



Minnesota Department of **Human Services** _____

Characteristics of December 2007 Minnesota Family Assistance Programs: Cases and Eligible Adults

August 2008

Revised: January 2009

Program Assessment and Integrity Division
Minnesota Department of Human Services

This report was revised in October 2008. On page 20, the second column headed "Percent of State Cases" was corrected. Further minor revisions were made to cells in tables 3, 4, and 5 in January 2009.

*Prepared by:
Dana DeMaster, MPP
Senior Research Analysis Specialist
(651) 431-3963
dana.demaster@state.mn.us*

Table of Contents

Characteristics of December 2007 Minnesota Family Assistance Programs: Cases and Eligible Adults	1
Statewide Data	2
Caseload Data	2
Table 1. December 2007 Counts and Percentages of MFIP, DWP, and Tribal TANF Paid Cases and Eligible Persons	2
Figure 1. December MFIP and DWP Caseloads, December 2001 to December 2007.....	3
Demographic Characteristics	4
Table 2. Demographic Characteristics of Eligible Adults on December 2007 MFIP and DWP Paid Cases.....	5
Family Composition, Residence, and Challenges	7
Table 3. Family Composition, Residence, and Challenges of December 2007 MFIP and DWP Paid Cases	8
Economic Characteristics	11
Table 4. Economic Characteristics of December 2007 MFIP and DWP Paid Cases.....	13
County and Regional Data	19
Table 5. December 2007 MFIP and DWP Paid Cases by County and Region.....	20
Table 6. December 2007 MFIP and DWP Paid Cases Compared with Census Data on Poverty by County...26	
Table 7. Demographics of Eligible Adults on December 2007 Paid MFIP Cases with One Eligible Adult: Age, Gender, Education, and Marital Status by County and Region.....	29
Table 8. Demographics of Eligible Adults on December 2007 Paid DWP Cases with One Eligible Adult: Age, Gender, Education, and Marital Status by Large County and Regions	36
Table 9. Demographics of Eligible Adults in December 2007 MFIP Cases with One Eligible Adult: Race/Ethnicity and Citizenship by Large County and Region	38
Table 10. Demographics of Eligible Adults in December 2007 DWP Cases with One Eligible Adults: Race/Ethnicity and Citizenship by Large County and Region	39
Table 11. Family Types in December 2007 One-eligible-adult MFIP and DWP Cases by County and Region.....	40
Table 12. All Children in December 2007 One-eligible-adult MFIP Cases by County and Region.....	44
Table 13. All Children in December 2007 One-eligible-adult DWP Cases by County and Region	48
Table 14. Family Violence, Chemical Dependency Diagnosis, and Severe Mental Health Diagnosis for December 2007 One-eligible-adult MFIP and DWP Cases by Large County and Region.....	52
Table 15. December 2007 One-eligible-adult MFIP Cases with Exceptions, Exemptions, or Extensions to the Life Time Limit, MFIP Counted Months, and New MFIP Cases in 2007 by County and Region.....	53
Table 16. December 2007 One-eligible-adult DWP Cases with MFIP Counted Months and New DWP Cases in 2007 by Large County and Region.....	57
Table 17. December 2007 One-eligible-adult MFIP Cases Receiving Food-only Assistance, Budgeted Earnings, Working Adults, Earned Income, and Month Work Hours by County and Region	58
Table 18. December 2007 One-eligible-adult MFIP Cases with Sanctions and Child Support Payments by County and Region.....	61
Table 19. December 2007 Two-eligible-adult MFIP Cases with Exceptions, Exemptions, or Extensions to the Lifetime Limit, MFIP Counted Months, and New MFIP Cases in 2007 by County and Region.....	63
Table 20. December 2007 Two-eligible-adult MFIP Cases Receiving Food-only Assistance, Budgeted Earnings, Working Adults, Earned Income, and Month Work Hours by County and Region	67
Table 21. December 2007 Two-eligible-adult Cases with Sanctions and Child Support Payments by Large County and Region.....	69

Characteristics of December 2007 Minnesota Family Assistance Programs: Cases and Eligible Adults

This is the eleventh annual report in this series that provides a snapshot of the universe of cases and participants in Minnesota's public assistance programs for families. A supplement to the 2006 report, *Minnesota's Family Cash Assistance Caseload: A Ten-Year Retrospective*¹, showed select results from all ten previous reports to highlight trends in the family cash assistance caseload since 1997. This report describes characteristics of eligible adults and active cases receiving family cash assistance through either the Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP) or the Diversionary Work Program² (DWP) in December 2007. Information on the demographics of the eligible adult and teenage caregivers; family composition, residence, and challenges; and economic status of paid cases is provided by state, county, and region. The Data Definitions and Policy Information sections that follow each table are essential for interpreting the data.³

Federal Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) provides funds for MFIP cases except cases with two eligible natural, adoptive, or step-parents. In February 2008, Family Stabilization Services (FSS) began to enroll participants. MFIP cases that meet the criteria for FSS will also be state funded.⁴ TANF, first enacted in 1996, was reauthorized as part of the federal Deficit Reduction Act (DRA) of 2005. The original TANF legislation set program requirements such as the five-year lifetime limit on welfare receipt, a work-first emphasis, work participation requirements, and other rules. DRA retained these program requirements and, among other changes, narrowed the definition of work activities and broadened the definition of which participants are "work-eligible." Some MFIP-ineligible caregivers that had previously not been considered work-eligible are now required to participate in work activities. These new requirements went to effect October 1, 2006.

Findings that parallel this report for five major racial/ethnic groups and immigrant subgroups among blacks and Asians will follow as a report in the Department of Human Services series on *Welfare Report Outcomes of Racial/Ethnic and Immigrant Groups in Minnesota*.

Input from readers is invited, both reactions to this report and suggestions for data to include in future reports. The next annual report in this series will describe the family assistance caseload in December 2008. The December 2008 report will also include information on FSS, which provides case management support and services to families that are not making significant progress on MFIP or DWP due to specific barriers to employment.

¹ This report can be found on the DHS website at <http://edocs.dhs.state.mn.us/lfservlet/legacy/DHS-4219I-ENG>.

² DWP is a state-funded, short-term assistance program that offers intensive employment services for the purpose of diverting families from longer-term receipt of assistance through MFIP.

³ Public assistance data were extracted from MAXIS and medical data were extracted from MMIS, both in the DHS Data Warehouse. Child support data were provided by the DHS Child Support Enforcement Division (CSED).

⁴ For more information about FSS, please see DHS Bulletins #07-11-07 and #08-11-01.

Statewide Data

For reporting purposes, the MFIP population was divided into three categories: child-only cases in which the grant includes children but not their adult caregivers, cases with one eligible adult, and cases with two eligible adults. The DWP population, which cannot include child-only cases, is divided into cases with one or two eligible adult caregivers. The Mille Lacs American Indian Tribal Council administers MFIP-eligible cases in its jurisdiction. Their Tribal TANF program is a separate program from MFIP. Tribal TANF cases are only included in Table 1 of this report.

Table 1 (page 2) provides the distributions of MFIP, DWP, and Tribal TANF cases, eligible adults, and eligible children. Figure 1 (page 3) shows the history of MFIP and DWP caseloads since 2001.⁵ Table 2 (pages 5 – 6) gives the demographic characteristics of eligible adults: age, gender, education, marital status, race/ethnicity, and citizenship status. Table 3 (pages 8 – 9) reports case-level statistics on family composition, residence, and challenges. Table 4 (pages 13 – 16) quantifies economic characteristics of cases.

Caseload Data


Caseload Trends. As shown in Figure 1, Minnesota’s family cash assistance caseload has decreased by 5 to 8 percent each year since 2002. December 2007 showed a break in that trend; the total family cash assistance caseload decreased by less than 1 percent over the last year. The proportions of case types have remained the same since December 2006. Previous years had seen increases in MFIP child-only cases as eligible-adult cases decreased.

Table 1. December 2007 Counts and Percentages of MFIP, DWP, and Tribal TANF Paid Cases and Eligible Persons

Counts of Cases	MFIP and Tribal TANF Paid Cases						DWP Paid Cases		
	Tribal TANF Cases	All MFIP Paid Cases	Child-Only Cases	MFIP Cases with Eligible Adults			DWP Cases with Eligible Adults		
				One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	All Cases
Paid Cases	269	32,263	10,353	19,048	2,862	21,910	2,894	839	3,733
	100.0%	100%	32.1%	59.0%	8.9%	67.9%	77.5%	22.5%	100%
Eligible Adults	324	24,772	0	19,048	5,724	24,772	2,894	1,678	4,572
	100.0%	100%	0.0%	76.9%	23.1%	100.0%	63.3%	36.7%	100.0%
Eligible Children	478	62,852	19,438	35,820	7,594	43,414	4,741	1,952	6,682
	100.0%	100%	30.9%	57.0%	12.1%	69.1%	71.0%	29.2%	100.0%

⁵ DWP began enrolling its first participants in July 2004 so DWP history is only available from 2004 onward.

Figure 1. December MFIP and DWP Caseloads, December 2001 to December 2007



Data Definitions and Policy Information: Table 1 and Figure 1

An eligible adult is an adult caregiver or minor parent who meets MFIP or DWP eligibility requirements and receives a MFIP or DWP grant. Cases with two caregivers in which one caregiver was ineligible for MFIP or DWP are included in the one-eligible-adult cases column. Cases receiving MFIP cash or food grants or DWP cash grants are known as “paid cases”; this excludes active cases suspended for the month because they have income great enough to cancel out the cash grant and, for MFIP cases, the Food Portion grant. This typically occurs in months with five weekly or three biweekly pay periods.

Percentages summed across subgroups sometimes do not equal 100 percent due to rounding.

Demographic Characteristics

MFIP-eligible Caregivers. As seen in Table 2, the typical MFIP participant was a 30-year old, black female who had never been married, had completed high school, and was a U.S. citizen. Although the average age was 30, 58 percent of MFIP-eligible adults were age 29 years or younger. Nearly 60 percent of MFIP-eligible adults had a high school diploma or General Educational Development (GED). Fifteen percent of MFIP-eligible adults were currently married and living with a spouse, including 50 percent of adults in two-eligible-adult cases. More than two-thirds of MFIP-eligible adults had never married. Black adults and white adults each constituted 38 percent of MFIP-eligible adults; the next largest racial/ethnic group was American Indians (10 percent of MFIP-eligible adults). This report is the first in this series where the percentage of black and white cases was equal. In previous reports the percentage of cases headed by white adults was larger than that of black adults. Eighty-five percent of MFIP-eligible adults were U.S. citizens.

DWP-eligible Caregivers. The demographic make-up of DWP-eligible adults did not look like that of MFIP-eligible adults. Asian, black, and American Indian adults were under-represented in DWP cases as compared to MFIP cases. While 38 percent of MFIP-eligible adults were black, 26 percent of DWP-eligible adults were and while 10 percent of MFIP-eligible adults were American Indian, 4 percent of DWP-eligible adults were. White adults made up a much larger proportion of DWP-eligible adults than MFIP-eligible adults; 55 percent of DWP-eligible adults compared to 38 percent of MFIP-eligible adults. DWP-eligible adults were also more likely to have at least a high school diploma (72 percent compared to 58 percent of MFIP-eligible adults) and to have been married now or in the past (49 percent compared to 34 percent of MFIP-eligible adults).

These demographic differences can be attributed to differences between the programs. DWP is a short-term program lasting up to four months while MFIP is a long-term program that is usually limited to 60 months of participation, potentially lasting as long as minor children reside in the home for cases that are extended beyond the limit due to certain documented criteria. Long-term MFIP participants are more likely to face significant barriers to employment, such as a lack of education, single parenthood, and racism, whereas participants that are diverted to DWP are considered more likely to gain employment quickly and, regardless of employment status, must exit the program after four months. Those without employment are likely to enroll in MFIP upon leaving DWP.

Table 2. Demographic Characteristics of Eligible Adults on December 2007 MFIP and DWP Paid Cases

Adult Characteristics		MFIP Cases			DWP Cases		
		One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	All MFIP Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	All DWP Cases
Eligible Adults	Count	19,048	5,724	24,772	2,894	1,678	4,572
	Percent of All Eligible Adults	76.9%	23.1%	100.0%	63.3%	36.7%	100.0%
Age of Adults	Mean	29.7	30.5	29.9	30.8	31.6	31.1
	Median	27	28	27	29	30	29
	Minimum	13	16	13	17	17	17
	Maximum	78	73	78	59	82	82
Frequency	< 18	534	47	584	1	5	6
		2.8%	0.8%	2.4%	0.0%	0.3%	0.1%
	18 - 19	1,666	370	2,036	111	54	165
		8.7%	6.5%	8.2%	3.8%	3.2%	3.6%
	20 - 29	8,928	2,712	11,640	1,383	757	2,140
		46.9%	47.4%	47.0%	47.8%	45.1%	46.8%
	30 - 39	4,688	1,602	6,290	908	545	1,453
		24.6%	28.0%	25.4%	31.4%	32.5%	31.8%
	40 - 49	2,429	744	3,173	414	255	669
	12.8%	13.0%	12.8%	14.3%	15.2%	14.6%	
50 - 59	686	219	905	77	55	132	
	3.6%	3.8%	3.7%	2.7%	3.3%	2.9%	
60 and over	117	30	147	0	7	7	
	0.6%	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%	0.4%	0.2%	
Gender	Female	17,464	2,862	20,331	2,582	839	3,423
		91.7%	50.0%	82.1%	89.2%	50.0%	74.9%
	Male	1,584	2,862	4,444	312	839	1,149
	8.3%	50.0%	17.9%	10.8%	50.0%	25.1%	
Education	None or Pre-1st Grade or Unknown	1,100	781	1,884	150	141	291
		5.8%	13.6%	7.6%	5.2%	8.4%	6.4%
	Grade School	434	169	603	37	48	85
		2.3%	3.0%	2.4%	1.3%	2.9%	1.9%
	Some High School	6,270	1,772	8,042	537	381	918
		32.9%	31.0%	32.5%	18.6%	22.7%	20.1%
	High School Graduate	9,686	2,680	12,366	1,779	952	2,731
		50.9%	46.8%	49.9%	61.5%	56.7%	59.7%
	Some Post-Secondary	1,346	270	1,616	312	126	438
		7.1%	4.7%	6.5%	10.8%	7.5%	9.6%
College Graduate	116	21	137	38	8	46	
	0.6%	0.4%	0.6%	1.3%	0.5%	1.0%	
Graduate Degree	96	31	127	41	22	63	
	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	1.4%	1.3%	1.4%	
High School Graduate or Higher	11,244	3,002	14,246	2,170	1,108	3,278	
	59.0%	52.4%	57.5%	75.0%	66.0%	71.7%	
Marital Status	Divorced	1,482	125	1,607	375	33	408
		7.8%	2.2%	6.5%	13.0%	2.0%	8.9%
	Legally Separated	44	4	48	20	2	22
		0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.7%	0.1%	0.5%
	Married, Living with Spouse	848	2,839	3,687	88	1,059	1,147
		4.5%	49.6%	14.9%	3.0%	63.1%	25.1%
	Never Married	13,643	2,623	16,269	1,781	557	2,338
		71.6%	45.8%	65.7%	61.5%	33.2%	51.1%
Married, Living Apart	2,836	126	2,962	597	27	624	
	14.9%	2.2%	12.0%	20.6%	1.6%	13.6%	
Widowed	195	7	202	33	0	33	
	1.0%	0.1%	0.8%	1.1%	0.0%	0.7%	

Table 2 – Page 2

Adult Characteristics		MFIP Cases			DWP Cases		
		One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	All MFIP Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	All DWP Cases
Race/Ethnicity	Asian	855	942	1,797	103	163	266
		4.5%	16.5%	7.3%	3.6%	9.7%	5.8%
	Black	7,909	1,542	9,452	853	333	1,186
		41.5%	26.9%	38.2%	29.5%	19.8%	25.9%
	Hispanic	964	267	1,231	204	104	308
		5.1%	4.7%	5.0%	7.0%	6.2%	6.7%
	American Indian	1,750	644	2,394	133	64	197
		9.2%	11.3%	9.7%	4.6%	3.8%	4.3%
White		7,177	2,218	9,395	1,547	973	2,520
		37.7%	38.7%	37.9%	53.5%	58.0%	55.1%
Multiple		309	70	379	29	19	48
		1.6%	1.2%	1.5%	1.0%	1.1%	1.0%
Citizenship	Non-U.S.	2,304	1,428	3,732	292	326	618
		12.1%	24.9%	15.1%	10.1%	19.4%	13.5%
	U.S.	16,744	4,298	21,043	2,602	1,352	3,954
		87.9%	75.1%	84.9%	89.9%	80.6%	86.5%
Noncitizens	Asian	483	805	1,288	47	125	172
	Percent of All Asians	56.5%	85.5%	71.7%	45.6%	76.7%	64.7%
	Black	1,626	461	2,087	201	128	329
	Percent of All Blacks	20.6%	29.9%	22.1%	23.6%	38.4%	27.7%
	Hispanic	121	30	151	30	28	58
	Percent of All Hispanics	12.6%	11.2%	12.3%	14.7%	26.9%	18.8%
	American Indian	4	1	5	2	0	2
	Percent of All American Indians	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	1.5%	0.0%	1.0%
White		59	123	182	7	40	47
	Percent of All Whites	0.8%	5.5%	1.9%	0.5%	4.1%	1.9%

Data Definitions and Policy Information: Table 2

Characteristics with no bearing on program eligibility may not be routinely updated after the initial application, including education, marital status, and citizenship. Thus, high school graduation and achievement of U.S. citizenship may be underreported.

