Saint Paul, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No
Saint Paul Park	Yes	No	No	Yes
Saint Paul Planning and Economic Development	Yes	No	No	Yes
Saint Paul, Port Authority of	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Saint Peter, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No
Saint Peter EDA	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Sartell, City of	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Agency Name	Pop >2500	PriorActivity*	99 Activity **	Rec'd Report
Sauk Centre, City of	Yes	No	No	Yes
Sauk Rapids	Yes	No	No	Yes
Sauk Rapids HRA	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Savage	Yes	No	No	No
Savage EDA	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Sebeka, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Shakopee, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Shorevood	Yes Yes	No No	No No	Yes Yes
Slayton EDA	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Sleepy Eye	Yes	No	No	Yes
Sleepy Eye EDA	Yes	Yes	No	No
South Saint Paul	Yes	No	No	Yes
South St. Paul HRA	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Southeastern Minnesota Initiative Fund	Yes	Yes	No	No
Southwest Minnesota Foundation	Yes	Yes	No	No
Southwest Minnesota Initiative Fund	Yes	Yes	No	No
Southwest Regional Development Commission	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Spicer, City of	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Spring Lake Park	Yes	No	No	Yes
Spring Lake Park Township	Yes	No	No	No
Spring Valley, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Spring Valley EDA	No	Yes	No	Yes
Staples, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Staples EDA	Yes	No	No	Yes
Steams County	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Steams County HRA	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Steele County Stevens County	Yes Yes	No No	No No	Yes Yes
Stewartville, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Stillwater, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Stockton, City of	No	Yes	No	No
Swift County	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Swift County HRA	Yes	No	No	Yes
Swift County RDA	Yes	No	No	Yes
Thief River Falls, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Traverse County	Yes	No	No	Yes
Thomson (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No
Two Harbors	Yes	No	No	Yes
Two Harbors Development Commission	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Upper Minnesota Valley Regional Development Commission	Yes	No	No	Yes
Urban Initiative Board/Milestone Growth Fund	Yes	Yes	No	No
Vadnais Heights	Yes	No	No	Yes
Verndale, City of	No Yes	Yes No	No	No
Victoria	Y es No	Yes	No No	No No
Villard. City of Virginia	Yes	No	No	No
Wabasha, City of	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Wabasso, City of	No	Yes	No	No
Waconia, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Wadena, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No
Waite Park	Yes	No	No	Yes
Wakefield (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No
Walker, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Warroad Port Authority	No	Yes	No	Yes
Waseca, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Waseca HRA	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Watab (Township of)	Yes	No	No	Yes
Watertown	Yes	No	No	No
Watkins, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Wayzata	Yes	No	No	Yes
Welcome, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Wells, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Wells EDA	No	Yes	No	Yes
West Central Initiative Fund West Concord, City of	Yes No	Yes	No No	No No
West Lakeland (Township of)	Yes	No	No No	No No
West St. Paul, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No No
West St. Paul EDA	Yes	No	No	Yes
Wheaton EDA	. No	Yes	No	Yes
White Bear Lake, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
White Bear Lake HRA	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
White Bear (Township of)	Yes	No	No	Yes
Wilken County	Yes	No	No	Yes
Willmar, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Windom	Yes	No	No	No
Windom, EDA	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Winnebago, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes
Winona	Yes	No	No	Yes
Winona, Port Authority	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Woodbury. City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes

Pop >2500	PriorActivity*	99 Activity **	Rec'd Report
Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Yes	No	No	Yes
Yes	No	No	Yes
Yes	No	No	No
Yes	No	No	Yes
No	Yes	No	Yes
Yes	No	No	Yes
Yes	No	No	Yes
Yes - 82.2% (406)	Yes - 54.9% (271)	Yes - 8.9% (44)	Yes - 77.5 (383)
No - 17.8% (88)	No - 45.1% (223)	No - 91.1% (450)	No - 22.5 (111)
	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No Yes	Yes Yes Yes No	Yes Yes No Yes No No Yes No No Yes No No Yes No No No Yes No Yes No No Yes No No Yes No No

<sup>Prior activity is defined as project activity within the past five years.
1999 activity is defined as eligible business subsidy and financial assistance agreements entered into between August 1, 1999 and December 31, 1999.</sup>

APPENDIX I:

Listing of Agencies Submitting a 2000 MBAF but not Otherwise Required to Report

Listing of Agencies Submitting a 2000 MBAF but not Otherwise Required to Report

Agency Name	Pop > 2500	Prior Activity*	99 Activity**	Rec'd Report
Belview, City of	No	No	Yes	Yes
Buhl. City of	No	No	Yes	Yes
Osakis, City of	No	No	Yes	Yes

<sup>Prior activity is defined as project activity within the past five years.
1999 activity is defined as eligible business subsidy and financial assistance agreements entered into between August 1, 1999 and December 31, 1999.</sup>

APPENDIX J:

Listing of Agencies that Held a Public Hearing and Adopted Criteria Per M.S. § 116J.993 - § 116J.995

Agency Name	Public Hearing Held	Criteria Submitted
Afton	Missing Data	No
Aitkin County	No	No
Albany, City of	Yes	Yes
Albert Lea Port Authority Albert Lea, City of	No Yes	No Yes
Albertville	Yes	Yes
Alexandria, City of	Yes	Yes
Alexandria (Township of)	No	No
Andover	Other	No
Annandale EDA	No	No
Anoka, City of	No	No
Anoka County Apple Valley	No Yes	No Yes
Arden Hills, City of	No	No
Arrowhead Regional Development Commission	Yes	Yes
Austin	Yes	Yes
Babbitt, City of	No	No
Bagley, City of	No	No No
Baldwin (Township of) Barnesville EDA	No No	No No
Baxter Bax	No Criteria	No No
Bayport	No	No
Becker, City of	Yes	Yes
Becker, City of, EDA	Yes	No
Becker County, EDA	Yes	No/tax abatement
Becker (Township of)	No No	No No
Belle Plaine, City of Belle Plaine EDA	Yes No	Yes No
Beltrami County HRA	No	No No
Belview, City of	Yes	Yes
Bemidji	No	No
Bemidji (Township of)	No	No
Benson, City of	No	No
Benson, EDA	No	No
Benson, HRA Benton County	Other Yes	No Yes
Big Lake	Yes	Yes
Big Lake (Township of)	No	No
Big Stone County	No	No
Blaine	No	No
Blaine Area Development Company	No Criteria	No
Blaine EDA	Yes	Yes
Blooming Prairie, City of Bloomington	No No	No No
Blue Earth	No	No
Blue Earth EDA	Yes	Yes
Bradford (Township of)	No	No
Brainerd, City of	Yes	Yes
Brandon EDA	No	No
Breckenridge	Yes	No No
Breezy Point EDA Brockway (Township of)	No No	No .
Brooklyn Center	Yes	Yes
Brooklyn Center EDA	Yes	Yes
Brooklyn Park, City of	Yes	Yes
Brooklyn Park EDA	Yes	Yes
Brooks, City of	Yes	No
Brooten, City of	Yes	No
Brown County Economic Development Partners, Inc.	No Yes	No Yes
Buffalo, City of	No	No
Buffalo Lake, City of	Missing Data	No
Buhl, City of	Missing Data	No
Burns (Township of)	Missing Data	No
Burnsville	Yes	No
Burnsville EDA	Yes	Yes
Business Development Inc.(Fergus Falls)	No No	No
Byron Caledonia, City of	No Yes	No Yes
Caledonia EDA	No	Yes
Calumet, City of	No	No No
Cambridge	Yes	Yes
Canby, City of	No	No
Cannon Falls, City of	No	No
Cannon Falls EDA	No	No
Carlton County	No No	No
Carver County HRA Cascade (Township of)	No No	No No
Cascade (Township of) Cass County	No	No
Cass County HRA	No	No
Centerville, City of	Yes	Yes

Agency Name	Public Hearing Held	Criteria Submitted
Central Minnesota Initiative Fund	No	No
Champlin Champlin, EDA	No Yes	No Yes
Chanhassen	Yes	Yes
Chaska	Yes	Yes
Chaska EDA	Yes	Yes
Chatfield, City of	Yes	No
Chippewa County HRA	No	No
Chisago City, City of	Missing Data	No
Chisago County HRA	Yes	Yes
Chisago Lake (Township of)	No	No
Chisholm	No	No
Circle Pines	No	No .
Claremont, City of	No	No
Clarissa, City of	No	No
Cloquet, City of	No	No No
Cokato, City of	No	No
Cold Spring	No	No
Collegeville (Township of)	No No	No
Columbia Heights, City of	No No	No
Columbia Heights EDA	No No	No No
Columbus (Township of)	No No	No No
Cook County Coon Rapids, City of	No No	No No
Corcoran	No No	No No
Cosmos, City of	No No	No No
Cottage Grove, City of	Yes	Yes
Cottage Grove EDA	Yes	No/City Policy
Credit River (Township of)	No No	No/City Policy
Crookston, City of	No No	No
Crosby, City of	No	No No
Crow Wing County	No	No
Crystal	No	No
Dakota County	No	No
Dakota County Community Development Agency	Yes	Yes
Dayton	No	No
Deephaven	No	No
Delano	No	No
Detroit Lakes	Yes	No
Detroit Lakes Dev. Authority	Yes	No
Dilworth	No	No
Dodge Center, City of	No	No
Douglas County HRA	No	No
Duluth, City of	Other	No
Duluth EDA	Other	No
Duluth Seaway Port Authority	Yes	Yes
Dundas, City of	No	No
Dunnell, City of	No	No
Eagan, City of	Yes	Yes
East Bethel	No Vos	No No / DI F
East Central Regional Dev. Commission East Grand Forks	Yes	No/RLF
East Grand Forks East Grand Forks EDHA	No No	No No
Eden Prairie	No No	Yes
Eden Valley, City of	Yes	Yes
Edina	No No	No
Edina HRA	No	No
Elbow Lake, City of	No No	No No
Elk River, City of	No	No
Elk River EDA	Yes	No/Micro Loan Policy
Ely	No	No
Ely HRA	No	No
Eveleth, City of	No	No
Eveleth EDA	No	No
Fairmount, City of	Yes	Yes
Falcon Heights	No	No
Faribault, City of	No	No
aribault County Local Redevelopment Agency	Yes	Yes
aribault EDA	Yes	Yes
Farmington	No	Yes
Farmington HRA	Yes	Yes
Fergus Falls, City of	Yes	Yes
Fergus Falls Port Authority	No	No
Foley, City of	No	No
Forest Lake	No	No
Forest Lake (Township of)	No	No
Fountain, City of	No	No
Franklin (Township of)	No	No
Freeborn County HRA	No	No
C - (4)	No	No
Fridley Fridley HRA	Yes	Yes

