This document is made available electronically by the Minnesota Legislative Reference Library as part of an ongoing digital archiving project. http://www.leg.state.mn.us/lrl/lrl.asp

Table of Contents

2016 - 17 Governor's Budget - Revenue, Department of

Agency Profile – Revenue, Department of	1
Expenditures Overview	3
Financing by Fund	
Change Item: Operating Adjustment	
Change Item: Maintenance and Enhancement of Minnesota's Tax System	7
Change Item: Stop Cigarette Smugglers	10
Change Item: Modernize Railroad Property Tax	12
Change Item: Disallowance of Working Family Credit for Full-Year Nonresidents	
Tax System Management	15
Expenditures Overview	18
Financing by Fund	
Debt Collection	
Expenditures Overview	23
Financing by Fund	

AT A GLANCE

- Employ over 1,400 people across the state.
- Process 2.7 million individual income tax returns.
- Collect \$20.5 billion in state taxes annually to fund state and local programs.
- Collect \$9 billion in individual income taxes each year.
- Collect \$5 billion in sales taxes each year.
- Collect \$811 million in statewide property taxes.
- Help 155,000 businesses collect sales tax.
- Assist 3,420 local units of government with tax administration.
- Answer 675,000 phone calls each year.
- Serve 2.2 million visitors through our website (17 million page views) each year.

PURPOSE

The mission of the Minnesota Department of Revenue is "working together to fund Minnesota's future." Our vision is that everyone reports, pays and receives the right amount: no more, no less.

We work together with individuals, businesses, local governments, federal and state agencies, tax professionals, and others to administer 31 state taxes. We collect \$20.5 billion in state taxes annually to fund state and local programs.

We also collect debt owed to state agencies and local governments. We oversee the uniform application of property tax laws by local governments, administer state property tax refund and relief programs, and make state aid payments to counties, cities, towns, and special taxing districts through 29 state programs.

The revenue we collect is allocated through the budget process to fund education, health care, roads and bridges, transit, parks and trails, prisons, public safety, job training, economic development, local government services, and other programs.

Our budget is organized into two major programs: Tax System Management and Debt Collection Management. Tax System Management includes the administration of state taxes; appeals, legal services and tax research; property tax administration and state aids payment; tax payment and return processing; operational support and technology development and support. The Debt Collection Management program includes tax and other agency debt collections.

Our programs contribute to and support the statewide outcome of efficient and accountable government services. In addition, we collect revenue to support the statewide outcomes of: A thriving economy that encourages business growth and employment opportunities; Minnesotans have the education and skills needed to achieve their goals; All Minnesotans have optimal health; Strong and stable families and communities; People in Minnesota are safe; A clean, healthy environment with sustainable uses of natural resources; and Sustainable options to safely move people, goods, services and information.



Source: Consolidated Fund Statement

95% of the Department of Revenue's budget is comprised of the general fund. The remaining 5% is comprised of funds from services provided to other entities.

STRATEGIES

To achieve our mission we have identified the following strategies.

Minnesota Department of Revenue Strategies

- 1. Provide customers with information, education, and services.
- 2. Create operational efficiencies and leverage technology to secure customer information, and to meet customer and employee needs.
- 3. Enforce the tax laws by identifying and addressing patterns of non-compliance.
- 4. Listen to our customers, identify and develop improvements to the revenue system.
- 5. Foster a productive, innovative, and healthy work environment that provides opportunities for growth and development.

The Department of Revenue's legal authority comes from Minnesota Statutes §270C.03. (https://www.revisor.mn.gov/statutes/?id=270C.03/)

Agency Expenditures Overview

(Dollars in Thousands)

Expenditures By Fund

	Actua FY12	al FY13	Actual FY14	Estimate FY15	Forecast FY16	Base FY17	Govern Recomme FY16	
1000 - General	120,374	143,315	136,967	143,197	139,266	138,087	145,809	145,812
2000 - Restricted Misc Special Rev	3,854	4,583	4,673	5,956	5,670	5,378	5,670	5,378
2360 - Health Care Access	1,328	1,410	1,569	1,750	1,749	1,749	1,749	1,749
2710 - Highway Users Tax Distribution	1,666	1,766	2,028	2,338	2,183	2,183	2,183	2,183
2800 - Environmental	244	301	274	332	303	303	303	303
Total	127,466	151,376	145,510	153,573	149,171	147,700	155,714	155,425
Biennial Change Biennial % Change				20,241 7		(2,213) (1)		12,055 4
Governor's Change from Base Governor's % Change from Base								14,268 5
Expenditures by Program								
Program: Tax System Management	103,430	121,186	116,136	120,931	117,627	116,156	124,170	123,881
Program: Debt Collection Management	24,036	30,190	29,374	32,642	31,544	31,544	31,544	31,544
Total	127,466	151,376	145,510	153,573	149,171	147,700	155,714	155,425
Expenditures by Category		1						
Compensation	101,869	113,420	107,428	108,221	107,736	107,696	111,754	113,555
Operating Expenses	23,698	31,746	37,265	44,758	40,970	39,539	43,495	41,405
Other Financial Transactions	1,675	5,634	132	142	65	65	65	65
Grants, Aids and Subsidies	199	199	700	400	400	400	400	400
Capital Outlay-Real Property	26	378	-15	52				
Total	127,466	151,376	145,510	153,573	149,171	147,700	155,714	155,425
Full-Time Equivalents	1,544.2	1,623.8	1,512.1	1,434.3	1,405.3	1,375.8	1,463.1	1,461.7

(Dollars in Thousands)

1000 - General

	Actu FY12	al FY 13	Actual FY 14	Estimate FY15	Forecast FY16	t Base FY17	Govern Recomme FY16	
Balance Forward In		18,531	0	3,461	0		0	
Direct Appropriation	137,996	141,185	139,213	137,877	137,407	136,227	143,915	143,952
Open Appropriation	1,176	2,071	1,198	1,900	1,900	1,900	1,900	1,900
Receipts							0	
Net Transfers	(1,235)	(9,631)	(51)	(41)	(41)	(41)	(41)	(41)
Cancellations	1,350	8,841	32					
Expenditures	120,374	143,315	136,967	143,197	139,266	138,087	145,809	145,812
Balance Forward Out	16,213		3,461	0				
Biennial Change in Expenditures				16,474		(2,811)		11,457
Biennial % Change in Expenditures				6		(1)		4
Gov's Exp Change from Base								14,268
Gov's Exp % Change from Base								5
FTEs	1,482.0	1,558.9	1,436.1	1,352.2	1,329.9	1,306.0	1,387.7	1,392.0

