



2020+

*Benefits of Brownfield
Redevelopment in Minnesota*

Table of Contents

Introduction	1
Brownfields in Minnesota	4
Economic Benefits of Brownfield Redevelopment	4
Community Benefits of Brownfield Redevelopment	7
Environmental Benefits of Brownfield Redevelopment	10
Redevelopment Opportunities	13
The Importance of State Brownfield Funding	15
Conclusions and Recommendations	16
References	17

Illustrations and Design:
Anna Cich

Writing Credits:
Gabe Epstein
Severin Oman
Anna Cich Hava Blair
Martha Faust



Minnesota Brownfields is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization. Our mission is to promote the efficient clean-up and reuse of contaminated land as a means of generating economic growth, strengthening communities, and enabling sustainable land use and development.

For more information visit www.mnbrownfields.org.

This report was made possible with funding from the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency and the McKnight Foundation.



McKNIGHT FOUNDATION

Minnesota's growing population and economy drive development decisions throughout the state. Historically, industrial and economic advancement have pushed outward to undeveloped and suburban land, leaving thousands of idle and contaminated properties, known as brownfields, vacant across the state.

Unattended brownfields threaten the environment, public health, and local communities and economies. Brownfields can drive out local businesses and burden neighbors with health risks related to air and water pollution and lack of recreation. Brownfields can solidify economic disparities and act as physical barriers between neighborhoods. Brownfield clean-up can provide an opportunity to improve neighborhood connectivity and public health, decrease energy consumption and carbon emissions, preserve carbon-sequestering green spaces, and grow local economies to support local residents and small businesses. This report presents the economic, environmental, and social benefits of reintegrating brownfield sites into Minnesota's economy and communities.

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) defines a brownfield as:

“real property, the expansion, redevelopment, or reuse of which may be complicated by the presence or potential presence of a hazardous substance, pollutant, or contaminant.”¹

Brownfields exist in a number of forms: as abandoned industrial sites, gas stations, dry cleaners, landfills, and any other industrial or commercial sites where prior uses introduced contaminants into the environment. Financial costs, time constraints, and the legal burden of preparing a brownfield for development can deter investment in these sites. Meanwhile, developers are often attracted to greenfields, which are undeveloped sites outside the urban core. Greenfields – free of hazardous waste, inexpensive, and unconstrained by urban infrastructure can be developed more quickly, at lower upfront cost, and without the legal constraints of a brownfield or previously developed site.²

To address some of the concerns associated with brownfield redevelopment, Minnesota passed the Land Recycling Act in 1992, becoming the first state to establish statutory authority for qualifying voluntary parties to obtain legal protections from state Superfund clean-up liability.³ In 1992, Minnesota also passed an amendment to the Petroleum Tank Release Clean-up Act, which included establishing liability assurances for petroleum compounds. Since then, many of Minnesota's most visible brownfield sites have been remediated and repurposed.

A History of Superfund and Brownfield Legislation

In 1980, Congress passed the federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA). This legislation allowed the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to utilize federal funds to clean up contaminated land and to hold property owners liable for the release of hazardous waste. The Minnesota Legislature passed a related act in 1983, the Minnesota Environmental Response and Liability Act (MERLA), which amended the original federal act with liability protections. Though this provision began to address liability concerns, developers' fears of pre-existing contamination paralyzed the real estate market in the developed, metropolitan core and initiated a market shift outward away from the core. Contaminated urban properties became idle and persistent sources of contamination and blight nationwide.

MERLA and its amendments in the late 1980s and early 1990s advanced brownfield legislation by establishing technical assistance, resources, and guidance for brownfield redevelopment. Statutory amendments to MERLA during this time period designated degrees of contamination; encouraged voluntary investigation, cleanup, and redevelopment of brownfields; and clarified legal protection options for non-responsible parties.⁴ In 2002, Congress passed a third amendment to CERCLA, the Small Business Liability Relief and Revitalization Act, more commonly known as the Brownfields Act. The act limited the liability of neighboring property owners and prospective purchasers of brownfields, clarified the defense of innocent landowners, and authenticated relationships between the EPA and MPCA for coordinating contamination assessment and cleanup on local and federal levels. In 2018, the Brownfields Utilization, Investment, and Local Development (BUILD) Act passed with the fiscal year 2018 Omnibus Package. This act amended the Brownfields provisions of CERCLA, re-authorizing the U.S. EPA Brownfields Program for the first time since its authorization expired in 2006.⁵

Brownfield Indicators and Challenges

By definition, brownfields present both opportunity and challenge. Brownfields are identified for their potential for reuse or redevelopment, but this potential is complicated by a variety of factors related to each site's past. Because of their former uses, brownfields tend to be located in areas that are already developed, meaning brownfield properties are often surrounded by multiple properties and landowners. This presents one of the first challenges to a developer interested in a brownfield property: fragmented ownership. In the case of fragmented ownership, communication and negotiation with multiple stakeholders can complicate the development process. Another challenge – and perhaps the most daunting – is the risk of environmental contamination and liability. Developers must obtain liability assurances to cover a list of regulated, known contaminants. This list is updated as greater scientific understanding reveals new potential threats to human and environmental health. Brownfield property owners must be willing to address contaminants that may be revealed in the future. Recent examples of such “emerging contaminants” include vapor intrusion, a pathway for chemical exposure discovered in the early 2000's and per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS), a class of widely used compounds with unknown health impacts. Learn more about PFAS on the next page.

Developers who take on brownfield redevelopments must accommodate the longer timeline associated with pre-development investigation and cleanup; upfront capital costs of demolition and remediation; legal protocol and communication with state and federal agencies; and the negative perceptions that may be associated with a chosen site. To assist with these challenges, a number of local, state, and federal agencies exist to assist developers with brownfield site investigation, assessment, cleanup, and development.

State and Regional Assistance for Brownfields

Today, technical assistance and funding are available from state agencies to facilitate the various phases of brownfield redevelopment. The Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) Brownfield Program includes the the Voluntary Investigation and Cleanup (VIC) Program and Petroleum Brownfields Program (PB). The VIC and PB Programs provide technical assistance and liability assurance to facilitate the investigation, cleanup, transfer, and redevelopment of brownfield sites.

Emerging Contaminants: Spotlight on PFAS

Among the complicating factors of brownfield redevelopment is the emergence and identification of new contaminants. Though some chemicals have been used for decades, their effects on human and environmental health may not be known. PFAS are a relevant example of this challenge.