The coding method for race/ethnicity follows the 2000 U.S. Census methodology, with participants asked their racial choice (yes or no) of each of five racial categories (American Indian, Asian, black, Pacific Islander, and white) and ethnicity (Hispanic or non-Hispanic). Hispanics of all races were listed as Hispanic. Pacific Islanders and Asian groups were combined due to very small numbers of Pacific Islanders.

Race/ethnicity data were missing for 127 MFIP-eligible adults and 47 DWP-eligible adults.

Family Composition, Residence, and Challenges

MFIP Family Composition. The typical family that participated in MFIP or DWP in December 2007 was a parent caring for two children that were his or her own biological, adopted, or step children. The average age of the youngest child was 4 years in eligible-adult MFIP cases and 5 years in DWP cases. One-third of MFIP families lived in Hennepin County and one-third lived in Greater Minnesota. Families that participated in DWP were more likely than MFIP participants to reside in Greater Minnesota (42 percent compared to 32 percent of MFIP) and the Metro Suburban counties (21 percent compared to 14 percent).

More than half (56 percent) of families in child-only cases were parents caring for their own biological, adopted, or step children and 44 percent were caregivers caring for their relatives' children. One quarter of child-only cases had two caregivers. While child-only cases averaged the same number of children as eligible-adult cases, the youngest child was older. The average age of the youngest child was 9 years, and 30 percent of children in child-only cases were aged 13 or older compared to 10 percent of children in MFIP-eligible-adult cases. As in eligible-adult MFIP cases, about one-third lived in Hennepin County and one-third in Greater Minnesota.

Ineligible Caregivers. Six percent of one-eligible-adult MFIP cases also included an ineligible second caregiver. Nearly 70 percent of these second caregivers were parents ineligible for MFIP due to receipt of SSI for a disability and 13 percent were ineligible because they reached the 60-month limit and opted out of the grant to allow the other caregiver and children to continue receiving MFIP. Caregivers in child-only cases were most commonly relative caregivers (46 percent) or parents eligible for SSI (41 percent). Twelve percent of caregivers in child-only cases were non-citizens without documents to prove their residency status.

Serious Mental Health and Chemical Dependency Diagnoses. More than one-third of MFIP-eligible-adult cases had a caregiver who was diagnosed with a serious mental health condition in the past three years; one-quarter of cases had a caregiver who was diagnosed with chemical dependency over the same period. Caregivers in child-only cases had similar rates of mental health diagnoses, but a smaller percentage had been diagnosed with chemical dependency (13 percent compared to 23 percent). Caregivers in DWP cases had fewer of these diagnoses, but this may not be due to lower incidence so much as fewer DWP caregivers receiving publicly health care in the past three years than MFIP caregivers.

Table 3. Family Composition, Residence, and Challenges of December 2007 MFIP and DWP Paid Cases

Family Composition, Residence, and Challenges		MFIP Paid Cases			DWP Paid Cases				
		Child-only MFIP Cases	MFIP Cases with Eligible Caregivers			DWP Cases			
			One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	All DWP Cases	
Cases	Count	10,353	19,048	2,862	21,910	2,894	839	3,733	
Percent of All Cases		32.1%	59.0%	8.9%	67.9%	77.5%	22.5%	100.0%	
Family Type	Natural/Adopted/Step Children	5,819	18,241	2,842	21,083	2,579	824	3,403	
Count of Cases		56.2%	95.8%	99.3%	96.2%	89.1%	98.2%	91.2%	
	Pregnant Only	-	474	13	487	274	12	286	
		0.0%	2.5%	0.5%	2.2%	9.5%	1.4%	7.7%	
	Relative Care	4,534	333	7	340	41	3	44	
		43.8%	1.7%	0.2%	1.6%	1.4%	0.4%	1.2%	
Two-caregiver Families	Count of Cases	2,565	1,195	2,862	4,057	84	839	923	
	Percent of Cases in Column	24.8%	6.3%	100.0%	18.5%	2.9%	100.0%	24.7%	
Two Caregivers Married	Count of Cases	2,186	752	1,407	2,159	44	525	569	
	Percent of Cases in Column	21.1%	3.9%	49.2%	9.9%	1.5%	62.6%	15.2%	
Ineligible Caregivers:	Relative Caregivers	5,939	55			18			
		46.0%	4.6%			21.4%			
Count of Persons & Caregivers	SSI Parents	5,303	826			37			
		41.0%	69.1%			44.0%			
	Undocumented Noncitizen Parents	1,530	121			28			
		11.8%	10.1%			33.3%			
	MFIP Disqualified Parents	135	31			0			
		1.0%	2.6%			0.0%			
	Reached 60th Month And Opted Out	1	157			-			
		0.0%	13.1%			0.0%			
	Other Ineligible Parents	11	5			1			
		0.1%	0.9%			1.2%			
	Count of Ineligible Caregivers	12,919	1,195			84			
Number of Eligible Children in Family & Household	Mean	1.9	1.9	2.7	2.0	1.6	2.3	1.8	
	Count of Cases	10,353	19,048	2,862	21,910	2,894	839	3,733	
	Median	1	1	2	2	1	2	2	
	Minimum	1	0	0	140	0	0	0	
	Maximum	12	12	12	12	11	10	11	
	Frequency of Cases	0	0	922	37	959	332	18	350
		0.0%	4.8%	1.3%	4.4%	11.5%	2.1%	9.4%	
	1	5,265	8,666	824	9,490	1,229	282	1,511	
		50.9%	45.5%	28.8%	43.3%	42.5%	33.6%	40.5%	
	2	2,799	4,861	802	5,663	786	241	1,027	
		27.0%	25.5%	28.0%	25.8%	27.2%	28.7%	27.5%	
	3	1,318	2,541	489	3,030	348	157	505	
		12.7%	13.3%	17.1%	13.8%	12.0%	18.7%	13.5%	
	4 - 6	889	1,871	587	2,458	193	116	309	
		8.6%	9.8%	20.5%	11.2%	6.7%	13.8%	8.3%	
	7 - 9	80	174	111	285	5	24	29	
		0.8%	0.9%	3.9%	1.3%	0.2%	2.9%	0.8%	
	10 or more	2	13	12	25	1	1	2	
		0.0%	0.1%	0.4%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	
	Count of Eligible Children	19,438	35,820	7,594	43,414	4,741	1,952	6,682	
Ineligible Children: Count of Children & Percent of Ineligible Children	SSI Children	929	1,642	215	1,857	112	33	145	
		78.1%	97.0%	97.3%	97.0%	90.3%	97.1%	91.8%	
	Undocumented Noncitizen	233	12	1	13	6	1	7	
		19.6%	0.7%	0.5%	0.7%	4.8%	2.9%	4.4%	
	Other Ineligible Children	28	39	5	44	6	0	6	
		2.4%	2.3%	2.3%	2.3%	4.8%	0.0%	3.8%	
	Count of Ineligible Children	1,190	1,693	221	1,914	124	34	158	
	Percent of All Children in Column	5.8%	4.5%	2.8%	4.2%	2.5%	1.7%	2.3%	

Table 3 – Page 2

Family Composition, Residence, and Challenges		Child-only MFIP Cases	MFIP Cases with Eligible Caregivers			DWP Cases			
			One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	All DWP Cases	
Number of All Minor Children in Family & Household	Mean	2.0	2.0	2.7	2.1	1.7	2.4	1.8	
	Count of Cases	10,353	19,048	2,862	21,910	2,894	839	3,733	
	Median	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	
	Minimum	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Maximum	12	12	12	12	11	10	11	
	Frequency of Cases	0	0	622	9	631	300	12	312
			0.0%	3.3%	0.3%	2.9%	10.4%	1.4%	8.4%
		1	4,851	8,490	796	9,286	1,226	282	1,508
			46.9%	44.6%	27.8%	42.4%	42.4%	33.6%	40.4%
		2	2,830	4,935	806	5,741	785	236	1,021
			27.3%	25.9%	28.2%	26.2%	27.1%	28.1%	27.4%
		3	1,477	2,700	506	3,206	373	165	538
			14.3%	14.2%	17.7%	14.6%	12.9%	19.7%	14.4%
		4 - 6	1,094	2,081	616	2,697	203	118	321
		10.6%	10.9%	21.5%	12.3%	7.0%	14.1%	8.6%	
7 - 9	99	204	115	319	6	25	31		
	1.0%	1.1%	4.0%	1.5%	0.2%	3.0%	0.8%		
10 or more	2	16	14	30	1	1	2		
	0.0%	0.1%	0.5%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%		
Count of All Children		20,628	37,513	7,815	45,328	4,864	1,975	6,839	
Age of Youngest Child (All Children)	Mean	8.6	4.3	2.6	4.1	5.2	3.4	4.8	
	Count of Cases	10,353	19,048	2,862	21,910	2,894	839	3,733	
	Median	8	2	1	2	4	2	3	
	Minimum	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Maximum	19	19	19	19	19	18	19	
	< 1 year old	Frequency of Cases	576	4,764	989	5,753	563	272	835
			5.6%	25.0%	34.6%	26.3%	19.5%	32.4%	22.4%
	1-5 years old	Frequency of Cases	3,073	8,670	1,432	10,102	1,230	368	1,598
			29.7%	45.5%	50.0%	46.1%	42.5%	43.9%	42.8%
	6-10 years old	Frequency of Cases	2,490	2,917	255	3,172	574	122	696
			24.1%	15.3%	8.9%	14.5%	19.8%	14.5%	18.6%
	11-12 years old	Frequency of Cases	1,009	751	47	798	155	26	181
			9.7%	3.9%	1.6%	3.6%	5.4%	3.1%	4.8%
	13-15 years old	Frequency of Cases	1,741	1,151	84	1,235	232	32	264
		16.8%	6.0%	2.9%	5.6%	8.0%	3.8%	7.1%	
>15 years old	Frequency of Cases	1,464	795	55	850	140	19	159	
		14.1%	4.2%	1.9%	3.9%	4.8%	2.3%	4.3%	
Region	Hennepin County	3,279	6,024	660	6,684	680	140	820	
		31.7%	31.6%	23.1%	30.5%	23.5%	16.7%	22.0%	
	Ramsey County	2,124	4,451	717	5,168	421	127	548	
		20.5%	23.4%	25.1%	23.6%	14.5%	15.1%	14.7%	
	Metro Suburban	1,452	2,606	366	2,972	588	198	786	
	14.0%	13.7%	12.8%	13.6%	20.3%	23.6%	21.1%		
Greater Minnesota	3,498	5,967	1,119	7,086	1,205	374	1,579		
	33.8%	31.3%	39.1%	32.3%	41.6%	44.6%	42.3%		
Family Violence	MFIP Exemption or Extension		1,237	66	1,303	38	6	44	
	During 2000 - 2007		6.5%	2.3%	5.9%	1.3%	0.7%	1.2%	
Adult Mental Health Diagnosis (All Caregivers)	During 2005 - 2007	3,678	7,095	1,130	8,225	597	219	816	
		35.5%	37.2%	39.5%	37.5%	20.6%	26.1%	21.9%	
	During 2007	2,663	5,225	831	6,056	371	154	525	
	25.7%	27.4%	29.0%	27.6%	12.8%	18.4%	14.1%		
Adult Chemical Dependency Diagnosis (All Caregivers)	During 2005 - 2007	1,390	4,300	817	5,117	369	142	511	
		13.4%	22.6%	28.5%	23.4%	12.8%	16.9%	13.7%	
	During 2007	810	2,552	525	3,077	226	80	306	
	7.8%	13.4%	18.3%	14.0%	7.8%	9.5%	8.2%		

Data Definitions and Policy Information: Table 3

Table 3 provides case-level statistics, except for person counts in the sections on ineligible caregivers and counts of children.

Family Composition. The Family Type section defines households by the relationship of the caregivers to the children. The three types of families were parents caring for their biological, adopted, or step children; caregivers caring for a relative's children; and pregnant women (and sometimes a spouse) with no other children. A household with both biological, adopted, or step children and a relative's children were considered natural/adopted/step children households.

Ineligible Caregivers. Ineligible caregivers may be parents that receive SSI for a disability, have relative caregiver status, lack documentation of their non-U.S. citizenship status, are disqualified due to fraud, or have reached their 60th month of MFIP eligibility and opted out of the case. A caregiver may not opt out once they reach 60 months for the purpose of continued eligibility for their children, but when caregivers in a two-caregiver household have different numbers of counted months the caregiver with 60 months may opt out and the other caregiver and children continue to receive a grant. Children in MFIP or DWP cases may also be ineligible to receive DWP or MFIP. Children may be ineligible due to receipt of SSI, lack of documentation of their non-U.S. citizenship status, lack of documentation of the Social Security number, or coming in or out of foster care.

Region. The counties where households lived were grouped into the following regions: Hennepin County, Ramsey County, Twin Cities metropolitan suburbs (Anoka, Carver, Chisago, Dakota, Isanti, Scott, Sherburne, Washington, and Wright Counties), and Greater Minnesota (the remaining 76 counties).

Family Challenges. Family violence was indicated by whether a case had a time limit exemption or extension for a family violence waiver at any time between 2000 and 2007. These numbers greatly underestimate the occurrence of family violence because they only include cases where the caregiver reported the abuse and also acted on a plan during months receiving MFIP.

A serious mental health diagnosis identifies caregivers who were known to have been diagnosed with psychosis, depression, personality disorder, post-traumatic stress disorder, or anxiety state during 2007 or during the three-year period 2005 to 2007. Chemical dependency diagnosis identifies caregivers who received that diagnosis (not including tobacco) during 2007 or during the three-year period 2005 to 2007. Both of these measures may underestimate the actual number of adults with these diagnoses because they only include cases that receive publicly paid health care recorded in administrative records. On the other hand, these diagnoses may be a secondary diagnosis and do not indicate whether treatment services were received. Starting in the 2004 report, the mental and chemical health histories of all caregivers were considered rather than only eligible caregivers.