2000 - Restricted Misc Special Rev

	Actu	al	Actual	Estimate	Forecast	Base	Goveri Recomme	
	FY12	FY 13	FY 14	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY16	FY17
Balance Forward In	6,953	3,543	3,593	3,436	2,695	1,973	2,695	1,973
Receipts	4,438	4,700	4,516	5,216	4,948	4,958	4,948	4,958
Net Transfers	(4,112)	(82)						
Expenditures	3,854	4,583	4,673	5,956	5,670	5,378	5,670	5,378
Balance Forward Out	3,426	3,577	3,436	2,695	1,973	1,553	1,973	1,553
Biennial Change in Expenditures				2,193		418		418
Biennial % Change in Expenditures				26		4		4
Gov's Exp Change from Base								0
Gov's Exp % Change from Base								0
FTEs	25.3	28.8	37.0	39.4	33.4	28.5	33.4	28.5

2360 - Health Care Access

							Goveri	nor's
	Actu		Actual Estimate		Forecast		Recommendation	
	FY12	FY 13	FY 14	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY16	FY17
Balance Forward In		424		180				
Direct Appropriation	1,749	1,749	1,749	1,749	1,749	1,749	1,749	1,749
Net Transfers		(82)						
Cancellations		681						
Expenditures	1,328	1,410	1,569	1,750	1,749	1,749	1,749	1,749

(Dollars in Thousands)

2360 - Health Care Access

Balance Forward Out	421		180					
Biennial Change in Expenditures				580		180		180
Biennial % Change in Expenditures				21		5		5
Gov's Exp Change from Base								0
Gov's Exp % Change from Base								0
FTEs	16.1	15.9	18.4	22.3	21.9	21.5	21.9	21.5

2710 - Highway Users Tax Distribution

	Actu	al	Actual	Estimate	Forecast	Base	Gover Recomme	
	FY12	FY 13	FY 14	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY16	FY17
Balance Forward In		531		155				
Direct Appropriation	2,183	2,183	2,183	2,183	2,183	2,183	2,183	2,183
Net Transfers		(86)						
Cancellations		862						
Expenditures	1,666	1,766	2,028	2,338	2,183	2,183	2,183	2,183
Balance Forward Out	517		155					
Biennial Change in Expenditures				933		0		0
Biennial % Change in Expenditures				27		0		0
Gov's Exp Change from Base								0
Gov's Exp % Change from Base								0
FTEs	17.9	16.8	17.0	17.0	16.7	16.4	16.7	16.4

2800 - Environmental

	Actu	al	Actual	Estimate	Forecas	t Base	Gover Recomm	
-	FY12	FY 13	FY 14	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY16	FY17
Balance Forward In		59		29				
Direct Appropriation	303	303	303	303	303	303	303	303
Cancellations		61						
Expenditures	244	301	274	332	303	303	303	303
Balance Forward Out	59		29					
Biennial Change in Expenditures				61		0		0
Biennial % Change in Expenditures				11		0		0
Gov's Exp Change from Base								0
Gov's Exp % Change from Base								0
FTEs	2.8	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.5	3.4

Change Item: Operating Adjustment

Fiscal Impact (\$000s)	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019
General Fund				
Expenditures	1,821	3,675	3,675	3,675
Revenues	0	0	0	0
Other Funds				
Expenditures	0	0	0	0
Revenues	0	0	0	0
Net Fiscal Impact =	1,821	3,675	3,675	3,675
(Expenditures – Revenues)				
FTEs	28.7	57.4	57.4	57.4

Recommendation:

The Governor recommends additional funding for compensation related costs associated with the delivery of agency services. This amount represents an annual increase of 1.8% for General Fund compensation costs.

Rationale/Background:

Each year, compensation costs rise due to labor contract settlements, and changes in employer-paid contributions for insurance, FICA, Medicare, retirement, and other factors. Absorbing this increase in compensation costs within existing agency base appropriations results in reduced staffing and/or reduced non-compensation spending.

Proposal:

The Governor recommends increasing agencies' general fund budgets for employee wage and benefit costs by 1.8% per year for FY 2016-17. Agencies were instructed to include a 1.8% increase to total compensation each year in their base budgets, based upon the compound annual compensation spending rate increase per FTE over the last ten years for executive branch employees. This recommendation is intended to allow agencies to maintain their current level of agency operations.

For non-General Fund direct appropriated funds, the Governor's budget recommendations also include an adjustment of 1.8% per year, where the amount can be supported by the source of revenue.

Results:

This proposal is intended to allow agencies to continue to provide current levels of service and information to the public.

Statutory Change(s):

N.A.

Fiscal Impact (\$000s) FY 2016 FY 2018 FY 2019 FY 2017 General Fund Expenditures 3,000 3,000 3,000 3,000 Revenues 0 0 0 0 Other Funds Expenditures 0 0 0 0 Revenues 0 0 0 0 Net Fiscal Impact = 3.000 3,000 3,000 3,000 (Expenditures - Revenues) **FTEs** 17.4 17.4 17.4 17.4

Change Item: Maintenance and Enhancement of Minnesota's Tax System

Recommendation:

The Governor recommends a \$3.0 million per year increase to Minnesota Department of Revenue (Revenue) for the maintenance and enhancement of Minnesota's tax system.

These funds will allow Revenue to maintain and enhance the technology systems such as the state's integrated tax system (GenTax) technology and by making investments in county property tax records through the Property Records Information Systems of Minnesota (PRISM) enhancements project. Funds are also needed to address increasing costs in tax litigation and in other administrative areas, such as increasing lease rates. Failure to ensure the state is well represented in tax litigation can have negative consequences for state revenue and overall tax administration. Increasing administrative costs such as lease rate increases also threaten to erode the Department's ability to maintain current service levels.