PFAS, or per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances, are a class of approximately 5,000 compounds manufactured for their heat and oil resistance and insulating properties. Widely in use since the 1940s, PFAS are ubiquitous in stain and water repellent materials, firefighting foam, food wrappers, nonstick cookware, and electric insulation. The compounds are bioaccumulative and break down very slowly. PFAS persist in soil, water, and the bloodstreams of humans (among other animals). In February, 2019 the EPA announced its PFAS Action Plan which includes a plan to regulate two prominent substances – PFOS and PFOA – as hazardous substances under the Superfund Law by the end of 2019. At the time of writing this report, no action has been taken on this measure.

PFOS and PFOA, the two most widely studied of the PFAS class of compounds, have been linked to increased cholesterol levels, lower infant birth weights, immune system effects, cancer, and thyroid hormone disruption. PFOS and PFOA are no longer manufactured in the United States, but they are manufactured internationally, and consumer products containing the two compounds continue to be imported. Without federally-designated hazardous substance classification or drinking water maximum contaminant levels (MCL), the compounds cannot be regulated as a known toxin. However, some states have taken action and begun to research and/or regulate PFAS emissions and exposure.^{10 11}

The Minnesota Department of Agriculture (MDA) is the lead state agency for the investigation and clean-up of contamination from agricultural chemicals. Staff in the Agricultural Voluntary Investigation and Cleanup (AgVIC) Program provide technical assistance and liability assurance letters for agricultural chemical contamination sites. Some financial assistance for investigation and cleanup activities at agricultural contamination sites is available through the Agricultural Chemical Response and Reimbursement Account (ACRRA).

The Minnesota Department of Employment and Economic Development (DEED) administers funds for the investigation and cleanup of sites with contaminated soil or groundwater. In awarding grants for brownfield cleanup, DEED prioritizes projects that address public health threats, increase local tax base, create jobs, and foster the social health of their surrounding communities.⁶

Minnesota has additional state and regional resources for brownfield cleanup and redevelopment. These include the Metropolitan Council's Tax Base Revitalization Account (TBRA) grants, the MPCA's Targeted Brownfield Assessment Program, and county-specific grants, including Hennepin County and Ramsey County Environmental Response Fund (ERF) programs and Dakota County's Redevelopment Incentive Grant Program.⁷ Further funding opportunities are available in Northern Minn. through Iron Range Resources & Rehabilitation (IRRR) as well as a revolving loan fund in the city of Duluth.


Federal Assistance for Brownfields

The EPA provides federal brownfield assessment, cleanup, and revolving loan funds to local project stakeholders interested in redevelopment, including a Multi-Purpose Grant for broad remediation use.⁸ The EPA also provides technical information on brownfields financing. The EPA Brownfields Program collaborates with other EPA programs and partners at the federal and state levels to provide a variety of important resources that can be used for brownfields activities.⁹

Brownfields in Minnesota

More than 450,000 brownfields exist throughout the United States. Minnesota has made significant progress in brownfield cleanup and redevelopment since the late 1980s, but more work remains to be done. As of January 2020, brownfield investigation and/or cleanup has been completed on 5,333 MPCA and Cleanup (VIC) sites and 2,746 MPCA Petroleum Brownfield (PB) sites. Over the lifetime of both Brownfield programs, MPCA estimates that the combined programs have acres of land back to productive use.¹²

Despite Minnesota's brownfield cleanup and redevelopment successes, the MPCA estimates that approximately 10,000 brownfields or potential brownfields sites in Minnesota remain.¹³ Minnesota's brownfields are concentrated in the state's urban and industrial centers but also exist in smaller communities and rural areas. Identified sites range from small corner gas stations with leaking underground storage tanks to large abandoned industrial complexes with plumes of contaminated groundwater migrating off-site.



Map 1 on the left shows the distribution of such brownfield sites throughout Minnesota. At present there are just under 1,000 sites currently listed as “open” by the MPCA.¹⁴

When brownfields are successfully redeveloped...

- **the economy benefits:** local businesses thrive and new businesses open, providing new jobs for locals and housing to match; larger tax bases provide funding for essential public amenities; and market demand for compact development is met.
- **the community benefits:** neighborhoods become more connected; the health risks associated with air and water pollution and inactivity decrease; and transportation options for non-drivers become more widely available.
- **the environment benefits:** energy is distributed and used more efficiently; car trips become shorter and less frequent; and undeveloped greenspace – critical to habitat connectivity, biodiversity, climate resilience, and carbon-sequestration – is preserved.

Economic Benefits

Economic development is a central policy goal in most brownfield programs and is one of the most visible and measurable benefits of remediation and redevelopment. Brownfield redevelopment enables job creation and retention, increases private investment, revitalizes the tax base, and encourages the use of existing infrastructure. Additionally, redeveloped brownfields often attract new businesses and lead to further economic development and tax base expansion. Collectively, these benefits contribute to economic competitiveness at the local and regional level, providing a substantial return on public investment.

Job Retention and Creation

Brownfield redevelopment offers opportunities for new business activity, bringing new jobs, and instilling life in neighborhoods and economies. Since 1995, DEED has awarded \$187 million in Contamination Cleanup and Investigation Grant funding, yielding the creation or retention of over 50,000 jobs. The same projects spurred the development of over 20,000 housing units, over 4,000 of which are considered affordable, allowing residents to live where they work.¹⁵

Leveraging Private Investment

By offsetting the costs and liabilities associated with redeveloping contaminated property, public investment makes brownfield sites financially viable for private developers. In fact, private investment provides the majority of investment in brownfield redevelopment. DEED's investments have led to almost \$7.7 billion in private investment, more than \$37 dollars in private investment for every \$1 dollar granted.¹⁶ On average, every dollar in grant funding provided by DEED leverages \$37 in private investment, demonstrating the power of public investment.¹⁷

Tax Base Expansion and Revitalization

By placing previously abandoned and undeveloped lots on the tax roll, brownfield redevelopment often increases the local tax base. Residents benefit from job opportunities, new businesses and services, and increased utilization of existing infrastructure and mass transit. As a result, local economies flourish; and consumer spending, state income tax, and sales tax revenue increase. In 2015, the University of Wisconsin-Whitewater's Fiscal and Economic Research Center determined that the assessable tax base of an average remediated brownfield site in Wisconsin increased by \$3.4 million as a direct result of redevelopment, with an additional \$3.5 million increase from resounding effects on nearby properties.¹⁹

