



# Legislative Report

---

## Vulnerable Adult Maltreatment Reconsideration Review Panel

### **Aging and Adult Services Division**

January, 2018

#### **For more information contact:**

Minnesota Department of Human Services

Aging and Adults Services Division

P.O. Box 64976

St. Paul, MN 55164-0976

651-431-2600

---

This information is available in alternative formats to individuals with disabilities by calling 651-431-2600.

TTY users can call through Minnesota Relay at (800) 627-3529.

For Speech-to-Speech, call (877) 627-3848.

For other information on disability rights and protections, contact the agency's ADA coordinator.

Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 3.197, requires the disclosure of the cost to prepare this report. The estimated cost of preparing this report is \$560.

*Printed with a minimum of 10 percent post-consumer material. Please recycle.*

# Contents

- I. Legislation.....4
- II. Introduction.....5
- III. Report recommendations .....8

# I. Legislation

## Minnesota Statutes 2015, section 256.021 VULNERABLE ADULT MALTREATMENT REVIEW PANEL.

### Subdivision. 3. **Report.**

By January 15 of each year, the panel shall submit a report to the committees of the legislature with jurisdiction over section 626.557 regarding the number of requests for review it receives under this section, the number of cases where the panel requires the lead investigative agency to reconsider its final disposition, and the number of cases where the final disposition is changed, and any recommendations to improve the review or investigative process.

## II. Introduction

The Minnesota Legislature requires the Minnesota Department of Human Services to establish a panel to review investigation dispositions by lead investigative agencies for alleged vulnerable adult maltreatment reported to the Minnesota Adult Abuse Reporting Center (MAARC), the common entry point designated by the commissioner of human services. There are two types of lead investigative agencies responsible for reports of suspected maltreatment: 1) state regulatory agencies, specifically the Minnesota Department of Human Services, Division of Licensing; and the Minnesota Department of Health, Office of Health Facility Complaints; and 2) all county social service agencies. This results in a total of 89 vulnerable adult maltreatment lead investigative agencies. No tribes have assumed responsibilities for civil maltreatment investigations.

The civil lead investigative agency makes a determination about the report of suspected maltreatment at the conclusion of the agency’s investigative activities. A preponderance of the evidence standard is used. Investigations are determined as having a final disposition of either: substantiated, inconclusive, false, or that no determination will be made.

The **Vulnerable Adult Maltreatment Review Panel** (Panel) was established in Minnesota Statutes section 256.021 to give vulnerable adults, or an interested party to the vulnerable adult, an opportunity to have the final investigative determination of the lead investigative agency reviewed. The vulnerable adult or interested party must first request an Administrative Reconsideration from the lead investigating agency before requesting a Panel review. If the action taken by the lead investigating agency does not satisfy the vulnerable adult’s or interested party’s concerns, they may make a written request to the Panel for review.

The authority of the Panel is limited to requesting a lead investigative agency to re-visit a maltreatment investigation, focusing on specific issues delineated by the Panel. It does not have the authority to order the lead investigative agency to change its final determination.

The Panel is made up of six (6) members listed in the chart below.

<b>Vulnerable Adult Maltreatment Review Panel Member</b>	<b>Member’s Designee</b>
Commissioner of Health	Don Bishop
Commissioner of Human Services	Elizabeth Oji
Ombudsman for Long-Term Care	Jim Dostal
Ombudsman for Mental Health & Developmental Disabilities	Andrea Ayres
Minnesota Board on Aging	Cheryl Klinkhammer
County Human Services Administrators	Deb Tulloch

The legislation requires quarterly meetings of the Panel. Three meetings were held in calendar year 2017 based on requests for review. The July meeting was cancelled because there were no requests for review. The Continuing Care Administration’s Aging and Adult Services Division is responsible for drafting the Panel report and does so based on recommendations of cases reviewed at Panel meetings. The Panel’s reconsideration actions are laid out below and include the number of cases reviewed each quarter, the affirmation of the findings in each case, as well as any Panel request to the lead investigative agency to reconsider their findings.

During 2017, the Panel received nine (9) requests for reconsideration to review.

- Four (4) requests for reconsideration were reviewed from the **Minnesota Department of Health**. The Panel affirmed (3) final determinations. The panel requested reconsideration of one (1) final determination.
- Two (2) requests for reconsideration were reviewed from the **Minnesota Department of Human Services, Division of Licensing**. The panel affirmed two (2) final determinations.
- Three (3) requests for reconsideration were reviewed from three (3) **County Social Services Agencies (County)**. The Panel affirmed two (2) of the final determinations by the two (2) county lead investigative agencies. The Panel requested reconsideration of one (1) final determination by a county lead investigative agency.

**2017 Minnesota Vulnerable Adult Maltreatment Reconsideration Panel Meetings**

<b>Date of Meeting</b>	<b>Dep’t Health – Requests for Panel review</b>	<b>Dep’t Health – Panel Requests for Reconsideration by lead investigative agency</b>	<b>Dep’t Human Services – Requests for Panel review</b>	<b>Dep’t Human Services – Panel Requests for Reconsideration by lead investigative agency</b>	<b>County – Requests for Panel review</b>	<b>County – Panel Requests for Reconsideration by lead investigative agency</b>
January 26, 2017	2	0	1	0	1	0
April 27, 2017	1	0	1	0	1	1
July 27, 2017	0	0	0	0	0	0
October 26, 2017	1	1	0	0	1	0
<b>Totals</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>

As of December 31, 2017 the Panel requested two (2) reconsiderations of cases reviewed in 2017. The two (2) requests for reconsideration resulted in each of the two (2) lead investigative agencies changing the final determination as result of the panel's request for reconsideration. The Panel requested additional information from the lead investigative agency in zero (0) cases. The Panel affirmed the lead investigative agency resolutions in the remaining seven (7) cases.

### III. Report recommendations

The Panel has three recommendations for improvement to the Vulnerable Adult Maltreatment Review Panel process.

- The Panel found lead investigative agency (LIA) findings inconsistent with the findings to be used under Minnesota Statutes section 626.557, subdivision 8. The usage of inconsistent terms or phrases creates difficulty for the Panel’s review of the final disposition.
  - **Recommendation:** LIA dispositions should be consistent with those found in statute: Substantiated, False, Inconclusive, or no determination will be made.
  
- The Panel reviewed LIA findings for multiple vulnerable adults in the same investigative report. This practice creates difficulty for both a vulnerable adult who is the subject of a report of suspected maltreatment and for the Panel conducting a review to associate investigative finding(s) with the vulnerable adult requesting review.
  - **Recommendation:** LIA dispositions should be person based. An investigative disposition should be made for each allegation associated with each vulnerable adult victim included in the investigation.
  
- The Panel found LIAs did not carry out all investigative activities allowed under investigative guidelines within Minnesota Statutes section 626.557, subdivision 10b. Specifically, agencies did not interview the alleged victim, reporter and others who may have relevant information. The statute uses conflicting language regarding investigation activities. It uses the term or phrase “shall” and “as appropriate” next to each other. This creates difficulty for the Panel when reviewing determinations as meeting a preponderance of evidence.
  - **Recommendation:** Lead investigative agencies investigation standards should follow Minnesota Statutes section 626.557, subdivision 10b without exception. Lead investigative agencies exceptions for interview standards are made when the person is deceased, unable to be contacted following diligent attempts, or when the agency has reason to know the interview will endanger a vulnerable adult are the only authorized exceptions to the investigative standard in statute.

Quarterly meetings for 2018 are scheduled for the fourth week in January, April, July, and October.