Agency Name	Public Hearing Held	Criteria Submitted
Frogtown Action Alliance	No No	No
Garrison, City of Gaylord, City of	No Other	No No
Glencoe	No	No
Glenwood	Yes	No
Golden Valley	No	No
Goodhue County	Yes	No/RLF
Goodview	No	No
Grand Lake (Township of)	No	No
Grand Rapids Grand Rapids EDA	No No	No No
Grand Rapids Township	No	No
Granite Falls	Yes	No
Granite Falls EDA	Yes	Yes
Granite Falls HRA	No	No
Grant, City of	No	No
Grant County	No	No
Grant (Township of)	No	No
Grey Eagle, City of	No No	No
Grygla, City of Ham Lake, City of	Yes	Yes
Harris (Township of)	No	No
Hassan (Township of)	No	No
lastings, City of	Yes	Yes
Henderson, City of	Yes	No
Hennepin County	No	No
Henning, City of, EDA	No	No
Hermantown	No	No
Hibbing EDA	No Vas	No
Hibbing, City of Hinckley, City of	Yes No	No No
Hopkins, City of	No	Yes
Hopkins HRA	Yes	Yes
Howard Lake	Other	No
Hubbard County	No	No
Hugo	Yes	Yes
Hutchinson, City of	No	No
Hutchinson Community Development EDA	No	No
Independence	No 1	No
Later of the LE Handle of	NI-	NI-
International Falls, City of	No Vac	No Vas
Inver Grove Heights, City of	Yes	Yes
Inver Grove Heights, City of Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB)		
Inver Grove Heights, City of Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB) Itasca County	Yes Other/Board Meetings	Yes No/Board Meetings
Inver Grove Heights, City of Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB) Itasca County Jackson, City of	Yes Other/Board Meetings No	Yes No/Board Meetings No
Inver Grove Heights, City of Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB) Itasca County Jackson, City of Jackson County Jenkins, City of	Yes Other/Board Meetings No Yes Yes Yes Yes	Yes No/Board Meetings No Yes No/RLF No
Inver Grove Heights, City of Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB) Itasca County Jackson, City of Jackson County Jenkins, City of Joint Economic Development Commission	Yes Other/Board Meetings No Yes Yes Yes No	Yes No/Board Meetings No Yes No/RLF No No
Inver Grove Heights, City of Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB) Itasca County Jackson, City of Jackson County Jenkins, City of Joint Economic Development Commission Jordon, City of	Yes Other/Board Meetings No Yes Yes Yes No Yes Yes No Yes	Yes No/Board Meetings No Yes No/RLF No No Yes
Inver Grove Heights, City of Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB) Itasca County Jackson, City of Jackson County Jenkins, City of Joint Economic Development Commission Jordon, City of Kanabee County	Yes Other/Board Meetings No Yes Yes Yes No Yes No No No	Yes No/Board Meetings No Yes No/RLF No No Yes No
Inver Grove Heights, City of Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB) Itasca County Jackson, City of Jackson County Jenkins, City of Joint Economic Development Commission Jordon, City of Kanabee County Karlstad EDA	Yes Other/Board Meetings No Yes Yes Yes No No No No	Yes No/Board Meetings No Yes No/RLF No No Yes No/RLF No No
Inver Grove Heights, City of Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB) Itasca County Jackson, City of Jackson County Jenkins, City of Joint Economic Development Commission Jordon, City of Kanabee County Karlstad EDA Kasson, City of	Yes Other/Board Meetings No Yes Yes Yes No Yes No No No	Yes No/Board Meetings No Yes No/RLF No No Yes No
Inver Grove Heights, City of Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB) Itasca County Jackson, City of Jackson County Jenkins, City of Joint Economic Development Commission Jordon, City of Kanabee County Kanstad EDA Kasson, City of Keewatin, City of	Yes Other/Board Meetings No Yes Yes Yes No Yes No Your No Yes No Other/Board Meetings	Yes No/Board Meetings No Yes No/RLF No No Yes No/RLF No No No Yes No
Inver Grove Heights. City of Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB) Itasca County Jackson, City of Jackson County Jenkins, City of Joint Economic Development Commission Jordon, City of Kanabee County Karlstad EDA Kasson, City of Keewatin, City of Kiester EDA Kittson County	Yes Other/Board Meetings No Yes Yes Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes	Yes No/Board Meetings No Yes No/RLF No No Yes No No Yes
Inver Grove Heights, City of Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB) Itasca County Jackson, City of Jackson County Jenkins, City of Joint Economic Development Commission Jordon, City of Kanabee County Karlstad EDA Kasson, City of Keewatin, City of Kiester EDA Kittson County Koochiching Development Authority	Yes Other/Board Meetings No Yes Yes Yes No Yes No No Yes No	Yes No/Board Meetings No Yes No/RLF No No Yes No No Yes No Yes No No No No No No No Yes Yes No
Inver Grove Heights, City of Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB) Itasca County Jackson, City of Jackson County Jenkins, City of Joint Economic Development Commission Jordon, City of Kanabee County Karlstad EDA Kasson, City of Keewatin, City of Kiester EDA Kittson County Koochiching Development Authority La Crescent	Yes Other/Board Meetings No Yes Yes Yes No No No No No No No No Yes No No No No No No Yes	Yes No/Board Meetings No Yes No/RLF No No Yes No No Yes No No No No No No No Yes Yes No No No Yes
Inver Grove Heights. City of Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB) Itasca County Jackson, City of Jackson County Jenkins, City of Joint Economic Development Commission Jordon, City of Kanabee County Karlstad EDA Kasson, City of Keewatin, City of Kiester EDA Kittson County Koochiching Development Authority La Crescent La Grand (Township of)	Yes Other/Board Meetings No Yes Yes Yes No No No No No No No No No Yes No No No Yes No No No Yes No No No Yes No No No No No Yes	Yes No/Board Meetings No Yes No/RLF No No Yes No No Yes No No No No No No Yes Yes Yes No
Inver Grove Heights, City of Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB) Itasca County Jackson, City of Jackson County Jenkins, City of Joint Economic Development Commission Jordon, City of Kanabee County Kanstad EDA Kasson, City of Keewatin, City of Kiester EDA Kittson County Koochiching Development Authority La Crescent La Grand (Township of) Lake City, City of	Yes Other/Board Meetings No Yes Yes Yes No No No No No No No No Yes	Yes No/Board Meetings No Yes No/RLF No No Yes No No Yes No No No No Yes Yes Yes Yes No
Inver Grove Heights, City of Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB) Itasca County Jackson, City of Jackson County Jenkins, City of Joint Economic Development Commission Jordon, City of Kanabee County Karlstad EDA Kasson, City of Keewatin, City of Kiester EDA Kittson County Koochiching Development Authority La Crescent La Grand (Township of) Lake City, City of Lake Elmo	Yes Other/Board Meetings No Yes Yes Yes No Yes No No No No No Criteria No Yes No No No Yes No No Yes No No No Yes No No No Yes No	Yes No/Board Meetings No Yes No/RLF No No No Yes No No Yes No No No No Yes Yes No No No Yes Yes No
Inver Grove Heights, City of Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB) Itasca County Jackson, City of Jackson County Jenkins, City of Joint Economic Development Commission Jordon, City of Kanabee County Karlstad EDA Kasson, City of Keewatin, City of Kiester EDA Kittson County Koochiching Development Authority La Crescent La Grand (Township of) Lake City, City of Lake Elmo Lake of the Woods County	Yes Other/Board Meetings No Yes Yes Yes No Yes No No No No Criteria No Yes No No Yes No No No Yes No	Yes No/Board Meetings No Yes No/RLF No No No Yes No No Yes No No No Yes Yes Yes No
Inver Grove Heights, City of Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB) Itasca County Jackson, City of Jackson County Jenkins, City of Joint Economic Development Commission Jordon, City of Kanabee County Karlstad EDA Kasson, City of Keewatin, City of Kiester EDA Kittson County Koochiching Development Authority La Crescent La Grand (Township of) Lake City, City of Lake Elmo Lake of the Woods County Lakeville, City of	Yes Other/Board Meetings No Yes Yes Yes No Yes No No No No No Criteria No Yes No No No Yes No No Yes No No No Yes No No No Yes No	Yes No/Board Meetings No Yes No/RLF No No No Yes No No Yes No No No No Yes Yes No No No Yes Yes No
Inver Grove Heights, City of Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB) Itasca County Jackson, City of Jackson County Jenkins, City of Joint Economic Development Commission Jordon, City of Kanabee County Karlstad EDA Kasson, City of Keewatin, City of Kiester EDA Kittson County Koochiching Development Authority La Crescent La Grand (Township of) Lake City, City of Lake Elmo Lake of the Woods County Lakeville, City of La Prairie, City of La Prairie, City of	Yes Other/Board Meetings No Yes Yes Yes No Yes No No No No No No No No Yes No No Yes No No No Yes No No No Yes No No No Yes No No Yes No No Yes No No Yes No Yes No Yes	Yes No/Board Meetings No Yes No/RLF No No No Yes No No No Yes No No No No No Yes Yes No No No Yes Yes No No No Yes Yes No No No Yes No No Yes No No Yes
Inver Grove Heights, City of Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB) Itasca County Jackson, City of Jackson County Jenkins, City of Joint Economic Development Commission Jordon, City of Kanabee County Karlstad EDA Kasson, City of Keewatin, City of Kiester EDA Kittson County Koochiching Development Authority La Crescent La Grand (Township of) Lake City, City of Lake Elmo Lake of the Woods County Lakeville, City of La Prairie, City of La Prairie, City of Lauderdale, City of Lauderdale, City of	Yes Other/Board Meetings No Yes Yes Yes No No No No No No No No No Yes No No No Yes	Yes No/Board Meetings No Yes No/RLF No No No Yes No No No No No No Yes No No No Yes No No No Yes No No No Yes No No No Yes No No No No Yes No No No
Inver Grove Heights, City of Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB) Itasca County Jackson, City of Jackson County Jenkins, City of Joint Economic Development Commission Jordon, City of Kanabee County Karlstad EDA Kasson, City of Keewatin, City of Kiester EDA Kittson County Koochiching Development Authority La Crescent La Grand (Township of) Lake City, City of Lake Elmo Lake of the Woods County La versile, City of La Paririe, City of La underdale, City of Le Center, City of Le Center, City of Le Center, City of Lent (Township of)	Yes Other/Board Meetings No Yes Yes Yes No No No No No No Criteria No No No Yes No No No Yes No No No Yes No No No No Yes No No No No Yes No No No No Yes No No No No No Yes	Yes No/Board Meetings No Yes No/RLF No No Yes No No Yes No No No Yes No No No Yes No
Inver Grove Heights, City of Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB) Itasea County Jackson, City of Jackson County Jenkins, City of Joint Economic Development Commission Jordon, City of Kanabee County Karlstad EDA Kasson, City of Keewatin, City of Kiester EDA Kittson County Koochiching Development Authority La Crescent La Grand (Township of) Lake City, City of Lake Elmo Lake of the Woods County Lakeville, City of La Varinie, City of Lauderdale, City of Lauderdale, City of Le Center, City of Let County, City of Let County, City of Let County, City of Let Center, City of Let County, City of Let County, City of Let Center, City of Let County, City of Let County, City of Let County, City of Let County, City of Let Center, City of Let County, City of Let County, City of Let Center, City of Let County, City of Let Center, City of Let County, City of Let Center, City of	Yes Other/Board Meetings No Yes Yes Yes No Yes No No No No Criteria No Yes No No No Yes No No No No Yes No No No No Yes No No No Yes No No No Yes No No Yes No No No Yes No	Yes No/Board Meetings No Yes No/RLF No No No Yes No No Yes No No No Yes Yes No No No Yes No No No Yes No No No Yes No
Inver Grove Heights. City of Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB) Itasca County Jackson, City of Jackson County Jenkins, City of Joint Economic Development Commission Jordon, City of Kanabee County Karlstad EDA Karson, City of Keewatin, City of Kiester EDA Kittson County Koochiching Development Authority La Crescent La Grand (Township of) Lake City, City of Lake Elmo Lake of the Woods County Lakeville, City of La Prairie, City of Lauderdale, City of Lauderdale, City of Lecenter, City of	Yes Other/Board Meetings No Yes Yes Yes No Yes No No No No Criteria No No Yes No No No Yes No No No No Other n/a	Yes No/Board Meetings No Yes No/RLF No No No No Yes No No No No No Yes Yes No No No Yes No
Inver Grove Heights. City of Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB) Itasca County Jackson, City of Jackson County Jenkins, City of Joint Economic Development Commission Jordon, City of Kanabee County Karlstad EDA Kasson, City of Keewatin, City of Kiester EDA Kittson County Koochiching Development Authority La Crescent La Grand (Township of) Lake City, City of Lake Elmo Lake of the Woods County Lakeville, City of La Prairie, City of Lauderdale, City of Leent (Township of) Leroy, City of Leent (Township of) Leroy, City of Leeter (Township of) Leroy, City of Leeter (Township of) Leroy, City of Lester Prairie, City of	Yes Other/Board Meetings No Yes Yes Yes No Yes No Yes No No No No Yes No No No No Yes No No No Other n/a	Yes No/Board Meetings No Yes No/RLF No No No Yes No No No No No No No Yes Yes No No No No Yes No
Inver Grove Heights, City of Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB) Itasca County Jackson, City of Jackson County Jenkins, City of Joint Economic Development Commission Jordon, City of Kanabee County Karlstad EDA Kasson, City of Keewatin, City of Kiester EDA Kittson County Koochiching Development Authority La Crescent La Grand (Township of) Lake City, City of Lake Elmo Lake of the Woods County Lakeville, City of La Prairie, City of Le Center, City of Lent (Township of) Let (County of County of County of County of County of County Lexeville, City of Laverage of County Lexeville, City of Lexeville, Ci	Yes Other/Board Meetings No Yes Yes Yes No	Yes No/Board Meetings No Yes No/RLF No No No Yes No No No No No No Yes No No No Yes No
Inver Grove Heights, City of Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB) Itasca County Jackson, City of Jackson County Jenkins, City of Joint Economic Development Commission Jordon, City of Kanabee County Kanstad EDA Kasson, City of Keewatin, City of Keewatin, City of Kiester EDA Kittson County Koochiching Development Authority La Crescent La Grand (Township of) Lake City, City of Lake Elmo Lake of the Woods County Lakeville, City of La Prairie, City of Le Center, City of Let (Township of) Lester Prairie, City of Lester Prairie, City of Lester Prairie, City of Lester Prairie, City of Lester Lesueur Le Sueur EDA Le Sueur EDA Le Sueur EDA	Yes Other/Board Meetings No Yes Yes Yes No	Yes No/Board Meetings No Yes No/RLF No No No Yes No No No Yes Yes No No No Yes Yes No
Inver Grove Heights, City of Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB) Itasca County Jackson, City of Jackson County Jenkins, City of Joint Economic Development Commission Jordon, City of Kanabee County Kansbae County Karlstad EDA Kasson, City of Keewatin, City of Kiester EDA Kittson County Koochiching Development Authority La Crescent La Grand (Township of) Lake City, City of Lake Elmo Lake of the Woods County Lakeville, City of La Prairie, City of Le Center, City of Lent (Township of) Leroy, City of Lester Prairie, City of Lester County Le Sueur Le Sueur EDA Le Suer County Le Sueur County HRA	Yes Other/Board Meetings No Yes Yes Yes No No No No No No No Criteria No No Yes No No No Yes No No No Yes No No No Yes No No Other n/a Yes No	Yes No/Board Meetings No Yes No/RLF No No No Yes No No No Yes No No No Yes Yes No No No No Yes No
Inver Grove Heights, City of Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB) Itasca County Jackson, City of Jackson County Jenkins, City of Joint Economic Development Commission Jordon, City of Kanabee County Karlstad EDA Kasson, City of Keewatin, City of Kiester EDA Kittson County Koochiching Development Authority La Crescent La Grand (Township of) Lake City, City of Lake Elmo Lake of the Woods County Lakeville, City of La Undersource City of Le Center, City of Let Center, City of Let Center, City of Let Center, City of Lester Praine, City of Le Sueur Le Sueur EDA Le Sueur County HRA Lindstrom	Yes Other/Board Meetings No Yes Yes Yes No No No No No Criteria No Yes No No No Yes No No No Yes No No Other n/a Yes Yes No	Yes No/Board Meetings No Yes No/RLF No No No Yes No No Yes No No No Yes Yes No No No No Yes No
Inver Grove Heights, City of Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB) Itasca County Jackson, City of Jackson County Jenkins, City of Joint Economic Development Commission Jordon, City of Kanabee County Karlstad EDA Kasson, City of Keewatin, City of Kiester EDA Kittson County Koochiching Development Authority La Crescent La Grand (Township of) Lake City, City of Lake Elmo Lake of the Woods County Lakeville, City of La Dadderdale, City of Le Center, City of Lent (Township of) Let Center, City of Let Sueur Let Sueur Let Sueur County Let Sueur County HRA Lindstrom Lino Lakes, City of	Yes Other/Board Meetings No Yes Yes Yes No No No No No Criteria No No Yes No No No Yes No No No Yes No No Other n/a Yes Yes No	Yes No/Board Meetings No Yes No/RLF No No No Yes No No No No Yes Yes Yes No
Inver Grove Heights. City of Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB) Itasca County Jackson, City of Jackson County Jenkins, City of Joint Economic Development Commission Jordon, City of Kanabee County Karlstad EDA Karlstad EDA Kittson County Koester EDA Kittson County Koochiching Development Authority La Crescent La Grand (Township of) Lake City, City of Lake Elmo Lake of the Woods County Lakeville, City of La Prairie, City of Le Center, City of Lent (Township of) Leroy, City of Lester Prairie, City of Lester Prairie, City of Le Sueur Le Sueur EDA Le Suer County Le Sueur County Le Sueur County HRA Lindstrom Lino Lakes, City of Lino Lakes, City of Lino Lakes, City of Lino Lakes, EDA	Yes Other/Board Meetings No Yes Yes Yes No No No No No Criteria No Yes No No No Yes No No No Yes No No Other n/a Yes Yes No	Yes No/Board Meetings No Yes No/RLF No No No Yes No No No Yes No No No Yes Yes No No No No Yes No
Inver Grove Heights, City of Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB) Itasca County Jackson, City of Jackson County Jenkins, City of Joint Economic Development Commission Jordon, City of Kanabee County Karlstad EDA Kasson, City of Keewatin, City of Keewatin, City of Kiester EDA Kittson County Koochiching Development Authority La Crescent La Grand (Township of) Lake City, City of Lake Elmo Lake of the Woods County Lakeville, City of La Prairie, City of Le Prairie, City of Lent (Township of) Leroy, City of Lester Prairie, City of Lester County Le Sueur Le Sueur County Le Sueur County Le Sueur County HRA Lindstrom Lino Lakes, City of Lino Lakes, City o	Yes Other/Board Meetings No Yes Yes Yes No Yes No No No Yes No No No Yes No No No Yes No No No Yes No No No Yes No No No No Yes No	Yes No/Board Meetings No Yes No/RLF No No No No Yes No No No No No Yes Yes No
Inver Grove Heights, City of Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB) Itasca County Jackson, City of Jackson County Jenkins, City of Joint Economic Development Commission Jordon, City of Kanabee County Karlstad EDA Kasson, City of Keewatin, City of Kiester EDA Kittson County Koochiching Development Authority La Crescent La Grand (Township of) Lake City, City of Lake Elmo Lake of the Woods County Lakeville, City of La Prairie, City of Le Center, City of Let Center, City of Lester Prairie, City of Lester Prairie, City of Lester Prairie, City of Les Sueur Le Sueur EDA Le Sueur County HRA Lindstrom Lino Lakes, City of Lito Lakes EDA Linwood (Township of) Litchfield	Yes Other/Board Meetings No Yes Yes Yes No Yes No No No Yes No No No Yes No No No Yes No No Yes No No Yes No No Yes No No No Yes No No No Yes No	Yes No/Board Meetings No Yes No/RLF No No No No Yes No No No No No Yes No No No No Yes No
Inver Grove Heights, City of Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB) Itasca County Jackson, City of Jackson County Jenkins, City of Joint Economic Development Commission Jordon, City of Kanabee County Karlstad EDA Karlstad EDA Kasson, City of Keewatin, City of Kiester EDA Kittson County Koochiching Development Authority La Crescent La Grand (Township of) Lake City, City of Lake Elmo Lake of the Woods County Lakeville, City of Le Under Leity of Le Center, City of Lett (Township of) Lett Center, City of Lester Prairie, City of Lester Prairie, City of Lester Prairie, City of Letter County Le Sueur Le Sueur County Le Sueur County HRA Lindstrom Lino Lakes EDA Linwood (Township of) Litchfield Little Canada Little Falls, City of	Yes Other/Board Meetings No Yes Yes Yes No No No No No Criteria No Yes No No No Yes No No No Yes No No No Yes No No Yes No No Yes No No No No Yes No	Yes No/Board Meetings No Yes No/RLF No No No No Yes No No No No Yes Yes No No No No Yes No
Inver Grove Heights, City of Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB) Itasca County Jackson, City of Jackson County Jenkins, City of Joint Economic Development Commission Jordon, City of Kanabee County Karlstad EDA Kasson, City of Keewatin, City of Keewatin, City of Kiester EDA Kittson County Koochiching Development Authority La Crescent La Grand (Township of) Lake City, City of Lake Elmo Lake of the Woods County Lakeville, City of Lauderdale, City of Lent (Township of) Leroy, City of Lester Prairie, City of Lester Prairie, City of Le Sueur Le Sueur Le Sueur EDA Le Sueur County HRA Lindstrom Lino Lakes, City of Litchfield Little Canada Little Falls, City of Litvonia (Township of) Litvonia (Township of)	Yes Other/Board Meetings No Yes Yes Yes No No Yes No No No Criteria No Yes No No No Yes No No No Yes No No Other n/a Yes Yes No No No Other n/a Yes Yes No No No No Other n/a Yes Yes No	Yes No/Board Meetings No Yes No/RLF No No No No Yes No No No No No Yes Yes No
Inver Grove Heights, City of Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB) Itasca County Jackson, City of Jackson County Jenkins, City of Joint Economic Development Commission Jordon, City of Kanabee County Karlstad EDA Kasson, City of Keewatin, City of Kiester EDA Kittson County Koochiching Development Authority La Crescent La Grand (Township of) Lake City, City of Lake Elmo Lake of the Woods County Lakeville, City of La Prairie, City of Le Center, City of Lett (Township of) Lett (Township of) Let Center, City of Lester Prairie, City of Lester County Le Sueur Le Sueur EDA Le Suer County Le Sueur County HRA Lindstrom Lino Lakes, City of Lino Lakes EDA Linwood (Township of) Litchfield Little Canada Little Falls, City of	Yes Other/Board Meetings No Yes Yes Yes No No No No No Criteria No Yes No No No Yes No No No Yes No No No Yes No No Yes No No Yes No No No No Yes No	Yes No/Board Meetings No Yes No/RLF No No No No Yes No No No No No No Yes Yes No No No No No Yes No