Finally, funds are needed to meet the increasing demand for taxpayer telephone assistance, outreach and education, and taxpayer filing and paying assistance so that taxpayers have the information and technology they need to report and pay accurately and on time.

Rationale/Background:

Revenue collects \$20.5 billion in state taxes annually to fund state and local programs. The department works with individuals, businesses, local governments, federal and state agencies, tax professionals, and others to administer 31 state taxes. Revenue also collects debt owed to state agencies and local governments, oversees the uniform application of property tax laws by local governments, administers state property tax refund and relief programs, and makes state aid payments to counties, cities, towns, and special taxing districts through 29 state programs.

As a recent example of increased pressures, Revenue faced significant demands in the form of tax law changes during the last biennium. In particular, in 2014, tax law changes were passed on March 21 and May 2, 2014, which is very late in the filing season. Changes also included property tax percentage increase, one-time supplemental agricultural credit, and homeowner notification project. All of these changes needed to be made in the same timeframe and affected the largest taxpayer population. Tax law changes require adjustments in forms and instructions, internal technology, changes for software vendors. And, each adjustment requires legal analysis, plain language resources, programming, testing, and communication with vendors and preparers.

Last year, Revenue reviewed and adjusted over one million individual and property tax returns, issued over 80,000 agricultural refunds, and notified over 40,000 homeowners of potential eligibility for property tax refunds. Those efforts were priorities because they helped taxpayers benefit from changes in the tax laws. Dedicating limited resources to these priorities, however, resulted in reduced capacity to process amended income tax returns, limited the ability to collect debt in the most timely way, reduced audit activity, and diminished telephone customer service support; all of which are critical to maintaining the integrity of Minnesota's tax system.

Revenue has managed increased demand through prioritization of work, numerous continuous improvement and efficiency efforts, and by leveraging technology wherever possible. Even so, the prioritization resulted in backlogs that will require additional resources to resolve. Also, as Revenue's performance measures show, demands on the tax administration system continue to increase. The numbers of outreach and educational activities, the number of phone calls being fielded from customers, and the numbers of tax types that can be filed electronically are all increasing.

Maintaining service and efficiencies achieved through wise technology investments

Administering the tax system requires quality technology systems that allow easy file and pay methods, education, communication, automated processing of returns and payments, analytics, effective audit assessment and collection, appeals processing, revenue research estimates, and reporting. The integrated tax system (GenTax) has served Minnesota well, and requires routine evaluation so that all appropriate tax types are fully utilized in the system and enhancements align with other aspects of tax administration.

PRISM is a project focused on streamlining and enhancing data in a more efficient manner. This initiative will replace nine abstract data collection processes with four annual data submissions made on a standard form. It will improve the quality of information available to the department and policy makers because it will provide parcel level specific data currently collected from 87 counties. It will simplify county submission processes, annual data updates and revisions processes, and maximize the use of the data submitted. This is a significant opportunity for counties, software vendors, and customers using the data.

Tax Litigation and other cost increases

Taxpayers have the right to appeal assessments to Tax Court and/or Supreme Court. Identifying and projecting legal costs is difficult because Revenue cannot project which taxpayers will pursue court options or the complexity of the case that may be litigated until it happens.

When taxpayers appeal their assessments in court, the Department and the State are represented by the Office of the Attorney General. In 2012, the Office of the Attorney General asked the department to enter into a partner agency agreement to fund tax litigation positions because it would be unable to fund this work from its budget as it had done previously. Revenue entered into a partner-agency funding agreements in FY 13, FY 14, and FY 15 and expects to do so in the next biennium.

Revenue has also experienced other increased costs associated with litigation, including expert witnesses which are important for many cases. Failure to be well represented in this area can have significant negative consequences on the the integrity of Minnesota's tax system.

As another example, the lease rates for the Stassen building, in which Revenue is housed, will also increase by 4 percent in FY 16, and an additional 4 percent in FY 17. Lease rate increases of this magnitude are unsustainable within existing budgets and will impact service levels.

Maintaining and enhancing customer service

Funds are needed to meet the growing demand for education, outreach, and other taxpayer customer service. The most efficient and effective way to collect revenue is to ensure that taxpayers have the information and technology they need to report and pay accurately and on time. As seen in the results section, Revenue is heading in the right direction.

Proposal:

The Governor recommends a \$3.0 million increase each fiscal year to maintain the efficient and effective administration of the tax system and improve appropriate customer service levels. Funding Minnesota's future requires an investment of funds necessary to maintain and enhance current technology systems. Revenue will also invest in litigation expenses including attorney general costs, court reporter fees, transcript fees, document production, delivery services, filing fees, expert witness fees, and mediators. Adequately funding these activities will allow Revenue to continue to meet the growing demand to educate taxpayers and preparers, provide filing and paying options, audit, assess, and collect the right amount of tax.

Results:

With adequate investment, Revenue will maintain and restore the ability to meet our mission of funding Minnesota's future. The vision of, "everyone reports, pays, and receives the right amount: no more, no less" will be realized through education and outreach; administration of state taxes; appeals and legal services and tax research; property tax administration and state aids payment; tax payment and return processing; audit, assessment, and collection; operational support and technology development and support. Revenue will provide excellent service to customers while realizing efficiencies whenever possible.

Performance Measures	Previous	Current	Trend
A. Percentage of customers that file and pay on time	91.5%	91.8%	Stable
B. Percentage of customers that file their tax returns electronically	79%	80%	Stable
C. Employee turnover rate	6.9%	8.3%	Increasing
D. Website visits (monthly average)	167 K	183 K	Increasing
E. Outreach and educational activities	590	1,058	Increasing
F. Incoming customer phone calls	645,994	674,896	Increasing
G. Revenue analyses prepared by the Research Division and Property Tax Divisions	751	951	Increasing
H. Percentage of customers who resolved their appeal with the department without appealing to tax court	96.6%	96.4%	Stable
J. Number of returns processed	5.2 million	5.2 million	Stable
K. Tax types that customers can file electronically	26%	42%	Increasing

Statutory Change(s): None.