Economic Characteristics

MFIP Time Limit, Exceptions and Exemptions, and Extensions. Except under particular circumstances explained in the following two paragraphs, a MFIP participant may only use 60 months of cash assistance between ages 18 and 60. In December 2007, eligible-adult MFIP cases averaged 26 months counted toward the limit. Fifty-five percent of eligible-adult MFIP cases had 24 or fewer counted months and 23 percent were within 12 months of reaching their lifetime limit. Nearly half (48 percent) of DWP cases had at least one MFIP counted month from previous time on MFIP.

Eleven percent of eligible-adult MFIP cases had a caregiver whose months were not counted toward the time limit due to an exception or exemption because they were a minor parent complying with educational requirements, an 18 or 19-year old parent complying with high school education requirements, age 60 or older, experiencing family violence, living on an American Indian reservation with a not employed rate of at least 50 percent, or there was a child or adult in the household that met certain special medical criteria.

Twelve percent of eligible-adult MFIP cases were extended beyond the time limit due to a documented hardship. The most common extension reasons were being ill or incapacitated for more than 30 days (34 percent of extended cases), meeting Special Medical Criteria (17 percent), and having an IQ between 70 and 80 (15 percent). Extended cases averaged 27 months in extension, with 31 percent having 12 extension months or less and 30 percent having 36 or more extension months.

New Family Cash Assistance Cases. The percentage of eligible-adult MFIP cases that were new to family cash assistance in Minnesota decreased since December 2006 (24 percent to 21 percent of all cases). While the percentage of DWP cases that were new to family cash assistance increased from 46 percent of all DWP cases in December 2006 to 50 percent of December 2007 cases, new cases were still a smaller percentage than they had been in December 2005 (54 percent) or December 2004 (65 percent).

The proportion of new eligible-adult MFIP cases that were also new or returning Minnesota residents has remained stable in recent years, but the proportion of DWP cases that were new residents has decreased each year since 2004, the year that DWP started enrolling participants. In December 2007, 34 percent of new DWP cases had moved into Minnesota during 2007, which was a decrease from 40 percent in December 2006, 42 percent in December 2005, and 49 percent in December 2004.

The most frequent states from which new residents in both programs had moved into the state were Illinois (408 cases), Wisconsin (241 cases), and Texas (173 cases). The number of new cases that moved to Minnesota from a foreign country decreased by almost half between December 2006 and December 2007, from 509 cases to 281 cases.

Decreased Earned Income and Work Hours. The percentages of MFIP and DWP cases with at least one working caregiver did not change since December 2006 (38 percent of MFIP eligible adult cases and 44 percent of DWP cases). Although there had been no

decrease in the percentage of working caregivers, the average number of hours worked and average income from working both continued to decrease. Eligible-adult MFIP cases worked an average of 99 hours for the month, with 14 percent working 120 or more hours per month (about 30 hours per week); this was a decrease from 108 average hours in December 2006 and 113 hours in December 2005. December 2007 average reported monthly income was \$896, which was a decrease from \$961 in December 2006 and \$1,106 in December 2005.

DWP cases saw similar declines in earned income and work hours. DWP cases reported an average of 99 hours per month with \$973 in earned income, which were decreases from 104 hours and \$1,003 average report income in December 2006.

Consistent with declines in reported wages and hours for December 2007, there were also decreases in the percentage of cases with wages and the total average wages reported to the Unemployment Insurance (UI) system for April to June 2007 (second quarter of 2007). All programs and case types saw decreases in average wages reported to the UI system. Average quarterly wages for employed MFIP-eligible-adult cases decreased from \$3,509 in the second quarter of 2006 to \$2,835 in 2007. Wages in DWP cases decreased from \$5,165 in the second quarter of 2006 to \$4,732 in the second quarter of 2007. MFIP one-eligible-adult and child-only cases saw a decrease in the percentage of cases reporting wages to the UI system; child-only cases reported an 11 percentage point decrease and one-eligible-adult cases a 6 percentage point decrease.

Table 4. Economic Characteristics of December 2007 MFIP and DWP Paid Cases

Economic Characteristics of Cases		Child-only MFIP Cases	MFIP Cases with Eligible Caregivers			DWP Cases		
			One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	All DWP Cases
Cases	Count	10,353	19,048	2,862	21,910	2,894	839	3,733
	Percent of All Cases	31.4%	58.8%	9.8%	68.6%	78.9%	21.1%	100.0%
Counted MFIP Months Sept. 1996 to Dec. 2007	Mean	29.7	26.8	23.3	26.3	12.6	10.0	12.0
	Median	27	22	19	21	5	0	4
Maximum for Eligible Adult in Case Frequency of Cases	No Counted Months	5,869	1,801	153	1,954	1,450	510	1,960
		56.7%	9.5%	5.3%	8.9%	50.1%	60.8%	52.5%
	1 - 12 Months	1,164	5,258	940	6,198	583	134	717
		11.2%	27.6%	32.8%	28.3%	20.1%	16.0%	19.2%
	13 - 24 Months	779	3,308	591	3,899	370	75	445
		7.5%	17.4%	20.6%	17.8%	12.8%	8.9%	11.9%
	25 - 36 Months	626	2,354	498	2,852	226	58	284
		6.0%	12.4%	17.4%	13.0%	7.8%	6.9%	7.6%
	37 - 42 Months	273	1,000	126	1,126	84	14	98
		2.6%	5.2%	4.4%	5.1%	2.9%	1.7%	2.6%
	43 - 48 Months	265	891	131	1,022	79	26	105
		2.6%	4.7%	4.6%	4.7%	2.7%	3.1%	2.8%
	49 - 54 Months	269	846	125	971	57	13	70
	2.6%	4.4%	4.4%	4.4%	2.0%	1.5%	1.9%	
55 - 60 Months	1,108	3,590	298	3,888	45	9	54	
	10.7%	18.8%	10.4%	17.7%	1.6%	1.1%	1.4%	
MFIP Excepted or Exempted from Time Limit	Count of Cases	1	2,089	302	2,391			
	Percent of All Cases	0.0%	11.0%	10.6%	10.9%			
MFIP Extensions	Count		2,513	190	2,704			
	Percent of MFIP Cases		13.2%	6.6%	12.3%			
Total Extension Months	Mean		26.8	22.1	26.5			
	Median		23	17	23			
	Minimum		1	1	1			
	Maximum		73	66	73			
	1 - 12 Months		753	73	826			
	Percent of Extended Cases		30.0%	38.4%	30.5%			
	13 - 24 Months		566	49	615			
			22.5%	25.8%	22.7%			
25 - 36 Months		419	21	440				
		16.7%	11.1%	16.3%				
More than 36 Months		775	48	823				
		30.8%	25.3%	30.4%				

Table 4 – Page 2

Economic Characteristics of Cases		Child- only MFIP Cases	MFIP Cases with Eligible Caregivers			DWP Cases		
			One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	All DWP Cases
Extension Reason	Ill or incapacitated		869	59	928			
	Percent of Extended Cases		34.6%	31.1%	34.3%			
	Special Medical Criteria		442	30	472			
			17.6%	15.8%	17.5%			
	IQ Between 70 and 80		367	42	409			
			14.6%	22.1%	15.1%			
	Mentally Ill		266	12	278			
			10.6%	6.3%	10.3%			
	Care of Ill or Incapacitated		201	20	221			
			8.0%	10.5%	8.2%			
	Employment Required Hours		146	17	163			
			5.8%	8.9%	6.0%			
	Unemployable		41	1	42			
			1.6%	0.5%	1.6%			
	Family Violence Waiver		91	0	91			
		3.6%	0.0%	3.4%				
Learning Disabled		68	8	76				
		2.7%	4.2%	2.8%				
IQ Below 70		20	2	22				
		0.8%	1.1%	0.8%				
Appeal		2	0	2				
		0.1%	0.0%	0.1%				
Months since Start of DWP Eligibility	1st Month					760	227	987
						26.3%	27.1%	26.4%
	2nd Month					765	211	976
						26.4%	25.1%	26.1%
	3rd Month					712	217	929
					24.6%	25.9%	24.9%	
4th Month					657	184	841	
					22.7%	21.9%	22.5%	

Table 4 – Page 3

Economic Characteristics of Cases		Child-only MFIP Cases	MFIP Cases with Eligible Caregivers			DWP Cases		
			One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	All DWP Cases
New Cases in 2007	Minnesota Resident before 2007	1,256	3,330	453	3,783	947	296	1,243
	Percent of New Cases	88.1%	83.3%	77.8%	82.6%	67.2%	63.2%	66.2%
	Moved into State in 2007	169	669	129	798	463	172	635
	Percent of New Cases	11.9%	16.7%	22.2%	17.4%	32.8%	36.8%	33.8%
	Total of New Cases	1,425	3,999	582	4,581	1,410	468	1,878
	Percent of All Cases	13.8%	21.0%	20.3%	20.9%	48.7%	55.8%	50.3%
MFIP or DWP Cash Grants	Mean of All Cases in Column	\$266	\$332	\$369	\$337	\$343	\$493	\$376
MFIP Food Portion	Mean of All Cases in Column	\$232	\$317	\$456	\$261			
Food Support- "Uncle Harry" Cases	Mean of Cases Receiving	\$118	\$110	\$112	\$110	-	-	-
	Percent of Cases in Column Receiving	48.9%	12.6%	3.9%	11.9%			
Food Support - Other Cases	Mean of Cases Receiving	\$214	\$313	\$432	\$328	\$311	\$453	\$343
	Percent of Cases in Column Receiving	1.5%	4.9%	4.6%	4.9%	90.8%	91.4%	90.9%
MFIP Food-Only Assistance	Mean	\$162	\$264	\$372	\$261			
	Count of Cases	675	2,220	546	3,441			
	Percent of All Cases	6.5%	11.7%	19.1%	15.7%			
Income / Working	Count of Cases	843	6,594	1,684	8,278	1,158	476	1,634
	Percent of All Cases	8.1%	34.6%	58.8%	37.8%	40.0%	56.7%	43.8%
December Total Earned Income	Mean of Working Cases	\$803	\$826	\$1,174	\$896	\$874	\$1,215	\$973
	Median	\$612	\$733	\$1,087	\$795	\$756	\$998	\$813
December Budgeted Earnings	Mean of Working Cases		\$446	\$640	\$489	\$551	\$760	\$620
	Median		\$415	\$616	\$448	\$468	\$610	\$502
December Monthly Work Hours	Mean of Working Cases	86.8	91.9	127.9	99.0	89.6	123.1	99.4
	Median	80	89	124	96	85	120	94
Percent of All Cases	1 - 79 Hours	377	2,643	431	3,074	500	144	644
		3.6%	13.9%	15.1%	14.0%	17.3%	17.2%	17.3%
	80 - 119 Hours	175	1,699	312	2,011	254	77	331
		1.7%	8.9%	10.9%	9.2%	8.8%	9.2%	8.9%
	120 - 159 Hours	109	1,260	349	1,609	219	103	322
		1.1%	6.6%	12.2%	7.3%	7.6%	12.3%	8.6%
	160 Hours or More	152	896	571	1,467	168	147	315
		1.5%	4.7%	20.0%	6.7%	5.8%	17.5%	8.4%
Wages Reported for 2nd Quarter 2007 for Jobs Covered by Unemployment Insurance	Mean of Cases with UI Wages	\$7,444	\$2,765	\$3,175	\$2,835	\$4,425	\$5,676	\$4,732
	Median	\$6,165	\$2,034	\$2,696	\$2,144	\$3,776	\$5,312	\$4,115
	Count of Cases	3,547	9,058	1,852	10,910	1,622	527	2,149
	Percent of All Cases	34.3%	47.6%	64.7%	49.8%	56.0%	62.8%	57.6%

Table 4 – Page 4

Economic Characteristics of Cases		Child-only MFIP Cases	MFIP Cases with Eligible Caregivers			DWP Cases		
			One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	All DWP Cases
Employment Services Sanctions	10 % ES Sanction		366	72	438			
	30 % ES Sanction		982	177	1,159			
	All Cases with ES Sanctions		1,348	249	1,597			
	Percent of All Cases		7.1%	8.7%	7.3%			
	Six Counted Sanction Months		1	169	38			
	Percent of All Cases	0.0%	0.9%	1.3%	0.9%			
Housing Subsidy	Mean Subsidy Amount > \$0	\$628	\$668	\$642	\$665			
	Count of Cases	2,996	6,054	651	6,705			
	Percent of All Cases	28.9%	31.8%	22.7%	30.6%			
Cases with Adult and/or Child Receiving SSI	Count of Cases	4,890	2,115	185	2,300	129	29	158
	Percent of Cases	47.2%	11.1%	6.5%	10.5%	4.5%	3.5%	4.2%
Deductions to MFIP Grant	SSI (Maximum \$125 per person)	4,540	2,048	180	2,228			
	Percent of All Cases	43.9%	10.8%	6.3%	10.2%			
	Housing (Maximum \$50 per case)	57	3,771	494	4,265			
	Percent of All Cases	0.6%	19.8%	17.3%	19.5%			
	Either SSI or Housing Deductions > \$0	4,593	5,621	653	6,274			
	Percent of All Cases	44.4%	29.5%	22.8%	28.6%			
	Mean Deduction > \$0	\$119	\$78	\$72	\$78			
Family Cap	Count of Cases with One Child	510	2,063	534	2,597			
	Two Children	76	389	122	511			
	Three Children	4	30	11	41			
	Percentage of Cases	5.7%	13.0%	23.3%	14.4%			
Child Support Disbursements: Average of Payments > \$0	Current Mean	\$193	\$218	\$202	\$217	\$302	\$225	\$294
	Median	\$166	\$192	\$194	\$192	\$272	\$193	\$264
	Count	1,195	2,359	116	2,475	477	53	530
	Percent of Cases	11.5%	12.4%	4.1%	11.3%	16.5%	6.3%	14.2%
	Sum	\$231,218	\$513,496	\$23,404	\$536,899	\$144,151	\$11,902	\$156,052
	Arrears Mean	\$163	\$126	\$228	\$131	\$164	\$117	\$159
	Median	\$79	\$64	\$147	\$66	\$93	\$75	\$91
	Count	1,000	1,821	85	1,906	282	31	313
	Percent of Cases	9.7%	9.6%	3.0%	8.7%	9.7%	3.7%	8.4%
	Sum	\$162,554	\$230,082	\$19,392	\$249,474	\$46,118	\$3,612	\$49,730
	Total Mean	\$256	\$257	\$304	\$260	\$371	\$286	\$359
	Median	\$186	\$200	\$239	\$202	\$321	\$210	\$309
	Count	1,541	2,888	141	3,029	513	60	573
	Percent of Cases	14.9%	15.2%	4.9%	13.8%	17.7%	7.2%	15.3%
	Sum	\$393,772	\$743,577	\$42,796	\$786,373	\$190,269	\$15,513	\$205,782

Data Definitions and Policy Information: Table 4

Months of Welfare Use. Counted MFIP months were defined as the maximum number of months of counted eligibility for TANF and/or MFIP between September 1996 and December 2007 of any eligible adult on the case. This includes Minnesota paid and federally paid months in Minnesota and federally paid months in other states, but excludes months exempt or excepted from the time limit.

Previous reports included total family assistance months that included months on AFDC, Family General Assistance (FGA), and other MFIP non-counted months. These data were dropped in the 2006 report because these measures no longer provide a picture of total time on family cash assistance pre- and post-AFDC as the nine-year window for reporting family cash assistance month no longer included time on AFDC or FGA. The difference between total family cash assistance months and MFIP counted months should now only be cases that are exempt or excepted from the time limit, child-only cases, food-only cases, and cases in extension. These data are reported in Table 4.

