

LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE LIBRARY  
HV9278 .M562  
Minnesota. Dept. - Temporary parole experience : Octo  
3 0307 00035 8526

MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

TEMPORARY PAROLE EXPERIENCE

OCTOBER 16, 1971 - OCTOBER 15, 1972

LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE LIBRARY  
STATE OF MINNESOTA

Prepared By  
Research, Information & Data Systems  
February 1973

HV  
9278  
.M562

P/ P22

10565



## TEMPORARY PAROLE EXPERIENCE

October 16, 1971 - October 15, 1972

### SUMMARY

The primary purpose of Temporary Parole is to prepare the parolee to adjust to open society. Eighty-one percent of applications and 79% of approvals were for the purpose of pre-release planning or social adjustment. The quality of commission actions in granting releases is indicated by the high rate of successful returns (96%). Rates of approval of applications were 46% by the Adult Corrections Commission and 62% by the Youth Conservation Commission. The total number of days requested were granted in 55% of the approved cases; an additional 2% were granted more days than they requested.

The characteristics of the applicants had a discernible relationship to the approval or denial of the request. The commissions granted releases to a larger percentage of drug law offenders and offenders against property than to offenders against persons. However, offenders against persons had a similar rate of successful returns (96%). There were no failures among drug law offenders.

Race appeared to be related to approval. American Indians had the highest rate of approvals (56%) followed by Blacks (52%) and Whites (49%). The rates of successful return were Indians 95%, Blacks 99% and Whites 95%.

TEMPORARY PAROLE: Year and Month of Departure

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>MONTH</u>	APPROVED		FAILURE		NON-FAILURE	
		<u>Num- ber</u>	<u>% of Tot. Approved</u>	<u>Num- ber</u>	<u>% of Tot. Approved</u>	<u>Num- ber</u>	<u>% of Tot. Approved</u>
1971	October	1	.3			1	100.0
	November	6	1.6			6	100.0
	December	19	5.2			19	100.0
1972	January	15	4.1			15	100.0
	February	32	8.8	3	9.4	29	90.6
	March	34	9.3	1	2.9	33	97.1
	April	53	14.5	5	9.4	48	90.6
	May	38	10.4			38	100.0
	June	48	13.1	2	4.2	46	96.8
	July	17	4.7	1	5.9	16	94.1
	August	53	14.5	2	3.8	51	96.2
	September	35	9.6	2	5.7	33	94.3
	Oct. (to 16)	13	3.6			13	100.0
	Other Release Granted	1	.3			1	100.0
	TOTAL	365		16	4.4	349	95.6

TEMPORARY PAROLE: Action and Performance Summary

	ACTION				PERFORMANCE			
	APPLIED No.	%*	APPROVED No.	%**	FAILURE No.	%***	NON-FAILURE No.	%****
Not approved, not released	361	49.7						
Other release granted prior to Temp. Parole Hearing	1	.1						
Returned as scheduled	336	46.2	336	92.1			336	96.3
Returned before scheduled by self	5	.7	5	1.4			5	1.4
Time extension approved	1	.1	1	.3			1	.3
Returned late by self	7	1.0	7	1.9			7	2.0
Returned in custody	5	.7	5	1.4	5	31.3		
Did not return-fugitive	11	1.5	11	3.0	11	68.7		
TOTAL	727		365		16		349	

%\* = % of applications  
 %\*\* = % of approvals  
 %\*\*\* = % of failures  
 %\*\*\*\* = % of non-failures