Luxemer Yes	Agency Name	Public Hearing Held	Criteria Submitted
Layerne (RER	Luverne		
No	Luverne EDA		
Madelia. Ciry of No No Mathomen County Yes Yes Mankman, City of No No Mankman, City of No No Maple Crove, City of No No Maple Plain, City of No No Majer County Yes No Mariant Blad Yes Yes Yes Mary Clownship of No No No May Clownship of Other No No Metropolian Economic Development Association (MEDA) No No Medicos Crown No No No Medicos Crown No No No Medicose Para Development Authority Yes Yes	Luverne HRA		
Madison Lake, City of Mahnomed: Output Yes Yes Mahnomed: Wahnomed: Wes Yes Yes Mahnomed: Wes Yes Yes Maple Cake, City of No. No. No. No. No. Mapple Lake, City of No. No. No. No. No. Mapple Lake, City of No. No. No. No. No. Mapple Lake, City of No. No. No. No. Mapple Lake, City of No. No. No. No. Mapple Lake, City of No. No. No. No. No. Mapple Lake, City of No. No. No. No. No. Marshall Yes: Yes Yes Yes Yes Mapple Lake, City of No. No. No. No. No. No. No. No. Marshall Yes: Yes Yes Yes: Yes Yes Marshall HRA Yes: Yes Yes Marshall HRA Yes: Yes Yes May (Township of) No.			
Mahnomen County No No Mankato, City of No No Maple Lack, City of Yes Yes Maple Pain, City of No No Marball HEA Yes Yes Marball HEA Yes Yes Mary Grownship of) No No Moderno City City of Other No Moderno City City of Other No Medicose City City of No No Medicose City City of Yes Yes Melrose Vera Development Authority Yes Yes Melrose Vera Development Authority Yes Yes Mid-Mannesota Development Commission Other			
Mathomedi Yes Yes Maple Grove, City of No No Maple Lake, City of No No Maple Commonity No No Maple Commonity Yes Yes Marshall FBA Yes Yes May Township of) No No May Township of No No Medrow Collegate No No			
Mankbalo, City of No No Maple Lake, City of Yes Yes Maple Lake, City of No No Maple Plain, City of No No Marball ISDA Yes Yes Marshall EDA Yes Yes Mary Grownship of) No No Mary Grownship of Other No No Medrous Crass Committed of County Other No Medical Cacomic County Yes Yes Medical Cacomic County Yes Yes Mid-Mannesota Development Commission Other No Mid-Minnesota Development Commission Other No Minnesota Polic Husui a			
Maple Cave, City of Yes Yes Maple Plan, City of No No Maple Plan, City of No No Maple Isab, City of No No Maple Plan, City of No No Maple Common Co			
Maple Lake, City of No No Maple Plain, City of No No Maple Plain, City of No No Maple Plain, City of No No Marshall EDA Yes Yes Marshall EDA Yes Yes Mary Counship of No No May Grownship of Other No No May Grownship of Other No No Medrogo Endoment County No No Medrogo County Yes Yes Melrose County Yes Yes Melrose Area Development Authority Yes Yes Melrose Area Development Authority Yes Yes Melrose Area Development Commission Oh No Melrose Area Development Age No No No Mild-Minnestoral Development Age No No No Mild-Lace County No No No No Mille Lace Scounty No No No No Mille Lace Scount			
Mapleton Yes No Marjon (Township) of) No No Marshall Yes Yes Marshall EDA Yes Yes Marshall EDA Yes Yes May Grownship of) No No May Grownship of) No No May Grownship of) No No Medrose County Yes Yes Medinac (corporate name for Hamel) No No Melizac Scala (Corporate name for Hamel) No No Melizac Scala (Corporate name for Hamel) No No Melizac Scala (Corporate name for Hamel) No No Melizac (Corporate name for Hamel) No No Millorac (Corporate name for Hamel) No	Maple Lake, City of	No	No
Maplewood No	Maple Plain, City of	No	No
Marion (Township of)	Mapleton .	Yes	No
Marshall Yes Yes Marshall HRA Yes Yes May Township of) No No May Township of) No No Maynard, City of Other No Methors of Institution of County No No Medical Corporate name for Hannel) No No Medical County Yes Yes Melrose Area Development Authority Yes Yes Melrose Area Development Authority Yes Yes Medical Heights, City of Yes No Medical Heights, City of Yes No Mid-Minnesotal Development Commission Other NoRLF Federal Complianc Mille Lacs County No No No Minnespolis Foundation No No No Minnespolis Foundation No No No Minnespolis Foundations Enterpreneurs Fund No No No Minnespolis Published Housing Authority No No No Minnespolis Published Housing Authority <t< td=""><td>Maplewood</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Maplewood		
Marshall EDA Yes Yes May Counship of) No No May Township of) No No May Grownship of) No No Medrosolian Economic Development Association (MEDA) No No Medrosolian Economic Development Association (MEDA) No No Medrose Area Development Authority Yes Yes Welcrose HRA No No No Melrose HRA No No No Melrose LHA No No No Metropolitan Council Yes No No Michael Scounty No No No Mille Lacs County No No No Mille Lacs County No No No Minneapolis Foundation's Enterpreneurs Fund No No No Minneapolis Foundation's Enterpreneurs Fund No No No Minnestoral Paining No No No Minnestoral Paining No No No			
Marshall HRA Yes Yes May Township of) No No Maynard, City of Other No Medinal corporate name for Hamel) No No Medinal corporate name for Hamel) Yes Yes Medinace County Yes Yes Melrose Area Development Authority Yes Yes Melrose Area Development Authority Yes Yes Melrose Area Development Council Yes No Med Modal Heights, City of Yes No Med Minnesota Development Commission Other No/RLF - Federal Complian Mild-Minnesota Development Agecy No No No Minneapolis Foundation No No No Minneapolis Foundation Statestypereurs Fund No No Minn			
May Township of) No No Mayrandt, City of Other No Medina (corporate name for Hamel) No No Medina (corporate name for Hamel) No No Medina (corporate name for Hamel) No No Medinase Area Development Authority Yes Yes Melrose HRA No No Melrose HRA No No Metropolitan Council Yes No Mid-Minerabol Development Commission Other No Retropolitan Council Mile Lacs County No No No Mile Lacs County No No No Mile Lacs County No No No Minneapolis Foundation No No No Minneapolis Foundation Staterpreneurs Fund No No No Minneapolis Fullibile Housing Authority No No No Minneapolis Foundation Staterpreneurs Fund No No No Minneapolis Fullibile Housing Authority No No No			
Maynard, City of Other No No No No No No No N			
Metropoliuan Economic Development Association (MEDA) No No Medina (corporate name for Hamel) No No Medina (corporate name) No No Medicose Area Development Authority Yes Yes Melrose HRA No No Medicose HRA No No Metropolitan Council Yes No Mid-Minnestoal Development Commission Other No/RLF - Federal Complianc Mille Lacs County No No No Mille Lacs County No No No Minneapolis Community Development Agecy No No No Minneapolis Foundation S Enterpreneurs Fund No No No Minneapolis Foundation S Enterpreneurs Fund No No No Minneapolis Foundation S Enterpreneurs Fund No No No Minneapolis Foundation Control Agency No No No Minnestoral Palanting No No No Minnestoral Palanting No No No			
Medina (corporate name for Hamel) No No Mecker County Yes Yes Melrose No No Melrose Area Development Authority Yes Yes Melrose HRA No No Medrose HRA No No Medrose HRA No No Mid-Minnesota Development Commission Other No/RLF - Federal Complianc Mid-Minnesota Development Commission No No Minneapolis Community Development Agecy No No Minneapolis Foundations Enterpreheurs No Minneapolis Foundations Enterpreneurs Fund No No Minneapolis Foundation No No Minneapolis Foundations Enterpreneurs Fund No No Minneapolis Foundations Enterpreneurs Fund No No Minneapolis Foundations States No <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>			
Mecker County Yes Yes Melrose Area Development Authority Yes Yes Melrose HRA No No Melrose HRA No No Melrose HRA No No Medropolitan Council Yes No Mid-Mineacola Development Commission Other No/RLF Focteral Compliant Mille Lacs County No No No Minneapolis Community Development Agecy No No No Minneapolis Foundation No No No Minneapolis Foundation Enterpreneurs Fund No No No Minnesoria Planing No No No Minne	Medina (corporate name for Hame)		
Melrose No No Melrose HRA No No Melrose HRA No No Merose HRA No No Merose HRA No No Merose HRA No No Metropolitan Council Yes No Mid-Minneapolis Development Commission Other No/RLF - Federal Compliant Mille Lase County No No Minneapolis Community Development Agecy No No Minneapolis Foundation No No No Minneapolis Foundation No No No No No			
Melrose HRA No No Melrose HRA No No Mendota Heighs. City of Yes No Metropolitan Council Yes No Mid-Minnestoa Development Commission Other No/RLF - Federal Compliant Mille Lase County No No Minneapolis Country No No Minneapolis Country No No Minneapolis Country No No Minneapolis Foundation No No Minneapolis Foundation Senterpreneurs Fund No No Minneapolis Public Housing Authority No No Minnesota Planning No No Minnestriata No No Minnestriata No			
Melrose HRA No No Mendotal Heights, City of Yes No Mertorpolitan Council Yes No Mid-Minnesota Development Commission Other No/RLF - Federal Compliant Mille Lase County No No Minneapolis Community Development Agecy No No Minneapolis Foundation No No Minneapolis Foundation Senterpreneurs Fund No No Minneapolis Fubile Housing Authority No No Minnesota Agricultural and Economic Development Board No No Minnestoria Pollution Control Agency No No Montroll Tomacounc			
Mendota Heights. City of Yes No Metropolitan Council Yes No Mid-Minnessta Development Commission Other No/RLF - Federal Compliant Mille Lacs County No No No Minneapolis Community Development Agecy No No No Minneapolis Community Development Agecy No No No Minneapolis Foundation Senterpreneurs Fund No No No Minneapolis Public Housing Authority No No No Minnesota Planning No No No Minnesota Planning No No No Minnesota Planning No No No Minnestoria Planning No No No Minnetoria Wes No No <trr< td=""><td>Melrose HRA</td><td></td><td></td></trr<>	Melrose HRA		
Metropolitian Council Yes No Mild-Minnesota Development Commission Other No/RLF - Federal Compliance Mille Laes County No No Minneapolis Community Development Agecy No No Minneapolis Foundation's Enterpreneurs Fund No No Minneapolis Foundation's Enterpreneurs Fund No No Minneapolis Public Housing Authority No No Minneapolis Public Housing Authority No No Minnesota Agricultural and Economic Development Board No No Minnesota Pollution Control Agency No No Minnestoral Pollution Control Magency No <td< td=""><td>Mendota Heights, City of</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Mendota Heights, City of		
Mille Lacs County No No Minneapolis Community Development Agecy No No Minneapolis Foundation No No Minneapolis Foundation Minneapolis Foundation Stetterpreneurs Fund No No Minneapolis Public Housing Authority No No Minnesota Agricultural and Economic Development Board No No Minnesota Pallution Control Agency No No Minnestota Pollution Control Agency No No Minnestota Pallution Control Agency No No Minnestota Pallution Control Agency No No Minnestota Pollution Control Agency No No Minnestota Pollution Control Agency No No Minnestota Pollution Control Agency No No Minnestota Community Missing Data No/Mindellota Montedicol City of Yes Yes Montevideo Community Development Corporation Yes Yes Montevideo Community Development Corporation Yes Yes Montevideo Community Development Corporation Yes Y	Metropolitan Council	Yes	
Minneapolis No No Minneapolis Community Development Agecy No No Minneapolis Foundation No No Minneapolis Foundation's Enterpreneurs Fund No No Minneapolis Foundation's Enterpreneurs Fund No No Minneapolis Public Housing Authority No No Minnestoral Plaming No No Minnestoral Plaming <td>Mid-Minnesota Development Commission</td> <td></td> <td>No/RLF - Federal Compliance</td>	Mid-Minnesota Development Commission		No/RLF - Federal Compliance
Minneapolis Community Development Agecy Minneapolis Foundation Minneapolis Foundation Minneapolis Foundation Minneapolis Poundation's Enterpreneurs Fund No Minneapolis Poundation's Enterpreneurs Fund Minneapolis Public Housing Authority No Minnesota Agricultural and Economic Development Board Minnesota Pilanning No Minnesota Pollution Control Agency No No Minnetonka No No Minnetonka No No No Minnetonka No No Minnetonka No No No Minnetonka No Minnetonka No No No Minnetonka Minnetonka No No No Monotrolla Minnetonka No No No No Montevideo City of Yes Yes Yes Montevideo Community Development Corporation Yes Yes Yes Monticello City of No No No No No Monticello City of Yes Yes Yes Monticello EDA Yes Yes Monticello City of No No No Monticello (Township of) No No No Monticello (Township of) No No No Moore Lake Yes Yes Mora Mora City of No No No Moore Mounds View, City of No No No Mound Yes Yes Yes Mountain Iron Yes Yes Yes Mountain Iron Yes Yes Yes Mountain Iron No	Mille Lacs County		
Minneapolis Foundation No No Minneapolis Foundation's Enterpreneurs Fund No No Minneapolis Public Housing Authority No No Minnestota Agricultural and Economic Development Board No No Minnestota Palnaning No No Minnestoral Palnaning No No Minnetonika No No Minnetonika No No Minnetonika No No MN Dept, of Trade and Economic Development Yes No/MN Legislative decreed MRN Palinance Authority Missing Data No/MN Legislative decreed Montevideo, City of Yes Yes Montevideo Community Development Corporation Yes Yes Montejello City of Yes Yes Monticello, City of Yes Yes Monticello EDA Yes Yes Monticello (Township of) No No Montelled (Township of) No No Mora, City of Yes Yes Mose Lake	Minneapolis		
Minneapolis Foundation's Enterpreneurs Fund No No Minnespolis Public Housing Authority No No Minnestota Grangicultural and Economic Development Board No No Minnestota Planning No No Minnestota Plauning No No Minnestoria No No Monterideo Total and Economic Development Yes Monterideo Total and Economic Development Yes Monterideo City of Mussing Data Monterideo City of Mussing Data Monterideo City of Mussing Data Monterideo City of No Monterideo City of No Monterideo City of No Monterideo City of No Monticello Cit			
Minneapolis Public Housing Authority No No Minnesota Agricultural and Economic Development Board No No Minnesota Pollution Control Agency No No Minnestoria No No Minnetonixa No No Minnetonixa No No Montenderia No No MInnetonixa No No Montenderia No No MND Ppt, of Trade and Economic Development Yes No/MN Legislative decreed Montevideo, City of Yes Yes Montevideo, City of Yes Yes Montevideo, City of Yes Yes Montedeo, City of Yes Yes Montedeo, City of Yes Yes Monticello City of Yes Yes Monticello EDA Yes Yes Monticello (Township of) No No Mose Lake Yes Yes Montal (Sty of) Yes Yes Mose City of No			
Minnesota Agricultural and Economic Development Board No No Minnesota Planning No No Minnestora Planning No No Minnestora Pollution Control Agency No No MN Rural Finance Authority Missing Data No/Mn Legislative decreed Montevideo City of Yes Yes Montevideo Community Development Corporation Yes Yes Yes Montecle City of No No No No Montecle City of No No No No Morter			
Minnesota Palanning No No Minnestora Pollution Control Agency No No Minnestorista No No MN Dept. of Trade and Economic Development Yes No/Grantor Agreement MN Dept. of Trade and Economic Development Yes No/Grantor Agreement MN Rural Finance Authority Missing Data No/MN Legislative decreed Montevideo Community Development Corporation Yes Yes Montevideo Community Development Corporation Yes Yes Montecello Community Development Corporation Yes Yes Monticello City of Yes Yes Monticello City of Yes Yes Monticello City of Yes Yes Monticello (Township of) No No More City of Yes Yes Mose Lake Yes Yes Mora City of No No Mora City of No No Mountain City of No No Mountain Iron Yes Yes Mountain I			
Minnesota Pollution Control Agency No No Minnetonka No No MN Dept. of Trade and Economic Development Yes No/Grantor Agreement MN Rural Finance Authority Missing Data No/MN Legislative decreed Montevideo. City of Yes Yes Montevideo Community Development Corporation Yes Yes Monticello. City of Yes Yes Monticello. City of Yes Yes Monticello EDA Yes Yes Monticello HRA Yes Yes Monticello (Township of) No No More (Township of) No No More (Township of) No No Mountain (Township of) No			
Minnetonka No No Minnetrista No No MN Dept. of Trade and Economic Development Yes No/Grantor Agreement MN Rural Finance Authority Missing Data No/MN Legislative decreed Montevideo City of Yes Yes Montevideo Community Development Corporation Yes Yes Montevideo Community Development Corporation Yes Yes Montecello Community Development Corporation Yes Yes Monticello City of Yes Yes Monticello City of Yes Yes Monticello City of Yes Yes Monticello (Township of) No No Moore Lake Yes Yes Mora City of Yes Yes Mora City of No No Mora City of No No Mora City of No No Mountain City of Yes Yes Mountain Iron HRA Yes Yes Mountain Iron HRA Yes Yes			
Minnetrista			
MN Dept. of Trade and Economic Development Yes			
MN Rural Finance Authority Missing Data No/MN Legislative decreed Montevideo, City of Yes Yes Montevideo Community Development Corporation Yes Yes Montecideo Community Development Corporation Yes Yes Monticello City of Yes Yes Monticello EDA Yes Yes Monticello EDA Yes Yes Monticello (Township of) No No Monticello (Township of) No No Moortead, City of Yes Yes Mooral, City of No No Mora, City of No No Mora, City of No No Mountain City of No No Mountain Fron Yes Yes Mountain Iron Yes Yes Mountain Iron HRA Yes Yes Mower County No No Nour DHAA Yes Yes Mower County No No New Hope No No <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
Montevideo Community Development Corporation Yes Yes Yes Montgomery, City of No No No No No No No			
Montgomery, City of No No Monticello, City of Yes Yes Monticello EDA Yes Yes Monticello HRA Yes Yes Monticello (Township of) No No Moorhead, City of Yes Yes Moore, City of No No Mora, City of No No Moris No No Moultay, City of No No Mound Yes Yes Mountain Iron Yes Yes Mountain Iron HRA Yes Yes Mower County No No Mower County No No No No No New Brighton No No New Hope No No No No No New Market (Township of) No No New Scandia (Township of) No No New Scandia (Township of) No No New			
Monticello, City of Yes Yes Monticello EDA Yes Yes Monticello (Township of) No No Moorhead, City of Yes Yes Moora, City of No No Mora, City of No No Mora, City of No No Morris No No Mound No No Mound Yes NoRLIF Mounds Yes, City of Yes Mountain Iron Yes Yes Mower County No No Mower County No No No No No New Brighton No No No No No New Brighton No No No No No </td <td>MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of</td> <td>Missing Data</td> <td>No/MN Legislative decreed</td>	MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of	Missing Data	No/MN Legislative decreed
Monticello EDA Yes Yes Monticello (Township of) No No Moorlead, City of Yes Yes Moose Lake Yes Yes Mora, City of No No Morris No No Morris No No Mourisin For No No Mountain Gro Yes No Mountain Iron Yes Yes Mountain Iron HRA Yes Yes Mountain Lake EDA Yes Yes Mower County No No No word County No No New Brighton No No New London (Township of) No No New London (Township of) No No New Jarket (Township of) No No New Seardia (Township of) No </td <td>MN Rural Finance Authority</td> <td>Missing Data Yes</td> <td>No/MN Legislative decreed Yes</td>	MN Rural Finance Authority	Missing Data Yes	No/MN Legislative decreed Yes
Monticello (Township of) Yes Yes Monticello (Township of) No No Moorhead, City of Yes Yes Moose Lake Yes Yes Mora, City of No No Moris No No Mountain Yes No/RLF Mountain Gro Yes Yes Mountain Iron No No Mountain Iron No No Mower County No No	MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of	Missing Data Yes Yes No	No/MN Legislative decreed Yes Yes No
Monticello (Township of) No No Moorhead, City of Yes Yes Moose Lake Yes Yes Mora, City of No No Moris No No Mounts No No Mountal Yes No/RLF Mountal View, City of Yes Yes Mountain Iron Yes Yes Mountain Iron HRA Yes Yes Mountain Lake EDA Yes Yes Mower County No No Mower County No No Mower County No No New Brighton No No New Hope No No New Hope No No New Hope No No New Prague, City of No No New Prague, City of No No New Prague, City of No No New Familia EDA No No No <t< td=""><td>MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of Montevideo Community Development Corporation Montgomery, City of Monticello, City of</td><td>Missing Data Yes Yes No Yes</td><td>No/MN Legislative decreed Yes Yes No Yes</td></t<>	MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of Montevideo Community Development Corporation Montgomery, City of Monticello, City of	Missing Data Yes Yes No Yes	No/MN Legislative decreed Yes Yes No Yes
Moorhead, City of Yes Yes Moose Lake Yes Yes Mora, City of No No Mornis No No Mound Mound Yes No/RLF Mounds View, City of Yes Yes Mountain Iron Yes Yes Mountain Iron HRA Yes Yes Mountain Lake EDA Yes Yes Mower County No No Mower County No No New Brighton No No New Hope No No New Hope No No New Hope No No New Market (Township of) No No New Market (Township of) No No New Prague, City of No No New Prague, City of No No New York Mills EDA No No New York Mills EDA No No No No No Nort	MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of Montevideo Community Development Corporation Montgomery, City of Monticello, City of Monticello EDA	Missing Data Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes	No/MN Legislative decreed Yes Yes No Yes Yes No Yes Yes
Moose Lake Yes Yes Mora. City of No No Moris No No Motley. City of No No Mound Yes No/RLF Moundain Iron Yes Yes Mountain Iron HRA Yes Yes Mountain Iron HRA Yes Yes Mountain Iron HRA Yes Yes Mountain Lake EDA Yes Yes Mower County No No Mower County No No Mew Brighton No No New Hope No No	MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of Montevideo Community Development Corporation Montgomery, City of Monticello, City of Monticello EDA Monticello HRA	Missing Data Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes	No/MN Legislative decreed Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
Mora. City of No No Morris No No Mound Yes No/RLF Mound Wiew, City of Yes Yes Mountain Iron Yes Yes Mountain Iron HRA Yes Yes Mountain Lake EDA Yes Yes Mower County No No Mower County No No Murdock, City of No Criteria No New Brighton No No New Hope No No New London (Township of) No No New London (Township of) No No New London (Township of) No No New Prague, City of No No New Prague, City of No No New Prague, City of No No New Ulm, City of No No New York Mills EDA No No No No No No No No	MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of Montevideo Community Development Corporation Montgomery, City of Monticello, City of Monticello EDA Monticello HRA Monticello (Township of)	Missing Data Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yos No	No/MN Legislative decreed
Morris No No Motley, City of No No Mound Yes No/RLF Mounds View, City of Yes Yes Mountain Iron Yes Yes Mountain Iron HRA Yes Yes Mountain Lake EDA Yes Yes Mower County No No Murdock, City of No No New Brighton No No New Brighton No No New Hope No No New Hope No No New London (Township of) No No New Warket (Township of) No No New Prague, City of No No New Prague, City of No No New Scandia (Township of) No No New Scandia (Township of) No No New York Mills EDA No No No No No New York Mills EDA No No	MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of Montevideo Community Development Corporation Montgomery, City of Monticello, City of Monticello EDA Monticello HRA Monticello (Township of) Moorhead, City of	Missing Data Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No	No/MN Legislative decreed
Motley, City of No No Mound Yes No/RLF Mounds View, City of Yes Yes Mountain Iron Yes Yes Mountain Iron HRA Yes Yes Mountain Lake EDA Yes Yes Mower County No No Murdock, City of No Criteria No New Brighton No No New Hope No No New Hope No No New London (Township of) No No New Market (Township of) No No New Prague, City of No No New Prague, City of No No New Prague, City of No No New Scandia (Township of) No No New York Mills EDA No No No No No New York Mills EDA No No No No No No No No	MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of Montevideo Community Development Corporation Montgomery, City of Monticello, City of Monticello EDA Monticello HRA Monticello (Township of) Moorhead, City of Moose Lake	Missing Data Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No Yes No Yes Yes	No/MN Legislative decreed
Mound Yes No/RLF Mounds View, City of Yes Yes Mountain Iron Yes Yes Mountain Iron HRA Yes Yes Mountain Lake EDA Yes Yes Mower County No No Mower County No No Murdock, City of No Criteria No New Brighton No No New Hope No No New London (Township of) No No New London (Township of) No No New Market (Township of) No No New Prague, City of No No New Prague, City of No No New Scandia (Township of) No No New Ulm, City of No No New Ulm, City of No No No No No No No No No No No No No No	MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of Montevideo Community Development Corporation Montgomery, City of Monticello, City of Monticello EDA Monticello HRA Monticello (Township of) Moorhead, City of Moose Lake Mora, City of	Missing Data Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No Yes No Yes No	No/MN Legislative decreed
Mounds View, City of Yes Yes Mountain Iron Yes Yes Mountain Lone Yes Yes Mountain Lake EDA Yes Yes Mower County No No Mower County No No Murdock, City of No Criteria No New Brighton No No New Hope No No No No No New Hope No No New Hope No No New Hope No No New Hope No No No <td>MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of Montevideo Community Development Corporation Montgomery, City of Monticello, City of Monticello EDA Monticello HRA Monticello (Township of) Moorhead. City of Moose Lake Mora. City of Morris</td> <td>Missing Data Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No Yes No No Yes</td> <td> No/MN Legislative decreed</td>	MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of Montevideo Community Development Corporation Montgomery, City of Monticello, City of Monticello EDA Monticello HRA Monticello (Township of) Moorhead. City of Moose Lake Mora. City of Morris	Missing Data Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No Yes No No Yes	No/MN Legislative decreed
Mountain Iron Yes Yes Mountain Iron HRA Yes Yes Mountain Lake EDA Yes Yes Mower County No No Mower County No No Murdock, City of No Criteria No New Brighton No No New Brighton No No New Hope No No New Hope No No New London (Township of) No No New Market (Township of) No No New Prague, City of No No New Scandia (Township of) No No New Scandia (Township of) No No New Ulm, City of No No New York Mills EDA No No No No No No No No No No No No No No Nocollet County No No Norman County	MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of Montevideo Community Development Corporation Montgomery, City of Monticello, City of Monticello EDA Monticello HRA Monticello (Township of) Moorhead, City of Moose Lake Mora, City of Mora, City of Moris Motley, City of	Missing Data Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No Yes No No Yes No No No	No/MN Legislative decreed
Mountain Iron HRA Yes Yes Mountain Lake EDA Yes Yes Mower County No No Murdock, City of No Criteria No New Brighton No No New Hope No No New London (Township of) No No New London (Township of) No No New London (Township of) No No New Market (Township of) No No New Prague. City of No No New Prague. City of No No New Ulm, City of No No New Ulm, City of No No New Ulm, City of No No New York Mills EDA No No No No	MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of Montevideo Community Development Corporation Montgomery, City of Monticello, City of Monticello EDA Monticello HRA Monticello (Township of) Moorhead, City of Moose Lake Mora, City of Mora, City of Moris Motley, City of	Missing Data Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No No No No	No/MN Legislative decreed
Mountain Lake EDA Yes Yes Mower County No No Murdock, City of No Criteria No New Brighton No No New Hope No No New London (Township of) No No New London (Township of) No No New London (Township of) No No New Market (Township of) No No New Prague, City of No No New Prague, City of No No New Scandia (Township of) No No New Ulm, City of No No New York Mills EDA No No New York Mills EDA No No No No No No Northell County No No <	MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of Montevideo Community Development Corporation Montgomery, City of Monticello, City of Monticello EDA Monticello HRA Monticello (Township of) Moorhead, City of Moose Lake Mora, City of Moris Molley, City of Mound Mounds View, City of	Missing Data Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No Yes No Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes	No/MN Legislative decreed
Murdock, City of No Criteria No New Brighton No No New Hope No No New London (Township of) No No New Market (Township of) No No New Market (Township of) No No New Prague, City of No No New Scandia (Township of) No No New Ulm, City of No No New York Mills EDA No No Nicollet County No No Nollet County No No Nollet County No No Nollet County No No North Scounty Yes Yes Norman County No No North Branch Yes Yes North End Area Revitalization, Inc. No No North End Area Revitalization, Inc. No No North End City of Yes Yes North Field City of Yes Yes North	MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of Montevideo Community Development Corporation Monticello, City of Monticello, City of Monticello EDA Monticello HRA Monticello (Township of) Moorhead, City of Moose Lake Mora, City of Morris Motley, City of Mound Mounds View, City of Mound Mounds View, City of Mountain Iron	Missing Data Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes No Yes No Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes No Yes Yes No No No No Yes Yes	No/MN Legislative decreed
New Brighton No No New Hope No No New London (Township of) No No New Market (Township of) No No Newport No No New Prague. City of No No New Scandia (Township of) No No New Ulm. City of No No New York Mills EDA No No Nicollet County No No Nolles County No No Norman County Yes Yes North Branch Yes Yes North End Area Revitalization, Inc. No No North End Area Revitalization, Inc. No No Northfield. City of Yes Yes Northfield EDA Yes Yes North Mankato. City of Yes Yes	MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of Montevideo Community Development Corporation Monticello, City of Monticello, City of Monticello EDA Monticello HRA Monticello (Township of) Moorhead, City of Moose Lake Mora, City of Morris Motley, City of Mound Mounds View, City of Mound Mounds View, City of Mountain Iron	Missing Data Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes Yes Yes No No No No Yes Yes Yes	No/MN Legislative decreed
New Hope No No New London (Township of) No No New Market (Township of) No No New Prague, City of No No New Scandia (Township of) No No New Ulm, City of No No New York Mills EDA No No Nicollet County No No No No No No Norman County No No North Branch Yes Yes North End Area Revitalization, Inc. No No Northem (Township of) No No Northfield, City of Yes Yes Northfield EDA Yes Yes North Mankato, City of Yes Yes	MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of Montevideo Community Development Corporation Montgomery, City of Monticello, City of Monticello EDA Monticello HRA Monticello (Township of) Moorhead, City of Moose Lake Mora, City of Morris Motley, City of Mound Mounds View, City of Mountain Iron Mountain Iron Mountain Iron HRA Mountain Lake EDA Mower County	Missing Data Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes Yes Yes No No No No No Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No/MN Legislative decreed
New London (Township of) No No New Market (Township of) No No New port No No New Prague. City of No No New Scandia (Township of) No No New Ulm. City of No No New Ulm. City of No No New York Mills EDA No No Nicollet County No No Nolles County Yes Yes Norman County No No North Branch Yes Yes North Branch Yes Yes North Branch No No	MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of Montevideo Community Development Corporation Montgomery, City of Monticello, City of Monticello EDA Monticello HRA Monticello (Township of) Moorhead, City of Moose Lake Mora, City of Mora, City of Moris Motley, City of Mound Mounda View, City of Mountain Iron Mountain Iron HRA Mountain Lake EDA Mower County Murdock, City of	Missing Data Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes No Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes No No No No No No No Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No	No/MN Legislative decreed
New Market (Township of) No No Newport No No New Prague. City of No No New Scandia (Township of) No No New Ulm. City of No No New York Mills EDA No No Nicollet County No No Noles County Yes Yes Norman County No No North Branch Yes Yes North Branch Yes Yes North Branch No No North Branch	MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of Montevideo Community Development Corporation Montgomery, City of Monticello, City of Monticello EDA Monticello HRA Monticello (Township of) Moorhead, City of Moose Lake Mora. City of Mora. City of Moris Molley, City of Mound Mounds View, City of Mountain Iron Mountain Iron HRA Mountain Lake EDA Mower County Murdock, City of New Brighton	Missing Data Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes No Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes No No No No No No No No No Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yos Yes Yos Yos No	No/MN Legislative decreed
Newport No No New Prague, City of No No New Scandia (Township of) No No New Ulm, City of No No New York Mills EDA No No Nicollet County No No Noles County Yes Yes Norman County No No North Branch Yes Yes Northeast Northland Foundation No No North End Area Revitalization, Inc. No No Northem (Township of) No No Northfield, City of Yes Yes North Mankato, City of Yes Yes	MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of Montevideo Community Development Corporation Montgomery, City of Monticello, City of Monticello EDA Monticello HRA Monticello (Township of) Moorhead, City of Moose Lake Mora. City of Moris Motley, City of Mound Mounds View, City of Moundain Iron Mountain Iron Mountain Iron HRA Mountain Lake EDA Mower County Murdock, City of New Brighton New Hope	Missing Data Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes No Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes No No No No No No Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No	No/MN Legislative decreed
New Prague, City of No No New Scandia (Township of) No No New Ulm, City of No No New York Mills EDA No No Nicollet County No No Noles County Yes Yes Norman County No No North Branch Yes Yes Northeast Northland Foundation No No Northeast Northland Foundation, Inc. No No Northem (Township of) No No Northfield, City of Yes Yes Northfield EDA Yes Yes North Mankato, City of Yes Yes	MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of Montevideo Community Development Corporation Montgomery, City of Monticello, City of Monticello EDA Monticello HRA Monticello (Township of) Moorhead, City of Moore Lake Mora, City of Morris Motley, City of Mound Mounds View, City of Mountain Iron Mountain Iron Mountain Iron HRA Mountain Lake EDA Mower County Murdock, City of New Brighton New Hope New London (Township of)	Missing Data Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes No Yes No Yes Yes No No No No No No Yes Yes Yes Yes No	No/MN Legislative decreed
New Scandia (Township of) No No New Ulm. City of No No New York Mills EDA No No Nicollet County No No Nobles County Yes Yes Norman County No No North Branch Yes Yes Northeast Northland Foundation No No North End Area Revitalization, Inc. No No Northfield City of No No Northfield, City of Yes Yes North Mankato, City of Yes Yes	MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of Montevideo Community Development Corporation Montgomery, City of Monticello, City of Monticello EDA Monticello HRA Monticello (Township of) Moorhead. City of Moore Lake Mora. City of Moris Motley. City of Monticello (Township of) Moris Motley. City of Mountain Iron Mountain Iron Mountain Iron HRA Mountain Iron HRA Mountain Lake EDA Mower County Murdock, City of New Brighton New Hope New London (Township of) New Market (Township of)	Missing Data Yes Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes No Yes Yes No No No No No No No Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yos Yes Yos No	No/MN Legislative decreed
New Ulm, City of No No New York Mills EDA No No Nicollet County No No Nobles County Yes Yes Norman County No No North Branch Yes Yes Northeast Northland Foundation No No North End Area Revitalization, Inc. No No Northern (Township of) No No Northfield, City of Yes Yes Northfield EDA Yes Yes North Mankato, City of Yes Yes	MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of Montevideo Community Development Corporation Montgomery, City of Monticello, City of Monticello EDA Monticello HRA Monticello (Township of) Moorhead, City of Moose Lake Mora, City of Mora, City of Moris Motley, City of Mound Mounds View, City of Mountain Iron Mountain Iron Mountain Iron Mountain Iron HRA Mountain Iron HRA Mountain Lake EDA Mower County Murdock, City of New Brighton New Hope New London (Township of) New Market (Township of) Newport	Missing Data Yes Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes No Yes Yes No No Yes Yes Yes No No No No No No No Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yos No	No/MN Legislative decreed
New York Mills EDA No No Nicollet County No No Nobles County Yes Yes Norman County No No North Branch Yes Yes Northeast Northland Foundation No No North End Area Revitalization, Inc. No No Northem (Township of) No No Northfield, City of Yes Yes Northfield EDA Yes Yes North Mankato, City of Yes Yes	MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of Montevideo Community Development Corporation Montgomery, City of Monticello, City of Monticello EDA Monticello HRA Monticello (Township of) Moorhead, City of Moose Lake Mora, City of Mora, City of Mora, City of Moral Mountain Iron Mountain Iron Mountain Iron HRA Mountain	Missing Data Yes Yes No Yes No Yes Yes No Yes No Yes No	No/MN Legislative decreed
Nicollet County No No Nobles County Yes Yes Norman County No No North Branch Yes Yes Northeast Northland Foundation No No North End Area Revitalization, Inc. No No Northem (Township of) No No Northfield, City of Yes Yes Northfield EDA Yes Yes North Mankato, City of Yes Yes	MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of Montevideo Community Development Corporation Montgomery, City of Monticello, City of Monticello EDA Monticello HRA Monticello (Township of) Moorhead, City of Moose Lake Mora, City of Mora, City of Mora, City of Mound Mounds View, City of Mound Mounds View, City of Mountain Iron Mountain Iron HRA Mountain Iron HRA Mountain Lake EDA Mower County Murdock, City of New Brighton New Hope New London (Township of) New Market (Township of) New Market (Township of) New Prague, City of New Scandia (Township of)	Missing Data Yes Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes No Yes Yes No	No/MN Legislative decreed
Nobles County Yes Yes Norman County No No North Branch Yes Yes Northeast Northland Foundation No No North End Area Revitalization, Inc. No No Northem (Township of) No No Northfield, City of Yes Yes Northfield EDA Yes Yes North Mankato, City of Yes Yes	MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of Montevideo Community Development Corporation Montgomery, City of Monticello, City of Monticello EDA Monticello HRA Monticello (Township of) Moorhead, City of Moose Lake Mora, City of Moorse Lake Mora, City of Mound Mounds View, City of Mound Mounds View, City of Mountain Iron Mountain Iron HRA Mountain Lake EDA Mower County Murdock, City of New Brighton New Hope New London (Township of) New Market (Township of) New Market (Township of) New Prague, City of New Scandia (Township of) New Scandia (Township of) New Ulm, City of	Missing Data Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes No Yes Yes No	No/MN Legislative decreed
Norman County No No North Branch Yes Yes Northeast Northland Foundation No No North End Area Revitalization, Inc. No No Northern (Township of) No No Northfield. City of Yes Yes Northfield EDA Yes Yes North Mankato. City of Yes Yes	MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of Montevideo Community Development Corporation Montgomery, City of Monticello, City of Monticello EDA Monticello HRA Monticello (Township of) Moorhead, City of Moore Lake Mora, City of Morris Motley, City of Mound Mounds View, City of Mountain Iron Mountain Iron HRA Mountain Lake EDA Mower County Murdock, City of New Brighton New Hope New London (Township of) New Market (Township of) New Market (Township of) New Prague, City of New Scandia (Township of) New Scandia (Township of) New Unn, City of New Unn, City of New Unn, City of New Unn, City of New Work Mills EDA	Missing Data Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes No Yes Yes No	No/MN Legislative decreed
North Branch Yes Yes Northeast Northland Foundation No No North End Area Revitalization, Inc. No No Northern (Township of) No No Northfield, City of Yes Yes Northfield EDA Yes Yes North Mankato, City of Yes Yes	MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of Montevideo Community Development Corporation Montgomery, City of Monticello, City of Monticello EDA Monticello HRA Monticello (Township of) Moorhead. City of Moose Lake Mora. City of Moris Motley. City of Monticello, City of Mountain Iron Mountain Iron Mountain Iron Mountain Iron HRA Mountain Lake EDA Mower County Murdock, City of New Brighton New Hope New London (Township of) New Market (Township of) New Market (Township of) New Prague. City of New Scandia (Township of) New York Mills EDA Nicollet County	Missing Data Yes Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes No No Yes Yes No	No/MN Legislative decreed
Northeast Northland Foundation No No North End Area Revitalization, Inc. No No Northern (Township of) No No Northfield, City of Yes Yes Northfield EDA Yes Yes North Mankato, City of Yes Yes	MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of Montevideo Community Development Corporation Montgomery, City of Monticello, City of Monticello EDA Monticello HRA Monticello (Township of) Moorhead, City of Moorse Lake Mora, City of Morris Motley, City of Mound Mounds View, City of Mountain Iron Mountain Iron Mountain Iron HRA Mountain Iron HRA Mountain Lake EDA Mower County Murdock, City of New Brighton New Hope New London (Township of) New Market (Township of) New Prague, City of New Prague, City of New Prague, City of New Ulm, City of New York Mills EDA Nicollet County Nobles County	Missing Data Yes Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes No Yes Yes No	No/MN Legislative decreed
North End Area Revitalization, Inc. No No Northern (Township of) No No Northfield, City of Yes Yes Northfield EDA Yes Yes North Mankato, City of Yes Yes	MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of Montevideo Community Development Corporation Montgomery, City of Monticello, City of Monticello EDA Monticello HRA Monticello (Township of) Moorhead, City of Moose Lake Mora, City of Mora, City of Moris Motley, City of Mound Mounds View, City of Mountain Iron Mountain Iron Mountain Iron HRA Mountain Lake EDA Mower County Murdock, City of New Brighton New Hope New London (Township of) New Market (Township of) Newport New Prague, City of New Scandia (Township of) New Ulm, City of New York Mills EDA Nicollet County Norman County Norman County	Missing Data Yes Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes No Yes Yes No	No/MN Legislative decreed
Northern (Township of) No No Northfield, City of Yes Yes Northfield EDA Yes Yes North Mankato, City of Yes Yes	MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of Montevideo Community Development Corporation Montgomery, City of Monticello, City of Monticello EDA Monticello HRA Monticello (Township of) Moorhead, City of Moose Lake Mora, City of Mora, City of Moris Motley, City of Mound Mounda View, City of Mountain Iron Mountain Iron Mountain Iron HRA Mountain Iron HRA Mountain Iron HRA Mountain Iron HOUNT HAA Mountain Iron Mountain	Missing Data Yes Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes No Yes Yes No	No/MN Legislative decreed
Northfield, City of Yes Yes Northfield EDA Yes Yes North Mankato, City of Yes Yes	MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of Montevideo Community Development Corporation Montgomery, City of Monticello, City of Monticello EDA Monticello HRA Monticello (Township of) Moorhead, City of Moose Lake Mora. City of Mora. City of Mora. City of Mound Mounds View, City of Mountain Iron Mountain Iron Mountain Iron HRA Montain Lake EDA Mower County Murdock, City of New Brighton New Hope New London (Township of) New Market (Township of) New Market (Township of) New Prague, City of New Scandia (Township of) New Ulm, City of New Ulm, City of New Ulm, City of New Ulm, City of New Ork Mills EDA Nicollet County Northeast Northland Foundation	Missing Data Yes Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes No Yes Yes No	No/MN Legislative decreed
Northfield EDA Yes Yes North Mankato, City of Yes Yes	MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of Montevideo Community Development Corporation Montgomery, City of Monticello, City of Monticello EDA Monticello HRA Monticello (Township of) Moorhead. City of Moose Lake Mora. City of Moris Motley. City of Moris Mouley. City of Mound Mounds View, City of Mountain Iron Mountain Iron Mountain Iron HRA Mountain Lake EDA Mower County Murdock, City of New Brighton New Hope New London (Township of) New Hope New London (Township of) New Market (Township of) New Market (Township of) New Scandia (Township of) New County New Yrague, City of New York Mills EDA Nicollet County Norles County Norman County North Branch North End Area Revitalization, Inc.	Missing Data Yes Yes No Yes No Yes Yes No Yes No Yes Yes No	No/MN Legislative decreed
North Mankato, City of Yes Yes	MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of Montevideo Community Development Corporation Montgomery, City of Monticello, City of Monticello EDA Monticello HRA Monticello (Township of) Moorhead. City of Moose Lake Mora. City of Moris Motley. City of Montian Groman Mounds View, City of Mound Mounds View, City of Mountain Iron Mountain Iron HRA Mountain Iron HRA Mountain Lake EDA Mower County Murdock, City of New Brighton New Hope New London (Township of) New Market (Township of) New Warket (Township of) New Work Scandia (Township of) New Unn. City of New York Mills EDA Nicollet County Norman County North Branch Northeast Northland Foundation North End Area Revitalization, Inc. Northem (Township of)	Missing Data Yes Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes No	No/MN Legislative decreed
	MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of Montevideo Community Development Corporation Montgomery, City of Monticello, City of Monticello EDA Monticello HRA Monticello (Township of) Moorhead, City of Moose Lake Mora, City of Moris Motley, City of Monda Mounds View, City of Mountain Iron Mountain Iron Mountain Iron HRA Mountain Iron HRA Mountain Iron HRA Mountain Iron HRO Mower County Murdock, City of New Brighton New Hope New London (Township of) New Market (Township of) New Prague, City of New Prague, City of New Prague, City of New Ord, Mills EDA Nicollet County Northe Branch Northeast Northland Foundation North End Area Revitalization, Inc. Northfield, City of Northfield, City of Northfield, City of Northfield, City of	Missing Data Yes Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes No Yes Yes No	No/MN Legislative decreed
	MN Rural Finance Authority Montevideo, City of Montevideo Community Development Corporation Montgomery, City of Monticello, City of Monticello EDA Monticello HRA Monticello (Township of) Moorhead, City of Moose Lake Mora, City of Morris Motley, City of Mound Mounds View, City of Mountain Iron Mountain Iron HRA Mountain Iron HRA Mountain Lake EDA Mower County Murdock, City of New Brighton New Hope New London (Township of) New Market (Township of) New Market (Township of) New Prague, City of New York Mills EDA Nicollet County Nobles County North Branch Northeast Northland Foundation North End Area Revitalization, Inc. Northem (Township of)	Missing Data Yes Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes No Yes Yes No	No/MN Legislative decreed