Tax base revitalization provides economic stimulus beyond what a state or federal subsidy alone can produce. In Minnesota, projects supported through DEED's Contamination Cleanup and Investigation Program have contributed an estimated \$123 million to the collective local tax base from 1995-2018.²⁰ In Hennepin County, Environmental Response Fund (ERF)-aided projects between 2003-2012 generated at least \$64 million more in incremental property taxes than they did prior to ERF involvement.²¹



Increasing Property Values

When brownfield sites are remediated and returned to productive use, benefits extend to the surrounding community. In a 2016 study, Taylor, Phaneuf, and Liu found that residential property values in the Twin Cities neighboring an untreated brownfield site were about eight-percent lower than other nearby residential properties.²² A national study of EPA funded cleanups found that the cleanup and redevelopment of brownfield sites led to residential property value increases ranging from 5 to 11.5 percent within a 1.29 mile buffer.²³ Locally, Hennepin County reports that property values of completed ERF aided projects increased in value by over \$437 million, compared to their pre-assessment values.

This represents an 11 to 1 return on investment.²⁴

Meeting Market Demand for Compact Development

As the population of new homeowners, aging baby boomers, and single homeowners grows, so does demand for walkable and connected neighborhoods and centrally located housing. Infill development on brownfield properties presents an ideal opportunity to provide housing in close proximity to a city center and opportunities to walk, roll, and bike to nearby goods and services. In addition, the economic efficiency of infill projects can be attractive to developers, who collect more per square foot than they would outside the central city and do not need to accommodate as many automobiles.²⁵ Recent shifts in city planning reflect the growing demand for housing density and reduced car use, a theme at the forefront of Minneapolis's 2040 Plan. As cities move to rewrite zoning codes and parking requirements for new development, infill proves a promising method for matching demand for new, affordable, and accessible housing.^{26,27}

Economic Benefits of Density and Connectivity

Brownfield redevelopment can minimize sprawl and its associated public infrastructure costs.²⁸ On average, greenfield development uses two to four times more land than infill redevelopment on brownfields.²⁹ Infill redevelopment often utilizes existing infrastructure,



Block 518

In a collaborative effort between Tailwind Group, Inc. and the City of Mankato, Block 518 transformed an underutilized downtown block from two commercial buildings and a metal storage building into high-density office, commercial, residential, and a parking ramp over 1.4 acres. Specifically, the new redevelopment includes a 7-story office, 5-story office, 5-story mixed use building, and a public parking ramp. Prior to cleanup the site contained heavy metals, polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), diesel range organics, and volatile organic compounds found beneath the one acre parking lot.

The redevelopment led to the creation of 414 full-time jobs, an upgrade from 18 prior to redevelopment. The tax base increased by \$525,375 and a property value of \$19,142,700, an increase of \$17,833,700 from the previous value. The redevelopment included public infrastructure improvements to increase pedestrian safety and provide outdoor patios for customer use. The redevelopment led to a greater diversity in commercial and residential uses, spurring investment in adjacent properties and revitalizing the Mankato City Center.

while development on greenfield sites requires the expansion of public sewage and water systems, utilities, streets, transportation facilities, schools, and parks. Suburban infrastructure is typically more expensive – with increased costs per homeowner – than urban infrastructure.³⁰


Community Benefits

Brownfield cleanup and redevelopment improves public health and livability in Minnesota’s communities. Brownfields pose human health risks, influence investment and development decisions, and physically divide neighborhoods. The air, water, and noise pollution associated with untreated brownfields can include health outcomes such as increased blood lead levels, asthma, cardiovascular and heart disease, emphysema, and cancer. While 32% of Minnesota’s communities experience “above risk exposure guideline” levels of air pollution, this number is 46% for low income communities and 91% for communities of color and indigenous communities.³¹

Brownfields and Public Health

Taken together, the physical environment and socio-economic factors such as education, income, housing, and access to services comprise up to 50 percent of the factors that determine a person’s health (Fig. 1).³² Brownfield cleanup can eliminate the potential health hazards associated with contaminated soil and groundwater, and redevelopment can create new opportunities for recreation by connecting neighborhoods and establishing green space. Furthermore, brownfield redevelopment can create opportunities for new businesses and new jobs, affordable housing, and transportation options.³³

Figure 1. Determinants of Health



A 2019 air quality report from the MPCA estimates that the annual economic impact of air pollution-related health effects in the state of Minnesota is \$30 billion dollars.³² While many factors contribute to Minnesota’s air quality, brownfield remediation presents an opportunity to reduce emissions from the most potent sources of air pollution. The MPCA reports that on-road passenger vehicles contribute to 24 percent of air pollution emissions in Minnesota, and neighborhood sources like dry cleaners, gas stations, and auto shops contribute another 35 percent. Infill development can decrease the number of vehicle miles traveled (VMT) by shortening commutes, introducing new transportation options, and eliminating the need to expand road infrastructure. Brownfield remediation also addresses the lingering volatile organic compounds (VOCs) remaining on properties that previously housed dry cleaners or auto shops, where solvents, degreasers, paint thinners, and fuels remain in untreated soils.³⁴

Environmental Justice

Brownfields and their associated public health risks are more concentrated in low income communities and communities of color. The Minnesota Pollution Control Agency defines Environmental Justice Zones as census tracts where 50% or more of the population are people of color and/or more than 40% of the households have a household income of less than 185% of the federal poverty level.³⁵ In Minnesota, Environmental Justice (EJ) communities have over 2.8X as many brownfield sites per capita than non- EJ communities.

The Minnesota Pollution Control Agency defines Environmental Justice as “the fair treatment and meaningful involvement of all people regardless of race, color, national origin, or income with respect to the development, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies”. Minnesota Brownfields follows this definition and also acknowledges the definition crafted by the state of Massachusetts, “EJ is the equal protection and meaningful involvement of all people with respect to the development, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies and the equitable distribution of environmental benefits.”³⁶ We choose to also incorporate this definition as it explicitly includes the need to equitably distribute environmental benefits in addition to mitigating harms.

Historically, environmental justice communities have faced discrimination, lack of investment, and inadequate opportunities for meaningful inclusion in political, planning, and environmental decisions that directly affect health, access to jobs, and daily lives. Communities who are overburdened with environmental pollution face additional barriers to brownfield redevelopment. It is imperative that such redevelopment prioritizes the needs of existing residents.