Change Item:	Stop Cigarette	e Smuaalers

Change lient. Stop Gigarette Sinugg				
Fiscal Impact (\$000s)	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019
General Fund				
Expenditures	\$1,421	\$1,036	\$1,036	\$1,036
Revenues	\$2,126	\$2,126	\$2,126	\$2,126
Other Funds				
Expenditures	0	0	0	0
Revenues	0	0	0	0
Net Fiscal Impact =	(\$705)	(\$1,090)	(\$1,090)	(\$1,090)
FTEs	11.00	11.00	11.00	11.00

Recommendation:

The Governor recommends enhancing tobacco enforcement with more anti-smuggling personnel, increase penalties on law breakers, and create a statewide license for retailers to ensure that honest businesses are not at a competitive disadvantage. This proposal includes an administrative cost to establish a state-wide license for retailers and an ongoing to cost for expanding Revenue's tobacco enforcement team.

Rationale/Background:

In 2013, the cigarette and tobacco taxes were raised to promote the health of all Minnesotans and balance the budget. Since then smuggling of cigarettes and other tobacco products into the state has substantially increased. To put a stop to this illegal activity, this proposal would enhance enforcement with more anti-smuggling personnel, increase penalties on law breakers, and create a statewide license for retailers to ensure that honest businesses are not at a competitive disadvantage.

1. Enhance the Department of Revenue's tobacco enforcement team, increasing the number of inspections, assessments, and seizures relating to the sale of untaxed tobacco products in Minnesota In the past year, concern about the level of compliance with tax laws related to tobacco products has grown. In 2014, 40% of retail inspections resulted in either a seizure or assessment related to the discovery of untaxed tobacco products. In these inspections, Revenue uncovered increasing evidence of tax evasion. To fully investigate areas of non-compliance and charge the offenders with tax crimes, Revenue needs additional resources. This was one of the recommendations in the 2014 Tobacco study.

2. Establish a state-wide license for retailers and enhance the state-wide license for distributors Currently, retailers of cigarette and tobacco products are only licensed by local cities and counties. Revenue relies on hundreds of cities and counties for information to enforce the cigarette and tobacco statutes. The information on who is licensed is often incomplete or absent. This was one of the recommendations in the 2014 tobacco study. Creating a state license will:

- Optimize the enforcement of tobacco products
- Create an accurate list of retailers in Minnesota that could be shared and used by multiple agencies throughout the State of Minnesota to enforce tobacco laws relating to both tax and health initiatives
- Provide Revenue with the ability to suspend or revoke a retail license if the taxpayer is found to be non-compliant
- 3. Institute penalties for non-compliance with tobacco tax laws including suspension of retail license and civil and criminal penalties

Currently, there are no civil penalties for retailers who are found to be stocking or selling untaxed tobacco products. Civil penalties administered by Revenue would provide retailers with a financial disincentive to purchase untaxed tobacco products from smugglers. In addition to civil penalties, revocation of the new state license for selling cigarettes and tobacco products, and criminal penalties when appropriate, would provide retailers with additional disincentive to purchase untaxed tobacco products from smugglers. Several other states such as Iowa, Illinois, and Ohio have a tiered penalty system for retailers of tobacco products. This was one of the recommendations in the 2014 Tobacco study.

Proposal Details:

- Enhance the Department of Revenue's tobacco enforcement team, increasing the number of inspections, assessments, and seizures relating to the sale of untaxed tobacco products in Minnesota
- 2. Establish a state-wide license for retailers and enhance the state-wide license for distributors

State of Minnesota

3. Institute penalties for non-compliance with tobacco tax laws – including suspension of retail license and civil and criminal penalties

Results:

Type of Measure	Name of Measure	Previous	Current	Target
Quantity	Seizure Rate related to Retail Compliance Inspections	7.71%	43.32%	Decrease
Quality	Rate of Cigarette and Tobacco Criminal Cases being submitted for Criminal Prosecution	N/A	6.72%	Increase
Results	Minnesota Smuggled Tobacco consumed as a percentage of total Tobacco Products Consumed	N/A	N/A	Decrease

Statutory Change(s): Minnesota Statutes 289A.63; 297F.01 Sub 12; 297F.03, Subd. 5; 297F.04, Subd 1 and Subd. 2; 297F.19; 297F.20 by adding Subd. and 609.035

Change item: Modernize Railroad Pro	openty rax			
Fiscal Impact (\$000s)	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019
General Fund				
Expenditures	\$266	(\$2,386)	(\$2,387)	(\$2,389)
Revenues	\$11,300	\$20,490	\$20,680	\$20,770
Other Funds				
Expenditures	0	0	0	0
Revenues	0	0	0	0
Net Fiscal Impact =	(\$11,034)	(\$22,876)	(\$23,067)	(\$23,159)
FTEs	0	0	0	0

Change Item: Modernize Railroad Property Tax

Recommendation:

The Governor recommends expanding the taxable property of railroads to include property like rolling stock while also simplifying outdated rules for taxing railroads. The total C/I levy would be increased the same amount of tax that the newly eligible railroad property generated, keeping taxes flat for other businesses paying the C/I levy. There would be an administrative cost for the Department of Revenue in the first year for programming in the integrated tax system, and smaller administrative costs in future years to deal with administrative costs and additional attorney fees.

Rationale/Background:

Minnesota's procedures for calculating taxes on railroad property are rigid and outdated. This proposal would:

- Provide local property tax relief to homeowners.
- Provide a more fair treatment of railroad property.
- Align our procedures with current property tax laws and with the procedures of other states.
- Eliminate obsolete calculations in valuating railroad property.
- Make Minnesota's property tax system more consistent.

Proposal Details:

This proposal would expand the taxable property of railroads to include property like rolling stock, and increase the commercial/industry levy to be consistent with the new funding. That would result in lower property taxes for homeowners. It would also modernize railroad property taxes and make them more consistent with other property tax valuations. The proposed policy changes would be effective for the 2015 assessment to allow for forms and instructions to be updated. The proposed effective date for any technical sections is the date following final enactment.

Current laws do not provide for an accurate determination of the fair market value of railroad operating property. This proposal would allow the commissioner to use modern, generally accepted appraisal practices to estimate market value for railroads, update obsolete language, and reduce the burden of resources spent on dealing with appeals stemming from outdated appraisal methodology.