TEMPORARY PAROLE: Reason for Failure to Return on Schedule

JURIS:	INSTITUTION	APPROVED BY		NON-FAILURE		FAILURES							
		COMMISSION		Returned Late by Self		Returned in Custody				No Return -Fugitive		TOTAL FAILURES	
		No.	%*	No.	%*	Misdemeanor No.	%*	Felony No.	%*	Tech.Viol. No.	%*	No.	%*
ACC	MSP	166	64.6	4	2.4			3	1.8			6	3.6
	SRM	61	23.7	1	1.6							1	1.6
	MCIW	30	11.7										
TOTAL ACC		257	70.4	5	1.9			3	1.2			7	2.7
YCC	MSP	1	.9										
	SRM	99	91.7	2	2.0	1	1.0			1	1.0	3	3.0
	MCIW	8	7.4			1	12.5					1	12.5
TOTAL YCC		108	29.6	2	1.9	2	1.9			1	.9	3	2.8
TOTAL RETURNS		365		7	1.9	2	.5	3	.8	1	.3	10	2.7

%\* = % of approvals

TEMPORARY PAROLE: Summary of Temporary Parole Activity

	ACTION						PERFORMANCE			
	APPLIED		APPROVED BY CLASSIFICATION		APPROVED BY COMMISSION		FAILURES		NON-FAILURES	
	No.	%*	No.	%*	No.	%*	No.	%**	No.	%**
TOTAL	727		485	66.7	365	50.2	16	4.4	349	95.6
JURISDICTION:										
Adult Commission	554	76.2	372	67.1	257	46.4	10	3.9	247	96.1
Youth Commission	173	23.8	113	65.3	108	62.4	6	5.6	102	94.4
INSTITUTION:										
MCIW	60	8.3	42	70.0	38	63.3	1	2.6	37	97.4
MSP	414	56.9	265	64.0	167	40.3	9	5.4	158	94.6
SRM	252	34.7	177	70.2	160	63.5	6	3.7	154	96.3
WRC	1	.1	1	100.0						

%\* = % of Total Applications

%\*\* = % of Commission Approvals

## INTRODUCTION

The general purpose of the Temporary Parole Program is to permit the incarcerated offender to leave the institution on his own recognizance for an approved period of time of up to five days. At the end of the approved period he must return to the institution. During his temporary parole the inmate is technically under the supervision of a state corrections agent.

Temporary parole requests are reviewed and granted or denied by the appropriate commission. Reasons for granting temporary parole include, family emergencies, obtaining medical services not available in the institution, participating in the development of parole release plans, participating in social improvement programs, and participating in approved group interaction activities.

General qualifications for the inmate's eligibility for release are a good conduct record at the institution, a reduced custody status, and one year or less to his next scheduled appearance before the releasing authority.

Violators of temporary parole are processed in the same way as violators of standard parole.

The program was initiated on October 16, 1971. This report summarizes the experience from October 16, 1971 through October 15, 1972.

TEMPORARY PAROLE EXPERIENCE BY DAYS REQUESTED AND GRANTED

The releasing authorities approved 365 of the 727 applications. Of these 614 (84%) requested 5 days, 13 (2%) requested 4 days, 79 (11%) 3 days, 13 (2%) were for 2 days, 6 were for one day, and 2 did not specify the number of days requested.

Approved applications included 297 of the 614 for 5 days (48%), 6 of the 13 for 4 days (46%), 51 of 79 for 3 days (65%), and 11 of 13 for 2 days (85%). The 6 applying for 1 day and 2 not specifying the number of days were not approved.

Among the 365 approved applications, 200 (55%) were granted the number of days requested, 158 (43%) were granted fewer than requested and 7 (2%) were granted more than requested.



TEMPORARY PAROLE: Number of Days Approved

NUMBER OF DAYS APPROVED	APPROVED COMM.		FAILURES		NON-FAILURES	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
1	6	1.6	1	16.7	5	83.3
2	13	3.6	1	7.7	12	92.3
3	184	50.4	6	3.3	178	96.7
4	12	3.3			12	100.0
5	149	40.8	8	5.4	141	94.6
6	1	0.3			1	100.0
TOTAL DAYS APPROVED	365		16	4.4	349	95.6