DWP is a four-month program and its months do not count toward the MFIP lifetime limit. A case is eligible for DWP if the applicant has not received MFIP in the previous twelve months and is deemed likely to benefit from intensive employment services. An applicant is ineligible for DWP if he or she has 60 MFIP counted months.

A MFIP case can be extended beyond the 60-month lifetime limit for a certain documented criteria that affect the caregiver's ability to obtain or retain employment. Extensions may be granted for cases that have an ill or incapacitated caregiver, a family member or caregiver that meets special medical criteria, a caregiver with an IQ score below 80, a caregiver that is needed in the home to care for an ill or incapacitated family member, a single parent working at least 30 hours or two parents working a total of 55 hours, and other reasons. A case sanctioned for non-compliance with Employment Services (ES) or child support requirements in month 60 can never be extended.

Cases can receive an exemption from the time limit for special medical criteria. Counted months before the time limit of 60 months was reached in which special medical criteria were present can be "banked" and used to extend eligibility beyond 60 months after the time limit has been reached. The following cases are excepted from the time limit (neither counted nor banked): living on a reservation with a not-employed rate of at least 50 percent, family violence cases cooperating with an alternative employment plan, caregivers aged 60 or older, a minor parent, or an 18 or 19-year old parent complying with an education plan.

New welfare cases in 2007 were December 2007 cases active in a family cash assistance program in Minnesota for one or more months in 2007 but active zero months in 1992 to 2006 (the period covered by the state administrative database). Cases new to the state had a 2007 state entry date. Minnesota residents were either lifelong residents or had moved to the state before 2007. This definition is consistent with definitions in the December 1999 through December 2006 reports.

Cash and Food Grant Amounts. MFIP includes a cash grant and a food portion that can only be spent on food. As families work their way toward leaving assistance, their cash grant is decreased first so that some families only receive a food portion. A family can opt out of the cash portion, receiving only the food portion, and those months do not count toward their lifetime limit. If a household member is not eligible for MFIP, that person may be eligible to receive Food Support, Minnesota's Food Stamps program. These cases are referred to as "Uncle Harry" cases in Minnesota. DWP provides only a cash grant, but families are categorically eligible to receive Food Support as well. MFIP cases that received Food Support that were not an Uncle Harry case were most often "expedited" cases, which meant that the household met the criteria for immediate Food Support assistance but their MFIP cases was not opened until later in the month.

The means for MFIP and DWP cash grants and MFIP food portions were calculated using the grant amounts for all cases, including zero cash portion for some MFIP cases. The means for all other Food Support amounts include only cases with benefit amounts greater than zero.

Income, Earnings, and Work Hours. Total income was either actual or projected December 2007 income of eligible adults and other adults whose earnings were deemed for the case. The amount used was either

verified earnings for December 2007 or zero for cases still active in February 2008 with no income reported for December 2007 (required to be reported by February 2008) or prospective December 2007 income for new cases with retrospective data missing and for all migrant cases. Total income was gross income except for the self-employed where it was gross less expenses, with a minimum of zero. Mean total income excluded cases with no verified or expected income from eligible or deeming adults in December 2007.

The work hours reported for eligible and other deeming adults with earned income are defined in the same manner as earned income. The hours are totals for the case. The percentages of all cases with hours reported are slightly smaller than the percentages reported working because of hours that were not entered into the administrative database. Mean hours only included cases with any reported hours.

Budgeted earnings are total earnings with certain kinds and percentages of earnings subtracted to yield an amount that is then deducted from the MFIP grant level for work status and family size to determine the size of the MFIP grant paid. The budgeted earnings used to calculate the December 2007 grants were based on verified income earned in October 2007 or, for new cases and migrants, prospective earnings for December 2007. Mean budgeted earnings in the table were computed for cases which had budgeted earnings greater than zero.

Employers covered by the Unemployment Insurance (UI) system, which excludes federal government, religious, seasonal, and temporary workers, must report wages to the state. The table provides second quarter 2007 wages reported for participants' jobs covered by UI in Minnesota as a measure of recent work history and earnings. The second quarter predates DWP application (the earliest any of these DWP cases started was September), so for many or most DWP cases the second quarter was before the occurrence of whatever caused them to apply, often the loss of a job. They had either never been on MFIP or had been off for at least one year before starting DWP. Some MFIP participants were on DWP in the second quarter; many were on MFIP. Therefore, it is not surprising that there were differences between average incomes of participants when making program comparisons.

Sanctions, Deductions, and Child Support. MFIP cases not complying with Employment Services (ES) requirements can be sanctioned with a reduction of the grant amount. For one eligible adult not complying in 2007, the first sanction was 10 percent and for two eligible adults not complying the first sanction was 30 percent. If not resolved, the sanction is increased the next month to 30 percent. In July 2003, the Minnesota Legislature instituted a policy that after the sixth occurrence of non-compliance a case may face closure, or 100 percent sanction. Table 4 reports the number of cases with 10 percent and 30 percent sanctions in December 2007 and the number of cases with six unresolved sanction months in December 2007.

This is the final report that will include the SSI deduction to the MFIP grant that ended February 1, 2008. In July 2003, the Minnesota Legislature mandated deductions from MFIP grants for cases including a family member who receives SSI (\$125 maximum deduction per SSI-eligible person) and cases with housing subsidies (\$50 maximum deduction per case).

Also in July 2003, the Minnesota Legislature instituted the family cap, which does not allow a cash grant increase for cases with children conceived when the family was receiving MFIP. Any births after 10 consecutive months of eligibility did not result in a grant increase as they would have previously.

Child support disbursements were paid to families receiving MFIP or DWP in December 2007 from support payments on behalf of children on the cases. This included both current payments and payments made for arrears on past months. All current support was passed through to custodial families and counted dollar-for-dollar against the grants.

County and Regional Data

The following section presents data by county and geographic region. Each table, except for poverty rate data in Table 6, is drawn from the statewide tables. Please refer to the Data Definitions and Policy Information section for each statewide table for notes crucial to understanding the data. Note that all percentages and means will be less stable and extreme values more common for counties with small caseloads. Unless stated, data are for all counties and geographic regions. Due to the small number of DWP cases, some DWP data are presented by large counties and regions only.

Statewide Table	County and Regional Table	Description
Table 1	Table 5 Table 6	Total number of MFIP and DWP paid cases Count of all MFIP and DWP cases and percentage of state cases with county family poverty rates
Table 2	Table 7 Table 8 Table 9 Table 10	Age, gender, education, and marital status of one-eligible-adult MFIP cases Age, gender, education, and marital status by large counties and regions of one-eligible-adult DWP cases Race/ethnicity distributions and citizenship status of one-eligible-adult MFIP cases Race/ethnicity distributions and citizenship status by large counties and regions of one-eligible-adult DWP cases
Table 3	Table 11 Table 12 Table 13 Table 14	Distribution of family type of MFIP and DWP cases with one eligible adult Number of children and age of youngest child for MFIP cases with one eligible adult Number of children and age of youngest child for DWP cases with one eligible adult Family violence, adult chemical dependency, and adult mental health diagnoses for MFIP and DWP cases with one eligible adult by large counties and region
Table 4	Table 15 Table 16 Table 17 Table 18 Table 19 Table 20 Table 21	Exempted, excepted, and extended cases, MFIP counted months, and new cases and residents for MFIP one-eligible-adult cases MFIP counted months and new cases and residents for DWP one-eligible-adult cases Food-only MFIP cases, budgeted earnings, income, and hours of work for MFIP one-eligible-adult cases Sanctions and child support disbursements for one-eligible-adult MFIP cases Exempted, excepted, and extended cases, MFIP counted months, and new cases and residents for MFIP two-eligible-adult cases Food-only MFIP cases, budgeted earnings, income, and hours of work for MFIP two-eligible-adult cases Sanctions and child support disbursements for two-eligible-adult MFIP cases

Table 5. December 2007 MFIP and DWP Paid Cases by County and Region

County/Region/ State	Child-only MFIP		MFIP Cases with Eligible Adults				DWP Cases with Eligible Adults			
	Count of Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases
Aitkin	23		38	10	48		15	3	18	
		0.2%	79.2%	20.8%	100.0%	0.2%	83.3%	16.7%	100.0%	0.5%
Anoka	489		903	150	1,053		193	73	266	
		4.7%	85.8%	14.2%	100.0%	4.8%	72.6%	27.4%	100.0%	7.1%
Becker	101		127	29	156		17	8	25	
		1.0%	81.4%	18.6%	100.0%	0.7%	68.0%	32.0%	100.0%	0.7%
Beltrami	403		747	185	932		55	10	65	
		3.9%	80.2%	19.8%	100.0%	4.3%	84.6%	15.4%	100.0%	1.7%
Benton	50		91	13	104		9	6	15	
		0.5%	87.5%	12.5%	100.0%	0.5%	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%	0.4%
Big Stone	1		7	1	8		3	1	4	
		0.0%	87.5%	12.5%	100.0%	0.0%	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%	0.1%
Blue Earth	63		146	30	176		31	7	38	
		0.6%	83.0%	17.0%	100.0%	0.8%	81.6%	18.4%	100.0%	1.0%
Brown	14		50	10	60		7	2	9	
		0.1%	83.3%	16.7%	100.0%	0.3%	77.8%	22.2%	100.0%	0.2%
Carlton	59		62	11	73		17	6	23	
		0.6%	84.9%	15.1%	100.0%	0.3%	73.9%	26.1%	100.0%	0.6%
Carver	37		61	9	70		21	7	28	
		0.4%	87.1%	12.9%	100.0%	0.3%	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%	0.8%
Cass	128		215	36	251		26	11	37	
		1.2%	85.7%	14.3%	100.0%	1.1%	70.3%	29.7%	100.0%	1.0%
Chippewa	28		27	3	30		7	2	9	
		0.3%	90.0%	10.0%	100.0%	0.1%	77.8%	22.2%	100.0%	0.2%
Chisago	42		82	16	98		17	14	31	
		0.4%	83.7%	16.3%	100.0%	0.4%	54.8%	45.2%	100.0%	0.8%
Clay	67		173	47	220		28	10	38	
		0.6%	78.6%	21.4%	100.0%	1.0%	73.7%	26.3%	100.0%	1.0%
Clearwater	27		49	8	57		6	3	9	
		0.3%	86.0%	14.0%	100.0%	0.3%	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	0.2%
Cook	3		8	0	8		1	0	1	
		0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%

Table 5 – Page 2

County/Region/ State	Child-only MFIP		MFIP Cases with Eligible Adults				DWP Cases with Eligible Adults			
	Count of Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases
Cottonwood	18		30	2	32		5	4	9	
		0.2%	93.8%	6.3%	100.0%	0.1%	55.6%	44.4%	100.0%	0.2%
Crow Wing	82		182	31	213		40	16	56	
		0.8%	85.4%	14.6%	100.0%	1.0%	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%	1.5%
Dakota	425		749	94	843		166	42	208	
		4.1%	88.8%	11.2%	100.0%	3.8%	79.8%	20.2%	100.0%	5.6%
Dodge	19		30	3	33		5	2	7	
		0.2%	90.9%	9.1%	100.0%	0.2%	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%	0.2%
Douglas	40		55	11	66		5	2	7	
		0.4%	83.3%	16.7%	100.0%	0.3%	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%	0.2%
Faribault	16		24	3	27		5	1	6	
		0.2%	88.9%	11.1%	100.0%	0.1%	83.3%	16.7%	100.0%	0.2%
Fillmore	14		27	6	33		9	2	11	
		0.1%	81.8%	18.2%	100.0%	0.2%	81.8%	18.2%	100.0%	0.3%
Freeborn	63		88	11	99		20	5	25	
		0.6%	88.9%	11.1%	100.0%	0.5%	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%	0.7%
Goodhue	35		109	18	127		26	5	31	
		0.3%	85.8%	14.2%	100.0%	0.6%	83.9%	16.1%	100.0%	0.8%
Grant	8		11	4	15		7	1	8	
		0.1%	73.3%	26.7%	100.0%	0.1%	87.5%	12.5%	100.0%	0.2%
Hennepin	3,279		6,024	660	6,684		680	140	820	
		31.7%	90.1%	9.9%	100.0%	30.5%	82.9%	17.1%	100.0%	22.0%
Houston	13		45	10	55		12	3	15	
		0.1%	81.8%	18.2%	100.0%	0.3%	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%	0.4%
Hubbard	40		48	9	57		12	4	16	
		0.4%	84.2%	15.8%	100.0%	0.3%	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%	0.4%
Isanti	49		91	14	105		28	9	37	
		0.5%	86.7%	13.3%	100.0%	0.5%	75.7%	24.3%	100.0%	1.0%
Itasca	108		152	32	184		30	12	42	
		1.0%	82.6%	17.4%	100.0%	0.8%	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%	1.1%
Jackson	12		14	5	19		6	1	7	
		0.1%	73.7%	26.3%	100.0%	0.1%	85.7%	14.3%	100.0%	0.2%

Table 5 – Page 3

County/Region/ State	Child-only MFIP		MFIP Cases with Eligible Adults				DWP Cases with Eligible Adults			
	Count of Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases
Kanabec	32	0.3%	48	13	61	0.3%	10	5	15	0.4%
Kandiyohi	77	0.7%	150	22	172	0.8%	40	17	57	1.5%
Kittson	6	0.1%	2	1	3	0.0%	2	0	2	0.1%
Koochiching	15	0.1%	28	10	38	0.2%	7	2	9	0.2%
Lac Qui Parle	3	0.0%	3	2	5	0.0%	0	0	0	0.0%
Lake	8	0.1%	15	6	21	0.1%	3	2	5	0.1%
Lake of Woods	2	0.0%	7	3	10	0.0%	1	0	1	0.0%
Le Sueur	17	0.2%	43	10	53	0.2%	14	7	21	0.6%
Lincoln	6	0.1%	6	0	6	0.0%	1	1	2	0.1%
Lyon	36	0.3%	59	11	70	0.3%	9	4	13	0.3%
McLeod	35	0.3%	65	7	72	0.3%	19	10	29	0.8%
Mahnomen	63	0.6%	88	20	108	0.5%	7	5	12	0.3%
Marshall	7	0.1%	11	4	15	0.1%	4	0	4	0.1%
Martin	24	0.2%	38	7	45	0.2%	10	2	12	0.3%
Meeker	26	0.3%	30	9	39	0.2%	6	2	8	0.2%
Mille Lacs	59	0.6%	61	10	71	0.3%	21	6	27	0.7%

Table 5 – Page 4

County/Region/ State	Child-only MFIP		MFIP Cases with Eligible Adults				DWP Cases with Eligible Adults			
	Count of Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases
Morrison	38	0.4%	53	12	65	0.3%	8	5	13	0.3%
Mower	87	0.8%	101	13	114	0.5%	30	8	38	1.0%
Murray	5	0.0%	5	2	7	0.0%	0	0	0	0.0%
Nicollet	31	0.3%	87	13	100	0.5%	19	6	25	0.7%
Nobles	48	0.5%	70	7	77	0.4%	17	5	22	0.6%
Norman	11	0.1%	12	4	16	0.1%	6	1	7	0.2%
Olmsted	189	1.8%	343	39	382	1.7%	120	26	146	3.9%
Otter Tail	49	0.5%	93	16	109	0.5%	27	7	34	0.9%
Pennington	20	0.2%	32	1	33	0.2%	10	1	11	0.3%
Pine	53	0.5%	81	22	103	0.5%	28	11	39	1.0%
Pipestone	11	0.1%	13	2	15	0.1%	7	3	10	0.3%
Polk	50	0.5%	102	19	121	0.6%	15	6	21	0.6%
Pope	13	0.1%	15	2	17	0.1%	5	1	6	0.2%
Ramsey	2,124	20.5%	4,451	717	5,168	23.6%	421	127	548	14.7%
Red Lake	2	0.0%	9	3	12	0.1%	5	1	6	0.2%
Redwood	23	0.2%	27	4	31	0.1%	13	6	19	0.5%