Brownfields and Developmental Health

Children are at particular risk of the pollution leached by brownfields sites. 11 million Americans live within a mile of a Federal Superfund Site, including 3-4 million children. Water and air pollution are especially dangerous to children because of their physical size, developing organs, and early-age cell development. Furthermore, many environmental toxins are fat soluble and accumulate in the placenta, bloodstreams, and breastmilk.³⁷

Longitudinal research projects are beginning to expose lifetime effects of pollution and toxic waste on physical and cognitive development. Recent research suggests that lead poisoning may be one of the causes of continuing disparities in test scores among school children.³⁸

Furthermore, the developmental impacts of Superfund site exposure are increasingly the topic of public health research. A 2016 study from the National Bureau of Economic Research compared academic outcomes of children born before or during Superfund cleanup with their siblings that were born after completed site cleanup. Children who were conceived prior to Superfund cleanup were 7.4 percentage points more likely to repeat a grade, received 0.06 of a standard deviation lower test scores, and were 6.6 percentage points more likely to be suspended from school than their siblings who were conceived after site cleanup.³⁹

Brownfields and Gentrification

Brownfield remediation in EJ communities is an important part of community development, however this process requires extreme care and consideration to avoid displacing existing communities instead of benefiting them. National and statewide research demonstrates that brownfield redevelopment often leads to increased land values and rent hikes that can price out and displace the existing community. Risks of gentrification and displacement must be addressed when working in marginalized communities that have faced generational discrimination in regard to housing, health, and economic opportunity.⁴⁰ Addressing gentrification in brownfields redevelopment will require a variety of tools. Communities need to be meaningfully involved at every step of the process, ranging from input on what to develop to commitment of full-time, living wage jobs.⁴¹ Community input and access to local wealth building opportunities are critical steps to preventing displacement and establishing equitable outcomes for our most marginalized populations.⁴²

Gentrification is a broad challenge that requires action at national, state, and local levels to properly address. In Minnesota the CREATE Team funded by the University of Minnesota has conducted statewide research and developed a toolkit for residents, government, and developers to address "Green Gentrification". Find the toolkit here: <https://create.umn.edu/toolkit/>



Capitol Region Watershed District

This two acre site was previously vacant after prior ownership by MacQueen Equipment. The site contained volatile organic compounds in soil gas, diesel range organics in soil and groundwater, along with asbestos and lead in building materials. In response to the soil gas found, Barr Engineering designed an active vapor mitigation system to be used in both buildings on site. The redevelopment adopted green building principles including stormwater management, energy efficiency, and material reuse from the previous MacQueen Equipment building.

The Capitol Region Watershed District (CRWD) saw this site as an opportunity to develop a new office, educational facility, and semi-public green spaces to be used by community members. The project utilized wood from invasive species harvested in St. Paul in much of the building design. The CRWD also includes a unique stormwater recycling system, using rain collected from the roof to flush toilets, rinse sample bottles, and for interactive exhibits in the pocket park. This stormwater system is a bright example for future urban development.

The CRWD committed to achieve cleaner waters through strategic initiatives, using research-based decision making and to use education and outreach to promote changed attitudes toward water stewardship.

The emerging understanding of the risks associated with early childhood exposure to industrial toxicants provides further evidence of extreme racial and socioeconomic disparities in public health and decision making in U.S. planning. Brownfield cleanup and redevelopment can provide essential opportunities for increased physical, economic, and social health. It is critical that such redevelopment prioritizes the needs of existing residents.

Connectivity and Accessibility

Smart Growth America found that 40 percent of average household spending is comprised of housing and transportation costs.⁴³ They therefore urge affordable housing and short commutes as a primary solution to poverty. Because brownfields are often situated in already-developed areas, many are ideally suited for affordable housing and access to local amenities. Infill – associated with increased housing density, mixed land uses, and shorter blocks – increases access to active, human-powered transportation methods and public transit.

Dense infill development on brownfield properties can encourage physical activity, daily face-to-face interactions, and community participation. These are essential resources for aging adults – and all people – to combat social isolation, depression, and the cognitive challenges associated with age.⁴⁴ Physical activity is correlated with greater longevity and positive health benefits, such as reduced risk of obesity and heart disease.⁴⁵

Emerging research demonstrates the negative effects of long commutes on students' sleep schedules, daily activity levels, and obesity.⁴⁶ Market trends demonstrate a rising preference for these amenities among new homeowners and renters, too. By increasing the accessibility of Minnesota's neighborhoods, brownfield redevelopment can encourage a more diverse and connected public realm.

Environmental Benefits


Brownfield remediation improves local environmental quality through the remediation of air and ground contaminants. These changes translate to large-scale environmental improvements like improved air quality, climate resilience, biodiversity, connectivity, and ecosystem health.

Reducing Energy Consumption and Emissions

The density and urban location of most brownfield sites can reduce the length of individual commutes and trips, resulting in energy savings and reduced emissions. Brownfield redevelopment reduces per capita vehicle miles travelled (VMT) by 32-57 percent, relative to conventional greenfield development.⁴⁷

Infill development often encourages greater housing density and a mix of land uses, including small-scale local retailers. Infill development, in turn, incentivizes public transit and active transportation options while reducing vehicle miles traveled.⁴⁸ Increased development density corresponds with further reductions in greenhouse gas emissions because denser development frequently requires less energy use per capita, builders can utilize existing structures and infrastructure rather than building anew, energy is transmitted more efficiently within denser service areas, and greenfields are maintained as critical carbon sinks.⁴⁹ The reduction in VMT translates directly to reduced greenhouse gas and carbon dioxide emissions. A 2011 U.S. EPA study of the Twin Cities area found a 32 percent reduction in carbon dioxide emission per capita for brownfield redevelopment sites compared to conventional development.⁵⁰

Compact development can bring energy savings of approximately 25 percent, compared to greenfield development because electricity distribution in dense urban areas is far more efficient than in sprawling suburban areas.⁵¹ Line loss, or energy lost in transportation accounts for approximately nine percent of electricity production.⁵² This percentage is reduced in areas where electricity need only be transported short distances.



Curbing Sprawl and Conserving Land

Among the most critical ecological benefits of brown-field redevelopment is the preservation of undeveloped, vegetated land. Brownfield redevelopment replaces the need for greenfield development; and, can preserve habitat connectivity and biodiversity on undeveloped land.