TEMPORARY PAROLE: Days Requested

NO. OF DAYS REQUESTED	ACTION						PERFORMANCE			
	Applications		Approved by Commission		Denied		Failures		Non-Failures	
	No.	%	No.	%*	No.	%*	No.	%	No.	%
Not indicated	2	0.3			2	100.0				
1	6	0.8			6	100.0				
2	13	1.8	11	84.6	2	15.4	1	9.1	10	90.9
3	79	10.9	51	64.6	28	35.4	2	3.9	49	96.1
4	13	1.8	5	38.5	8	61.5			5	100.0
5	614	84.5	298	48.5	316	51.5	13	4.4	285	95.6
TOTAL	727		365	50.2	362	49.8	16	4.4	349	95.6

%\* = % of applications

TEMPORARY PAROLE: Days Requested - Days Approved

DAYS APPROVED	DAYS REQUESTED													
	0		1		2		3		4		5		TOTAL	
	No.	%*	No.	%*	No.	%*	No.	%*	No.	%*	No.	%*	No.	%*
6							1	1.3					1	.1
5					1	7.7	2	2.5			146	23.8	149	20.5
4									2	15.4	10	1.6	12	1.7
3					3	23.1	45	57.0	3	23.1	133	21.7	184	25.3
2					7	53.9	2	2.5	1	7.7	3	.5	13	1.8
1							1	1.3			5	.8	6	.8
Not approved	2	100.0	6	100.0	2	15.4	28	35.4	7	53.9	317	51.6	362	49.8
Total approved					11	84.6	51	64.6	6	46.1	297	48.4	365	50.2
Total requested	2		6		13		79		13		614		727	
% of all requests	.3		.8		1.8		10.9		1.8		84.6			

%\* = % of days requested

REASON FOR APPLICATION BY INSTITUTION

Pre-release planning was the reason most often given for requesting temporary parole. For both youth and adult, medical reasons or institution approved group activities were more likely to be approved by the commission than was pre-release planning. Personal business had the smallest number of requests and received the lowest proportion of approvals.

The Adult Commission was also less likely to approve release than was the Youth Commission.

TEMPORARY PAROLE: Reason for Request: YCC Jurisdiction by Institution

INST:	REASON	APPLIED		APPROVED		FAILURES	
		NO.	%	NO.	%**	NO.	%*
MCIW:	Family Emergency	1	6.7	1	100.0		
	Pre-Release Planning	1	6.7				
	Social Adjustment	13	86.7	7	53.9	1	14.3
	TOTAL MCIW	15		8	53.3	1	12.5
MSP:	Pre-Release Planning	4	66.7	1	25.0		
	Social Adjustment	2	33.3				
	TOTAL MSP	6		1	16.7		
SRM:	Family Emergency	10	6.6	6	60.0	1	16.7
	Medical	2	1.3	2	100.0		
	Pre-Release Planning	104	68.9	66	63.5	4	6.1
	Social Adjustment	28	18.5	18	64.3		
	Approved Outside Gp.	8	4.6	7	87.5		
	TOTAL SRM	152		99	65.6	5	5.1
YCC ALL INSTITUTIONS	Family Emergency	11	6.4	7	63.6	1	14.3
	Medical	2	1.2	2	100.0		
	Pre-Release Planning	109	63.4	67	61.5	4	6.0
	Social Adjustment	43	25.0	25	58.1	1	4.0
	Approved Outside Gp.	8	4.1	7	100.0		
	TOTAL YCC	173		108	62.4	6	5.6