Table 5 – Page 5

County/Region/ State	Child-only MFIP		MFIP Cases with Eligible Adults				DWP Cases with Eligible Adults			
	Count of Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases
Renville	27	0.3%	37	9	46	0.2%	6	2	8	0.2%
Rice	78	0.8%	116	17	133	0.6%	27	8	35	0.9%
Rock	7	0.1%	20	3	23	0.1%	3	2	5	0.1%
Roseau	14	0.1%	13	1	14	0.1%	3	0	3	0.1%
St. Louis	465	4.5%	790	127	917	4.2%	106	50	156	4.2%
Scott	110	1.1%	128	18	146	0.7%	37	17	54	1.4%
Sherburne	81	0.8%	110	18	128	0.6%	30	13	43	1.2%
Sibley	18	0.2%	26	6	32	0.1%	8	1	9	0.2%
Stearns	159	1.5%	369	57	426	1.9%	79	11	90	2.4%
Steele	41	0.4%	70	13	83	0.4%	14	3	17	0.5%
Stevens	3	0.0%	2	2	4	0.0%	0	1	1	0.0%
Swift	10	0.1%	12	0	12	0.1%	5	0	5	0.0%
Todd	37	0.4%	49	15	64	0.3%	15	3	18	0.5%
Traverse	4	0.0%	4	1	5	0.0%	2	1	3	0.1%
Wabasha	20	0.2%	10	6	16	0.1%	11	5	16	0.4%
Wadena	20	0.2%	53	18	71	0.3%	7	7	14	0.4%

Table 5 – Page 6

County/Region/ State	Child-only MFIP		MFIP Cases with Eligible Adults				DWP Cases with Eligible Adults			
	Count of Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases
Waseca	27		37	5	42		10	2	12	
		0.3%	88.1%	11.9%	100.0%	0.2%	83.3%	16.7%	100.0%	0.3%
Washington	153		351	36	387		66	10	76	
		1.5%	90.7%	9.3%	100.0%	1.8%	86.8%	13.2%	100.0%	2.0%
Watonwan	11		18	1	19		5	0	5	
		0.1%	94.7%	5.3%	100.0%	0.1%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.1%
Wilkin	6		9	1	10		3	0	3	
		0.1%	90.0%	10.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.1%
Winona	61		75	15	90		22	2	24	
		0.6%	83.3%	16.7%	100.0%	0.4%	91.7%	8.3%	100.0%	0.6%
Wright	66		131	11	142		30	13	43	
		0.6%	92.3%	7.7%	100.0%	0.6%	69.8%	30.2%	100.0%	1.2%
Yellow Medicine	9		5	0	5		2	1	3	
		0.1%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	0.1%
Northwest	110		181	33	214		45	9	54	
		1.1%	84.6%	15.4%	100.0%	1.0%	83.3%	16.7%	100.0%	1.4%
West Central	1,131		1,980	450	2,430		280	93	373	
		10.9%	81.5%	18.5%	100.0%	11.1%	75.1%	24.9%	100.0%	10.0%
Northeast	681		1,093	196	1,289		179	75	254	
		6.6%	84.8%	15.2%	100.0%	5.9%	70.5%	29.5%	100.0%	6.8%
Central	714		1,264	205	1,469		306	105	411	
		6.9%	86.0%	14.0%	100.0%	6.7%	74.5%	25.5%	100.0%	11.0%
Southwest	217		298	42	340		78	30	108	
		2.1%	87.6%	12.4%	100.0%	1.6%	72.2%	27.8%	100.0%	2.9%
South Central	221		469	85	554		109	28	137	
		2.1%	84.7%	15.3%	100.0%	2.5%	79.6%	20.4%	100.0%	3.7%
Southeast	620		1,014	151	1,165		296	69	365	
		6.0%	87.0%	13.0%	100.0%	5.3%	81.1%	18.9%	100.0%	9.8%
Metro Suburban	1,256		2,274	323	2,597		500	163	663	
		12.1%	87.6%	12.4%	100.0%	11.9%	75.4%	24.6%	100.0%	17.8%
Core Metro	5,403		10,475	1,377	11,852		1,101	267	1,368	
		52.2%	88.4%	11.6%	100.0%	54.1%	80.5%	19.5%	100.0%	36.6%
Minnesota	10,353		19,048	2,862	21,910		2,894	839	3,733	
		100.0%	86.9%	13.1%	100.0%	100.0%	77.5%	22.5%	100.0%	100.0%

Table 6. December 2007 MFIP and DWP Paid Cases Compared with Census Data on Poverty by County

County	Count of MFIP Cases (Child-only and Cases with Eligible Adults)	December 2007 Percent of State MFIP Cases	Count of DWP Cases	December 2007 Percent of State DWP Cases	Count of Total MFIP and DWP Cases	December 2007 Percent of State MFIP and DWP Cases	2003 Percent of State Family Households with Children <18 below Poverty Level	2003 Poverty Rate of Family Households with Children <18
Aitkin	71	0.2%	18	0.5%	89	0.2%	0.4%	17.3%
Anoka	1,542	4.8%	266	7.1%	1,808	5.0%	4.3%	6.3%
Becker	257	0.8%	25	0.7%	282	0.8%	1.0%	16.4%
Beltrami	1,335	4.1%	65	1.7%	1,400	3.9%	1.8%	21.5%
Benton	154	0.5%	15	0.4%	169	0.5%	0.7%	8.7%
Big Stone	9	0.0%	4	0.1%	13	0.0%	0.1%	12.7%
Blue Earth	239	0.7%	38	1.0%	277	0.8%	1.0%	10.9%
Brown	74	0.2%	9	0.2%	83	0.2%	0.4%	8.5%
Carlton	132	0.4%	23	0.6%	155	0.4%	0.6%	10.1%
Carver	107	0.3%	28	0.8%	135	0.4%	0.8%	4.3%
Cass	379	1.2%	37	1.0%	416	1.2%	0.9%	18.7%
Chippewa	58	0.2%	9	0.2%	67	0.2%	0.3%	11.8%
Chisago	140	0.4%	31	0.8%	171	0.5%	0.7%	7.0%
Clay	287	0.9%	38	1.0%	325	0.9%	1.1%	11.9%
Clearwater	84	0.3%	9	0.2%	93	0.3%	0.3%	18.5%
Cook	11	0.0%	1	0.0%	12	0.0%	0.1%	9.2%
Cottonwood	50	0.2%	9	0.2%	59	0.2%	0.3%	13.6%
Crow Wing	295	0.9%	56	1.5%	351	1.0%	1.3%	12.3%
Dakota	1,268	3.9%	208	5.6%	1,476	4.1%	5.0%	6.0%
Dodge	52	0.2%	7	0.2%	59	0.2%	0.3%	6.4%
Douglas	106	0.3%	14	0.4%	120	0.3%	0.6%	10.1%
Faribault	43	0.1%	6	0.2%	49	0.1%	0.3%	12.1%
Fillmore	47	0.1%	11	0.3%	58	0.2%	0.4%	11.2%
Freeborn	162	0.5%	25	0.7%	187	0.5%	0.6%	11.8%
Goodhue	162	0.5%	31	0.8%	193	0.5%	0.7%	7.9%
Grant	23	0.1%	8	0.2%	31	0.1%	0.1%	11.9%
Hennepin	9,963	30.9%	820	22.0%	10,783	30.0%	25.3%	12.1%
Houston	68	0.2%	15	0.4%	83	0.2%	0.3%	8.5%
Hubbard	97	0.3%	16	0.4%	113	0.3%	0.5%	15.7%
Isanti	154	0.5%	37	1.0%	191	0.5%	0.5%	7.8%

Table 6 – Page 2

County	Count of MFIP Cases (Child-only and Cases with Eligible Adults)	December 2007 Percent of State MFIP Cases	Count of DWP Cases	December 2007 Percent of State DWP Cases	Count of Total MFIP and DWP Cases	December 2007 Percent of State MFIP and DWP Cases	2003 Percent of State Family Households with Children <18 below Poverty Level	2003 Poverty Rate of Family Households with Children <18
Itasca	292	0.9%	42	1.1%	334	0.9%	1.1%	14.5%
Jackson	31	0.1%	7	0.2%	38	0.1%	0.2%	10.7%
Kanabec	93	0.3%	15	0.4%	108	0.3%	0.4%	12.7%
Kandiyohi	249	0.8%	57	1.5%	306	0.9%	1.0%	13.0%
Kittson	9	0.0%	2	0.1%	11	0.0%	0.1%	10.0%
Koochiching	53	0.2%	9	0.2%	62	0.2%	0.3%	14.9%
Lac Qui Parle	8	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	0.0%	0.1%	10.0%
Lake	29	0.1%	5	0.1%	34	0.1%	0.2%	11.1%
Lake of the Woods	12	0.0%	1	0.0%	13	0.0%	0.1%	10.5%
Le Sueur	70	0.2%	21	0.6%	91	0.3%	0.4%	8.1%
Lincoln	12	0.0%	2	0.1%	14	0.0%	0.1%	10.1%
Lyon	106	0.3%	13	0.3%	119	0.3%	0.5%	10.5%
McLeod	107	0.3%	29	0.8%	136	0.4%	0.6%	8.1%
Mahnomen	171	0.5%	12	0.3%	183	0.5%	0.3%	23.3%
Marshall	22	0.1%	4	0.1%	26	0.1%	0.2%	11.7%
Martin	69	0.2%	12	0.3%	81	0.2%	0.5%	14.0%
Meeker	65	0.2%	8	0.2%	73	0.2%	0.4%	10.1%
Mille Lacs	130	0.4%	27	0.7%	157	0.4%	0.6%	11.9%
Morrison	103	0.3%	13	0.3%	116	0.3%	0.8%	12.1%
Mower	201	0.6%	38	1.0%	239	0.7%	0.9%	12.3%
Murray	12	0.0%	0	0.0%	12	0.0%	0.1%	9.3%
Nicollet	131	0.4%	25	0.7%	156	0.4%	0.4%	8.2%
Nobles	125	0.4%	22	0.6%	147	0.4%	0.5%	13.0%
Norman	27	0.1%	7	0.2%	34	0.1%	0.2%	13.6%
Olmsted	571	1.8%	146	3.9%	717	2.0%	2.3%	8.4%
Otter Tail	158	0.5%	34	0.9%	192	0.5%	1.3%	12.9%
Pennington	53	0.2%	11	0.3%	64	0.2%	0.3%	12.2%
Pine	156	0.5%	39	1.0%	195	0.5%	0.8%	15.5%
Pipestone	26	0.1%	10	0.3%	36	0.1%	0.2%	11.3%
Polk	171	0.5%	21	0.6%	192	0.5%	0.8%	14.5%

Table 6 – Page 3

County	Count of MFIP Cases (Child-only and Cases with Eligible Adults)	December 2007 Percent of State MFIP Cases	Count of DWP Cases	December 2007 Percent of State DWP Cases	Count of Total MFIP and DWP Cases	December 2007 Percent of State MFIP and DWP Cases	2003 Percent of State Family Households with Children <18 below Poverty Level	2003 Poverty Rate of Family Households with Children <18
Pope	30	0.1%	6	0.2%	36	0.1%	0.2%	10.8%
Ramsey	7,292	22.6%	548	14.7%	7,840	21.8%	14.3%	14.5%
Red Lake	14	0.0%	6	0.2%	20	0.1%	0.1%	12.1%
Redwood	54	0.2%	19	0.5%	73	0.2%	0.4%	11.4%
Renville	73	0.2%	8	0.2%	81	0.2%	0.4%	12.5%
Rice	211	0.7%	35	0.9%	246	0.7%	0.9%	8.0%
Rock	30	0.1%	5	0.1%	35	0.1%	0.2%	9.6%
Roseau	28	0.1%	3	0.1%	31	0.1%	0.3%	7.4%
St. Louis	1,382	4.3%	156	4.2%	1,538	4.3%	4.2%	13.4%
Scott	256	0.8%	54	1.4%	310	0.9%	1.1%	4.3%
Sherburne	209	0.6%	43	1.2%	252	0.7%	0.9%	5.4%
Sibley	50	0.2%	9	0.2%	59	0.2%	0.3%	10.7%
Stearns	585	1.8%	90	2.4%	675	1.9%	2.3%	8.8%
Steele	124	0.4%	17	0.5%	141	0.4%	0.6%	8.5%
Stevens	7	0.0%	1	0.0%	8	0.0%	0.1%	8.2%
Swift	22	0.1%	5	0.1%	27	0.1%	0.2%	11.2%
Todd	101	0.3%	18	0.5%	119	0.3%	0.7%	15.6%
Traverse	9	0.0%	3	0.1%	12	0.0%	0.1%	14.0%
Wabasha	36	0.1%	16	0.4%	52	0.1%	0.3%	7.7%
Wadena	91	0.3%	14	0.4%	105	0.3%	0.4%	16.5%
Waseca	69	0.2%	12	0.3%	81	0.2%	0.4%	10.4%
Washington	540	1.7%	76	2.0%	616	1.7%	2.5%	5.4%
Watsonwan	30	0.1%	5	0.1%	35	0.1%	0.3%	11.7%
Wilkin	16	0.0%	3	0.1%	19	0.1%	0.1%	10.4%
Winona	151	0.5%	24	0.6%	175	0.5%	0.9%	11.3%
Wright	208	0.6%	43	1.2%	251	0.7%	1.4%	6.0%
Yellow Medicine	14	0.0%	3	0.1%	17	0.0%	0.2%	10.4%
Minnesota	32,263	100.0%	3,733	100.0%	35,996	100.0%	100.0%	10.2%

Table 7. Demographics of Eligible Adults on December 2007 Paid MFIP Cases with One Eligible Adult: Age, Gender, Education, and Marital Status by County and Region

County/Region/ State	Teens	20's	30 or Older	Female	< HS	HS or GED	> HS	Married	Never Married	Others
Aitkin	2	18	18	35	12	25	1	1	23	14
	14.3%	128.6%	128.6%	250.0%	31.6%	65.8%	2.6%	2.6%	60.5%	36.8%
Anoka	98	412	393	806	321	492	90	47	593	263
	10.9%	45.6%	43.5%	89.3%	35.5%	54.5%	10.0%	5.2%	65.7%	29.1%
Becker	19	70	38	117	53	68	6	7	94	26
	15.0%	55.1%	29.9%	92.1%	41.7%	53.5%	4.7%	5.5%	74.0%	20.5%
Beltrami	107	338	302	642	375	344	28	16	610	121
	14.3%	45.2%	40.4%	85.9%	50.2%	46.1%	3.7%	2.1%	81.7%	16.2%
Benton	11	55	25	80	28	49	14	2	64	25
	12.1%	60.4%	27.5%	87.9%	30.8%	53.8%	15.4%	2.2%	70.3%	27.5%
Big Stone	0	3	4	6	2	4	1	0	4	3
	0.0%	42.9%	57.1%	85.7%	28.6%	57.1%	14.3%	0.0%	57.1%	42.9%
Blue Earth	16	70	60	140	50	78	18	9	91	46
	11.0%	47.9%	41.1%	95.9%	34.2%	53.4%	12.3%	6.2%	62.3%	31.5%
Brown	3	29	18	47	13	31	6	2	30	18
	6.0%	58.0%	36.0%	94.0%	26.0%	62.0%	12.0%	4.0%	60.0%	36.0%
Carlton	6	32	24	58	17	42	3	3	39	20
	9.7%	51.6%	38.7%	93.5%	27.4%	67.7%	4.8%	4.8%	62.9%	32.3%
Carver	5	26	30	57	19	38	4	1	35	25
	8.2%	42.6%	49.2%	93.4%	31.1%	62.3%	6.6%	1.6%	57.4%	41.0%
Cass	28	86	101	180	82	116	17	6	158	51
	13.0%	40.0%	47.0%	83.7%	38.1%	54.0%	7.9%	2.8%	73.5%	23.7%
Chippewa	5	14	8	22	9	16	2	1	15	11
	18.5%	51.9%	29.6%	81.5%	33.3%	59.3%	7.4%	3.7%	55.6%	40.7%
Chisago	10	43	29	76	26	51	5	2	58	22
	12.2%	52.4%	35.4%	92.7%	31.7%	62.2%	6.1%	2.4%	70.7%	26.8%
Clay	29	79	65	158	70	90	13	8	120	45
	16.8%	45.7%	37.6%	91.3%	40.5%	52.0%	7.5%	4.6%	69.4%	26.0%
Clearwater	9	24	16	43	25	22	2	2	37	10
	18.4%	49.0%	32.7%	87.8%	51.0%	44.9%	4.1%	4.1%	75.5%	20.4%