Railroads are assessed by the State Assessed Property Section of the Minnesota Department of Revenue Property Tax Division. They cannot be locally assessed because they cross many jurisdictions. Assessments are completed annually for all railroads operating in Minnesota. They are guided by Minnesota Rule 8106 and are completed using unitary valuation.

Although Minnesota law directs the commissioner to use generally accepted appraisal principles and practices to determine the value of a railroad, Minnesota Rule 8016 prescribes a rigid and outdated methodology. The law also limits the operating property included in the valuation.

A November 2011 study of Minnesota's Railroad Rule recommended changes to Minnesota Rule 8016. This study included a survey of other states concerning their methodologies used to value railroad property. This proposal incorporates information gained from that study. Most of the changes in this proposal, including the taxation of rail cars, have precedence in other states.

Results:

Type of Measure	Impact
Transparency, Understandability, Simplicity, & Accountability	Increase
Horizontal Equity	Increase

Statutory Change(s): Minnesota Statutes 270.80-270.87, 272.02 subd. 9(b), 273

Change Item: Disallowance of Working Family Credit for Full-Year Nonresidents

Fiscal Impact (\$000s)	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019
General Fund				
Expenditures	\$35	0	0	0
Revenues	\$5,100	\$5,200	\$5,300	\$5,300
Other Funds				
Expenditures	0	0	0	0
Revenues	0	0	0	0
Net Fiscal Impact =	(\$5,065)	(\$5,200)	(\$5,300)	(\$5,300)
FTEs	.48	0	0	0

Recommendation:

The Governor recommends that full-year nonresidents are removed from Working Family Credit eligibility. Part year residents would remain eligible.

This proposal would include a onetime administrative cost of \$35,000 for the Department of Revenue for changes in the integrated tax system and dealing with an increased number of returns with errors in FY 2016.

Rationale/Background:

As an example, Wisconsin residents that are working in Minnesota and are within the income limits of the Working Family Credit receive the credit from both Minnesota and Wisconsin. Minnesota residents working in Wisconsin do not receive equal treatment, only getting the credit from Minnesota. This proposal would make full-year nonresidents ineligible for the Minnesota Working Family Credit, effective for tax years beginning after December 31, 2014.

This proposal would:

- Follow the intention of the credit: to benefit Minnesota residents and part year residents.
- Cut down on fraudulent returns, which are more difficult to track on out-of-state claims.

Proposal Details:

This proposal removes full-year nonresidents from Working Family Credit (WFC) eligibility. This proposal is effective for tax years beginning after December 31, 2014. Full-year nonresidents will no longer qualify for the credit.

Results:

Type of Measure	Impact
Transparency, Understandability, Simplicity, & Accountability	Increase

Statutory Change(s):

Minnesota Statute 290.0671, subd.1(e)

Minnesota Department of Revenue

Program: Tax System Management

www.revenue.state.mn.us

AT A GLANCE

- Processed 2.7 million individual income tax returns
- Interact with 680,000 corporations, S corporations and partnerships, non-corporation and non-partnership businesses
- Processed 715,000 property tax refunds
- Helped 155,000 businesses collect sales tax
- Held 164 outreach events connecting with over 10,000 customers, many representing minority and low-income communities in 2013
- Attended 18 events for service members and their families in 2013 to promote their eligibility for tax benefits
- Released several new or revised fact sheets and held 22 conference calls with tax professionals, businesses, media, and other stakeholders to review and explain tax law changes made during the 2013 and 2014 legislative sessions

PURPOSE & CONTEXT

The Department of Revenue's (DOR) Tax System Management program provides the technology and human resources necessary to administer tax laws. This includes providing tax information and education services, filing and paying services, property tax and state aid administration, and tax enforcement activities. DOR's Tax System Management program ensures that individuals, businesses, and policymakers have:

- Information they need to accurately, conveniently, and voluntarily fulfill their tax filing and paying obligations;
- Confidence that everyone reports, pays and receives the right amount: no more or no less; and
- Accurate, impartial, and complete information to make informed decisions.

SERVICES PROVIDED

The activities that contribute to successful Tax System Management include:

Administration of State Taxes - These services involve providing customer information and service, enforcement efforts such as fraud prevention, audits and investigations. Divisions administering these activities include Corporate Franchise, Criminal Investigations, Individual Income Tax and Withholding, Sales and Use Tax, and Special Taxes. State Taxes include the income tax; sales and use tax; corporate franchise tax; estate tax; motor fuels excise tax; alcoholic beverage taxes; cigarette tax; tobacco products tax; controlled substances tax; mortgage registry tax; deed transfer tax; bingo, raffle and paddlewheel tax; combined receipts tax; sports bookmaking tax; insurance premium taxes; MinnesotaCare taxes; mining occupation tax; mining net proceeds tax; state property tax; air flight property tax; rural electric co-ops; and the solid waste management tax.

DOR serves several different customers, including but not limited to, Minnesota individual and business taxpayers, tax professionals and preparers, local tax administrators, legislators and staff, non-profit groups, governor and staff, and members of the media.

Key administration emphasis includes:

- Providing customers with the information they need to voluntarily comply with tax laws;
- Keeping pace with changing technology and laws;
- Responding to customer inquiries, in the format desired by the taxpayer (walk-in, call-in, and click-in);
- Protecting customer data and addressing issues immediately and appropriately; and
- Effectively using state resources to appropriately identify and audit a wide range of individual and business taxpayers.

Payment and Return Processing - These services involve reviewing and processing paper and electronic returns, refunds, and payments submitted to DOR. This activity serves individual and business taxpayers and other state agencies.

Key payment and return processing emphasis includes:

- Keeping pace with changing technology and fraud schemes;
- Providing safe, secure, and convenient ways for filing and paying;
- Accurately reviewing and processing returns, payments, and refunds;
- Checking returns to prevent fraudulent returns and refunds; and

• Processing payments and deposits in a timely manner.