%\* = % of Approvals

%\*\* = % of Applications

TEMPORARY PAROLE: Reason for Request: ACC Jurisdiction by Institution

INST:	REASON	APPLIED		APPROVED		FAILURES	
		NO.	%*	NO.	%***	NO.	%**
MCIW:	Family Emergency	2	4.4	2	100.0		
	Medical	1	2.2	1	100.0		
	Pre-Release Planning	6	13.3	6	100.0		
	Social Adjustment	36	80.0	21	58.3		
	TOTAL MCIW	45	8.1	30	66.7		
MSP:	Family Emergency	75	18.3	37	49.3	3	8.1
	Medical	6	1.5	2	33.3		
	Pre-Release Planning	206	50.4	86	41.7	5	5.8
	Social Adjustment	109	26.7	39	35.8	1	2.6
	Approved Outside Gp.	6	1.5	1	16.7		
	Personal Business	7	1.7	1	14.3		
	TOTAL MSP	409	73.8	166	40.6	9	5.4
SRM:	Family Emergency	10	10.1	10	100.0		
	Pre-Release Planning	56	56.6	32	57.1		
	Social Adjustment	25	25.3	13	52.0	1	7.7
	Approved Outside Gp.	8	8.1	6	75.0		
	TOTAL SRM	99	17.9	61	61.6	1	1.6
WRC:	Family Emergency	1					
ACC ALL INSTI- TUTIONS	Family Emergency	88	15.9	49	55.7	3	6.1
	Medical	7	1.3	3	42.9		
	Pre-Release Planning	268	48.4	124	26.3	5	4.0
	Social Adjustment	170	30.7	73	42.9	2	2.7
	Approved Outside Gp.	14	2.5	7	50.0		
	Personal Business	7	1.3	1	14.3		
	TOTAL ACC	554		257	46.4	10	3.9

%\* = % of Institution Total

%\*\* = % of Approvals

%\*\*\* = % of Applications



TEMPORARY PAROLE: Reason for Request: Combined ACC & YCC Jurisdiction by Institution

INST:	REASON	APPLIED		APPROVED		FAILURES		NON-FAILURES	
		NO.	%	NO.	%	NO.	%	NO.	%*
MCIW:	Family Emergency	3	5.0	3	100.0			3	100.0
	Medical	1	1.7	1	100.0			1	100.0
	Pre-Release Planning	7	11.7	6	85.7			6	100.0
	Social Adjustment	49	81.7	28	57.1	1	3.6	27	96.4
	TOTAL MCIW	60	8.3	38	63.3	1	2.6	37	97.4
MSP:	Family Emergency	75	18.1	37	49.3	3	7.7	34	91.9
	Medical	6	1.5	2	33.3			2	100.0
	Pre-Release Planning	210	50.6	87	41.4	5	5.7	82	94.3
	Social Adjustment	111	26.7	39	35.1	1	2.6	38	97.4
	Approved Outside Gp.	6	1.5	1	16.7			1	100.0
	Personal Business	7	1.7	1	14.3			1	100.0
	TOTAL MSP	415	57.1	167	40.2	9	5.4	158	94.6
SRM:	Family Emergency	20	8.0	16	80.0	1	6.3	15	93.7
	Medical	2	0.8	2	100.0			2	100.0
	Pre-Release Planning	160	63.7	98	61.3	4	4.1	94	95.9
	Social Adjustment	53	21.1	31	58.5	1	3.2	30	96.8
	Approved Outside Gp.	16	6.4	13	81.3			13	
	TOTAL SRM	251	34.5	160	63.7	6	3.7	154	96.3
WRC:	Family Emergency	1	100.0						
	TOTAL WRC	1	.1						
TOTAL	Family Emergency	99	13.6	56	56.6	4	7.14	52	92.9
ALL	Medical	9	1.2	5	55.6			5	100.0
INSTI-	Pre-Release Planning	377	51.9	191	50.7	9	4.7	182	95.3
TUTIONS	Social Adjustment	213	29.3	98	46.0	3	3.1	96	98.0
	Approved Outside Gp.	22	3.0	14	63.6			14	100.0
	Personal Business	7	1.0	1	14.3			1	100.0
	TOTAL ALL INSTITUTIONS	727		365	50.2	16	4.4	350	95.9

%\* = % of Approvals

#### TEMPORARY PAROLE EXPERIENCE BY TYPE OF COMMITTING OFFENSE

Among the 365 inmates whose applications for temporary parole were approved, there was a wide variety of offenses for which they had been convicted and sentenced.

Out of the total of 727 applications, 254 (35%) were from inmates convicted of crimes against persons. Of these, 48% were approved. There were 389 applications (54%) from inmates convicted of offenses against property of which 52% were approved.