Agency Name	Public Hearing Held	Criteria Submitted
North Oaks	No	No
North Saint Paul	No	No
Northwest MN Foundation	Yes	No
Norwood Oakdale, City of	No Yes	No Yes
Oak Grove	No	No
Oak Park Heights	Yes	Yes
Oak Park Heights EDA	Yes	No
Olivia, City of	No	No
Olivia EDA	No	No
Olmstead County	No	No
Onamia, City of	No	No
Orono	No	No
Osakis, City of	Yes	Yes
Osseo, City of	No No	No
Otsego Owatonna	Yes Yes	No Yes
Owatonna EDA	Yes	Yes
Park Rapids	No	No No
Parkers Prairie, City of	Yes	Yes
Paynesville, City of	No	No
Pelican Rapids, City of	No	No
Pennington County	No	No
Pequot Lakes, City of	Yes	Yes
Perham, City of	Yes	No
Pierz, City of	Yes	Yes
Pine City, City of	No	No
Pine Island, City of	No	No
Pipestone EDA	Yes	Yes
Pipestone, City of	No	Yes
Pipestone County	No	No
Plainview, City of	No No	No No
Plato, City of Plymouth	No	No No
Polk County	No	No
Pope County	No No	No
	110	110
Preston City of	No	No.
	No Yes	No Yes
Princeton	Yes	Yes
Preston, City of Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of		
Princeton	Yes Yes	Yes Yes
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of Ramsey	Yes Yes Yes	Yes Yes No
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of	Yes Yes Yes Yes	Yes Yes No No
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of Ramsey Ramsey County HRA Red Lake Falls, City of Red Wing	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No No Yes	Yes Yes No No No No/Federal Compliance No Yes
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor. City of Ramsey Ramsey County HRA Red Lake Falls, City of Red Wing Red Wing Red Wing	Yes	Yes Yes No No No/Federal Compliance No Yes No
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of Ramsey Ramsey County HRA Red Lake Falls, City of Red Wing Red Wing HRA Red Wing Port Authority	Yes Yes Yes Yes No No Yes No Yes No Yes	Yes Yes No No No No/Federal Compliance No Yes No Yes
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of Ramsey Ramsey County HRA Red Lake Falls, City of Red Wing Red Wing HRA Red Wing Port Authority Redwood County	Yes Yes Yes Yes No No No Yes No Yes No	Yes Yes No No No No/Federal Compliance No Yes No Yes No
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of Ramsey Ramsey County HRA Red Lake Falls, City of Red Wing Red Wing HRA Red Wing HRA Red Wing Port Authority Redwood County Redwood Falls, City of	Yes	Yes Yes No No No No/Federal Compliance No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of Ramsey Ramsey County HRA Red Lake Falls, City of Red Wing Red Wing HRA Red Wing Port Authority Redwood County Redwood Falls, City of Renville, City of	Yes Yes Yes Yes No No No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No	Yes Yes No No No No/Federal Compliance No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No No Yes
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of Ramsey Ramsey County HRA Red Lake Falls, City of Red Wing Red Wing HRA Red Wing Port Authority Redwood County Redwood Falls, City of Renville, City of Renville, City of Rice Lake (Township of)	Yes Yes Yes Yes No No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yos No Yes	Yes Yes No No No/Federal Compliance No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No No No No No
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of Ramsey Ramsey Ramsey County HRA Red Lake Falls, City of Red Wing Red Wing HRA Red Wing Port Authority Redwood County Redwood Falls, City of Renville, City of Rice Lake (Township of) Richfield	Yes Yes Yes Yes No No No Yes	Yes Yes No No No/Federal Compliance No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of Ramsey Ramsey County HRA Red Lake Falls, City of Red Wing Red Wing HRA Red Wing Port Authority Redwood County Redwood Falls, City of Renville, City of Rice Lake (Township of) Richfield Richfield HRA	Yes Yes Yes Yes No No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yos No Yes	Yes Yes No No No/Federal Compliance No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No No No No No
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of Ramsey Ramsey County HRA Red Lake Falls, City of Red Wing Red Wing HRA Red Wing Port Authority Redwood County Redwood Falls, City of Renville, City of Rice Lake (Township of) Richfield Richfield HRA Robbinsdale	Yes Yes Yes Yes No No No Yes	Yes Yes No No No No/Federal Compliance No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No Yes
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of Ramsey Ramsey County HRA Red Lake Falls, City of Red Wing Red Wing HRA Red Wing Port Authority Redwood County Redwood Falls, City of Renville, City of Rice Lake (Township of) Richfield Richfield HRA Robbinsdale Robbinsdale Economic Development Authority	Yes Yes Yes Yes No No No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No No Yes No No No	Yes Yes No No No No/Federal Compliance No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No No Yes No No No
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of Ramsey Ramsey County HRA Red Lake Falls, City of Red Wing Red Wing HRA Red Wing Port Authority Redwood County Redwood Falls, City of Renville, City of Rice Lake (Township of) Richfield Richfield HRA Robbinsdale Robbinsdale Robbinsdale Economic Development Authority Rochester (Township of)	Yes Yes Yes Yes No No No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No No Yes No No Yes No No Yes	Yes Yes No No No No/Federal Compliance No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of Ramsey Ramsey Ramsey County HRA Red Lake Falls, City of Red Wing Red Wing HRA Red Wing Port Authority Redwood County Redwood Falls, City of Renville, City of Renville, City of Rice Lake (Township of) Richfield Richfield HRA Robbinsdale Robbinsdale Economic Development Authority Rochester, City of Rochester, City of Rochester, City of Rockford, City of	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No No No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No No Yes No No Yes No No Yes	Yes Yes No No No No/Federal Compliance No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of Ramsey Ramsey Ramsey County HRA Red Lake Falls, City of Red Wing Red Wing HRA Red Wing Port Authority Redwood County Redwood Falls, City of Renville, City of Rice Lake (Township of) Richfield Richfield HRA Robbinsdale Robbinsdale Economic Development Authority Rochester, City of Rockford, City of Rockford, City of	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No No No Yes Yes Yes No Yes No Yes	Yes Yes No No No No/Federal Compliance No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No No No No No Yes Yes No No No Yes
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of Ramsey Ramsey County HRA Red Lake Falls, City of Red Wing Red Wing HRA Red Wing Port Authority Redwood County Redwood Falls, City of Renville, City of Rice Lake (Township of) Richfield Richfield HRA Robbinsdale Economic Development Authority Rochester (Township of) Rochester (Township of) Rockford, City of Rockford, City of Rockford (Township of) Rockford (Township of) Rogers, City of	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No No No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No No Yes Yes Yes No Yes Yes No No Yes No Yes	Yes Yes No No No No/Federal Compliance No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No No Yes No No Yes No No Yes Yes No No Yes Yes Yes No No Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No Yes
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of Ramsey Ramsey County HRA Red Lake Falls, City of Red Wing Red Wing HRA Red Wing Port Authority Redwood County Redwood Falls, City of Renville, City of Renville, City of Rice Lake (Township of) Richfield Richfield HRA Robbinsdale Robbinsdale Economic Development Authority Rockester (Township of) Rockford, City of Rockford (Township of) Rockford (Township of) Rogers, City of Roseau, City of	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No No No Yes Yes No No Yes Yes No No Yes No Yes No No Yes No No Yes No No Yes No	Yes Yes No No No No/Federal Compliance No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No No Yes No No Yes No No Yes No No No Yes Yes No
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of Ramsey Ramsey Ramsey County HRA Red Lake Falls, City of Red Wing Red Wing HRA Red Wing Port Authority Redwood County Redwood Falls, City of Renville, City of Renville, City of Rice Lake (Township of) Richfield Richfield HRA Robbinsdale Robbinsdale Economic Development Authority Rochester (Township of) Rockford, City of Rockford (City of Rockford (Township of) Rogers, City of Roseau, City of Roseau, City of Rosemount	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No No No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No No Yes Yes No No Yes Yes No No Yes Yes No No No No No No No No No	Yes Yes No No No No/Federal Compliance No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No No Yes No No Yes No No Yes No No No Yes No
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of Ramsey Ramsey Ramsey County HRA Red Lake Falls, City of Red Wing Red Wing HRA Red Wing Port Authority Redwood County Redwood Falls, City of Renville, City of Rice Lake (Township of) Richfield HRA Robbinsdale Economic Development Authority Rochester (Township of) Rochester, City of Rockford, City of Rockford (Township of) Rogers, City of Rosemount Rosemount Port Authority	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No No No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No No Yes Yes No No Yes No No Yes No Yes No Yos No Yes No No Yes No	Yes Yes No No No No/Federal Compliance No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No No Yes No No Yes No No No No Yes Yes No
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of Ramsey Ramsey Ramsey County HRA Red Lake Falls, City of Red Wing Red Wing HRA Red Wing Port Authority Redwood County Redwood Falls, City of Renville, City of Rice Lake (Township of) Richfield Richfield HRA Robbinsdale Economic Development Authority Rochester (Township of) Rochester, City of Rockford, City of Rockford (Township of) Rogers, City of Roseau, City of Rosemount Rosemount Port Authority Roseville, City of	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No No No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No No Yes Yes No No Yes Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No No Yes No No Yes No No Yes No	Yes Yes No No No No/Federal Compliance No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No No Yes No No No Yes Yes No
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of Ramsey Ramsey Ramsey County HRA Red Lake Falls, City of Red Wing Red Wing HRA Red Wing Port Authority Redwood County Redwood Falls, City of Renville, City of Rice Lake (Township of) Richfield Richfield HRA Robbinsdale Economic Development Authority Rochester (Township of) Rochester, City of Rockford, City of Rockford, City of Rosemount Rosemount Port Authority Roseville, City of Sacred Heart, City of	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No No No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No No Yes No No Yes Yes No No Yes Yes No No Yes No No Yes No No Yes No	Yes
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of Ramsey Ramsey Ramsey County HRA Red Lake Falls, City of Red Wing Red Wing HRA Red Wing Port Authority Redwood County Redwood Falls, City of Rice Lake (Township of) Richfield Richfield HRA Robbinsdale Economic Development Authority Rochester (Township of) Rochester, City of Rockford, City of Roseau, City of Rosemount Rosewille, City of Rosewille, City of Rosewille, City of Rockford (Township of) Rochester, City of Rockford, City of Rosemount Rosemount Rosemount Rosewille, City of Sacred Heart, City of Sacred Heart, City of Saint Anthony Village	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No No No No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No No Yes Yes No No Yes Yes No No Yes No Yes No No Yes No No Yes No	Yes
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of Ramsey Ramsey Ramsey County HRA Red Lake Falls, City of Red Wing Red Wing HRA Red Wing Port Authority Redwood County Redwood Falls, City of Renville, City of Rice Lake (Township of) Richfield Richfield HRA Robbinsdale Robbinsdale Robbinsdale Economic Development Authority Rochester (Township of) Rochester, City of Rockford, City of Rockford, City of Rockford (Township of) Rogers, City of Roseau, City of Roseau, City of Rosemount Rosemount Port Authority Roseville, City of Sairt Anthony Village Saint Augusta (Township of)	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No No No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No No Yes No No Yes Yes No No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No O Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No No Yes No	Yes
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of Ramsey Ramsey County HRA Red Lake Falls, City of Red Wing Red Wing HRA Red Wing Port Authority Redwood County Redwood Falls, City of Renville, City of Rice Lake (Township of) Richfield Richfield HRA Robbinsdale Robbinsdale Economic Development Authority Rockester (Township of) Rockford, City of Rockford, City of Rockford, City of Rockford (Township of) Rosemount Rosemount Rosemount Rosemount Port Authority Roseville, City of Sacred Heart, City of Saint Anthony Village Saint Augusta (Township of) Saint Charles	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No No No No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No	Yes
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of Ramsey Ramsey Ramsey County HRA Red Lake Falls, City of Red Wing Red Wing HRA Red Wing Port Authority Redwood County Redwood Falls, City of Renville, City of Rice Lake (Township of) Richfield Richfield HRA Robbinsdale Robbinsdale Economic Development Authority Rochester (Township of) Rockford, City of Rockford, City of Rockford (Township of) Roseau, City of Roseau, City of Rosemount Rosemount Port Authority Rosemount Port Authority Roserd Heart, City of Sacred Heart, City of Saint Anthony Village Saint Augusta (Township of) Saint Cloud	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No No No No Yes No Yes No Yes No No Yes No No Yes Yes No No Yes Yes No No Yes Yes No	Yes
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of Ramsey Ramsey Ramsey County HRA Red Lake Falls, City of Red Wing Red Wing HRA Red Wing Port Authority Redwood County Redwood Falls, City of Renville, City of Rice Lake (Township of) Richfield HRA Robbinsdale Economic Development Authority Rochester (Township of) Rochester, City of Rockford, City of Rockford (Township of) Rosemount Rosemount Rosemount Port Authority Roseville, City of Roseville, City of Rosed (Township of) Rogers, City of Rosemount Rosemount Port Authority Roseville, City of Saint Anthony Village Saint Augusta (Township of) Saint Cloud Saint Cloud, HRA	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No No No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No No Yes Yes No No Yes Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes Yes No No Yes Yes No	Yes
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of Ramsey Ramsey County HRA Red Lake Falls, City of Red Wing Red Wing HRA Red Wing Port Authority Redwood County Redwood Falls, City of Renville, City of Rice Lake (Township of) Richfield HRA Robbinsdale Economic Development Authority Rochester (Township of) Rochester, City of Rockford, City of Roseau, City of Roseau, City of Rosemount Rosemount Port Authority Roseville, City of Saint Anthony Village Saint Augusta (Township of) Saint Cloud Saint Cloud Saint Cloud Saint Francis	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No No No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No No Yes Yes No No Yes Yes No No Yes Yes No No Yes Yes No	Yes
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of Ramsey Ramsey Ramsey County HRA Red Lake Falls, City of Red Wing Red Wing HRA Red Wing Port Authority Redwood County Redwood Falls, City of Renville, City of Rice Lake (Township of) Richfield Richfield HRA Robbinsdale Economic Development Authority Rochester (Township of) Rochester, City of Rockford, City of Roseau, City of Rosemount Rosemount Port Authority Rosewille, City of Saint Anthony Village Saint Augusta (Township of) Saint Charles Saint Cloud, HRA Saint Francis Saint James, City of	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No No No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No No Yes Yes No	Yes
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of Ramsey Ramsey Ramsey County HRA Red Lake Falls, City of Red Wing Red Wing HRA Red Wing Port Authority Redwood County Redwood Falls, City of Renville, City of Rice Lake (Township of) Richfield Richfield HRA Robbinsdale Robbinsdale Robbinsdale Economic Development Authority Rochester (Township of) Rockford, City of Rockford, City of Rockford, City of Rosemount Rosemount Rosemount Port Authority Roseville, City of Saint Angusta (Township of) Saint Augusta (Township of) Saint Cloud Saint Cloud Saint Cloud Saint Iames, City of Saint James, City of Saint James HRA	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No No No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No No Yes Yes No	Yes
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of Ramsey Ramsey Ramsey County HRA Red Lake Falls, City of Red Wing Red Wing HRA Red Wing Port Authority Redwood County Redwood Falls, City of Renville, City of Rice Lake (Township of) Richfield Richfield HRA Robbinsdale Robbinsdale Economic Development Authority Rochester (Township of) Rockford, City of Rockford, City of Rockford (Township of) Rosemount Rosemount Rosemount Port Authority Rosewille, City of Sacred Heart, City of Saint Anthony Village Saint Lloud Saint Cloud, HRA Saint Francis Saint James, City of Saint James HRA Saint Joseph, City of	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No No No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No No Yes Yes No	Yes
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of Ramsey Ramsey County HRA Red Lake Falls, City of Red Wing Red Wing Red Wing HRA Red Wing Port Authority Redwood County Redwood Falls, City of Renville, City of Rice Lake (Township of) Richfield Richfield HRA Robbinsdale Robbinsdale Robbinsdale Economic Development Authority Rockester (Township of) Rockford, City of Rockford, City of Rockford, City of Roseau, City of Roseau, City of Roseau, City of Roseau, City of Rosemount Port Authority Roseville, City of Saint Augusta (Township of) Saint Augusta (Township of) Saint Cloud Saint Cloud, HRA Saint Francis Saint James, City of Saint James, City of Saint James HRA	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No No No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No No Yes No No Yes Yes No	Yes
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of Ramsey Ramsey Ramsey County HRA Red Lake Falls, City of Red Wing Red Wing HRA Red Wing Port Authority Redwood County Redwood Falls, City of Renville, City of Rice Lake (Township of) Richfield Richfield HRA Robbinsdale Robbinsdale Economic Development Authority Rochester (Township of) Rockford, City of Rockford, City of Rockford (Township of) Roseau, City of Roseau, City of Rosemount Rosemount Port Authority Roserount Rosemount Port Authority Roseville, City of Sacred Heart, City of Saint Anthony Village Saint Augusta (Township of) Saint Cloud Saint Cloud Saint Cloud, HRA Saint Trancis Saint James, City of Saint James, City of Saint Joseph, City of	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No No No No Yes No Yes No Yes No No Yes No No Yes Yes No No Yes Yes No Yes Yes No Yes Yes No No Yes Yes No No Yes Yes Yes No	Yes
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of Ramsey Ramsey Ramsey County HRA Red Lake Falls, City of Red Wing Red Wing HRA Red Wing Port Authority Redwood County Redwood Falls, City of Renville, City of Rice Lake (Township of) Richfield Richfield HRA Robbinsdale Robbinsdale Economic Development Authority Rochester (Township of) Rockford, City of Rockford, City of Rockford (Township of) Roseau, City of Roseau, City of Roseau, City of Roseau, City of Saint Anthony Village Saint Augusta (Township of) Saint Cloud Saint Cloud, HRA Saint James, City of Saint Joseph, City of Saint Louis Park	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No No No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No No Yes Yes No Yes No Yes Yes No Yes Yes No Yes Yes No No Yes Yes No	Yes
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of Ramsey Ramsey Ramsey County HRA Red Lake Falls, City of Red Wing Red Wing HRA Red Wing Port Authority Redwood County Redwood Falls, City of Renville, City of Rice Lake (Township of) Richfield Richfield HRA Robbinsdale Robbinsdale Economic Development Authority Rochester (Township of) Rockford, City of Rockford, City of Rockford (Township of) Roseau, City of Roseau, City of Rosemount Rosemount Port Authority Roseville, City of Saint Augusta (Township of) Saint Cloud, HRA Saint Francis Saint James, City of Saint James HRA Saint Joseph, City of Saint Louis Park Saint Michael, City of Saint Paul, City of	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No No No Yes No Yes No Yes No No Yes No No Yes Yes No	Yes
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of Ramsey Ramsey Ramsey County HRA Red Lake Falls, City of Red Wing Red Wing HRA Red Wing Port Authority Redwood County Redwood Falls, City of Renville, City of Rice Lake (Township of) Richfield Richfield HRA Robbinsdale Robbinsdale Economic Development Authority Rochester (Township of) Rockford, City of Rockford, City of Rockford (Township of) Rosemount Rosemount Port Authority Rosewille, City of Sacred Heart, City of Saint Anthony Village Saint Cloud Saint Cloud, HRA Saint Francis Saint James, City of Saint Joseph, City of Saint Joseph, City of Saint Louis Park Saint Louis Park Saint Louis Park Saint Louis Park Saint Paul, City of Saint Paul Park	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No No No No Yes No Yes No Yes No No Yes No No Yes Yes No No Yes No Yes Yes No No Yes Yes No No Yes Yes No Yes Yes No No No No No No No No Yes Yes Yes No No No No No No No Yes Yes Yes No No No No No Yes	Yes
Princeton Prior Lake, City of Proctor, City of Ramsey Ramsey Ramsey County HRA Red Lake Falls, City of Red Wing Red Wing HRA Red Wing Port Authority Redwood County Redwood Falls, City of Renville, City of Rice Lake (Township of) Richfield Richfield HRA Robbinsdale Robbinsdale Economic Development Authority Rochester (Township of) Rockford, City of Rockford, City of Rockford (Township of) Roseau, City of Roseau, City of Rosemount Rosemount Port Authority Roseville, City of Saint Augusta (Township of) Saint Cloud, HRA Saint Francis Saint James, City of Saint James HRA Saint Joseph, City of Saint Louis Park Saint Michael, City of Saint Paul, City of	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No No No Yes No Yes No Yes No No Yes No No Yes Yes No	Yes