In their paper “Growing Cooler: The Evidence on Urban Development and Climate Change,” Ewing et al. site a *dual-effect* of infill development. Infill improves transportation efficiency while also preserving carbon-sequestering green space.⁵³ Furthermore, the higher real estate costs associated with infill development often encourage developers to use land more efficiently. Brownfield development typically requires a quarter to a half of the land for a given project compared to conventional greenfield development because of the building practices and parking requirements associated with each type of development.⁵⁴

Greenfield development is associated with an overall loss of habitat, locally dominant ecosystems, biomass, and carbon storage.⁵⁵ Forest cover is particularly critical for carbon sequestration. The expansion of impervious land cover – such as paved roads and developed infrastructure – can reduce soil carbon pools by approximately 66 percent.⁵⁶ Furthermore, EPA estimates that storm water runoff is 43-60 percent lower in brownfield developments than their greenfield alternatives because infill does not require the same extent of road and utility infrastructure as conventional development.⁵⁷

Providing Urban Green Space

Brownfields can be repurposed as recreational spaces, including community gardens, pocket parks, and green infrastructure. Greening brownfields improves quality of life for residents and incentivizes private investment in the surrounding area. Park and recreation space currently comprises nearly percent of the Twin Cities, the highest ratio of green space in the region’s history.⁵⁸

Reclaimed landfills and industrial sites also provide stopover habitat for migrating birds in highly urbanized and industrialized regions.



Infill development provides
DUAL BENEFIT
to the environment,
minimizing energy
consumption and
transportation-related emis-
sions while preserving
carbon-sequestering
greenspace.

Studies are beginning to evaluate the effectiveness of these reclaimed sites to provide rest and energy maintenance along migration routes and the potential for improved stopover areas to improve migrations routes and habitat conservation.⁵⁹ In a 2016 report by *The Atlantic*, it was noted that Minneapolis has historically invested significantly more funding for parks located in Southwest Minneapolis than in North Minneapolis.⁶⁰ Southwest Minneapolis is an affluent, predominantly white region of the city, while North Minneapolis is a historically marginalized region. Since this report Minneapolis is working to invest in green space more equitably.

Biodiversity and Climate Resilience

Increasingly, brownfields are recognized for their capacity to build resilience in climate vulnerable regions. Because industry tends to cluster along shipping corridors like rivers and large bodies of water, brownfields are often concentrated along these same buffer regions. These previously industrial brownfields can provide important space to establish local resilience to erosion, flooding, and storm surge.⁶¹

Brownfield redevelopment also provides an opportunity to preserve ecosystem services. As an alternative to greenfield development, brownfield redevelopment encourages the reuse of previously developed land that is already integrated into urban systems. Thus, brownfield redevelopment can prevent the degradation of existing habitat and migration corridors in undeveloped green space. Large, undisturbed, and connected habitat is essential to preserving the ecosystem services associated with biodiversity – pollination, pest control, drought tolerance, and flood and erosion protection – which we rely upon for sustainable food production and storm protection.

Brownfields can also be utilized to revive green space and facilitate habitat and migratory connectivity. Brownfields – particularly those with a long history of disuse – might even become home of flourishing ecosystems. Sensitivity to existing habitat and ecosystems is an essential component of brownfield redevelopment, whether on abandoned brownfields, developed park space, or undeveloped green spaces.⁶²

Redevelopment opportunities are scattered throughout the state. These brownfields present opportunity to stimulate economic growth, reconnect communities, and begin to reduce environmental threats. Assembling these small, disconnected, and available parcels can yield attractive, developable sites or corridors for future infill development.



Allianz Field, St Paul

Allianz Field is the new home of the Minnesota United FC (Go Loons!). The stadium was constructed on a former street car and bus transit facility that included historic manufacturing and maintenance over a 100 year period. As a result, this 21 acre site contained lead, polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons, diesel range organics, gasoline range organics, petroleum-related volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and non-petroleum VOCs. The site also required the installation of a vapor mitigation system as well as the excavation of unregulated fill and the capping of a 520-foot deep water well. The development required close collaboration between public and private sector stakeholders, involving the City of St. Paul, Metro Transit, local businesses, and various engineers and contractors.

Allianz Field was designed with sustainability in mind, seeking to achieve redevelopment goals for density, sustainability, and vibrant spaces. The field includes an innovative storm water recovery system that helps to conserve more than 2.8 million gallons of water per year. The project also intentionally collaborated with Metro Transit to ensure easy access to public transportation, including the Green Line light rail, the A Line bus rapid transit system, additional bus routes, and bicycle access. In addition to the sustainability measures incorporated into the redevelopment itself, Allianz Field makes continued efforts to remain environmentally conscious, seeking measures to reduce waste and to provide education and assistance to fans regarding sustainability initiatives.

Redevelopment Opportunities

Specific opportunities for brownfield redevelopment in Minnesota include:


Opportunity Zones: The Opportunity Zone program – signed into action as part of the 2017 Federal Tax Cuts and Jobs Act – incentivizes private investment in the 8,764 Opportunity Zones across the United States, Washington, DC, and five U.S. territories. The program allows private-sector investors to reinvest unused capital gain profits into new projects in distressed census tracts, in the hopes of spurring economic development and job creation in underserved and economically distressed communities. Investors can defer taxes on their capital gains profits until as late as 2026. The program incentivizes long-term investment in Opportunity Zone sites, with the greatest tax benefits for those who remain invested in a site for at least ten years. In 2020, the IRS ruled that funding brownfield remediation is considered an Opportunity Zone investment.⁶³

Many view this as an opportunity to create new, affordable housing and increase access to essential amenities like grocery stores and clinics. However, critics view the new program as a potential facilitator of gentrification, especially in the context of the current housing market. Because the incentive is so new, participating projects are only in early stages. Without the perspective of time, the impacts of this new incentive cannot yet be known. Opportunity Zones have attracted bipartisan support, and many are optimistic that the program will draw healthy investment and new opportunities where economic revitalization is most needed. However, local governments will play a critical role in guiding incoming private investments to align with the needs of existing residents and local businesses.^{64 65 66}

To view a map of the Minnesota census tracts designated as Qualified Opportunity Zones, visit the Department of Employment and Economic Development's website: <https://mn.gov/deed/business/financing-business/tax-credits/opp-zones/census-opp-zone-tracts.jsp>

Transit-Oriented Development: Defined as high-density, mixed-use residential and commercial development near transit stations, transit-oriented development (TOD) is often possible in areas with concentrations of brownfields, where neighborhood infrastructure and economy are already in place. A relevant example of TOD in Minnesota is new development along the Blue Line and Green Line Light Rail Transit (LRT) extensions. Since 2009, Metro Transit reports that 15,000 multifamily housing units have been built along LRT and bus rapid transit (BRT) lines, demonstrating the desirability of residential space near public transportation – both for residents and for developers.