It is evident that the commission was more likely to approve applications of property offenders than person offenders. However, an analysis of performance on temporary parole indicates that person offenders were slightly less likely to fail than property offenders (4.1% compared with 4.4%). It is probable that more intensive screening of person offenders may account for this slight difference.

TEMPORARY PAROLE: Commitment Offense by Institution

INST:	OFFENSE	APPLIED		APPROVED		FAILURES		NON-FAILURES	
		NO.	%***	NO.	%*	NO.	%**	NO.	%**
MSP:	Homicide	39	9.4	9	23.1			9	100.0
	Against Person	113	27.3	42	37.2	3	7.1	39	92.9
	Theft	82	19.8	44	53.7			44	100.0
	Forgery	44	10.6	23	52.3	3	13.0	20	87.0
	Damage to Prop./Trespass.	93	22.5	32	34.4	2	6.3	30	93.7
	Sex Offenses	21	5.1	4	19.1			4	100.0
	Drug or Liquor	17	4.1	11	64.7			11	100.0
	Other Felonies or Gr.Misd.	5	1.2	2	40.0	1	50.0	1	50.0
	TOTAL MSP	414	56.9	167	40.3	9	5.4	158	94.6
SRM:	Homicide	10	4.0	5	50.0			5	100.0
	Against Person	68	27.0	49	72.1	2	4.1	47	95.9
	Theft	60	23.8	36	60.0	1	2.8	35	97.2
	Forgery	18	7.1	10	55.6			10	100.0
	Damage to Prop./Trespass.	65	25.8	40	61.5	2	5.0	38	95.1
	Sex Offenses	11	4.4	5	45.5			5	100.0
	Drugs & Liquor	16	6.3	11	68.7			11	100.0
	Other Felonies or Gr.Misd.	4	1.6	4	100.0	1	25.0	3	75.0
	TOTAL SRM	252	34.7	160	63.9	6	3.7	155	96.3
WRC:	Drug & Liquor	1	100.0						
	TOTAL WRC	1	.14						
MCIW:	Homicide	10	16.7	8	80.0			8	100.0
	Against Person	14	23.3	8	57.1	1	12.5	7	87.5
	Theft	10	16.7	6	60.0			6	100.0
	Forgery	16	26.7	10	62.5			10	100.0
	Damage to Prop./Trespass.	1	1.7						
	Sex Offenses	2	3.3	1	50.0			1	100.0
	Drugs & Liquor	3	5.0	2	66.7			2	100.0
	Other Felonies or Gr.Misd.	4	6.7	3	75.0			3	100.0
	TOTAL MCIW	60	8.3	38	63.3	1	2.6	37	97.4
TOTAL INSTI-TUTIONS	Homicide	59	8.1	22	37.3			22	100.0
	Against Person	195	26.8	99	50.8	5	5.1	94	94.9
	Theft	152	20.9	86	56.6	1	1.2	85	98.8
	Forgery	78	10.7	43	55.1	4	9.3	39	90.7
	Damage to Prop./Trespass.	159	21.9	73	45.9	4	5.5	69	94.5
	Sex Offenses	34	4.7	9	26.5			9	100.0
	Drugs & Liquor	37	5.1	24	64.9			24	100.0
	Other Felonies or Gr.Misd.	13	1.8	9	69.2	2	22.2	7	77.8
	TOTAL	727	100.0	265	50.2	16	4.4	349	95.6

%\* - % of offense

%\*\* - % of approvals

%\*\*\* - % of Institution total

TEMPORARY PAROLE BY JURISDICTION, INSTITUTION, RACE AND SEX

The YCC granted temporary paroles to a higher proportion of applicants than the ACC. The percent who failed was higher among YCC clients than among ACC clients. The failure rate by race, particularly for YCC institutions, is not very meaningful because of the few non-white participants.