Table 7 – Page 2

County/Region/ State	Teens	20's	30 or Older	Female	< HS	HS or GED	> HS	Married	Never Married	Others
Cook	1	5	2	7	6	2	0	0	6	2
	12.5%	62.5%	25.0%	87.5%	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	75.0%	25.0%
Cottonwood	4	15	11	30	10	18	2	1	17	12
	13.3%	50.0%	36.7%	100.0%	33.3%	60.0%	6.7%	3.3%	56.7%	40.0%
Crow Wing	23	87	72	170	56	110	16	6	111	65
	12.6%	47.8%	39.6%	93.4%	30.8%	60.4%	8.8%	3.3%	61.0%	35.7%
Dakota	97	366	286	708	263	390	96	21	556	172
	13.0%	48.9%	38.2%	94.5%	35.1%	52.1%	12.8%	2.8%	74.2%	23.0%
Dodge	6	14	10	25	10	18	2	1	19	10
	20.0%	46.7%	33.3%	83.3%	33.3%	60.0%	6.7%	3.3%	63.3%	33.3%
Douglas	2	28	25	49	13	28	14	2	32	21
	3.6%	50.9%	45.5%	89.1%	23.6%	50.9%	25.5%	3.6%	58.2%	38.2%
Faribault	2	18	4	22	8	12	4	3	16	5
	8.3%	75.0%	16.7%	91.7%	33.3%	50.0%	16.7%	12.5%	66.7%	20.8%
Fillmore	1	17	9	25	4	20	3	1	17	9
	3.7%	63.0%	33.3%	92.6%	14.8%	74.1%	11.1%	3.7%	63.0%	33.3%
Freeborn	17	39	32	83	38	45	5	4	63	21
	19.3%	44.3%	36.4%	94.3%	43.2%	51.1%	5.7%	4.5%	71.6%	23.9%
Goodhue	10	56	43	106	26	72	11	1	75	33
	9.2%	51.4%	39.4%	97.2%	23.9%	66.1%	10.1%	0.9%	68.8%	30.3%
Grant	1	7	3	10	1	10	0	0	10	1
	5.6%	38.9%	16.7%	55.6%	9.1%	90.9%	0.0%	0.0%	90.9%	9.1%
Hennepin	701	2833	2490	5,551	2,718	2,891	415	225	4,560	1,239
	11.6%	47.0%	41.3%	92.1%	45.1%	48.0%	6.9%	3.7%	75.7%	20.6%
Houston	7	18	20	43	11	30	4	3	30	12
	15.6%	40.0%	44.4%	95.6%	24.4%	66.7%	8.9%	6.7%	66.7%	26.7%
Hubbard	5	23	20	44	20	26	2	1	30	17
	10.4%	47.9%	41.7%	91.7%	41.7%	54.2%	4.2%	2.1%	62.5%	35.4%
Isanti	8	43	40	85	23	61	7	2	63	26
	8.8%	47.3%	44.0%	93.4%	25.3%	67.0%	7.7%	2.2%	69.2%	28.6%

Table 7 – Page 3

County/Region/ State	Teens	20's	30 or Older	Female	< HS	HS or GED	> HS	Married	Never Married	Others
Itasca	14	76	62	128	38	98	16	5	90	57
	7.7%	41.8%	34.1%	70.3%	25.0%	64.5%	10.5%	3.3%	59.2%	37.5%
Jackson	2	10	2	13	4	10	0	1	8	5
	14.3%	71.4%	14.3%	92.9%	28.6%	71.4%	0.0%	7.1%	57.1%	35.7%
Kanabec	10	22	16	46	17	29	2	1	30	17
	17.2%	37.9%	27.6%	79.3%	35.4%	60.4%	4.2%	2.1%	62.5%	35.4%
Kandiyohi	30	69	51	137	84	57	9	5	84	61
	20.0%	46.0%	34.0%	91.3%	56.0%	38.0%	6.0%	3.3%	56.0%	40.7%
Kittson	0	0	2	1	1	1	0	1	1	0
	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Koochiching	4	12	12	23	8	19	1	3	16	9
	14.3%	42.9%	42.9%	82.1%	28.6%	67.9%	3.6%	10.7%	57.1%	32.1%
Lac Qui Parle	0	1	2	3	1	1	1	1	1	1
	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%
Lake	1	7	7	13	3	10	2	1	7	7
	6.7%	46.7%	46.7%	86.7%	20.0%	66.7%	13.3%	6.7%	46.7%	46.7%
Lake of Woods	0	2	5	4	0	6	1	1	2	4
	0.0%	28.6%	71.4%	57.1%	0.0%	85.7%	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	57.1%
Le Sueur	2	22	19	39	12	26	5	1	24	18
	4.7%	51.2%	44.2%	90.7%	27.9%	60.5%	11.6%	2.3%	55.8%	41.9%
Lincoln	0	2	4	4	0	6	0	2	2	2
	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	66.7%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%
Lyon	6	27	26	55	22	28	9	5	29	25
	10.2%	45.8%	44.1%	93.2%	37.3%	47.5%	15.3%	8.5%	49.2%	42.4%
McLeod	13	30	22	60	19	38	8	5	42	18
	20.0%	46.2%	33.8%	92.3%	29.2%	58.5%	12.3%	7.7%	64.6%	27.7%
Mahnomen	9	41	38	75	27	57	4	3	62	23
	10.2%	46.6%	43.2%	85.2%	30.7%	64.8%	4.5%	3.4%	70.5%	26.1%
Marshall	0	5	6	11	1	8	2	2	2	7
	0.0%	45.5%	54.5%	100.0%	9.1%	72.7%	18.2%	18.2%	18.2%	63.6%

Table 7 – Page 4

County/Region/ State	Teens	20's	30 or Older	Female	< HS	HS or GED	> HS	Married	Never Married	Others
Martin	9	21	8	36	16	19	3	1	29	8
	23.7%	55.3%	21.1%	94.7%	42.1%	50.0%	7.9%	2.6%	76.3%	21.1%
Meeker	4	17	9	26	7	21	2	1	22	7
	13.3%	56.7%	30.0%	86.7%	23.3%	70.0%	6.7%	3.3%	73.3%	23.3%
Mille Lacs	7	28	26	56	22	31	8	3	38	20
	11.5%	45.9%	42.6%	91.8%	36.1%	50.8%	13.1%	4.9%	62.3%	32.8%
Morrison	7	26	20	47	19	31	3	4	31	18
	13.2%	49.1%	37.7%	88.7%	35.8%	58.5%	5.7%	7.5%	58.5%	34.0%
Mower	19	54	28	100	38	51	12	3	74	24
	18.8%	53.5%	27.7%	99.0%	37.6%	50.5%	11.9%	3.0%	73.3%	23.8%
Murray	0	1	4	5	0	5	0	0	2	3
	0.0%	20.0%	80.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%	60.0%
Nicollet	4	54	29	84	26	42	19	2	59	26
	4.6%	62.1%	33.3%	96.6%	29.9%	48.3%	21.8%	2.3%	67.8%	29.9%
Nobles	10	30	30	68	39	26	5	7	37	26
	14.3%	42.9%	42.9%	97.1%	55.7%	37.1%	7.1%	10.0%	52.9%	37.1%
Norman	2	6	4	11	4	7	1	0	9	3
	16.7%	50.0%	33.3%	91.7%	33.3%	58.3%	8.3%	0.0%	75.0%	25.0%
Olmsted	49	166	128	322	137	181	25	23	218	102
	14.3%	48.4%	37.3%	93.9%	39.9%	52.8%	7.3%	6.7%	63.6%	29.7%
Otter Tail	11	36	46	85	37	44	12	6	54	33
	11.8%	38.7%	49.5%	91.4%	39.8%	47.3%	12.9%	6.5%	58.1%	35.5%
Pennington	6	16	10	32	11	18	3	0	24	8
	18.8%	50.0%	31.3%	100.0%	34.4%	56.3%	9.4%	0.0%	75.0%	25.0%
Pine	16	42	23	70	27	50	4	2	56	23
	19.8%	51.9%	28.4%	86.4%	33.3%	61.7%	4.9%	2.5%	69.1%	28.4%
Pipestone	1	9	3	13	2	10	1	0	8	5
	7.7%	69.2%	23.1%	100.0%	15.4%	76.9%	7.7%	0.0%	61.5%	38.5%
Polk	18	45	39	89	36	55	11	6	61	35
	17.6%	44.1%	38.2%	87.3%	35.3%	53.9%	10.8%	5.9%	59.8%	34.3%

Table 7 – Page 5

County/Region/ State	Teens	20's	30 or Older	Female	< HS	HS or GED	> HS	Married	Never Married	Others
Pope	0	10	5	14	4	11	0	0	8	7
	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	93.3%	26.7%	73.3%	0.0%	0.0%	53.3%	46.7%
Ramsey	407	1,957	2,087	4,092	2,006	2,076	369	270	3,302	879
	9.1%	44.0%	46.9%	91.9%	45.1%	46.6%	8.3%	6.1%	74.2%	19.7%
Red Lake	2	4	3	9	2	7	0	0	7	2
	22.2%	44.4%	33.3%	100.0%	22.2%	77.8%	0.0%	0.0%	77.8%	22.2%
Redwood	3	14	10	23	12	11	4	3	14	10
	11.1%	51.9%	37.0%	85.2%	44.4%	40.7%	14.8%	11.1%	51.9%	37.0%
Renville	10	14	13	36	17	19	1	1	28	8
	27.0%	37.8%	35.1%	97.3%	45.9%	51.4%	2.7%	2.7%	75.7%	21.6%
Rice	15	57	44	108	47	63	6	3	77	36
	12.9%	49.1%	37.9%	93.1%	40.5%	54.3%	5.2%	2.6%	66.4%	31.0%
Rock	3	8	9	18	11	8	1	4	11	5
	15.0%	40.0%	45.0%	90.0%	55.0%	40.0%	5.0%	20.0%	55.0%	25.0%
Roseau	4	4	5	10	4	9	0	0	10	3
	30.8%	30.8%	38.5%	76.9%	30.8%	69.2%	0.0%	0.0%	76.9%	23.1%
St. Louis	73	427	290	712	225	490	75	25	555	210
	9.2%	54.1%	36.7%	90.1%	28.5%	62.0%	9.5%	3.2%	70.3%	26.6%
Scott	19	54	55	122	55	56	17	5	88	35
	14.8%	42.2%	43.0%	95.3%	43.0%	43.8%	13.3%	3.9%	68.8%	27.3%
Sherburne	15	56	39	105	28	66	16	1	70	39
	13.6%	50.9%	35.5%	95.5%	25.5%	60.0%	14.5%	0.9%	63.6%	35.5%
Sibley	10	10	6	25	12	13	1	1	18	7
	38.5%	38.5%	23.1%	96.2%	46.2%	50.0%	3.8%	3.8%	69.2%	26.9%
Stearns	47	177	145	348	140	200	29	20	233	116
	12.7%	48.0%	39.3%	94.3%	37.9%	54.2%	7.9%	5.4%	63.1%	31.4%
Steele	7	33	30	64	30	35	5	1	42	27
	10.0%	47.1%	42.9%	91.4%	42.9%	50.0%	7.1%	1.4%	60.0%	38.6%
Stevens	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	0	0	2
	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%

Table 7 – Page 6

County/Region/ State	Teens	20's	30 or Older	Female	< HS	HS or GED	> HS	Married	Never Married	Others
Swift	1	7	4	9	2	10	0	3	5	4
	8.3%	58.3%	33.3%	75.0%	16.7%	83.3%	0.0%	25.0%	41.7%	33.3%
Todd	5	25	19	47	14	30	5	5	24	20
	10.2%	51.0%	38.8%	95.9%	28.6%	61.2%	10.2%	10.2%	49.0%	40.8%
Traverse	0	4	0	4	1	3	0	0	1	3
	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	25.0%	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	75.0%
Wabasha	2	5	3	10	3	7	0	0	7	3
	20.0%	50.0%	30.0%	100.0%	30.0%	70.0%	0.0%	0.0%	70.0%	30.0%
Wadena	4	21	28	46	12	34	7	4	24	25
	7.5%	39.6%	52.8%	86.8%	22.6%	64.2%	13.2%	7.5%	45.3%	47.2%
Waseca	4	21	12	35	16	20	1	1	22	14
	10.8%	56.8%	32.4%	94.6%	43.2%	54.1%	2.7%	2.7%	59.5%	37.8%
Washington	41	163	147	323	95	213	43	14	236	101
	11.7%	46.4%	41.9%	92.0%	27.1%	60.7%	12.3%	4.0%	67.2%	28.8%
Watonwan	1	7	10	18	6	8	4	1	8	9
	5.6%	38.9%	55.6%	100.0%	33.3%	44.4%	22.2%	5.6%	44.4%	50.0%
Wilkin	0	7	2	8	1	8	0	0	6	3
	0.0%	77.8%	22.2%	88.9%	11.1%	88.9%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%
Winona	12	28	35	66	37	31	7	8	50	17
	16.0%	37.3%	46.7%	88.0%	49.3%	41.3%	9.3%	10.7%	66.7%	22.7%
Wright	28	67	36	125	55	71	5	4	97	30
	21.4%	51.1%	27.5%	95.4%	42.0%	54.2%	3.8%	3.1%	74.0%	22.9%
Yellow Medicine	0	3	2	4	2	3	0	1	2	2
	0.0%	60.0%	40.0%	80.0%	40.0%	60.0%	0.0%	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%

Table 7 – Page 7

County/Region/ State	Teens	20's	30 or Older	Female	< HS	HS or GED	> HS	Married	Never Married	Others
Northwest	32	80	69	163	59	105	17	9	114	58
	17.7%	44.2%	38.1%	90.1%	32.6%	58.0%	9.4%	5.0%	63.0%	32.0%
West Central	259	914	807	1,745	810	1,038	132	71	1,414	495
	11.5%	40.4%	35.7%	77.2%	40.9%	52.4%	6.7%	3.6%	71.4%	25.0%
Northeast	101	577	415	976	309	686	98	38	736	319
	9.2%	52.8%	38.0%	89.3%	28.3%	62.8%	9.0%	3.5%	67.3%	29.2%
Central	199	620	445	1,174	467	692	105	47	827	390
	15.7%	49.1%	35.2%	92.9%	36.9%	54.7%	8.3%	3.7%	65.4%	30.9%
Southwest	35	144	119	273	116	156	26	29	155	114
	11.7%	48.3%	39.9%	91.6%	38.9%	52.3%	8.7%	9.7%	52.0%	38.3%
South Central	51	252	166	446	159	249	61	21	297	151
	10.9%	53.7%	35.4%	95.1%	33.9%	53.1%	13.0%	4.5%	63.3%	32.2%
Southeast	51	252	166	446	381	553	80	48	672	294
	5.0%	24.9%	16.4%	44.0%	37.6%	54.5%	7.9%	4.7%	66.3%	29.0%
Metro Suburban	270	1,064	940	2,092	779	1,240	255	90	1,566	618
	11.9%	46.8%	41.3%	92.0%	34.3%	54.5%	11.2%	4.0%	68.9%	27.2%
Core Metro	1,108	4,790	4,577	9,643	4,724	4,967	784	495	7,862	2,118
	9.6%	41.4%	39.5%	83.3%	45.1%	47.4%	7.5%	4.7%	75.1%	20.2%
Minnesota	2,200	8,928	7,920	17,464	7,804	9,686	1,558	848	13,643	4,557
	11.5%	46.9%	41.6%	91.7%	41.0%	50.9%	8.2%	4.5%	71.6%	23.9%