Metro Transit also reports that 8.6 percent of the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area lives in high-frequency LRT and BRT station areas, which comprise only 1.7 percent of the region's land area. New transit lines provide important opportunities for new development while placing significant market pressure on affected neighborhoods. By extending LRT lines, Metro Transit aims to support the specific needs of affected communities and residents by supporting and expanding local businesses, housing options, and local investment; improving connections for walking, biking, and rolling; and engaging residents in planning activities.⁶⁷



Brownfields and Renewable Energy: Brightfields

As Minnesota diversifies its energy production, brownfield sites offer advantageous locations for renewable energy generation. Nationwide, support for solar generation is growing, particularly as states begin to develop solar arrays on closed landfills and contaminated, abandoned properties. Brightfields – contaminated sites with potential for solar energy generation – have seen particular success on the East Coast, where states like Massachusetts, Connecticut, and Rhode Island have implemented solar photovoltaic installations on closed landfills.

While all closed landfill sites present geo-technical feasibility challenges related to site composition and landfill contents, Minnesota faces additional state-specific legal barriers. Many of the sites enrolled in the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency's (MPCA) Closed Landfill Program (CLP) are constrained by state general obligation bonds, which cover closure and continuing maintenance costs of the closed landfill sites but do not address post-closure development, such as solar installation. These bonds present a critical barrier that prevents involvement from third party developers and complicates the regulatory process for local municipalities interested in developing solar arrays on CLP sites.

The Brightfields Initiative is addressing this challenge. With a diverse set of professional backgrounds, including public and non-profit sector employees from across the country, the collective provides cost-free professional, technical, financial, and regulatory expertise and analysis for local governments across Minnesota. By assisting pilot projects, the initiative is forging a path for consistent policy, permitting, and redevelopment protocol regarding solar installation on closed landfills enrolled in the MPCA's CLP.



The Importance of State Brownfield Funding

Brownfield sites pose complex fiscal challenges to potential developers, municipalities, and broader communities alike. The need for up-front capital to clean contaminated sites – paired with a shortage of loan availability and private equity investment monies – requires developers to seek public assistance. Government grants, such as those from the EPA, DEED, Metropolitan Council, MPCA, and local counties, defray upfront cleanup costs and make brownfield projects financially viable. Loans for brownfield sites are often more difficult to obtain because lenders can be reluctant to take on the risk of contaminated properties or economically depressed regions. While public funding is available for brownfield revitalization in Minnesota, current programs are vastly over-subscribed, further complicating the redevelopment process and resulting in fewer financially viable projects.

Spurring Development and Economic Growth Through Public Funding

Most brownfield projects rely on a combination of funding sources. Many redevelopment projects – especially those that take place on properties with a history of commercial or industrial use – encounter contamination issues. The longer the history of commercial or industrial use, the greater the probability that a property will require remediation. Private developers work on tight budgets with limited capacity to absorb the unpredictable costs of environmental investigations and cleanups. Therefore, developers look for significant return on investment to justify redevelopment. Public-private partnerships have proven an effective strategy to spur development. A comparison of competitive state, regional, and county brownfield financial resources can be found on the Minnesota Brownfields website underneath the “Available Resources” tab. (<https://mnbrownfields.org>.)

Barriers to Capitalizing on Minnesota’s Brownfield Opportunities

Funding for Minnesota’s main brownfields grant programs has fluctuated with the state’s economic and political climate. The 2012 Minnesota Legislature ended the Hennepin and Ramsey County Environmental Response Funds for six months, only to reinstate the Funds in 2013 for the next 15 years. Up to half of the Metropolitan Council’s brownfield grant funds were earmarked to cover a transit operating deficit between 2009 to 2011. Despite the recent increase in funding and the reauthorization of the EPA’s Brownfields Program, the funding of the EPA Brownfields Grant Program has been historically cyclical, peaking in 2009. There is intense national competition for EPA grants and Federal funding is now more uncertain than ever, making it critical that Minnesota’s state brownfield grant programs are funded to meet demand.

Conclusions and Recommendations

Brownfield remediation supports Minnesota's future economic competitiveness, environmental sustainability, and public health. In the context of budget constraints and social and environmental challenges, brownfield redevelopment provides an opportunity for Minnesota to ensure a strong economy, protect the environment, and provide a high standard of living for all Minnesotans – now and for generations to come.

We can ensure this future by:

- Strengthening the commitment to brownfield redevelopment by Minnesota's state, regional, and local governments, as well as its real estate community, environmental professionals, corporate community, lenders, and nonprofit community.
- Encouraging local governments to support redevelopment and brownfield cleanup by establishing redevelopment policies and best practices. The Urban Land Institute's (Re)development Ready Guide is a proactive framework that provides clarity, transparency, collaboration, and efficiency to support thriving, sustainable communities.⁶⁸
- Ensuring that Minnesota's brownfield funding programs receive adequate appropriations to support the cleanup and redevelopment of our state's brownfields. Grant programs should not be vulnerable to reallocation of their funds to competing programs. Income obtained by the state through the voluntary cleanup programs should be used exclusively for the operation, expansion, and innovation of the voluntary cleanup programs.
- Incorporating community and regional objectives into the brownfield redevelopment decision making process, to ensure that public funding of brownfield projects continues to benefit the communities surrounding the projects and appropriately leverages private investment.
- Advocating for more equitable redevelopment practices, bringing a lens of equity, diversity, and inclusion into every aspect of brownfield cleanup and remediation. To ensure that brownfield remediation is a helpful, rather than harmful process we must work to intentionally include marginalized communities at every level of the process, from increased community input efforts to intentional training, job creation, and representation for our most disenfranchised communities.