## TEMPORARY PAROLE: YCC &amp; ACC, Institution, and Race &amp; Sex

## Y C C

## A C C

INST:	RACE & SEX	Y C C								A C C							
		Commission Action				Parolee Performance				Commission Action				Parolee Performance			
		APPLIED		APPROVED		FAILURE		NON-FAILURE		APPLIED		APPROVED		FAILURE		NON-FAILURE	
		NO.	%*	NO.	%**	NO.	%**	NO.	%**	NO.	%*	NO.	%**	NO.	%**	NO.	%**
MCIW:	Amer. Indian	4	26.7	1	25.0	1	100.0			1	2.2	1	100.0			1	100.0
	Black	2	13.3	1	50.0			1	100.0	11	24.4	7	63.6			7	100.0
	White	9	60.0	6	66.7			6	100.0	33	73.3	22	66.7			22	100.0
	TOTAL MCIW	15	8.7	8	53.3	1	12.5	7	87.5	45	8.1	30	66.7			30	100.0
MSP:	Amer. Indian									19	4.7	9	47.4			9	100.0
	Black	1	16.7							77	18.8	33	42.9			33	100.0
	Spanish Amer.									1	.2						
	White	5	83.3	1	20.0			1	100.0	312	76.3	124	39.7	9	7.3	115	92.7
	TOTAL MSP	6	3.5	1	16.7			1	100.0	409	73.8	166	40.6	9	5.4	157	94.6
SRM:	Amer. Indian	10	6.6	7	70.0			7	100.0	5	5.1	4	80.0			4	100.0
	Black	26	17.1	18	69.2	1	5.6	17	94.4	12	12.1	8	66.7			8	100.0
	White	116	76.3	74	63.8	4	5.4	70	94.6	82	82.8	49	59.8	1	2.0	48	98.0
	TOTAL SRM	152	87.9	99	65.1	5	5.1	94	94.9	99	17.9	61	61.6	1	1.6	60	98.4
WRC:	White	1	100.0							1	100.0						
	TOTAL WRC	1	.2							1	.2						
-----																	
FEMALE:																	
	Amer. Indian	4	26.7	1	25.0	1	100.0			1	2.2	1	100.0			1	100.0
	Black	2	13.3	1	50.0			1	100.0	11	24.4	7	63.6			7	100.0
	White	9	60.0	6	66.7			6	100.0	33	73.3	22	66.7			22	100.0
	TOTAL FEMALE	15	8.7	8	53.3	1	12.5	7	87.5	45	8.1	30	66.7			30	100.0
MALE:																	
	Amer. Indian	10	6.3	7	70.0			7	100.0	24	4.7	13	54.2			13	100.0
	Black	27	17.1	18	66.7	1	5.6	17	94.4	89	17.5	41	46.1			41	100.0
	Spanish Amer.									1	.2						
	White	121	76.6	75	62.0	4	5.3	71	94.7	395	77.6	173	44.9	10	5.8	163	94.2
	TOTAL MALE	158	91.1	100	63.3	5	5.0	95	95.0	509	91.9	227	44.6	10	4.4	217	95.5
ALL MALE & FEMALE:																	
	Amer. Indian	14	8.1	8	57.1	1	12.5	7	87.5	25	4.5	14	56.0			14	100.0
	Black	29	16.8	19	65.5	1	5.3	18	94.7	100	18.1	48	48.0			48	100.0
	Spanish Amer.									1	.2						
	White	130	75.1	81	62.3	4	3.1	77	60.0	428	77.3	195	45.6	10	5.1	185	94.9
	TOTAL	173	23.8	108	62.4	6	5.6	102	94.4	554	76.2	257	46.1	10	3.9	247	96.1

\*% = % of applications

%\*\* = % of race applications

\*\*\* = % of race approvals

LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE LIBRARY  
STATE OF MINNESOTA

#### TEMPORARY PAROLE EXPERIENCE BY RACIAL DISTRIBUTION

In the granting of temporary parole during the period October 16, 1971 through October 15, 1972, analysis of the foregoing table indicates that there was no evidence of racial discrimination. However, Whites and Blacks were more likely to apply for temporary parole than were Indians.