Agency Name	Public Hearing Held	Criteria Submitted
Saint Peter, City of	No	No
Saint Peter EDA	Yes	No/Resolutions/No Policy
Sartell, City of	Yes	Yes
Sauk Centre, City of	Yes	Yes
Sauk Rapids	Yes	Yes
Sauk Rapids HRA	Yes	Yes
Savage	No	Yes
Savage EDA	Yes	No
Sebeka, City of	No	No
Shakopee, City of	Yes	No
Shoreview	Yes	Yes
Shorewood	No	No
Slayton EDA	No	No
Sleepy Eye	No	No
Sleepy Eye EDA	No	No
South Saint Paul	Yes	Yes
South St. Paul HRA	Yes	Yes
Southeastern Minnesota Initiative Fund	No	No
Southwest Minnesota Foundation	No	No
Southwest Minnesota Initiative Fund	No	No
Southwest Regional Development Commission	Yes	Yes
Spicer, City of	res	Yes
Spring Lake Park	No	No
Spring Lake Park Township	No	No
Spring Valley, City of	Yes	Yes
Spring Valley EDA	Yes	Yes
Staples, City of	Yes	Yes
Staples EDA	Yes	Yes
Stearns County	Yes	Yes
Stearns County HRA	Yes	No
Steele County	No	No
Stevens County	No	No
Stewartville, City of	No	No
Stillwater, City of	Yes	Yes
Stockton, City of	No	No
Swift County	No	No
Swift County HRA	No	No
Swift County RDA	No	No
Thief River Falls, City of	No	No
Traverse County	No	No
Thomson (Township of)	No	No
Two Harbors	Yes	Yes
Two Harbors Development Commission	Yes	Yes
Upper Minnesota Valley Regional Development Commission	No	No
Urban Initiative Board/Milestone Growth Fund	No	No
Vadnais Heights	Missing Data	No
Verndale, City of		
	No	No
Victoria	No	No
Villard, City of	No	No
Virginia	No	No
Wabasha, City of	Yes	Yes
Wabasso, City of	No	No
Waconia, City of	No	No
Wadena, City of	No	No
Waite Park	No	No
Wakefield (Township of)	No	No
Walker, City of	No	No
Warroad Port Authority	No	No
Waseca, City of	No	No
Vaseca HRA	No	No
Watab (Township of)	No	No
Watertown	No	No
Watkins, City of	Yes	Yes
Wayzata	No	No
Velcome, City of	No	No
Wells, City of	Yes	Yes
Wells EDA	Yes	Yes
West Central Initiative Fund	No	No
West Concord, City of	No	No
West Lakeland (Township of)	No	No
West St. Paul, City of	No	No
West St. Paul EDA	Yes	No
Wheaton EDA	No	No
White Bear Lake, City of	No No	No
White Bear Lake, City of White Bear Lake HRA	No No	No No
White Bear (Township of)	No	No
Wilken County	Yes	Yes
Willmar, City of	No	No
Windom	No	Yes
Windom, EDA Winnebago, City of	Yes	Yes
	Yes	Yes

Public Hearing Held	Criteria Submitted
No	Yes
No	Yes
Yes	No
No	No
No	No
No	No
Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes
	No

RLF = Revolving Loan Fund

Yes - 35.4% (176)	Yes - 29.6% (147)
No - 59.8% (297)	No - 70.4% (350)
1.1	

No Criteria - 1.4% (7) Other - 2.0% (10) Missing Data - 1.4% (7)

APPENDIX K:

Listing of Agencies that Submitted Criteria in 2000 for Business Subsidies Per M.S. § 116J.993 - § 116J.995

NOTE: If criteria are not attached to the report readers may review copies at DTED's web site: (www.dted.state.mn.us, click on Communities, then Business Subsidies Reporting) and the Minnesota Legislature Reference Library.