Resources

1. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) "Overview of the Brownfield Program" Available online: <https://www.epa.gov/brownfields/overview-epas-brownfields-program> (2019).
2. Watchdog Project Management, "Using a Greenfield Site for Construction Projects" Available online: <http://watchdogpm.com/blog/using-a-greenfield-site-for-construction-projects/> (March 29, 2019)
3. Haberman, Kenneth M. "Minnesota's Response to Brownfield Redevelopment Issues." Environmental Practice. (4) December 2009. 301-310.
4. Haberman, Kenneth M. "Minnesota's Response to Brownfield Redevelopment Issues." Environmental Practice. (4) December 2009. 301-310.
5. Environmental Protection Agency, "Brownfields Laws and Regulations," Available Online: <https://www.epa.gov/brownfields/brownfields-laws-and-regulations> (2019).
6. Minnesota Department of Employment and Economic Development, "Contamination Cleanup and Investigation Grants Program" 2018 Annual Report (2018).
7. Metropolitan Council. "Tax Base Revitalization Account (TBRA)." Available online: [https://metro council.org/Communities/Services/Livable-Communities-Grants/Tax-Base-Revitalization-Account-\(TBRA\).aspx](https://metro council.org/Communities/Services/Livable-Communities-Grants/Tax-Base-Revitalization-Account-(TBRA).aspx) (2018).
8. Environmental Protection Agency, "FY19 Guidelines for Brownfields Multipurpose (MP) Grant, (2018) Available online: https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2018-11/documents/epa-olem-oblr-18-08_mp_final_1.pdf
9. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, "State Brownfields and Voluntary Response Programs," Available online: <https://www.epa.gov/brownfields/2017-state-brownfields-and-voluntary-response-programs> (November 2017).
10. Hersher, R. "Scientists Dig Into Hard Questions About The Fluorinated Pollutants Known As PFAS" National Public Radio, Available online: <https://www.npr.org/sections/health-shots/2019/04/22/708863848/scientists-dig-into-hard-questions-about-the-fluorinated-pollutants-known-as-pfas> (April 29, 2019).
11. United States Environmental Protection Agency "Basic Information on PFAS" Available online: <https://www.epa.gov/pfas/basic-information-pfas> (2019).
12. Minnesota Pollution Control Agency, personal communication with Brownfields Program Staff, email (June 26, 2019).
13. Minnesota Department of Health, "Brownfields and Public Health" Available online: <https://www.health.state.mn.us/communities/environment/places/brownfield.html> (2019).
14. Minnesota Pollution Control Agency, "Contaminated Sites Data" Available online: <https://www.pca.state.mn.us/data/contaminated-sites-data>
15. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency "Brownfields Program Accomplishments and Benefits: Leveraging Resources to Revitalize Communities." Available online: <https://www.epa.gov/brownfields/brownfields-program-accomplishments-and-benefits> (April 2019).
16. DEED, Contamination Cleanup and Investigation, 2019 Annual Report. Available online; https://mn.gov/deed/assets/contam-cleanup_tcm1045-186569.pdf (September 2019)
17. Minnesota Department of Employment and Economic Development, "Contamination Cleanup and Investigation Grants Program" 2018 Annual Report (2018). 15 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency "Brownfields Program Accomplishments and Benefits: Leveraging Resources to Revitalize Communities." Available online: <https://www.epa.gov/brownfields/brownfields-program-accomplishments-and-benefits> (April 2019).

18. Minnesota Department of Employment and Economic Development, "Contamination Cleanup and Investigation Grants Program" 2018 Annual Report (2018).
19. Kashian, R. and Paull, E. "The Economic and Fiscal Impact of Wisconsin's Brownfields Investments." University of Wisconsin Whitewater Fiscal and Economic Research Center (2015).
20. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency "Brownfields Program Accomplishments and Benefits: Leveraging Resources to Revitalize Communities." Available online: <https://www.epa.gov/brownfields/brownfields-program-accomplishments-and-benefits> (April 2019).
21. Hennepin County "Environmental Response Fund." Available online: <https://www.hennepin.us/business/property/environmental-response-fund> (2018)
22. Taylor, L. O., Phaneuf, D. J., Liu, X. "Disentangling Property Value Impacts of Environmental Contamination from Locally Undesirable Land Uses: Implications for Measuring Post-Cleanup Stigma" CEnREP Working Paper No. 16-019 (March 2016).
23. Haninger, Kevin, Lala Ma, Christopher Timmins, "The Value of Brownfields Remediation," Chicago Journals (2017).
24. Hennepin County "Environmental Response Fund." Available online: <https://www.hennepin.us/business/property/environmental-response-fund> (2018).
25. U.S Environmental Protection Agency, "Smart Growth and Economic Success: Investing in In-Place Development," Available online: <https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2014-06/documents/developing-in-place-paper-508b.pdf> (2014).
26. Schmitt, A., "Minneapolis Moves to Eliminate Mandatory Parking" Streetsblog U.S.A. (December 12, 2018)
27. City of Minneapolis, "Minneapolis 2040: The City's Comprehensive Plan" Available online: <https://minneapolis2040.com/> (December 7, 2018).
28. Hula, Richard "Reclaiming Brownfields: A Comparative Analysis of Adaptive Reuse of Contaminated Properties" Routledge (2016).
29. U.S Environmental Protection Agency, "Air and Water Quality Impacts of Brownfields Redevelopment: A Study of Five Communities" (2011).
30. Smart Growth America, "Expanding the Economic Recovery to All Americans Through Smarter Growth: Recommendations for the Incoming Administration" Available online: <https://smartgrowthamerica.org/>
31. Smith, A. J., Pribble, R., Steinwand, F., "The air we breathe: The state of Minnesota's air quality in 2019" Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (2019).
32. Minnesota Department of Health. "Healthy Minnesota 2020 Update: 2017 Annual Report of the Healthy Minnesota Partnership. Available online: http://www.health.state.mn.us/healthymnpartnership/docs/annualreport_2017.pdf (March 2018).
33. Smith, A. J., Pribble, R., Steinwand, F., "The air we breathe: The state of Minnesota's air quality in 2019" Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (2019).
34. Smith, A. J., Pribble, R., Steinwand, F., "The air we breathe: The state of Minnesota's air quality in 2019" Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (2019).
35. Minnesota Pollution Control Agency, "MPCA and Environmental Justice" Available online: <https://www.pca.state.mn.us/about-mpca/mpca-and-environmental-justice>
36. Massachusetts Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs "Environmental Justice" Available online: [https://www.mass.gov/environmental-justice#:~:text=Environmental%20Justice%20\(EJ\)%20is%20based,a%20clean%20and%20healthful%20environment.](https://www.mass.gov/environmental-justice#:~:text=Environmental%20Justice%20(EJ)%20is%20based,a%20clean%20and%20healthful%20environment.)