Appeals, Legal Services & Tax Research - These activities support tax policy development and tax administration by:

- Analyzing proposed tax legislation and providing revenue estimates of proposed law changes;
- Working with customers to review and resolve tax appeals;
- Providing government officials with the research information they need to make decisions on tax law and policy;
- Providing timely and accurate support and advice on tax-related legislation;
- Providing legal direction and assistance to ensure the agency complies with state and federal laws and rules; and
- Representing the agency in court and administrative hearings.

Appeals, Legal Services, and Research serve customers including, but not limited to, individual and business taxpayers, tax administrators, governor and staff, legislators and staff, state agencies, local governments, and nonprofit organizations.

Property Tax Administration & State Aid - These services include the oversight and administration of Minnesota's property tax system. While counties are responsible for the direct administration of property taxes, DOR oversees and supports counties by:

- Providing education, guidance, and assistance to county assessors, auditors, and treasurers;
- Overseeing local property tax administration to promote compliance and uniformity;
- Administering a range of local aid payments; and
- Collecting, analyzing, and providing data to stakeholders.

Property Tax Administration & State Aids serves customers including, but not limited to, taxpayers, local administrators, and state policymakers. These activities promote equity and uniformity throughout the state's very complex property tax system.

Operational Support - Provides the overall strategic and day-to-day operational functions necessary to support the agency. Activities include departmental leadership, human resource management, facilities management, strategic organizational planning and project management, financial management and budgeting, performance reporting, taxpayer rights advocacy, external communications, taxpayer relations, and internal employee communication and training.

Operational Support serves all department employees, individual and business taxpayers, tax administrators, the governor and staff, legislators and staff, state agencies, and local governments.

Technology Development, Implementation & Support - DOR relies heavily on technology to meet the agency's mission, vision, and strategies. Information technology (IT) functions are provided under a service agreement with MN.IT Services. MN.IT@Revenue supports DOR with hardware, software, and information technology professionals to implement, run, and maintain the hardware and software needed to administer the tax code.

This activity serves all DOR employees and external customers of the agency by providing technology solutions that facilitate tax administration, provide for security of taxpayer data, and supports continuous business improvements in taxpayer services.

RESULTS

Type of Measure	Performance Measures	Previous	Current
Result	A. Percentage of customers that file and pay on time	91.5%	91.8%
Result	B. Percentage of customers that file their tax returns electronically	79%	80%
Result	C. Employee turnover rate	6.9%	8.3%
Quantity	D. Website visits (monthly average)	167K	183K
Quantity	E. Outreach and educational activities	590	1,058
Quantity	F. Incoming customer phone calls	645,994	674,896
Quantity	G. Revenue analyses prepared by the Research Division and Property Tax Divisions	751	951
Quality	H. Percentage of customers who resolved their appeal with the department without appealing to tax court	96.6%	96.4%
Result	I. Tax types that customers can file electronically	26%	42%
Quantity	J. Number of returns processed	5.2 million	5.2 million

Notes:

- A. *Percentage of customers that file and pay on time:* (Previous is CY12; Current is CY13).
- B. Percentage of customers that file their tax returns electronically: (Previous is CY12; Current is CY13).
- C. Employee turnover rate: Percentage of DOR employees that leave each year (Previous is FY13, Current is FY14).
- D. Website visits: Number of visits per month to DOR website (Previous is CY12, Current is CY13).
- E. *Outreach and educational activities:* Number of outreach and educational activities, including educational classes, conference calls, television shows, outreach events, and email blasts (Previous is CY12, Current is CY13).
- F. Incoming customer phone calls: Number of phone calls received and completed by DOR employees (Previous is CY12, Current is CY13).
- G. *Revenue analyses prepared by the Research Division and Property Tax Divisions*: The number of revenue analyses prepared by both Research and Property Tax in connection with tax legislation. This includes revenue analyses prepared without connecting legislation (Previous is FY2011-2012, current is FY2013-2014).
- H. Percentage of customers who resolved their appeal with DOR without appealing to tax court: (Previous is FY12, Current is FY13).
- I. *Tax types that customers can file electronically*: Percentage of state tax types that customers can completely file their returns electronically (Previous is FY2011-2012, current is FY2013-2014).
- J. Number of returns processed: The total number of returns processed, across all tax types (Previous is FY13, Current is FY14).

(Dollars in Thousands)

Expenditures By Fund

	Actu FY12	al FY13	Actual FY14	Estimate FY15	Forecast FY16	Base FY17	Govern Recomme FY16	
1000 - General	96,991	114,199	108,251	111,584	108,750	107,570	115,293	115,295
2000 - Restricted Misc Special Rev	3,201	3,509	4,016	4,927	4,642	4,350	4,642	4,350
2360 - Health Care Access	1,328	1,410	1,569	1,750	1,749	1,749	1,749	1,749
2710 - Highway Users Tax Distribution	1,666	1,766	2,028	2,338	2,183	2,183	2,183	2,183
2800 - Environmental	244	301	274	332	303	303	303	303
Total	103,430	121,186	116,136	120,931	117,627	116,156	124,170	123,881
Biennial Change Biennial % Change				12,451 6		(3,285) (1)		10,983 5
Governor's Change from Base Governor's % Change from Base								14,268 6
Expenditures by Budget Activity Budget Activity: Tax System		I						
Management Total	103,430 103,430	121,186 121,186	<u>116,136</u> 116,136	120,931 120,931	117,627 117,627	116,156 116,156	124,170 124,170	123,881 123,881
Expenditures by Category	100,400	121,100	110,100	120,001	111,021	110,100	124,110	120,001
Compensation	82,619	91,711	82,344	82,728	82,457	82,417	86,475	88,276
Operating Expenses	19,032	23,955	32,977	37,738	34,705	33,273	37,230	35,139
Other Financial Transactions	1,556	5,004	130	65	65	65	65	65
Grants, Aids and Subsidies	199	199	700	400	400	400	400	400
Capital Outlay-Real Property	25	317	-15					
Total	103,430	121,186	116,136	120,931	117,627	116,156	124,170	123,881
Total Agency Expenditures	103,430	121,186	116,136	120,931	117,627	116,156	124,170	123,881
Expenditures Less Internal Billing	103,430	121,186	116,136	120,931	117,627	116,156	124,170	123,881
Full-Time Equivalents	1,224.3	1,288.3	1,121.3	1,062.0	1,040.0	1,017.2	1,097.8	1,103.2