, ,	Albany City of
1	Albany, City of
	Albert Lea, City of
	Albertville
_ 1	Alexandria, City of
5	Apple Valley
5	Arrowhead Regional Development Commission
7	Austin
	Becker, City of
	Belle Plaine, City of
	Belview, City of
	Benton County
	Big Lake
	Blaine EDA
	Blue Earth EDA
	Brainerd, City of
	Brooklyn Center
	Brooklyn Center EDA
	Brooklyn Park, City of
٦,	Brooklyn Park EDA
וי	Brown County Economic Development Partners, Inc.
	Burnsville EDA
	Caledonia, City of
	Caledonia EDA
	Cambridge
	Centerville, City of
	Champlin, EDA
	Chanhassen
	Chaska
	Chaska EDA
	Chisago County HRA
	Cottage Grove, City of
	Dakota County Community Development Agency
	Duluth Seaway Port Authority
	Eagan, City of
	Eden Praire
	Eden Valley, City of
7	Fairmount, City of
	Faribault County Local Redevelopment Agency
	Faribault EDA
0	Farmington
1	Farmington HRA
	Fergus Falls, City of
	Fridley HRA
	Granite Falls EDA
	Ham Lake, City of
	Hastings, City of
	Hopkins, City of
	Hopkins HRA
9	Hugo
0	Inver Grove Heights, City of
1	Jackson, City of
	Jordon, City of
	Keewatin, City of
	Kiester EDA
	La Crescent
	Lakeville, City of
	Le Sueur EDA
	Lino Lakes EDA
	Litchfield
	Little Falls, City of
1	Luverne
2	Luverne EDA
	Luverne HRA
	Madison Lake, City of
	Mahtomedi
	Maple Grove, City of
	Marshall
	Marshall EDA
9	Marshall HRA
0	Meeker County
ı	
	Montevideo, City of
	Montevideo Community Development Corporation
	Monticello, City of
	Monticello EDA
	Monticello HRA
	Moorhead, City of
	Moose Lake
	Mounds View, City of
9	firitualities view, city of
	Mountain Iron

	Agency Name
82	Mountain Lake EDA
	Nobles County
	North Branch
	Northfield, City of
	Northfield EDA
	North Mankato, City of
	North Mankato, North Mankato Port Authority
	Oakdale, City of
	Oak Park Heights Osakis, City of
	Owatonna
	Owatonna EDA
	Parkers Prairie, City of
	Pequot Lakes, City of
	Pierz, City of
	Pipestone EDA
	Pipestone, City of
	Princeton
100	Prior Lake, City of
	Red Wing
	Red Wing Port Authority
103	Redwood Falls, City of
	Richfield
	Richfield HRA
	Rochester, City of
	Rockford, City of
	Saint Charles
	Saint Cloud, HRA
	Saint Joseph, City of
	Saint Louis Park
	Saint Louis Park EDA
	Saint Paul Planning and Economic Development Saint Paul, Port Authority of
	Sant Faul, Fort Additionly of
	I Sauk Centre City of
	Sauk Centre, City of Sauk Rapids
117	Sauk Rapids
117 118	Sauk Rapids Sauk Rapids HRA
117 118 119	Sauk Rapids
117 118 119 120	Sauk Rapids Sauk Rapids HRA Savage
117 118 119 120 121 122	Sauk Rapids Sauk Rapids HRA Savage Shoreview South Saint Paul South St. Paul HRA
117 118 119 120 121 122	Sauk Rapids Sauk Rapids HRA Savage Shoreview South Saint Paul
117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124	Sauk Rapids Sauk Rapids HRA Savage Shoreview South Saint Paul South St. Paul HRA Southwest Regional Development Commission Spicer, City of
117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125	Sauk Rapids Sauk Rapids HRA Savage Shoreview South Saint Paul South St. Paul HRA Southwest Regional Development Commission Spicer, City of Spring Valley, City of
117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126	Sauk Rapids Sauk Rapids HRA Savage Shoreview South Saint Paul South St. Paul HRA Southwest Regional Development Commission Spicer, City of Spring Valley, City of Spring Valley EDA
117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127	Sauk Rapids Sauk Rapids HRA Savage Shoreview South Saint Paul South St. Paul HRA Southwest Regional Development Commission Spicer, City of Spring Valley, City of Spring Valley EDA Staples, City of
117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128	Sauk Rapids Sauk Rapids HRA Savage Shoreview South Saint Paul South St. Paul HRA Southwest Regional Development Commission Spicer, City of Spring Valley, City of Spring Valley EDA Staples, City of Staples EDA
117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129	Sauk Rapids Sauk Rapids HRA Savage Shoreview South Saint Paul South St. Paul HRA Southwest Regional Development Commission Spicer, City of Spring Valley, City of Spring Valley EDA Staples, City of Staples EDA Stearns County
117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130	Sauk Rapids Sauk Rapids HRA Savage Shoreview South Saint Paul South St. Paul HRA Southwest Regional Development Commission Spicer, City of Spring Valley, City of Spring Valley EDA Staples, City of Staples EDA Stearns County Stillwater, City of
117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131	Sauk Rapids Sauk Rapids HRA Savage Shoreview South Saint Paul South St. Paul HRA Southwest Regional Development Commission Spicer, City of Spring Valley, City of Spring Valley EDA Staples, City of Staples EDA Staples EDA Steams County Stillwater, City of Two Harbors
117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131	Sauk Rapids Sauk Rapids HRA Savage Shoreview South Saint Paul South St. Paul HRA Southwest Regional Development Commission Spicer, City of Spring Valley, City of Spring Valley EDA Staples, City of Staples EDA Stearns County Stillwater, City of Two Harbors Two Harbors Development Commission
117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 130 131 132 133	Sauk Rapids Sauk Rapids HRA Savage Shoreview South Saint Paul South St. Paul HRA Southwest Regional Development Commission Spicer, City of Spring Valley, City of Spring Valley EDA Staples, City of Staples EDA Staples EDA Steams County Stillwater, City of Two Harbors Two Harbors Development Commission Wabasha, City of
117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134	Sauk Rapids Sauk Rapids HRA Savage Shoreview South Saint Paul South St. Paul HRA Southwest Regional Development Commission Spicer, City of Spring Valley, City of Spring Valley EDA Staples, City of Staples EDA Staples EDA Steams County Stillwater, City of Two Harbors Two Harbors Development Commission Wabasha, City of Watkins, City of
117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 130 131 132 133 134 135	Sauk Rapids Sauk Rapids HRA Savage Shoreview South Saint Paul South St. Paul HRA Southwest Regional Development Commission Spicer, City of Spring Valley, City of Spring Valley EDA Staples, City of Staples EDA Staples EDA Steams County Stillwater, City of Two Harbors Two Harbors Development Commission Wabasha, City of
117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 130 131 132 133 134 135 136	Sauk Rapids Sauk Rapids HRA Savage Shoreview South Saint Paul South St. Paul HRA Southwest Regional Development Commission Spicer, City of Spring Valley, City of Spring Valley EDA Staples, City of Staples EDA Stearns County Stillwater, City of Two Harbors Two Harbors Development Commission Wabasha, City of Watkins, City of Watkins, City of Wells, City of
117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137	Sauk Rapids Sauk Rapids HRA Savage Shoreview South Saint Paul South St. Paul HRA Southwest Regional Development Commission Spicer, City of Spring Valley, City of Spring Valley EDA Staples, City of Staples EDA Stearns County Stillwater, City of Two Harbors Two Harbors Development Commission Wabasha, City of Watkins, City of Watkins, City of Wells, City of Wells, City of
117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138	Sauk Rapids Sauk Rapids HRA Savage Shoreview South Saint Paul South St. Paul HRA Southwest Regional Development Commission Spicer, City of Spring Valley, City of Spring Valley EDA Staples, City of Staples, City of Staples EDA Steams County Stillwater, City of Two Harbors Two Harbors Development Commission Wabasha, City of Watkins, City of Wells, City of Wells, City of Wells EDA Wilken County Windom Windom, EDA
117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140	Sauk Rapids Sauk Rapids HRA Savage Shoreview South Saint Paul South St. Paul HRA Southwest Regional Development Commission Spicer, City of Spring Valley, City of Spring Valley EDA Staples, City of Staples EDA Stearns County Stillwater, City of Two Harbors Two Harbors Development Commission Wabasha, City of Watkins, City of Wells, City of Wells, City of Wells EDA Wilken County Windom Windom, EDA Winnebago, City of
117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140	Sauk Rapids Sauk Rapids HRA Savage Shoreview South Saint Paul South St. Paul HRA Southwest Regional Development Commission Spicer, City of Spring Valley, City of Spring Valley EDA Staples, City of Staples EDA Stearns County Stillwater, City of Two Harbors Two Harbors Development Commission Wabasha, City of Watkins, City of Wells, City of Wells, City of Wells EDA Wilken County Windom Windom, EDA Winnebago, City of Winona
117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141	Sauk Rapids Sauk Rapids HRA Savage Shoreview South Saint Paul South St. Paul HRA Southwest Regional Development Commission Spicer, City of Spring Valley, City of Spring Valley EDA Staples, City of Staples EDA Stearns County Stillwater, City of Two Harbors Two Harbors Development Commission Wabasha, City of Wells, City of Wells, City of Wells, City of Wells EDA Wilken County Windom Windom, EDA Winnebago, City of Winona Winnona, Port Authority
117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142	Sauk Rapids Sauk Rapids HRA Savage Shoreview South Saint Paul South St. Paul HRA Southwest Regional Development Commission Spicer, City of Spring Valley, City of Spring Valley EDA Staples, City of Staples EDA Stearns County Stillwater, City of Two Harbors Two Harbors Development Commission Wabasha, City of Watkins, City of Wells, City of Wells, City of Wells EDA Wilken County Windom Windom, EDA Winnebago, City of Winona Winona, Port Authority Woodbury, City of
117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143	Sauk Rapids Sauk Rapids HRA Savage Shoreview South Saint Paul South St. Paul HRA Southwest Regional Development Commission Spicer, City of Spring Valley, City of Spring Valley EDA Staples, City of Staples EDA Staples County Stillwater, City of Two Harbors Two Harbors Development Commission Wabasha, City of Watkins, City of Wells, City of Wells, City of Wells EDA Wilken County Windom Windom, EDA Winnebago, City of Winnona Winona, Port Authority Woodbury EDA
117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 130 131 132 133 134 135 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144	Sauk Rapids Sauk Rapids HRA Savage Shoreview South Saint Paul South St. Paul HRA Southwest Regional Development Commission Spicer, City of Spring Valley, City of Spring Valley EDA Staples, City of Staples, City of Staples EDA Steams County Stillwater, City of Two Harbors Two Harbors Development Commission Wabasha, City of Watkins, City of Wells, City of Wells, City of Wells EDA Wilken County Windom Windom, EDA Winnebago, City of Winona Winona, Port Authority Woodbury, City of Woodbury EDA Worthington
117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145	Sauk Rapids Sauk Rapids HRA Savage Shoreview South Saint Paul South St. Paul HRA Southwest Regional Development Commission Spicer, City of Spring Valley, City of Spring Valley EDA Staples, City of Staples EDA Staples County Stillwater, City of Two Harbors Two Harbors Development Commission Wabasha, City of Watkins, City of Wells, City of Wells, City of Wells EDA Wilken County Windom Windom, EDA Winnebago, City of Winnona Winona, Port Authority Woodbury EDA

Note: If criteria are not attached to the report readers may review copies at DTED's web site: (www.dted.state.mn.us, click on Communities, then Business Subsidies Reporting) and the Minnesota Legislature Reference Library.

APPENDIX L:

Distribution of Business Subsidies by Value

Grantor Name	Total Amount
Dakota County Community Development Agency	\$3,924,500
Stearns County	\$2,234,000
Champlin EDA	\$2,000,000
North Branch City of	\$1,291,221
Maple Grove City of Robbinsdale EDA	\$750,000 \$617,500
Hugo City of	\$617,500 \$529,900
MN Rural Finance Authority	\$500,000
St. Cloud HRA	\$478,537
St. Cloud HRA	\$414,976
Windom EDA	\$400,000
Chatfield City of	\$395,000
Minnesota Department of Trade and Economic Development	\$300,000
St. Paul Port Authority	\$297,479
Buhl City of Moose Lake City of	\$293,000
Albany City of	\$281,500 \$256,000
Cambridge City of	\$251,000
Meeker County	\$240,000
Monticello HRA	\$225,000
Albert Lea City of	\$225,000
Pipestone EDA	\$225,000
Melrose Area Development Authority	\$191,086
Minnesota Department of Trade and Economic Development	\$187,500
St. Cloud HRA Minnesota Department of Trade and Economic Development	\$184,950 \$180,000
Mendota Heights City of	\$166,000
Mendota Heights City of	\$166,000
Ramsey City of	\$164,360
Sartell City of	\$158,869
East Grand Forks EDHA	\$150,000
Mendota Heights City of	\$145,000
Minnesota Department of Trade and Economic Development	\$135,000
Chatfield City of Minnesota Department of Trade and Economic Development	\$130,000 \$115,000
Red Wing Port Authority	\$102,500
Mid-Minnesota Development Commission	\$100,000
Mid-Minnesota Development Commission	\$100,000
Minnesota Department of Trade and Economic Development	\$100,000
Monticello EDA	\$100,000
Belview City of	\$100,000
East Central Regional Development Commission Wabasha City of	\$100,000 \$100,000
Perham City of	\$100,000
Blaine Area Development Co.	\$100,000
Benton County	\$99,900
Hibbing City of	\$94,850
East Grand Forks EDHA	\$90,000
Southwest Regional Development Commission	\$85,000
Pequot Lakes City of Jackson City of	\$85,000 \$85,000
Southwest Regional Development Commission	\$85,000
Moorhead City of	\$82,700
Mendota Heights City of	\$79,500
Chanhassen City of	\$77,625
Fergus Falls City of	\$77,450
Wabasha City of	\$77,200
Spicer City of Osakis City of	\$75,653 \$72,601
South St. Paul HRA	\$53,361
Chanhassen City of	\$48,077
Chanhassen City of	\$46,575
Chanhassen City of	\$45,073
Spicer City of	\$45,000
Monticello EDA	\$40,000

Distribution of Business Subsidy Amounts by Value of Subsidy Agreements Reached from August 1, 1999 to December 31, 1999

Grantor Name	Total Amount
Richfield City of	\$35,000
Northfield EDA	\$30,000
South St. Paul HRA	\$27,800
Total	\$20,744,243
Median Value	\$122,500

APPENDIX M:

Distribution of Financial Assistance by Value

Distribution of Financial Assistance by Value for Agreements Reached from August 1, 1999 to December 31, 1999

Grantor Name	Total Amount
Minnesota Pollution Control Agency	\$50,000
Minnesota Pollution Control Agency	\$39,532
Minnesota Pollution Control Agency	\$33,586
Total	\$123,118
Median Value	\$39,532

APPENDIX N:

Name of Funding Government Agency	Project Region	Name of Business Receiving Assistance	Industry Sector	Total Dollar Value	Date of Assistance Agreement	Amended Agreement Date	Benefit Date	Type of Business Subsidy	Type of Financial Assistance
Albany City of	Central	Steams Bank	Finance, Insurance, Real Estate	\$256,000	10/26/99	n/a	08/01/00	Loan/TIF	n/a
Albert Lea City of	Southeast	Albert Lea Hospitality LLC	Services	\$225,000	12/06/99	n/a	06/01/00	Other - Clearance/ Redevelopment	n/a
Belview City of	Southwest	Heartland Wood Products	Manufacturing	\$100,000	11/05/99	n/a	01/19/00	Loan	n/a
Benton County	Central	North Crest Gymnastics and Dance Inc.	Services	\$99,900	10/25/99	n/a	n/a	Loan	n/a
Blaine Area Development Co.	Twin Cities	SNR Holding LLC	Manufacturing	\$100,000	12/15/99	n/a	n/a	Loan	n/a
Buhl City of	Northeast	Kidspeace/Point ReJuvenate	Services	\$293,000	10/07/99	n/a	06/01/99	Loan/Grant	n/a
Cambridge City of	Central	Park Manufacturing Corp.	Manufacturing	\$251,000	10/20/99	06/30/00	06/30/00	Land Contribution	n/a
Champlin EDA	Twin Cities	Lifetime Fitness	Services	\$2,000,000	12/27/99	02/04/00	01/01/01	Grant	n/a
Chanhassen City of	Twin Cities	Chanhassen Lakes Business Park 3 LLP	Manufacturing	\$77,625	12/17/99	n/a	07/31/01	TIF	n/a
Chanhassen City of	Twin Cities	Monk Properties LLC	Manufacturing	\$48,077	12/17/99	n/a	12/01/99	TIF	n/a
Chanhassen City of	Twin Cities	Monk Properties LLC	Manufacturing	\$46,575	12/17/99	n/a	12/01/99	TIF	n/a
Chanhassen City of	Twin Cities	Eden Trace Corp.	Manufacturing	\$45,073	12/17/99	n/a	12/01/99	TIF	n/a
Chatfield City of	Southeast	Skippy's Foods	Retail Trade	\$395,000	08/23/99	n/a	11/17/99	TIF	n/a
Chatfield City of	Southeast	Bernard Bus Company	Services	\$130,000	11/20/99	n/a	01/10/00	Tax Abatement	n/a
Dakota County Community Development Agency	Twin Cities	Signal Hills Company II LLC	Retail Trade	\$3,924,500	12/29/99	n/a	02/01/00	TIF	n/a
East Grand Forks EDHA	West Central	Eastside Express	Retail Trade	\$150,000	11/30/99	n/a	11/30/99	Loan	n/a
East Grand Forks EDHA	West Central	Crafts Direct	Retail Trade	\$90,000	10/20/99	n/a	10/20/99	Loan	n/a
Fergus Falls City of	West Central	Florists' Atrium Ltd.	Services	\$77,450	12/23/99	n/a	01/03/00	Loan/Grant	n/a
Hibbing City of	Northeast	Carpenter Brothers Services Inc. dba Portable John	Services	\$94,850	10/18/99	n/a	n/a	TIF/Guarantee/ Land Contribution	n/a
Hugo City of	Twin Cities	Nor-Lake Holding Co. LLC	Manufacturing	\$529,900	12/14/99	n/a	12/14/99	TIF	n/a
Jackson City of	Southwest	Jackson Development Corp. for Accent Insurance Recovery Solutions	Finance, Insurance, Real Estate	\$85,000	12/27/99	n/a	12/15/99	Loan	n/a

Name of Funding Government Agency	Type of TIF District	Public Purpose	Specific Wage and Job Goals Established	Attainment Date for Goals	Goals <u>Attained</u>	Job Creation/Retention Goals Established	Attainment Date for Goals	Goals Attained	Other Wage Goals Established
Albany City of	Economic Development	Economic Diversity, Job Growth, Job Retention, Increase Tax Base, Other - encourage quality construction, leverage \$1.6 million in private investment, and enable major infrastructure	Yes	08/01/02	No	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Albert Lea City of	Redevelopment	Economic Diversity, Stabilizing Community, Increase Tax Base, Other - elimination of blight	Yes	06/01/02	No	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Belview City of	n/a	Job Growth	Yes	12/01/01	No	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Benton County	n/a	Job Growth, Job Retention, Increase Tax Base	Yes	10/25/99	No	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Blaine Area Development Co.	n/a	Economic Diversity, Job Growth, Job Retention	Yes	12/01/01	No	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Buhl City of	n/a	Job Growth	Yes	12/31/01	No	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Cambridge City of	n/a	Economic Diversity, Job Growth, Increase Tax Base, Other - increase net jobs	Yes	06/30/02	No	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Champlin EDA	Redevelopment	Economic Diversity, Stabilizing Community, Increase Tax Base,	Yes	12/01/01	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Chanhassen City of	Economic Development	Economic Diversity, Increase Tax Base	Yes	12/17/01	No	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Chanhassen City of	Economic Development	Economic Diversity, Increase Tax Base	Yes	12/17/01		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Chanhassen City of	Economic Development	Economic Diversity, Increase Tax Base	Yes	12/17/01	No	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Chanhassen City of	Economic Development	Economic Diversity, Increase Tax Base	Yes	12/17/99	No	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Chatfield City of	Redevelopment	Economic Diversity, Stabilizing Community, Increase Tax Base,	Yes	12/31/99	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Chatfield City of	Redevelopment	Economic Diversity, Job Retention, Stabilizing Community, Increase Tax Base	Yes	01/01/00	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Dakota County Community Development Agency	Redevelopment	Stabilizing Community, Increase Tax Base, Other - impetus for commercial development, redevelopment, and removing and preventing blight	Yes	02/01/02	No	No	n/a	n/a	No
East Grand Forks EDHA	n/a	Job Growth, Stabilizing Community, Increase Tax Base	Yes	10/01/00	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
East Grand Forks EDHA	n/a	Economic Diversity, Other - tourism and retail	No	n/a	n/a	Yes	12/01/99	Yes	n/a
Fergus Falls City of	n/a	Job Growth, Other - facilitate location of e-commerce business in community	Yes	01/03/02	No	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Hibbing City of	Economic Development	Job Retention, Increase Tax Base, Other - public improvement and expand business capacity	Yes	10/01/00	No	Yes	n/a	No	Yes
Hugo City of	Redevelopment	Other - construct public improvements and develop manufacturing facilities	Yes	12/14/01	No	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Jackson City of	n/a	Job Growth, Job Retention	Yes	01/01/02	n/a	No .	n/a	n/a	n/a