Table 8. Demographics of Eligible Adults on December 2007 Paid DWP Cases with One Eligible Adult: Age, Gender, Education, and Marital Status by Large County and Regions

County/Region/ State	Teens	20's	30 or Older	Female	< HS	HS or GED	> HS	Married	Never Married	Others
Anoka	39	92	97	172	39	124	30	7	114	72
	20.2%	47.7%	50.3%	89.1%	20.2%	64.2%	15.5%	3.6%	59.1%	37.3%
Beltrami	2	27	26	40	17	35	3	1	36	18
	3.6%	49.1%	47.3%	72.7%	30.9%	63.6%	5.5%	1.8%	65.5%	32.7%
Dakota	3	88	75	149	28	103	35	4	111	51
	1.8%	53.0%	45.2%	89.8%	16.9%	62.0%	21.1%	2.4%	66.9%	30.7%
Hennepin	28	319	333	603	207	387	86	25	471	184
	4.1%	46.9%	49.0%	88.7%	30.4%	56.9%	12.6%	3.7%	69.3%	27.1%
Olmsted	6	60	54	109	34	77	9	3	72	45
	5.0%	50.0%	45.0%	90.8%	28.3%	64.2%	7.5%	2.5%	60.0%	37.5%
Ramsey	21	195	205	375	108	257	56	6	304	111
	5.0%	46.3%	48.7%	89.1%	25.7%	61.0%	13.3%	1.4%	72.2%	26.4%
St. Louis	3	47	56	86	20	70	16	1	72	33
	2.8%	44.3%	52.8%	81.1%	18.9%	66.0%	15.1%	0.9%	67.9%	31.1%
Washington	4	31	31	62	13	42	11	2	40	24
	6.1%	47.0%	47.0%	93.9%	19.7%	63.6%	16.7%	3.0%	60.6%	36.4%
All Other Counties	41	524	522	986	258	684	145	39	561	487
	3.8%	48.2%	48.0%	90.7%	23.7%	62.9%	13.3%	3.6%	51.6%	44.8%
Minnesota	112	1,383	1,399	2,582	724	1,779	391	88	1,781	1,025
	3.9%	47.8%	48.3%	89.2%	25.0%	61.5%	13.5%	3.0%	61.5%	35.4%

Table 8 –Page 2

County/Region/ State	Teens	20's	30 or Older	Female	< HS	HS or GED	> HS	Married	Never Married	Others
Northwest	1	28	16	41	4	37	4	1	28	16
	2.2%	62.2%	35.6%	91.1%	8.9%	82.2%	8.9%	2.2%	62.2%	35.6%
West Central	9	139	132	240	66	177	37	9	156	115
	3.2%	49.6%	47.1%	85.7%	23.6%	63.2%	13.2%	3.2%	55.7%	41.1%
Northeast	5	82	92	150	35	119	25	3	111	65
	2.8%	45.8%	51.4%	83.8%	19.6%	66.5%	14.0%	1.7%	62.0%	36.3%
Central	7	150	149	279	70	196	40	11	149	146
	2.3%	49.0%	48.7%	91.2%	22.9%	64.1%	13.1%	3.6%	48.7%	47.7%
Southwest	7	39	32	74	21	46	11	3	44	31
	9.0%	50.0%	41.0%	94.9%	26.9%	59.0%	14.1%	3.8%	56.4%	39.7%
South Central	9	51	49	99	28	69	12	5	61	43
	8.3%	46.8%	45.0%	90.8%	25.7%	63.3%	11.0%	4.6%	56.0%	39.4%
Southeast	13	138	145	273	85	178	33	9	159	128
	4.4%	46.6%	49.0%	92.2%	28.7%	60.1%	11.1%	3.0%	53.7%	43.2%
Metro Suburban	12	242	246	448	100	313	87	16	298	186
	2.4%	48.4%	49.2%	89.6%	20.0%	62.6%	17.4%	3.2%	59.6%	37.2%
Core Metro	49	514	538	978	315	644	142	31	775	295
	4.5%	46.7%	48.9%	88.8%	28.6%	58.5%	12.9%	2.8%	70.4%	26.8%
Minnesota	112	1,383	1,399	2,582	724	1,779	391	88	1,781	1,025
	3.9%	47.8%	48.3%	89.2%	25.0%	61.5%	13.5%	3.0%	61.5%	35.4%

Table 9. Demographics of Eligible Adults in December 2007 MFIP Cases with One Eligible Adult: Race/Ethnicity and Citizenship by Large County and Region

County/Region/ State	American						Non-US Citizens
	Asian/ Pacific	Black	Hispanic	Indian	White	Multiple	
Anoka	17	272	22	21	539	26	98
	1.9%	30.1%	2.4%	2.3%	59.7%	2.9%	10.9%
Beltrami	0	6	*	614	113	8	0
	0.0%	0.8%		82.2%	15.1%	1.1%	0.0%
Dakota	14	272	68	14	356	22	78
	1.9%	36.3%	9.1%	1.9%	47.5%	2.9%	10.4%
Hennepin	204	4,203	142	341	1,007	84	1,075
	3.4%	69.8%	2.4%	5.7%	16.7%	1.4%	17.8%
Olmsted	11	134	12	5	173	7	75
	3.2%	39.1%	3.5%	1.5%	50.4%	2.0%	21.9%
Ramsey	528	2,390	267	120	1,063	82	670
	11.9%	53.7%	6.0%	2.7%	23.9%	1.8%	15.1%
St. Louis	*	94	12	110	548	21	5
		11.9%	1.5%	13.9%	69.4%	2.7%	0.6%
Washington	15	67	24	8	231	*	23
	4.3%	19.1%	6.8%	2.3%	65.8%		6.6%
All Other Counties	62	471	415	517	3,147	57	280
	1.3%	10.0%	8.8%	11.0%	67.1%	1.2%	6.0%
Northwest	*	*	39	14	125	0	8
			21.5%	7.7%	69.1%	0.0%	4.4%
West Central	12	52	56	976	850	26	33
	0.6%	2.6%	2.8%	49.3%	42.9%	1.3%	1.7%
Northeast	5	97	17	174	773	24	6
	0.5%	8.9%	1.6%	15.9%	70.7%	2.2%	0.5%
Central	8	187	126	35	887	16	108
	0.6%	14.8%	10.0%	2.8%	70.2%	1.3%	8.5%
Southwest	22	33	46	15	174	5	38
	7.4%	11.1%	15.4%	5.0%	58.4%	1.7%	12.8%
South Central	5	63	50	5	343	*	30
	1.1%	13.4%	10.7%	1.1%	73.1%		6.4%
Southeast	19	222	90	19	648	12	120
	1.9%	21.9%	8.9%	1.9%	63.9%	1.2%	11.8%
Metro Suburban	51	661	131	51	1,307	59	216
	2.2%	29.1%	5.8%	2.2%	57.5%	2.6%	9.5%
Core Metro	732	6,593	409	461	2,070	166	1,745
	7.0%	62.9%	3.9%	4.4%	19.8%	1.6%	16.7%
Minnesota	855	7,909	964	1,750	7,177	309	2,304
	4.5%	41.5%	5.1%	9.2%	37.7%	1.6%	12.1%

* For cells with fewer than 5 cases, data have been removed to protect individual identities.

Table 10. Demographics of Eligible Adults in December 2007 DWP Cases with One Eligible Adults: Race/Ethnicity and Citizenship by Large County and Region

County/Region/ State	American						Non-US Citizens
	Asian/ Pacific	Black	Hispanic	Indian	White	Multiple	
Hennepin	26	452	36	21	134	5	132
	3.8%	66.5%	5.3%	3.1%	19.7%	0.7%	19.4%
Ramsey	53	186	34	8	127	5	65
	12.6%	44.2%	8.1%	1.9%	30.2%	1.2%	15.4%
All Other Counties	24	215	134	104	1,286	19	95
	1.3%	12.0%	7.5%	5.8%	71.7%	1.1%	5.3%
Metro Suburban	15	102	33	8	327	12	25
	3.0%	20.4%	6.6%	1.6%	65.4%	2.4%	5.0%
Core Metro	79	638	70	29	261	10	197
	7.2%	57.9%	6.4%	2.6%	23.7%	0.9%	17.9%
All Other Regions	9	113	101	96	959	7	70
	0.7%	8.7%	7.8%	7.4%	74.2%	0.5%	5.4%
Minnesota	103	853	204	133	1,547	29	292
	3.6%	29.5%	7.0%	4.6%	53.5%	1.0%	10.1%

Table 11. Family Types in December 2007 One-eligible-adult MFIP and DWP Cases by County and Region

County/Region/ State	MFIP				DWP			
	Family Type			Two Care- givers	Family Type			Two Care- givers
	Own Children	Pregnant Only	Relative Care		Own Children	Pregnant Only	Relative Care	
Aitkin	35	1	2	3	17	1	0	3
	92.1%	2.6%	5.3%	7.9%	94.4%	5.6%	0.0%	16.7%
Anoka	879	18	6	65	253	12	1	81
	97.3%	2.0%	0.7%	7.2%	95.1%	4.5%	0.4%	30.5%
Becker	120	5	2	8	24	1	0	8
	94.5%	3.9%	1.6%	6.3%	96.0%	4.0%	0.0%	32.0%
Beltrami	688	26	33	29	57	7	1	10
	92.1%	3.5%	4.4%	3.9%	87.7%	10.8%	1.5%	15.4%
Benton	88	3	0	2	11	2	2	8
	96.7%	3.3%	0.0%	2.2%	73.3%	13.3%	13.3%	53.3%
Big Stone	7	0	0	1	3	1	0	1
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%	25.0%
Blue Earth	144	1	1	9	32	4	2	10
	98.6%	0.7%	0.7%	6.2%	84.2%	10.5%	5.3%	26.3%
Brown	48	1	1	2	8	1	0	2
	96.0%	2.0%	2.0%	4.0%	88.9%	11.1%	0.0%	22.2%
Carlton	60	2	0	4	22	1	0	8
	96.8%	3.2%	0.0%	6.5%	95.7%	4.3%	0.0%	34.8%
Carver	59	1	1	4	25	3	0	9
	96.7%	1.6%	1.6%	6.6%	89.3%	10.7%	0.0%	32.1%
Cass	203	6	6	12	36	1	0	12
	94.4%	2.8%	2.8%	5.6%	97.3%	2.7%	0.0%	32.4%
Chippewa	25	1	1	3	7	2	0	2
	92.6%	3.7%	3.7%	11.1%	77.8%	22.2%	0.0%	22.2%
Chisago	76	6	0	3	29	2	0	14
	92.7%	7.3%	0.0%	3.7%	93.5%	6.5%	0.0%	45.2%
Clay	170	2	1	13	38	0	0	10
	98.3%	1.2%	0.6%	7.5%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	26.3%
Clearwater	46	2	1	3	6	3	0	4
	93.9%	4.1%	2.0%	6.1%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	44.4%
Cook	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cottonwood	28	1	1	4	8	1	0	5
	93.3%	3.3%	3.3%	13.3%	88.9%	11.1%	0.0%	55.6%
Crow Wing	169	11	2	9	46	9	1	19
	92.9%	6.0%	1.1%	4.9%	82.1%	16.1%	1.8%	33.9%
Dakota	729	16	4	34	198	10	0	44
	97.3%	2.1%	0.5%	4.5%	95.2%	4.8%	0.0%	21.2%
Dodge	28	2	0	1	7	0	0	2
	93.3%	6.7%	0.0%	3.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	28.6%
Douglas	52	3	0	3	12	1	1	1
	94.5%	5.5%	0.0%	5.5%	85.7%	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%
Faribault	23	1	0	3	6	0	0	1
	95.8%	4.2%	0.0%	12.5%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%
Fillmore	26	1	0	1	11	0	0	2
	96.3%	3.7%	0.0%	3.7%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	18.2%
Freeborn	83	4	1	3	23	2	0	6
	94.3%	4.5%	1.1%	3.4%	92.0%	8.0%	0.0%	24.0%

Table 11 – Page 2

County/Region/ State	MFIP				DWP			
	Family Type			Two Care- givers	Family Type			Two Care- givers
	Own Children	Pregnant Only	Relative Care		Own Children	Pregnant Only	Relative Care	
Goodhue	104	4	1	4	28	3	0	5
	95.4%	3.7%	0.9%	3.7%	90.3%	9.7%	0.0%	16.1%
Grant	10	1	0	0	6	0	2	2
	90.9%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	75.0%	0.0%	25.0%	25.0%
Hennepin	5,776	112	136	337	733	69	18	153
	95.9%	1.9%	2.3%	5.6%	89.4%	8.4%	2.2%	18.7%
Houston	44	1	0	1	15	0	0	3
	97.8%	2.2%	0.0%	2.2%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%
Hubbard	44	3	1	1	15	1	0	4
	91.7%	6.3%	2.1%	2.1%	93.8%	6.3%	0.0%	25.0%
Isanti	86	2	3	4	34	3	0	9
	94.5%	2.2%	3.3%	4.4%	91.9%	8.1%	0.0%	24.3%
Itasca	140	10	2	10	40	2	0	12
	92.1%	6.6%	1.3%	6.6%	95.2%	4.8%	0.0%	28.6%
Jackson	11	2	1	2	7	0	0	1
	78.6%	14.3%	7.1%	14.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%
Kanabec	47	1	0	2	14	0	1	6
	97.9%	2.1%	0.0%	4.2%	93.3%	0.0%	6.7%	40.0%
Kandiyohi	136	9	5	10	54	3	0	18
	90.7%	6.0%	3.3%	6.7%	94.7%	5.3%	0.0%	31.6%
Kittson	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Koochiching	28	0	0	3	7	2	0	2
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.7%	77.8%	22.2%	0.0%	22.2%
Lac Qui Parle	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%				
Lake	15	0	0	1	5	0	0	2
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%
Lake of Woods	7	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Le Sueur	41	0	2	2	20	1	0	7
	95.3%	0.0%	4.7%	4.7%	95.2%	4.8%	0.0%	33.3%
Lincoln	6	0	0	2	2	0	0	1
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%
Lyon	57	1	1	6	13	0	0	5
	96.6%	1.7%	1.7%	10.2%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	38.5%
McLeod	62	3	0	5	27	2	0	10
	95.4%	4.6%	0.0%	7.7%	93.1%	6.9%	0.0%	34.5%
Mahnomen	82	3	3	6	12	0	0	5
	93.2%	3.4%	3.4%	6.8%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	41.7%
Marshall	11	0	0	2	4	0	0	1
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	18.2%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%
Martin	32	6	0	2	10	2	0	2
	84.2%	15.8%	0.0%	5.3%	83.3%	16.7%	0.0%	16.7%
Meeker	28	1	1	3	8	0	0	2
	93.3%	3.3%	3.3%	10.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%
Mille Lacs	58	3	0	2	24	3	0	8
	95.1%	4.9%	0.0%	3.3%	88.9%	11.1%	0.0%	29.6%
Morrison	52	1	0	5	10	2	1	5
	98.1%	1.9%	0.0%	9.4%	76.9%	15.4%	7.7%	38.5%