Program: Tax System Management

(Dollars in Thousands)

1000 - General

	Actual		Actual	Estimate	Forecast	Base	Governor's Recommendation	
	FY12	FY 13	FY 14	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY16	FY17
Balance Forward In		13,305	0	2,364	0		0	
Direct Appropriation	110,655	113,844	110,597	109,261	108,791	107,611	115,299	115,336
Receipts							0	
Net Transfers	(1,235)	(8,136)	(51)	(41)	(41)	(41)	(41)	(41)
Cancellations	1,350	4,813	32					
Expenditures	96,991	114,199	108,251	111,584	108,750	107,570	115,293	115,295
Balance Forward Out	11,080		2,364	0				
Biennial Change in Expenditures				8,645		(3,514)		10,754
Biennial % Change in Expenditures				4		(2)		5
Gov's Exp Change from Base								14,268
Gov's Exp % Change from Base								7
FTEs	1,169.3	1,234.2	1,054.1	989.3	973.5	956.0	1,031.3	1,042.0

2000 - Restricted Misc Special Rev

	Actual		Actual Estimate		Forecast Base		Governor's Recommendation	
	FY12	FY 13	FY 14	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY16	FY17
Balance Forward In	2,431	3,161	3,341	2,864	2,165	1,473	2,165	1,473
Receipts	3,550	3,770	3,539	4,228	3,950	3,950	3,950	3,950
Net Transfers	264	(82)						
Expenditures	3,201	3,509	4,016	4,927	4,642	4,350	4,642	4,350
Balance Forward Out	3,044	3,339	2,864	2,165	1,473	1,073	1,473	1,073
Biennial Change in Expenditures				2,233		49		49
Biennial % Change in Expenditures				33		1		1
Gov's Exp Change from Base								0
Gov's Exp % Change from Base								0
FTEs	18.2	18.0	28.3	30.0	24.4	20.0	24.4	20.0

2360 - Health Care Access

	Actual		Actual	Estimate	Forecast	Base	Governor's Recommendation	
	FY12	FY 13	FY 14	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY16	FY17
Balance Forward In		424		180				
Direct Appropriation	1,749	1,749	1,749	1,749	1,749	1,749	1,749	1,749
Net Transfers		(82)						
Cancellations		681						
Expenditures	1,328	1,410	1,569	1,750	1,749	1,749	1,749	1,749
Balance Forward Out	421		180					

Program: Tax System Management

(Dollars in Thousands)

2360 - Health Care Access

Biennial Change in Expenditures				580		180		180
Biennial % Change in Expenditures				21		5		5
Gov's Exp Change from Base								0
Gov's Exp % Change from Base								0
FTEs	16.1	15.9	18.4	22.3	21.9	21.5	21.9	21.5

2710 - Highway Users Tax Distribution

	•				_		Gover	
	Actual FY12 FY 13		Actual FY 14	Estimate Forecast Base FY15 FY16 FY17		Recommendation FY16 FY17		
	1112		1114		1110	1 1 17	1110	1117
Balance Forward In		531		155				
Direct Appropriation	2,183	2,183	2,183	2,183	2,183	2,183	2,183	2,183
Net Transfers		(86)						
Cancellations		862						
Expenditures	1,666	1,766	2,028	2,338	2,183	2,183	2,183	2,183
Balance Forward Out	517		155					
Biennial Change in Expenditures				933		0		0
Biennial % Change in Expenditures				27		0		0
Gov's Exp Change from Base								0
Gov's Exp % Change from Base								0
FTEs	17.9	16.8	17.0	17.0	16.7	16.4	16.7	16.4

2800 - Environmental

	Actual		Actual	Estimate	Forecast Base		Governor's Recommendation	
	FY12	FY 13	FY 14	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY16	FY17
Balance Forward In		59		29				
Direct Appropriation	303	303	303	303	303	303	303	303
Cancellations		61						
Expenditures	244	301	274	332	303	303	303	303
Balance Forward Out	59		29					
Biennial Change in Expenditures				61		0		0
Biennial % Change in Expenditures				11		0		0
Gov's Exp Change from Base								0
Gov's Exp % Change from Base								0
FTEs	2.8	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.5	3.4

Minnesota Department of Revenue

Program: Debt Collection

www.revenue.state.mn.us

AT A GLANCE

- Collect 68 different state tax debt types
- Collect 171 other agency debt types for 49 government agencies
- Collect court debt for all 87 counties
- Closed 123,743 tax debts last year (FY14)

PURPOSE & CONTEXT

The debt collection program of the Minnesota Department of Revenue (DOR) is responsible for collecting delinquent tax and other state agency debt.

There is no "one size fits all" solution to collecting these debts. The debt type, debtor, and other factors make collecting each debt unique.

The collection process begins the same for all debtors. DOR sends the customer a notification with details on the debt, customer rights, and how they can resolve the debt. If the customer fails to respond to the written letter, the collection actions vary based on many factors. Collection actions include phone calls, levies, liens, refund offsets, personal liability assessments, license revocation, liquor posting, and seizing assets depending on the circumstance. It takes several well-orchestrated functions to successfully administer debt collection. It is more than collectors collecting a debt. A team of people ensures that everyone reports, pays and receives the right amount by:

- Printing letters, processing payments and returns, registering customers in the system, making financial adjustments, and handling and distributing incoming correspondence;
- Reconciling accounts, and issuing payments to banks, the general fund, and other agencies;
- Issuing legal opinions, providing representation on legal matters, resolving internal and tax court appeals and administrative hearings;
- Programming the technical systems needed to track and process debts;
- Protecting customer data and addressing issues immediately and appropriately; and
- Communicating and reaching out to the public through direct and digital communication and the media.

A large part of DOR's role is helping customers understand their obligation and working to get them into compliance and keep them in compliance. To do that, DOR provides education and outreach to customers through training and education events, informational literature, videos, website, marketing, and more.

DOR interacts with customers in a variety of ways. In fiscal year 2014, DOR handled:

- 326,508 inbound telephone calls.
- 2,363 walk-in customers.
- 12,226 customer e-mail inquiries.
- 1,785 e-mail inquiries from other agencies.
- Over 40,000 written customer inquiries.