Name of Funding Government Agency	Attainment Date for Goals	Goals Attained	Other Goals Established	Attainment Date for Goals		Full-time Job Creation	Full-time Hourly Wage Goal	Hourly Value of Health Insurance	Part-time Job Creation	Part-time Hourly Wage Goal	Hourly Value of Health Insurance
Albany City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	34	34 @ \$7.99 - \$8.99	34 @ \$1.14	n/a	n/a	n/a
Albert Lea City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4	4 @ \$7.99 - \$8.99	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Belview City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	п/а	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Benton County	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	6	6 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Blaine Area Development Co.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3	3 @ no hourly wage	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Buhl City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	136	136 @ no hourly wage	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Cambridge City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	п/а	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Champlin EDA	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Chanhassen City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2	2@ \$9.00 - \$10.99	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Chanhassen City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2	2@ \$9.00 - \$10.99	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Chanhassen City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2	2@ \$9.00 - \$10.99	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Chanhassen City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2	2@ \$9.00 - \$10.99	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Chatfield City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Chatfield City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1	1 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Dakota County Community Development Agency	n/a	n/a	Yes	12/31/01	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
East Grand Forks EDHA	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2	2 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99	n/a	5	5 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99	n/a
East Grand Forks EDHA	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	9	2 @ less than \$7.00, 6 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99, 1 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99	n/a	35	34 @ less than \$7.00, 1 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99	n/a
Fergus Falls City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	10	3 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99, 7 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99	10 @ \$1.29	n/a	n/a	n/a
Hibbing City of	n/a	No	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2	2 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99	n/a
Hugo City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1	1 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Jackson City of	n/a	n/a	No	n/a	n/a	20	20 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99	20 @ \$1.44	n/a	n/a	n/a

Name of Funding Government Agency	FTE Job Creation	FTE Hourly Wage Goal	Hourly Value of Health Insurance	Job Retention <u>Goal</u>	Job Retention Hourly Wage Goal	Hourly Value of Health Insurance	Actual Full-time Jobs Created	Actual Full-time <u>Hourly Wage</u>
Albany City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	59	59 @ \$7.99 - \$8.99 59 @ \$1.14		n/a	n/a
Albert Lea City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			n/a	n/a
Belview City of	8	8 @ 9.00 - \$10.99	8 @ \$1.00	14	7 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99, 6 @ \$11.00 - \$12.99, 1@ 15.00 or higher	14 @ \$1.00	n/a	n/a
Benton County	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Blaine Area Development Co.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Buhl City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	. n/a	82	4 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99, 31 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99, 19 @ \$11.00 - \$12.99, 5 @ \$13.00 - \$14.99, 23 @ \$15.00 and higher
Cambridge City of	15	15 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Champlin EDA	20	20 @ no hourly wage	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Chanhassen City of	n/a	n/a	, n/a	. n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Chanhassen City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	п/а
Chanhassen City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Chanhassen City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Chatfield City of	6	6 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Chatfield City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2	2 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99
Dakota County Community Development Agency	20	10 @ no hourly wage, 10 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
East Grand Forks EDHA	n/a	n/a	n/a	7	7 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99	n/a	2	2 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99
East Grand Forks EDHA	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	9	2 @ less than \$7.00, 6 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99, 1 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99
Fergus Falls City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	- n/a	n/a	n/a
Hibbing City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	6	6 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99	n/a	n/a	n/a
Hugo City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Jackson City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	39	11 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99, 19 @ 9.00 - \$10.99, 4 @ \$11.00 - \$12.99, 1 @ \$13.00 - \$14.99, 4 @ \$15.00 and higher	39 @ \$1.44	3	3 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99

Name of Funding Government Agency	Actual Hourly Value of Health Insurance	Actual Part-time Jobs Created	Actual Part-time Hourly Wage	Actual Hourly Value of Health Insurance	Actual FTE Jobs Created	Actual FTE Hourly Wage	Actual Hourly Value of Health Insurance	Actual Job Retention	Actual Job Retention Actual Hourly Wage
Albany City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Albert Lea City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Belview City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1	1 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99	1 @ \$1.00	14	7 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99, 6 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99, 1 @ \$11.00 - \$12.99
Benton County	n/a	10	7 @ less than \$7.00, 2 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99, 1 @ \$11.00 - \$12.99	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	33	7 @ less than \$7.00, 7 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99, 8 @ \$9.00 - 10.99, 4 @ \$11.00 - \$12.99, 2 @ \$13.00 - \$14.99, 5 @ \$15.00 and higher
Blaine Area Development Co.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Buhl City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Cambridge City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Champlin EDA	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Chanhassen City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Chanhassen City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Chanhassen City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Chanhassen City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Chatfield City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	10	10 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99	n/a	n/a	n/a _.
Chatfield City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Dakota County Community Development Agency	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
East Grand Forks EDHA	n/a	5	5 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	7	7 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99
East Grand Forks EDHA	n/a	35	34 @ less than \$7.00, 1 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Fergus Falls City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Hibbing City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	6	6 @ \$7.00 - \$8 99
Hugo City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Jackson City of	4 @ \$1.44	1	1 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	39	11 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99, 19 @ 9.00 - \$10.99, 4 @ \$11.00 - \$12.99, 1 @ \$13.00 - \$14.99, 4 @ \$15.00 and higher

Name of Funding	Actual Hourly Value	Achievement	Recipients Failing	Recipients Failing
Government Agency	of Health Insurance	of All Goals	to Report	to Achieve Goals
·				
Albany City of	n/a	No	No	Ma
Albany City of	II/a	140	140	No
Albert Lea City of	n/a	No	No	No
Belview City of	14 @ \$1.00	No	No	No
		-		
Benton County	n/a	No	No	No
Blaine Area Development Co.	n/a	No	No	No
Buhl City of	n/a	No	No	No
Cambridge City of	n/a	No	No	No
Champlin EDA	n/a	No	No	. No
Chanhassen City of	n/a	No	No	No
Chanhassen City of	n/a	No	No	No
Chanhassen City of	n/a	No	No	No
Chanhassen City of	n/a	No	No	No
Chatfield City of	n/a	Yes	No ·	No
Chatfield City of	n/a	Yes	No	No
Dakota County				
Community Development Agency	n/a	No	No	No
East Grand Forks EDHA	n/a	yes	No	No
East Grand Forks EDHA	n/a	yes	No	No
Fergus Falls City of	n/a	No	No	No
Hibbing City of	n/a	No	No	No
Hugo City of	n/a	No	No	No
Jackson City of	39 @ \$1.44	No	No	No

n/a indicates not applicable or missing data

Name of Funding Government Agency	Project Region	Name of Business Receiving Assistance	Industry Sector	Total Dollar Value	Date of Assistance Agreement	Amended Agreement Date	Benefit <u>Date</u>	Type of Business Subsidy	Type of Financial Assistance
Maple Grove City of	Twin Cities	Northwest Athletic Club	Services	\$750,000	09/30/99	n/a	06/09/00	Grant/TIF	n/a
Meeker County	Southwest	Litchfield Woolen Mills	Manufacturing	\$240,000	08/24/99	n/a	12/23/99	Loan	n/a
Melrose Area Development Authority	Central	Carstens Industries Inc.	Manufacturing	\$191,086	10/08/99	01/27/00	n/a	Loan	n/a
Mendota Heights City of	Twin Cities	Lexington Business Park IV LLC	Finance, Insurance, Real Estate	\$166,000	12/10/99	n/a	09/01/00	TIF	n/a
Mendota Heights City of	Twin Cities	Lexington Business Park LLC	Finance, Insurance, Real Estate	\$166,000	12/10/99	n/a	12/31/00	Tax Abatement	n/a
Mendota Heights City of	Twin Cities	Mayflower Distributing Co.	Wholesale Trade	\$145,000	12/10/99	n/a	09/01/00	TIF	n/a
Mendota Heights City of	Twin Cities	Ferris Incentives	Wholesale Trade	\$79,500	12/20/99	n/a	06/01/00	Property/ Infrastructure	n/a
Monticello City of	Central	Twin City Die Casting Company	Manufacturing	\$40,000	10/25/99	n/a	09/01/00	Other - Reduction of Trunk Fees	n/a
Monticello HRA	Central	Twin City Die Casting Company	Manufacturing	\$225,000	10/25/99	n/a	09/01/00	TIF	n/a
Monticello EDA	Central	Aroplax Corporation	Manufacturing	\$100,000	12/06/99	n/a	12/06/99	Loan/Real Estate Development Loan	n/a
Moorhead City of	West Central	Municipal Industrial Contracting	Construction	\$82,700	09/02/99	n/a	10/01/00	Other - Border City Development Zone	n/a
Moose Lake City of	Northeast	Moose lake Lodge LLC	Services	\$281,500	09/03/99	n/a	12/17/99	Grant/Property - Infrastructure/ Land Contribution	n/a
North Branch City of	Central	Tri-Partners LLC	Finance, Insurance, Real Estate	\$1,291,221	09/07/99	n/a	10/11/99	Other - Land Write-Down	n/a
Northfield EDA	Southeast	MDC Development	Manufacturing	\$30,000	08/24/99	n/a	01/17/00	TIF	n/a
Osakis City of	West Central	Lind-Rife Precision	Manufacturing	\$72,601	12/13/99	n/a	01/01/00	TIF/Grant	n/a
Pequot Lakes City of	Central	T & D Enterprises	Manufacturing	\$85,000	08/17/99	n/a	08/01/01	TIF	n/a
Perham City of	West Central	D & D Fiberglass Bodies Inc	Manufacturing	\$100,000	12/17/99	n/a	12/17/99	Loan	n/a
Pipestone EDA	Southwest	Loopy's Dollar Stores Inc.	Retail Trade	\$225,000	09/20/99	n/a	09/20/99	Loan	n/a
Ramsey City of	Twin Cities	RMR Capital LLC	Manufacturing	\$164,360	11/23/99	n/a	05/04/00	TIF/Land Contribution	n/a
Red Wing Port Authority	Southeast	Lab Boy Enterprises LLC	Services	\$102,500	12/31/99	n/a	12/31/99	Loan	n/a
Richfield City of	Twin Cities	Richfield State Agency	Retail Trade	\$35,000	11/22/99	n/a	04/01/00	Other - Storm Sewer Correction Grant	n/a

Name of Funding Government Agency	Type of TIF District	Public Purpose	Specific Wage and Job Goals Established	Attainment Date for Goals	Goals Attained	Job Creation/Retention Goals Established	Attainment Date for Goals	Goals Attained	Other Wage Goals Established
Maple Grove City of	Redevelopment	Other - provide City with recreational facility without City paying for capital expenditures	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Meeker County	n/a	Other - job creation	Yes	10/01/01	No	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Melrose Area Development Authority	Economic Development	Job Growth, Increase Tax Base, Other - assist with business growth and solidify economic base	Yes	12/01/01	No	Yes	12/01/04	No	Yes
Mendota Heights City of	Redevelopment	Economic Diversity, Job Growth	Yes	12/01/01	n/a	N/A	n/a	n/a	n/a
Mendota Heights City of	Redevelopment	Economic Diversity, Job Growth	Yes	12/01/01	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Mendota Heights City of	Redevelopment	Economic Diversity, Job Growth	n/a	12/01/00	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Mendota Heights City of	Redevelopment	Economic Diversity, Job Growth	Yes	12/01/01	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Monticello City of	n/a	Job Growth, Increase Tax Base	Yes	09/0.1/02	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Monticello HRA	Economic Development	Job Growth, Increase Tax Base	Yes	09/01/02	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Monticello EDA	n/a	Job Growth, Increase Tax Base	Yes	12/06/01	No	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Moorhead City of	n/a	Economic Diversity, Increase Tax Base	Yes	09/01/01	No	No	n/a	n/a	No
Moose Lake City of	Economic Development	Economic Diversity, Job Growth, Stabilizing Community, Increase Tax Base, Other - increase tourism	Yes	12/01/01	Yes	Yes	n/a	Yes	No
North Branch City of	Redevelopment	Increase Tax Base, Other - construction of public improvements, redevelop blighted areas, and create new jobs	Yes	10/01/01	No	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Northfield EDA	Economic Development	Economic Diversity, Job Growth, Stabilizing Community, Increase Tax Base	Yes	n/a	No	No	n/a	n/a	No
Osakis City of	Economic Development	Economic Diversity, Job Growth, Increase Tax Base	Yes	01/01/02	No	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pequot Lakes City of	Economic Development	Economic Diversity, Job Growth	Yes	08/17/01	n/a	No	n/a	n/a	No
Perham City of	Economic Development	Economic Diversity	Yes	12/01/00	n/a	N/A	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pipestone EDA	n/a	Job Growth, Job Retention, Increase Tax Base, Other - prevention of blight on business premises	Yes	09/20/01	n/a	Yes	09/20/01	n/a	n/a
Ramsey City of	Economic Development	Job Growth, Increase Tax Base	Yes	01/04/02	No	n/a	N/A	n/a	n/a
Red Wing Port Authority	n/a	Job Growth, Job Retention	Yes	12/31/01	No	n/a	N/A	n/a	n/a
Richfield City of	n/a	Job Retention, Stabilizing Community, Increase Tax Base, Other - storm sewer correction	Yes	11/01/01	n/a	No	n/a	n/a	No

Name of Funding Government Agency	Attainment Date for Goals	Goals Attained	Other Goals Established	Attainment Date for Goals		Full-time Job Creation	Full-time Hourly Wage Goal	Hourly Value of Health Insurance	Part-time Job Creation	Part-time <u>Hourly Wage Goal</u>	Hourly Value of Health Insurance
Maple Grove City of	n/a	n/a	No	06/09/00	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Meeker County	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	49	29 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99, 8 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99, 8 @ \$11.00 - \$12.99, 4 @ \$15.00 and higher	49 @ \$1.25	n/a	n/a	n/a
Melrose Area Development Authority	12/01/04	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	6	5 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99, 1 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99	6 @ \$0.70	n/a	n/a	n/a
Mendota Heights City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	40	10 @ \$7.00 - 8.99, 10 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99, 10 @ \$11.00 - \$12.99, 10 @ \$13.00 - \$14.99	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Mendota Heights City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	46	10 @ \$7.00 - 8.99, 10 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99, 10 @ \$11.00 - \$12.99, 16 @ \$13.00 - \$14.99	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Mendota Heights City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	54	20 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99, 19 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99, 15 @ \$11.00 - \$12.99	n/a	10	10 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99	n/a
Mendota Heights City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	В	2 @ \$11.00 - \$12.99, 4 @ \$13.00 - \$14.99, 2 @ \$15.00 and higher	n/a	2	2 @ \$13.00 - \$14 99	n/a
Monticello City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	85	71 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99, 14 @ \$11.00 - \$12.99	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Monticello HRA	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Monticello EDA	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	10	10 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99	10 @ \$2.20	n/a	n/a	n/a
Moorhead City of	n/a	n/a	No	n/a	n/a	1	1 @ \$11.00 - \$12.99	n/a	2	2 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99	n/a
Moose Lake City of	n/a	n/a	Ņо	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
North Branch City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Northfield EDA	n/a	n/a	No	n/a	n/a	6	6 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Osakis City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4	4 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pequot Lakes City of	n/a	n/a	No	n/a	n/a	3	3 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Perham City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pipestone EDA	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3	2 @ less than \$7.00, 1 @ \$11.00 - \$12.99	n/a	3	3 @ less than \$7.00	n/a
Ramsey City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	7	7 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Red Wing Port Authority	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2	2 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99	2 @ 1.50	n/a	n/a	n/a
Richfield City of	n/a	n/a	Yes	04/01/00	Yes	5	5 @ \$11.00 - \$12.99	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Name of Funding Government Agency	FTE Job Creation	FTE Hourly Wage Goal	Hourly Value of Health Insurance	Job Retention Goal	Job Retention Hourly Wage Goal	Hourly Value of Health Insurance	Actual Full-time Jobs Created	Actual Full-time <u>Hourly Wage</u>
Maple Grove City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Meeker County	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Melrose Area Development Authority	n/a	n/a	n/a	15	1 @ no hourly wage, 3 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99, 8 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99, 3 @ \$11.00 - \$12.99	15 @ \$0.70	n/a	n/a
Mendota Heights City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Mendota Heights City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a ·	n/a	n/a
Mendota Heights City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Mendota Heights City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Monticello City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Monticello HRA	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Monticello EDA	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a _	n/a	n/a	2	2 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99
Moorhead City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Moose Lake City of	7	7 @ less than \$7.00	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
North Branch City of	56	56 @ less than \$7.00	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Northfield EDA	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Osakis City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3	3 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99
Pequot Lakes City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Perham City of	8	8 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	8	5 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99, 1 @ \$13.00 - \$14.99, 2 @ \$15.00 and higher
Pipestone EDA	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Ramsey City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Red Wing Port Authority	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Richfield City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Name of Funding Government Agency	Actual Hourly Value of Health Insurance	Actual Part-time Jobs Created	Actual Part-time Hourly Wage	Actual Hourly Value of Health Insurance	Actual FTE Jobs Created	Actual FTE Hourly Wage	Actual Hourly Value of Health Insurance	Actual Job Retention	Actual Job Retention Actual Hourly Wage
Maple Grove City of	, n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Meeker County	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Melrose Area Development Authority	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	14	3 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99, 8 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99, 3 @ \$11.00 - \$12.99
Mendota Heights City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Mendota Heights City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Mendota Heights City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Mendota Heights City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Monticello City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Monticello HRA	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Monticello EDA	2 @ \$2.20	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Moorhead City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Moose Lake City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	8	8 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99	n/a	n/a	n/a
North Branch City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Northfield EDA	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Osakis City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pequot Lakes City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Perham City of	5 @ \$0.80, 2 @ \$2.64	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pipestone EDA	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Ramsey City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Red Wing Port Authority	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Richfield City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Name of Funding	Actual Hourly Value	Achievement	Recipients Failing	Recipients Failing
Government Agency	of Health Insurance	of All Goals	to Report	to Achieve Goals
				
Maple Grove City of	n/a	No	No	No
Meeker County	n/a	No	No	No
Melrose Area Development Authority	14 @ \$0.70	No	No	No
Mendota Heights City of	n/a	No	No	No
Mendota Heights City of	n/a	No	No	No
Mendota Heights City of	n/a	No	. No	No
Mendota Heights City of	n/a	No	No	No
Monticello City of	n/a	No	No	No
Monticello HRA	n/a	No	No	No
Monticello EDA	n/a	No	No	No
Moorhead City of	n/a	No	No	No
Moose Lake City of	n/a	Yes	· No	No
North Branch City of	n/a	No	No	No
Northfield EDA	n/a	No	No	No
Osakis City of	n/a	No	No	No
Pequot Lakes City of	n/a	No	No	No
Perham City of	n/a	Yes	No	No
Pipestone EDA	n/a	No	No	No
Ramsey City of	n/a	No	No	No
Red Wing Port Authority	n/a	No	No	No
Richfield City of	n/a	No	No	No