37. Persico, C., Figlio, D., Roth, J. "Inequality Before Birth: The Developmental Consequences of Environmental Tox-
-ins" National Bureau of Economic Research (May 2016).
38. Aizer, A., Currie, J., Simon, P., and Vivier, P. "Do Low Levels of Blood Lead Reduce Children's Future Test Scores?"
American Economic Journal: Applied Economics 10(1): 1 - 36 (2018).
39. Persico, C., Figlio, D., Roth, J. "Inequality Before Birth: The Developmental Consequences of Environmental Tox-
-ins" National Bureau of Economic Research (May 2016).
40. Rothstein, R "The Color of Law: A Forgotten History of How Our Government Segregated America" Liveright (May
2017).
41. Dillon, L. "Cleaning Up Toxic Sites Shouldn't Clear Out the Neighbors" (July 2017).
42. The CREATE Initiative "Sharing the Benefits of a Greening City" (2020).
43. Smart Growth America, "Expanding the Economic Recovery to All Americans Through Smarter Growth:
Recom-mendations for the Incoming Administration" Available online: [https://smartgrowthamerica.org/ resourc
es/expand-ing-the-economic-recovery-to-all-americans-through-smarter-growth/](https://smartgrowthamerica.org/resources/expand-ing-the-economic-recovery-to-all-americans-through-smarter-growth/) (2016).
44. Anzilotti, Eillie. "Our Aging Population Can Be An Economic Powerhouse--If We Let It" Fast Company Available
online: [https://www.fastcompany.com/3068543/our-aging-population-can-be-an-economic-
powerhouse-if-we-let-it](https://www.fastcompany.com/3068543/our-aging-population-can-be-an-economic- powerhouse-if-we-let-it) (March 3, 2017).
45. Houghton, Adele, Schultze, Aimee., "Building Healthy Places Local Spotlight: Urban Infill and Brownfields in Hous-
ton" ULI Houston News. (October 6, 2017).
46. Houghton, Adele, Schultze, Aimee., "Building Healthy Places Local Spotlight: Urban Infill and Brownfields in Hous-
ton" ULI Houston News. (October 6, 2017).
47. U.S Environmental Protection Agency, "Brownfields Program Environmental and Economic Benefits" (2019) Avail-
able online: [https://www.epa.gov/brownfields/brownfields-program-environmental-and-economic-benefits#:~:
text=Results%20of%20five%20pilot%20studies,pollution%20emissions%20including%20greenhou se%20gases..](https://www.epa.gov/brownfields/brownfields-program-environmental-and-economic-benefits#:~: text=Results%20of%20five%20pilot%20studies,pollution%20emissions%20including%20greenhou se%20gases..)
48. Paull, Evans "Energy Benefits of Urban Infill, Brownfields, and Sustainable Urban Redevelopment" Northeast-
Midwest Institute (November 5, 2008).
49. Paull, Evans "Energy Benefits of Urban Infill, Brownfields, and Sustainable Urban Redevelopment" Northeast-
Midwest Institute (November 5, 2008).
50. U.S Environmental Protection Agency, "Air and Water Quality Impacts of Brownfields Redevelopment: A Study of
Five Communities" (2011).
51. Paull, Evans "Energy Benefits of Urban Infill, Brownfields, and Sustainable Urban Redevelopment" Northeast-
Midwest Institute (November 5, 2008).
52. Paull, Evans "Energy Benefits of Urban Infill, Brownfields, and Sustainable Urban Redevelopment" Northeast-
Midwest Institute (November 5, 2008).
53. Ewing, R., Bartholomew, K., Winkelman, S., Walters, J., and Chen, D with Barbara McCann and David Goldberg.
"Growing Cooler: The Evidence on Urban Development and Climate Change," Urban Planning (2014).
54. National Association of Local Government Environmental Professionals and Northeast-Midwest Institute, "Un-
locking Brownfields: Keys to Community Revitalization." (2014).
55. Seto, K. C., Güneralp, B., Hutyrá, L. R. "Global forecasts of urban expansion to 2030 and direct impacts on biodi-
versity and carbon pools" Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America
109(40): 16083-16088 (2012).

56. Seto, K. C., Güneralp, B., Hutyrá, L. R. "Global forecasts of urban expansion to 2030 and direct impacts on biodiversity and carbon pools" *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 109(40): 16083-16088 (2012).
57. U.S Environmental Protection Agency, "Air and Water Quality Impacts of Brownfields Redevelopment: A Study of Five Communities" (2011).
58. Metropolitan Council, "Growing Greener, Getting Leaner: Land Use in the Twin Cities Region," (June 2017).
59. Seewagen, C. L., Newhouse, M. "Mass changes and energetic condition of grassland and shrubland songbirds during autumn stopovers at a reclaimed landfill in the New Jersey meadowlands" *The Wilson Journal of Ornithology* 130(2): 377-384 (2018).
60. Alexia Fernandez Campbell, "Inequality in American Public parks" *The Atlantic* (Sept, 2016).
61. Environmental Protection Agency "Brownfield Revitalization in Climate-Vulnerable Areas: Community-based Examples For Improving Ordinance Regulations, Development Incentives, Programs, and Projects" (January 2016).
62. Kremin, C. and Merenlender, A. M. "Landscapes that work for biodiversity and people," *Science* 6020:362 (October 19, 2018).
63. Environmental Protection Agency "IRS Regulations for Opportunity Zones & Brownfields Redevelopment" (2020).
64. Internal Revenue Service, "Opportunity Zones Frequently Asked Questions" Available online: <https://www.irs.gov/newsroom/opportunity-zones-frequently-asked-questions> (May 2019).
65. Gilyard, B. "The Opportunity Zone Program Changes the Tax Game with Incentives," *Twin Cities Business*. Available online: <http://tcbmag.com/news/articles/2019/april/the-opportunity-zone-program-changes-the-tax-game-with-incentives> (April 30, 2019).
66. Melo, F. "As a new grocery and clinic break ground in Phalen Village, some still skeptical of 'Opportunity Zones'" *Saint Paul Pioneer Press*. Available online: <https://www.twincities.com/2019/04/12/as-a-new-grocery-and-clinic-break-ground-in-phalen-village-some-still-skeptical-of-opportunity-zones/> (April 12, 2019).
67. MetroTransit, "Development Trends Along Transit: A Look at the Past, Present, and Future of development along Twin Cities Transitways." 2018 Report (2018).
68. Urban Land Institute "(Re)Development Ready Guide" Available online: <https://minnesota.uli.org/advisory-services/redevelopment-ready-guide/> (2018).