In addition to active debt collections activities, this area is responsible for administering the Revenue Recapture program. The Revenue Recapture program allows other government agencies, municipal hospitals and ambulances to file a claim on a delinquent debtor. Once the claim is filed, DOR will remit to the agency the necessary amount instead of paying it out in an individual income tax refund. Last year, agencies using Revenue Recapture reclaimed \$81.6 million from offsetting tax refunds

SERVICES PROVIDED

DOR's Debt Collection program handles delinquent tax debts and other agency debts referred to DOR by other public agencies. DOR facilitates all aspects of debt collection making it more efficient for government as a whole by not duplicating work in multiple agencies. This also makes it easier for a debtor who may owe debt to more than one agency to resolve it in one place by providing a one stop resolution center.

This program serves a variety of customers including taxpayers, tax administrators, state legislators, tax professionals, local elected officials, state agencies and local governments.

DOR started collecting non-tax debts on behalf of other agencies in 1995, when legislation provided for a centralized debt collection service. In 2008, new legislation expanded this service to allow local governments to refer their debts to DOR. The Minnesota Department of Revenue Collection Division now collects 171 types of fees, fines, taxes, and payments for a range of public agencies including:

- 36 State Agencies: Including the Departments of Agriculture, Corrections, Commerce, Employment and Economic Development, Health, Human Services, Labor and Industry, Military Affairs, Natural Resources, Public Safety, Revenue, Transportation, Veterans Affairs, and the Pollution Control Agency
- Four State Boards: State Campaign Finance Disclosure Board, Minnesota Client Security Board, Iron Range Resource Rehabilitation Board, and State Veterans Home Board
- Two Public Employee Retirement Associations: Minnesota State Retirement System and Public Employees Retirement Association
- Six Independent State Government Entities: Attorney General's Office, Secretary of State's Office, Minnesota Individual Affairs Council, Minnesota Office of Higher Education, Minnesota State Lottery, and Minnesota State Colleges and Universities system
- Ten Judicial Districts: District Courts in each of the state's 87 counties, which are divided among ten judicial districts for administration purposes
- One program through Ramsey County collecting restitution debt

RESULTS

Perfo	rmance Measures	Previous	Current	Trend
Α.	Accounts Receivable Tax Debt Revenue Collected	\$234 M	\$257 M	Increasing
Β.	Accounts Receivable Other Agency Debt Revenue Collected	\$48 M	\$49 M	Stable

Notes:

A. Accounts Receivable Tax Debt Collected: The amount of dollars collected and applied to the total delinquent tax debt owed. (Previous is FY13; Current is FY14)

B. Accounts Receivable Other Agency Debt Revenue Collected: The amount of dollars collected and applied to the total delinquent other agency debt owed. This includes the fee amount that is transferred to the general fund. (Previous is FY13; Current is FY14)

(Dollars in Thousands)

Expenditures By Fund

	Actu FY12	ial FY13	Actual FY14				Governor's Recommendation FY16 FY17	
	23,383	29,116	28,716	31,613	30,516	30,516	30,516	30,516
2000 - Restricted Misc Special Rev	653	1,074	657	1,029	1,028	1,028	1,028	1,028
Total	24,036	30,190	29,374	32,642	31,544	31,544	31,544	31,544
Biennial Change Biennial % Change				7,789 14		1,072 2		1,072 2
Governor's Change from Base								0
Governor's % Change from Base								0
Expenditures by Budget Activity								
Budget Activity: Debt Collection Management	24,036	30,190	29,374	32,642	31,544	31,544	31,544	31,544
Total	24,036	30,190	29,374	32,642	31,544	31,544	31,544	31,544
Expenditures by Category								
Compensation	19,250	21,709	25,084	25,493	25,279	25,279	25,279	25,279
Operating Expenses	4,666	7,791	4,288	7,020	6,265	6,265	6,265	6,265
Other Financial Transactions	119	630	2	77				
Capital Outlay-Real Property	1	61	0	52				
Total	24,036	30,190	29,374	32,642	31,544	31,544	31,544	31,544
Total Agency Expenditures	24,036	30,190	29,374	32,642	31,544	31,544	31,544	31,544
Expenditures Less Internal Billing	24,036	30,190	29,374	32,642	31,544	31,544	31,544	31,544
Full-Time Equivalents	319.9	335.5	390.7	372.3	365.3	358.5	365.3	358.5

Program: Debt Collection Management

(Dollars in Thousands)

	Actual		Actual	Estimate	Forecast Base		Governor's Recommendation	
	FY12	FY 13	FY 14	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY16	FY17
Balance Forward In		5,226		1,098				
Direct Appropriation	27,341	27,341	28,616	28,616	28,616	28,616	28,616	28,616
Open Appropriation	1,176	2,071	1,198	1,900	1,900	1,900	1,900	1,900
Net Transfers		(1,495)						
Cancellations		4,027						
Expenditures	23,383	29,116	28,716	31,613	30,516	30,516	30,516	30,516
Balance Forward Out	5,134		1,098					
Biennial Change in Expenditures				7,830		703		703
Biennial % Change in Expenditures				15		1		1
Gov's Exp Change from Base								0
Gov's Exp % Change from Base								0
FTEs	312.8	324.7	382.0	362.9	356.4	350.0	356.4	350.0

1000 - General

2000 - Restricted Misc Special Rev

	Actual		Actual	Estimate	e Forecast Base		Governor's Recommendation	
	FY12	FY 13	FY 14	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY16	FY17
Balance Forward In	4,522	382	252	571	530	500	530	500
Receipts	888	930	977	988	998	1,008	998	1,008
Net Transfers	(4,376)							
Expenditures	653	1,074	657	1,029	1,028	1,028	1,028	1,028
Balance Forward Out	382	238	571	530	500	480	500	480
Biennial Change in Expenditures				(40)		369		369
Biennial % Change in Expenditures				(2)		22		22
Gov's Exp Change from Base								0
Gov's Exp % Change from Base								0
FTEs	7.1	10.8	8.7	9.4	9.0	8.5	9.0	8.5