Name of Funding	Project	Name of Business		Total	Date of Assistance	Amended	Benefit	Type of	Type of
Government Agency	Region	Receiving Assistance	Industry Sector	Dollar Value	Agreement	Agreement Date	Date	Business Subsidy	Financial Assistance
Robbinsdale EDA	Twin Cities	Minnesota Development LLC	Other	\$617,500	11/16/99	n/a	12/31/00	Other - Land Write-Down	n/a
Sartell City of	Central	Payne - Lynch LLP	Services	\$158,869	12/23/99	n/a	04/15/00	TIF/Loan	n/a
South St. Paul HRA	Twin Cities	P & DH LLC	Manufacturing	\$53,361	11/09/99	n/a	n/a	Land Contribution	n/a
South St. Paul HRA	Twin Cities	Concord Properties LLP	Construction	\$27,800	12/14/99	n/a	n/a	Land Contribution	n/a
Spicer City of	Southwest	Twin Spin Cinema	Services	\$75,653	12/15/99	n/a	10/08/99	TIF	n/a
Spicer City of	Southwest	Baker Printing	Services	\$45,000	11/17/99	n/a	n/a	TIF	n/a
St. Cloud HRA	Central	Park Industries	Manufacturing	\$478,537	09/15/99	n/a	n/a	TIF	n/a
St. Cloud HRA	Central	ETC Enterprises Inc. Project aka Grand Stay Hotel	Services	\$414,976	10/13/99	n/a	03/24/00	TIF	n/a
St. Cloud HRA	Central	Lehnen Project LLC aka Midway Iron and Steel Inc	Wholesale Trade	\$184,950	12/01/99	n/a	03/24/00	TIF	n/a
St. Paul Port Authority	Twin Cities	JKD Partners LLC - Carlson Refrigeration	Manufacturing	\$297,479	09/03/99	n/a	n/a	Land Contribution	n/a
Steams County	Central	Catholic Charities of the Diocese of St. Cloud	Services	\$2,234,000	12/15/00	n/a	04/01/00	Land Contribution	n/a
Wabasha City of	Southeast	Wabasha Clinic - Mayo Health Systems	Services	\$100,000	11/19/99	n/a	08/01/00	Tax Abatement	n/a
Wabasha City of	Southeast	Abbott Furniture & Funeral Home Inc.	Services	\$77,200	09/21/99	n/a	08/01/01	TIF	n/a
Windom EDA	Southwest	Guardian Inn of Windom LLC	Services	\$400,000	11/12/99	n/a	n/a	TIF	n/a

Name of Funding Government Agency	Type of TIF District	Public Purpose	Specific Wage and Job Goals Established	Attainment Date for Goals	Goals Attained	Job Creation/Retention Goals Established	Attainment Date for Goals	Goals Attained	Other Wage Goals Established
Robbinsdale EDA	Redevelopment	Increase Tax Base, Other - develop blighted building, environmental cleanup potential, and increase of net jobs	Yes	12/01/02	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Sartell City of	Economic Development	Economic Diversity, Job Growth, Increase Tax Base	Yes	04/01/02	No	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
South St. Paul HRA	n/a	Job Growth, Increase Tax Base	Yes	09/01/02	No	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
South St. Paul HRA	n/a	Job Growth, Increase Tax Base	Yes	09/01/02	No	No	n/a	n/a	No
Spicer City of	Redevelopment	Economic Diversity, Job Growth, Increase Tax Base	Yes	12/01/01	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Spicer City of	Redevelopment	Economic Diversity, Job Retention, Increase Tax Base	No	11/01/01	n/a	Yes	n/a	Yes	No
St. Cloud HRA	Economic Development	Economic Diversity, Job Retention, Increase Tax Base	Yes	09/01/02	No	No	n/a	No	No
St. Cloud HRA	Redevelopment	Economic Diversity, Stabilizing Community, Increase Tax Base, Other - redevelopment of blighted area	No	n/a	n/a	No	n/a	n/a	No
St. Cloud HRA	Redevelopment	Economic Diversity, Stabilizing Community, Other - reduce blight	Yes	12/01/01	No	No	n/a	n/a	No .
St. Paul Port Authority	n/a	Job Growth, Stabilizing Community, Increase Tax Base, Other - employment for targeted depressed area	Yes	12/31/00	No	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Steams County	n/a	Other - provide social and welfare services for county at minimal costs	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Wabasha City of	n/a	Job Growth, Increase Tax Base, Other - provide improved medical service	Yes	12/01/00	No	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Wabasha City of	Redevelopment	Increase Tax Base, Other - elimination of slum and blight	Yes	12/31/00	No	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Windom EDA	Redevelopment	Economic Diversity, Job Growth, Increase Tax Base, Other - meet transient housing needs	Yes	11/01/02	No	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Name of Funding Government Agency	Attainment Date for Goals	Goals Attained	Other Goals Established	Attainment Date for Goals	Goal Attained	Full-time Job Creation	Full-time Hourly Wage Goal	Hourly Value of Health Insurance	Part-time Job Creation	Part-time Hourly Wage Goal	Hourly Value of Health Insurance
Robbinsdale EDA	n/a	n/a	Yes	12/01/02	No	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Sartell City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	6	6 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
South St. Paul HRA	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1	1 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
South St. Paul HRA	n/a	n/a	No	n/a	n/a	1	1 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Spicer City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1	1 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99	n/a	9	9 @ less than \$7.00	n/a
Spicer City of	n/a	n/a	No	n/a	n/a	1	1 @ no hourly wage	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
St. Cloud HRA	n/a	No	No	n/a	No	30	30 @ \$11.00 - \$12.99	30 @ \$4.28	n/a	n/a	n/a
St. Cloud HRA	n/a	n/a	Yes	12/01/00	No	3	2 @ less than \$7.00, 1 @ \$11.00 - \$12.99	3 @ \$2.50	7	7 @ less than \$7.00	7 @ \$2.50
St. Cloud HRA	n/a	n/a	Yes	n/a	No	5	5 @ \$13 00 - \$14.99	5 @ \$2.50	n/a	n/a	n/a
St. Paul Port Authority	n/a	n/a	Yes	12/31/00	No	6	6 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99	6 @ 3.93	n/a	n/a	n/a
Steams County	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	√ n/a	n/a
Wabasha City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Wabasha City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Windom EDA	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	6	1 @ less than \$7.00, 4 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99, 1 @ \$13.00 - \$14.99	6 @ \$2.00	1.5	.5 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99, .5 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99, .5 @ \$15.00 and higher	1.5 @ \$2.00

Name of Funding Government Agency	FTE Job Creation	FTE Hourly Wage Goal	Hourly Value of Health Insurance	Job Retention Goal	Job Retention Hourly Wage Goal	Hourly Value of Health Insurance	Actual Full-time Jobs Created	Actual Full-time Hourly Wage
Robbinsdale EDA	10	10 @ less than \$7.00	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	12	1 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99, 2 @ \$11.00 - \$12.99, 5 @ \$13.00 - \$14.99, 4 @ \$15.00 and higher
Sartell City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
South St. Paul HRA	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
South St. Paul HRA	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Spicer City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Spicer City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1	1 @ less than \$7.00
St. Cloud HRA	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	18	18 @ \$11.00 - \$12.99
St. Cloud HRA	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
St. Cloud HRA	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	. n/a
St. Paul Port Authority	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Steams County	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Wabasha City of	12.5	2.8 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99, 5.8 @ \$11.00 - \$12.99, 3.9 @ \$15.00 and higher	n/a	44.35	10 65 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99, 22.6 @ \$11.00 - \$12.99, 11.10 @ \$15.00 and higher	n/a	n/a	n/a
Wabasha City of	0.5	.5 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99	n/a	3.5	5 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99, .5 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99, 2.5 @ \$13.00 - \$14.99	n/a	n/a	n/a
Windom EDA	n/a	n/a	n/a ,	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Name of Funding Government Agency	Actual Hourly Value of Health Insurance	Actual Part-time Jobs Created	Actual Part-time Hourly Wage	Actual Hourly Value of Health Insurance	Actual FTE Jobs Created	Actual FTE Hourly Wage	Actual Hourly Value of Health Insurance	Actual Job Retention	Actual Job Retention Actual Hourly Wage
Robbinsdale EDA	n/a	1	1 @ less than \$7.00	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Sartell City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
South St. Paul HRA	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
South St. Paul HRA	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Spicer City of	n/a	10	2 @ less than \$7.00, 8 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Spicer City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
St. Cloud HRA	18 @ \$4.13	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
St. Cloud HRA	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
St. Cloud HRA	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
St. Paul Port Authority	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Steams County	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Wabasha City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	12.5	2.8 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99, 5.8 @ \$11.99 - \$12.99, 3.9 @ \$15.00 and higher	n/a	44.35	10.65 @ \$7 00 - \$8.99, 22 6 @ \$11.00 - \$12.99, 11,10 @ \$15 and higher
Wabasha City of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3.25	.5 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99, 5 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99, 2.25 @ \$15.00 and higher
Windom EDA	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Name of Funding Government Agency	Actual Hourly Value of Health Insurance	Achievement of All Goals	Recipients Failing to Report	Recipients Failing to Achieve Goals
Robbinsdale EDA	n/a	No	No	No
Sartell City of	n/a	No	No	No
South St. Paul HRA	n/a	No	No	No
South St. Paul HRA	n/a	No	No	No
Spicer City of	n/a	No	. No	No
Spicer City of	n/a	Yes	No	No
St. Cloud HRA	n/a	No	No	No
St. Cloud HRA	n/a	No	No	No
St. Cloud HRA	n/a .	No	No	No
St. Paul Port Authority	n/a	No	No	No
Steams County	n/a	yes	No	No
Wabasha City of	n/a	No	No	No
Wabasha City of	n/a	No	No	No
Windom EDA	n/a	No	No	No

APPENDIX O:

Summary Sheet of 2000 Minnesota Business Assistance Forms Submitted by "Other" Government Agencies

Summary of 2000 Minnesota Business Assistance Forms Submitted by "Other" Government Agencies

Name of Funding Government Agency	Project Region	Name of Business Receiving Assistance	Industry Sector	Total Dollar Value	Date of Assistance Agreement	Amended Agreement Date	Benefit <u>Date</u>	Type of Business Subsidy	Type of Financial Assistance
East Central Regional Development Commission	Central	Atscott Mfg.	Manufacturing	\$100,000	12/22/99	n/a	01/01/00	Loan ,	n/a
Mid-Minnesota Development Commission	Southwest	Health Postures	Manufacturing	\$100,000	09/02/99	n/a	n/a	Loan	n/a
Mid-Minnesota Development Commission	Southwest	Litchfield Woolen Mills	Manufacturing	\$100,000	12/02/99	n/a	03/02/00	Loan	n/a
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	Southeast	Royal American Foods Inc.	Manufacturing	\$300,000	10/06/99	n/a	06/01/00	Loan	n/a
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	Northeast	Aitkin Iron Works	Manufacturing	\$187,500	11/01/99	n/a	n/a	Loan	n/a
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	West Central	Siglo Sunplant Inc.	Manufacturing	\$180,000	12/06/99	n/a	01/01/00	Loan	n/a
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	Twin Cities	Thomas Engineering Company	Manufacturing	\$135,000	12/28/99	n/a	03/01/00	Loan	n/a
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	Southeast	Pro Fabrication Inc.	Manufacturing	\$115,000	09/22/99	n/a	12/01/99	Loan	n/a
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	Southeast	Lorentz Etc. Inc.	Manufacturing	\$100,000	11/23/99	n/a	04/01/00	Loan	n/a
MN Rural Finance Authority	Twin Cities	Central MN Ethanol Co-op	Manufacturing	\$500,000	10/14/99	n/a	10/29/99	Loan	n/a
Southwest Regional Development Commission	Southwest	Jackson Development Corp	Services	\$85,000	12/30/99	n/a	12/30/99	Loan	n/a
Southwest Regional Development Commission	Southwest	KHC Construction Inc.	Construction	\$85,000	09/22/99	n/a	09/22/99	Loan	n/a

Name of Funding Government Agency	Type of TIF District	Public Purpose	Specific Wage and Job Goals Established Q29a	Attainment Date for Goals	Goals Attained	Job Creation/Retention Goals Established Q29b	Attainment Date for Goals	Goals Attained	Other Wage Goals Established Q29c
East Central Regional Development Commission	n/a	Job Growth, Job Retention, Increase Tax Base	Yes	12/01/01	No	n/a	n/a	· n/a	n/a
Mid-Minnesota Development Commission	n/a	Economic Diversity, Increase Tax Base	Yes	12/01/00	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Mid-Minnesota Development Commission	n/a	Economic Diversity, Increase Tax Base	Yes	12/01/00	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a _
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	n/a	Job Growth	Yes	06/30/02	No	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	n/a	Job Growth, Increase Tax Base	Yes	10/31/01		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	n/a	Job Growth	Yes	12/31/01	No	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	n/a	Job Growth, Increase Tax Base	Yes	12/31/01	No	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	n/a	Job Growth, Increase Tax Base	Yes	06/30/02	No	n/a·	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	n/a	Job Growth, Increase Tax Base	Yes	06/30/02	No	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN Rural Finance Authority	n/a	Other - produce 15 million gallons of ethanol annually	No	n/a	n/a	No	n/a	n/a	No
Southwest Regional Development Commission	n/a	Job Growth, Increase Tax Base	Yes	12/01/01	No	No	n/a	No	No
Southwest Regional Development Commission	n/a	Job Growth, Job Retention, Increase Tax Base	Yes	09/01/01	No	No	n/a	n/a	No

Name of Funding	Attainment	Goals	Other Goals	Attainment	Goal	Full-time Job	Full-time	Hourly Value of	Part-time Job	Part-time	Hourly Value of
Government Agency	Date for Goals	Attained	Established Q29d	Date for Goals	Attained	Creation	Hourly Wage Goal	Health Insurance	Creation	Hourly Wage Goal	Health Insurance
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		r	,					
East Central Regional Development Commission	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	9	9 @ \$13.00 - \$14.99	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Mid-Minnesota Development Commission	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	10	10 @ no hourly wage	n/a	n/a	r/a	n/a
Mid-Minnesota Development Commission	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	10	10 @ no hourly wage	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	58	54 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99, 4 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	25	7 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99, 18 @ \$11.00 - \$12.99	25 @ \$2.80	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	30	22 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99, 8 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99	8 @ \$1.50	n/a	r/a	n/a
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	23	15 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99, 8 @ \$15.00 and higher	23@ \$2.50	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	n/a	n/a	n/a	r/a	n/a	20	14 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99, 6 @ \$15.00 and higher	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	10	1 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99, 4 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99, 5 @ \$11.00 - \$12.99	1 @ \$1.60, 4 @ \$1.80, 5 @ \$2.40	· n/a	n/a	n/a
MN Rural Finance Authority	n/a	n/a	Yes	03/01/00	n/a			n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Southwest Regional Development Commission	n/a	No	No	n/a	No	20	20 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Southwest Regional Development Commission	n/a	n/a	No	n/a	n/a	6	6 @ \$15.00 and higher	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Name of Funding Government Agency	FTE Job Creation	FTE Hourly Wage Goal	Hourly Value of Health Insurance	Job Retention Goal	Job Retention Hourly Wage Goal	Hourly Value of Health Insurance	Actual Full-time Jobs Created	Actual Full-time <u>Hourly Wage</u>
East Central Regional Development Commission	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Mid-Minnesota Development Commission	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4	4 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99
Mid-Minnesota Development Commission	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4	4 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN Rural Finance Authority	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Southwest Regional Development Commission	n/a	n/a	n/a	42	42 @ no hourly wage	n/a	3	3 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99
Southwest Regional Development Commission	n/a	n/a	n/a		n/a	n/a	8	1 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99, 2 @ \$13.00 - \$14.99, 5 @ \$15.00 and higher

Name of Funding Government Agency	Actual Hourly Value of Health Insurance	Actual Part-time Jobs Created	Actual Part-time Hourly Wage	Actual Hourly Value of Health Insurance	Actual FTE Jobs Created	Actual FTE Hourly Wage	Actual Hourly Value of Health Insurance	Actual Job Retention	Actual Job Retention Actual Hourly Wage
			-						
East Central Regional Development Commission	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Mid-Minnesota Development Commission	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Mid-Minnesota Development Commission	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	n/a	r/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	, n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN Rural Finance Authority	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Southwest Regional Development Commission	3 @ \$1.33	1	1 @ \$9.00 - \$10.99	1 @ \$1.33	n/a	n/a	n/a	39	11 @ \$7.00 - \$8.99, 19 @ \$9.00-10.99, 4 @ \$11.00 - \$12.99, 1 @ \$13.00-\$14.99, 4 @ \$15.00
Southwest Regional Development Commission	n/a	3	3 @ \$11.00 - \$12.99	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Name of Funding	Actual Hourly Value	Achievement	Recipients Failing	Recipients Failing
Government Agency	of Health Insurance	of All Goals	to Report	to Achieve Goals
East Central Regional	,		1	
Development Commission	n/a	No	No	No
Mid-Minnesota Development Commission	n/a	No	No	No
Mid-Minnesota Development Commission	n/a	No	No	No
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	n/a	No	No	No
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	n/a	No	No	No
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	n/a	No	No	No
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	n/a	No	No	No
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	n/a	No	No	No
MN Department of Trade and Economic Development	n/a	No	No -	No
MN Rural Finance Authority	n/a	Yes	No	No
Southwest Regional Development Commission	39 @ \$1.33	No	No	No
Southwest Regional Development Commission	n/a	No	No	No

APPENDIX P:

Name of Funding Government Agency	Project <u>Region</u>	Name of Business Receiving Assistance	Industry Sector	Total Dollar Value	Date of Assistance Agreement	Amended Agreement Date	Benefit <u>Date</u>	Type of Business Subsidy	Type of Financial Assistance
Minnesota Pollution Control Agency	Twin Cities	Stoltz Dry Cleaners	Services	\$50,000	09/30/99	n/a	10/01/99	n/a	Pollution Control
Minnesota Pollution Control Agency	Twin Cities	Bel-Aire Cleaners	Services	\$39,532	12/07/99	n/a	12/15/99	n/a	Pollution Control
Minnesota Pollution Control Agency	Twin Cities	Stensby Cleaners	Services	\$33,586	10/29/99	n/a	11/18/99	n/a	Pollution Control

me of Funding ernment Agency	Type of TIF District	Public Purpose	Specific Wage and Job Goals Established Q29a		Goals Attained	Job Creation/Retention Goals Established Q29b		Goals Attained	Other Wage Goals Established Q29c
 nesota Pollution ontrol Agency	n/a	Other - Environmental Improvement	No	n/a	n/a	No	n/a	n/a	No ·
nesota Pollution ontrol Agency	n/a	Other - Environmental Improvement	No	n/a	n/a	No	n/a	n/a	No
nesota Pollution ontrol Agency	n/a	Other - Environmental Improvement	No	n/a	n/a	No	n/a	n/a	No

Name of Funding Government Agency	Attainment Date for Goals	Goals Attained	Other Goals Established Q29d	Attainment Date for Goals	Goal Attained	Full-time Job Creation	Full-time Hourly Wage Goal	Hourly Value of Health Insurance	Part-time Job Creation	Part-time Hourly Wage Goal	Hourly Value of Health Insurance
Minnesota Pollution Control Agency	n/a	n/a	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Minnesota Pollution Control Agency	n/a	n/a	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Minnesota Pollution Control Agency	n/a	n/a	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Name of Funding Government Agency	FTE Job Creation	FTE Hourly Wage Goal	Hourly Value of Health Insurance	Job Retention <u>Goal</u>	Job Retention Hourly Wage Goal	Hourly Value of Health Insurance	Actual Full-time Jobs Created	Actual Full-time Hourly Wage
Minnesota Pollution Control Agency	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Minnesota Pollution Control Agency	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Minnesota Pollution Control Agency	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Name of Funding Government Agency	Actual Hourly Value of Health Insurance	Actual Part-time Jobs Created	Actual Part-time Hourly Wage	Actual Hourly Value of Health Insurance	Actual FTE Jobs Created	Actual FTE Hourly Wage	Actual Hourly Value of Health Insurance	Actual Job Retention	Actual Job Retention Actual Hourly Wage
Minnesota Pollution Control Agency	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Minnesota Pollution Control Agency	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Minnesota Pollution Control Agency	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Name of Funding Government Agency	Actual Hourly Value of Health Insurance	Achievement of All Goals	Recipients Failing to Report	Recipients Failing to Achieve Goals
Minnesota Pollution Control Agency	n/a	yes	no	no
Minnesota Pollution Control Agency	n/a	yes	no	no
Minnesota Pollution Control Agency	n/a	yes	no	no