Of the total applications (727) received, 365 or 50.2% were approved. One hundred-twenty-nine (129) applications were received from Blacks and 67 (51.9%) approved. Thirty-nine (39) applications were received from Indians and 22 (56.4%) approved. Five-hundred-fifty-eight (558) Whites applied and 276 (49.5%) were approved.

The performance on temporary parole indicates that no Indians violated their parole, 1 black of 67 (.8%) violated, and 14 of 276 Whites (5.1%) violated.



TEMPORARY PAROLE: Race & Sex, ACC & YCC Combined

INST/SEX	RACE	COMMISSION ACTION				PAROLEE PERFORMANCE				POPULATION ON JUNE 30, 1972		PERCENTAGE RATIO OF APPLICATIONS TO POPULATION - RACE	PERCENTAGE RATIO OF APPROVALS TO POPULATION - RACE
		APPLIED		APPROVED		FAILURE		NON-FAILURE		NO.	% of Pop.		
		NO.	%*	NO.	%**	NO.	%**	NO.	%**				
MCIW:	Amer. Indian	5	8.3	2	40.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	5	8.9	100.0	40.0
	Black	13	21.7	8	21.1			8	100.0	9	16.1	144.4	88.9
	White	42	70.0	28	66.7			28	100.0	41	73.2	102.4	68.3
	TOTAL MCIW	60		38	63.3	1	2.6	37	97.4	56	4.0	107.1	67.9
MSP:	Amer. Indian	19	4.6	9	47.4			9	100.0	58	7.2	32.8	15.5
	Black	78	18.7	33	42.3			33	100.0	138	17.1	56.5	23.9
	Spanish Amer.	1	.2							3	.4	33.3	
	White	317	76.2	125	39.4	9	7.2	116	92.8	606	75.2	52.3	20.6
	TOTAL MSP	416		177	42.5	9	5.1	168	94.9	806	57.9	51.6	22.0
SRM:	Amer. Indian	15	6.0	11	73.3			11	100.0	47	9.6	31.9	23.4
	Black	38	15.1	26	68.4	1	3.9	25	96.1	77	15.7	49.3	33.8
	White	198	78.9	123	62.1	5	4.1	118		362	73.9	54.7	34.0
	TOTAL SRM	251		160	63.7	6	3.7	154	96.3	490	35.2	51.2	32.7
WRC:	White	1	100.0							32	82.1	3.1	
	TOTAL WRC	1								39	2.8	2.6	
-----													
FEMALE:													
	Amer. Indian	5	8.3	2	40.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	5	8.9	100.0	40.0
	Black	13	21.7	8	61.5			8	100.0	9	16.1	144.4	88.9
	White	42	70.0	28	66.7			28	100.0	41	73.2	102.4	68.3
	TOTAL FEMALE	60		38	62.3	1	2.6	37	97.4	56	4.0	107.1	67.9
MALE:													
	Amer. Indian	34	5.1	20	58.8			20	100.0	107	8.0	31.8	18.7
	Black	116	17.4	59	50.9	1	1.7	58	98.3	220	16.5	52.7	26.8
	Spanish Amer.	1	.1							6	.5	16.7	
	White	516	77.4	248	48.1	14	5.7	234	94.3	1000	74.9	51.6	24.8
	TOTAL MALE	667		327	49.0	15	4.6	312	95.4	1335	96.0	50.0	24.5
ALL IN- STITU- TIONS	Amer. Indian	39	5.4	22	56.4	1	4.5	21	95.5	112	8.1	34.8	19.6
	Black	129	17.7	67	51.9	1	.8	66	98.5	229	16.5	56.5	29.3
	Spanish Amer.	1	.1							6	.4	16.7	
	White	558	76.7	276	49.5	14	5.1	262	94.9	1041	74.8	53.6	26.5
	TOTAL	727		365	50.2	16	4.4	349	95.6	1391		52.3	26.4

%\* = % of applications  
 %\*\* = % of race applications  
 %\*\*\* = % of race approval

Excludes details  
 for races not re-  
 presented in tem-  
 porary parole.