Table 11 – Page 3

County/Region/ State	MFIP				DWP			
	Family Type			Two Care- givers	Family Type			Two Care- givers
	Own Children	Pregnant Only	Relative Care		Own Children	Pregnant Only	Relative Care	
Mower	96	4	1	5	37	1	0	9
	95.0%	4.0%	1.0%	5.0%	97.4%	2.6%	0.0%	23.7%
Murray	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	80.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%				
Nicollet	85	1	1	5	23	2	0	7
	97.7%	1.1%	1.1%	5.7%	92.0%	8.0%	0.0%	28.0%
Nobles	68	2	0	9	20	2	0	5
	97.1%	2.9%	0.0%	12.9%	90.9%	9.1%	0.0%	22.7%
Norman	12	0	0	0	5	2	0	1
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	71.4%	28.6%	0.0%	14.3%
Olmsted	319	16	8	24	138	6	2	32
	93.0%	4.7%	2.3%	7.0%	94.5%	4.1%	1.4%	21.9%
Otter Tail	85	4	4	7	31	3	0	7
	91.4%	4.3%	4.3%	7.5%	91.2%	8.8%	0.0%	20.6%
Pennington	28	4	0	1	9	2	0	1
	87.5%	12.5%	0.0%	3.1%	81.8%	18.2%	0.0%	9.1%
Pine	78	2	1	6	39	0	0	14
	96.3%	2.5%	1.2%	7.4%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	35.9%
Pipestone	12	0	1	0	10	0	0	4
	92.3%	0.0%	7.7%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%
Polk	97	4	1	8	19	2	0	6
	95.1%	3.9%	1.0%	7.8%	90.5%	9.5%	0.0%	28.6%
Pope	15	0	0	0	6	0	0	2
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%
Ramsey	4,301	83	67	353	486	57	5	136
	96.6%	1.9%	1.5%	7.9%	88.7%	10.4%	0.9%	24.8%
Red Lake	8	1	0	1	6	0	0	1
	88.9%	11.1%	0.0%	11.1%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%
Redwood	25	2	0	4	18	1	0	6
	92.6%	7.4%	0.0%	14.8%	94.7%	5.3%	0.0%	31.6%
Renville	34	3	0	2	8	0	0	3
	91.9%	8.1%	0.0%	5.4%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	37.5%
Rice	110	3	3	5	33	2	0	9
	94.8%	2.6%	2.6%	4.3%	94.3%	5.7%	0.0%	25.7%
Rock	20	0	0	3	5	0	0	2
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%
Roseau	12	1	0	1	3	0	0	0
	92.3%	7.7%	0.0%	7.7%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
St. Louis	759	24	7	43	143	13	0	51
	96.1%	3.0%	0.9%	5.4%	91.7%	8.3%	0.0%	32.7%
Scott	122	5	1	6	52	1	1	17
	95.3%	3.9%	0.8%	4.7%	96.3%	1.9%	1.9%	31.5%
Sherburne	104	5	1	1	43	0	0	14
	94.5%	4.5%	0.9%	0.9%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	32.6%
Sibley	25	1	0	2	9	0	0	2
	96.2%	3.8%	0.0%	7.7%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	22.2%
Stearns	354	10	5	25	74	11	5	16
	95.9%	2.7%	1.4%	6.8%	82.2%	12.2%	5.6%	17.8%
Steele	65	3	2	2	10	6	1	4
	92.9%	4.3%	2.9%	2.9%	58.8%	35.3%	5.9%	23.5%

Table 11 – Page 4

County/Region/ State	MFIP				DWP			
	Family Type			Two Care- givers	Family Type			Two Care- givers
	Own Children	Pregnant Only	Relative Care		Own Children	Pregnant Only	Relative Care	
Stevens	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Swift	12	0	0	4	4	1	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	80.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Todd	48	1	0	5	17	1	0	3
	98.0%	2.0%	0.0%	10.2%	94.4%	5.6%	0.0%	16.7%
Traverse	4	0	0	0	3	0	0	1
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%
Wabasha	10	0	0	0	13	3	0	5
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	81.3%	18.8%	0.0%	31.3%
Wadena	49	2	2	7	13	1	0	7
	92.5%	3.8%	3.8%	13.2%	92.9%	7.1%	0.0%	50.0%
Waseca	36	1	0	3	9	3	0	3
	97.3%	2.7%	0.0%	8.1%	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%	25.0%
Washington	340	5	6	16	71	5	0	11
	96.9%	1.4%	1.7%	4.6%	93.4%	6.6%	0.0%	14.5%
Watonwan	17	1	0	0	5	0	0	0
	94.4%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Wilkin	9	0	0	0	2	1	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Winona	71	2	2	10	22	2	0	2
	94.7%	2.7%	2.7%	13.3%	91.7%	8.3%	0.0%	8.3%
Wright	124	7	0	4	43	0	0	15
	94.7%	5.3%	0.0%	3.1%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	34.9%
Yellow Medicine	5	0	0	1	2	1	0	1
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%
Northwest	170	10	1	14	48	6	0	10
	93.9%	5.5%	0.6%	7.7%	88.9%	11.1%	0.0%	18.5%
West Central	1,855	70	55	109	336	31	6	101
	93.7%	3.5%	2.8%	5.5%	90.1%	8.3%	1.6%	27.1%
Northeast	1,045	37	11	64	235	19	0	78
	95.6%	3.4%	1.0%	5.9%	92.5%	7.5%	0.0%	30.7%
Central	1,199	49	16	66	379	24	8	123
	94.9%	3.9%	1.3%	5.2%	92.2%	5.8%	1.9%	29.9%
Southwest	283	9	6	40	99	9	0	33
	95.0%	3.0%	2.0%	13.4%	91.7%	8.3%	0.0%	30.6%
South Central	451	13	5	28	122	13	2	34
	96.2%	2.8%	1.1%	6.0%	89.1%	9.5%	1.5%	24.8%
Southeast	956	40	18	56	337	25	3	79
	94.3%	3.9%	1.8%	5.5%	92.3%	6.8%	0.8%	21.6%
Metro Suburban	2,205	51	18	128	628	33	2	176
	97.0%	2.2%	0.8%	5.6%	94.7%	5.0%	0.3%	26.5%
Core Metro	10,077	195	203	690	1,219	126	23	289
	96.2%	1.9%	1.9%	6.6%	89.1%	9.2%	1.7%	21.1%
Minnesota	18,241	474	333	1,195	3,403	286	44	923
	95.8%	2.5%	1.7%	6.3%	91.2%	7.7%	1.2%	24.7%

Table 12. All Children in December 2007 One-eligible-adult MFIP Cases by County and Region

County/Region/ State	Number of Children					Age of Youngest Child			
	0	1	2	3	4 or more	< 1 year	1-5	6-12	> 12
Aitkin	1	14	13	7	3	8	24	5	1
	2.6%	36.8%	34.2%	18.4%	7.9%	21.1%	63.2%	13.2%	2.6%
Anoka	24	443	230	123	83	234	392	173	104
	2.7%	49.1%	25.5%	13.6%	9.2%	25.9%	43.4%	19.2%	11.5%
Becker	8	64	29	20	6	33	67	19	8
	6.3%	50.4%	22.8%	15.7%	4.7%	26.0%	52.8%	15.0%	6.3%
Beltrami	30	326	201	122	68	178	359	133	77
	4.0%	43.6%	26.9%	16.3%	9.1%	23.8%	48.1%	17.8%	10.3%
Benton	3	48	25	10	5	28	40	20	3
	3.3%	52.7%	27.5%	11.0%	5.5%	30.8%	44.0%	22.0%	3.3%
Big Stone	0	1	3	1	2	3	2	1	1
	0.0%	14.3%	42.9%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%
Blue Earth	1	72	37	19	17	41	58	31	16
	0.7%	49.3%	25.3%	13.0%	11.6%	28.1%	39.7%	21.2%	11.0%
Brown	2	19	15	8	6	8	27	10	5
	4.0%	38.0%	30.0%	16.0%	12.0%	16.0%	54.0%	20.0%	10.0%
Carlton	3	26	21	9	3	17	29	11	5
	4.8%	41.9%	33.9%	14.5%	4.8%	27.4%	46.8%	17.7%	8.1%
Carver	1	29	15	9	7	17	26	14	4
	1.6%	47.5%	24.6%	14.8%	11.5%	27.9%	42.6%	23.0%	6.6%
Cass	10	95	59	35	16	62	89	40	24
	4.7%	44.2%	27.4%	16.3%	7.4%	28.8%	41.4%	18.6%	11.2%
Chippewa	1	13	6	3	4	6	16	3	2
	3.7%	48.1%	22.2%	11.1%	14.8%	22.2%	59.3%	11.1%	7.4%
Chisago	6	42	25	5	4	21	39	15	7
	7.3%	51.2%	30.5%	6.1%	4.9%	25.6%	47.6%	18.3%	8.5%
Clay	3	83	43	18	26	48	74	41	10
	1.7%	48.0%	24.9%	10.4%	15.0%	27.7%	42.8%	23.7%	5.8%
Clearwater	3	29	12	3	2	13	25	8	3
	6.1%	59.2%	24.5%	6.1%	4.1%	26.5%	51.0%	16.3%	6.1%
Cook	0	5	2	1	0	4	2	0	2
	0.0%	62.5%	25.0%	12.5%	0.0%	50.0%	25.0%	0.0%	25.0%
Cottonwood	1	14	5	6	4	10	14	3	3
	3.3%	46.7%	16.7%	20.0%	13.3%	33.3%	46.7%	10.0%	10.0%
Crow Wing	11	91	48	25	7	46	85	33	18
	6.0%	50.0%	26.4%	13.7%	3.8%	25.3%	46.7%	18.1%	9.9%
Dakota	20	353	201	95	80	187	338	154	70
	2.7%	47.1%	26.8%	12.7%	10.7%	25.0%	45.1%	20.6%	9.3%
Dodge	3	18	5	1	3	12	9	5	4
	10.0%	60.0%	16.7%	3.3%	10.0%	40.0%	30.0%	16.7%	13.3%
Douglas	4	25	17	6	3	12	24	13	6
	7.3%	45.5%	30.9%	10.9%	5.5%	21.8%	43.6%	23.6%	10.9%
Faribault	1	12	4	4	3	12	9	2	1
	4.2%	50.0%	16.7%	16.7%	12.5%	50.0%	37.5%	8.3%	4.2%
Fillmore	1	16	7	2	1	8	15	3	1
	3.7%	59.3%	25.9%	7.4%	3.7%	29.6%	55.6%	11.1%	3.7%
Freeborn	6	38	30	10	4	35	31	15	7
	6.8%	43.2%	34.1%	11.4%	4.5%	39.8%	35.2%	17.0%	8.0%

Table 12 – Page 2

County/Region/ State	Number of Children					Age of Youngest Child			
	0	1	2	3	4 or more	< 1 year	1-5	6-12	> 12
Goodhue	5	47	33	9	15	27	57	13	12
	4.6%	43.1%	30.3%	8.3%	13.8%	24.8%	52.3%	11.9%	11.0%
Grant	1	7	2	0	1	6	2	1	2
	9.1%	63.6%	18.2%	0.0%	9.1%	54.5%	18.2%	9.1%	18.2%
Hennepin	153	2,718	1,482	860	811	1,471	2,794	1,108	651
	2.5%	45.1%	24.6%	14.3%	13.5%	24.4%	46.4%	18.4%	10.8%
Houston	1	23	15	4	2	6	24	8	7
	2.2%	51.1%	33.3%	8.9%	4.4%	13.3%	53.3%	17.8%	15.6%
Hubbard	3	24	15	3	3	13	21	7	7
	6.3%	50.0%	31.3%	6.3%	6.3%	27.1%	43.8%	14.6%	14.6%
Isanti	3	47	26	13	2	23	40	20	8
	3.3%	51.6%	28.6%	14.3%	2.2%	25.3%	44.0%	22.0%	8.8%
Itasca	9	61	50	20	12	39	75	21	17
	5.9%	40.1%	32.9%	13.2%	7.9%	25.7%	49.3%	13.8%	11.2%
Jackson	2	5	4	3	0	7	5	2	0
	14.3%	35.7%	28.6%	21.4%	0.0%	50.0%	35.7%	14.3%	0.0%
Kanabec	3	26	14	3	2	19	11	12	6
	6.3%	54.2%	29.2%	6.3%	4.2%	39.6%	22.9%	25.0%	12.5%
Kandiyohi	12	56	41	23	18	60	56	28	6
	8.0%	37.3%	27.3%	15.3%	12.0%	40.0%	37.3%	18.7%	4.0%
Kittson	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%
Koochiching	0	16	9	1	2	6	14	7	1
	0.0%	57.1%	32.1%	3.6%	7.1%	21.4%	50.0%	25.0%	3.6%
Lac Qui Parle	0	1	0	2	0	0	1	1	1
	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%
Lake	0	8	3	3	1	7	2	3	3
	0.0%	53.3%	20.0%	20.0%	6.7%	46.7%	13.3%	20.0%	20.0%
Lake of Woods	0	1	1	4	1	1	4	2	0
	0.0%	14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	28.6%	0.0%
Le Sueur	0	20	18	3	2	13	15	9	6
	0.0%	46.5%	41.9%	7.0%	4.7%	30.2%	34.9%	20.9%	14.0%
Lincoln	0	3	3	0	0	0	3	2	1
	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	33.3%	16.7%
Lyon	1	24	11	6	17	17	25	13	4
	1.7%	40.7%	18.6%	10.2%	28.8%	28.8%	42.4%	22.0%	6.8%
McLeod	8	25	20	6	6	23	28	10	4
	12.3%	38.5%	30.8%	9.2%	9.2%	35.4%	43.1%	15.4%	6.2%
Mahnomon	3	35	23	12	15	21	45	18	4
	3.4%	39.8%	26.1%	13.6%	17.0%	23.9%	51.1%	20.5%	4.5%
Marshall	0	4	5	2	0	2	4	3	2
	0.0%	36.4%	45.5%	18.2%	0.0%	18.2%	36.4%	27.3%	18.2%
Martin	7	18	6	4	3	19	13	2	4
	18.4%	47.4%	15.8%	10.5%	7.9%	50.0%	34.2%	5.3%	10.5%
Meeker	1	14	9	4	2	8	11	10	1
	3.3%	46.7%	30.0%	13.3%	6.7%	26.7%	36.7%	33.3%	3.3%
Mille Lacs	2	28	19	10	2	22	20	15	4
	3.3%	45.9%	31.1%	16.4%	3.3%	36.1%	32.8%	24.6%	6.6%
Morrison	1	28	16	7	1	13	27	10	3
	1.9%	52.8%	30.2%	13.2%	1.9%	24.5%	50.9%	18.9%	5.7%

Table 12 – Page 3

County/Region/ State	Number of Children					Age of Youngest Child			
	0	1	2	3	4 or more	< 1 year	1-5	6-12	> 12
Mower	4	49	30	12	6	33	45	18	5
	4.0%	48.5%	29.7%	11.9%	5.9%	32.7%	44.6%	17.8%	5.0%
Murray	0	4	0	1	0	3	0	1	1
	0.0%	80.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	60.0%	0.0%	20.0%	20.0%
Nicollet	2	41	27	9	8	26	38	20	3
	2.3%	47.1%	31.0%	10.3%	9.2%	29.9%	43.7%	23.0%	3.4%
Nobles	2	28	14	13	13	24	29	12	5
	2.9%	40.0%	20.0%	18.6%	18.6%	34.3%	41.4%	17.1%	7.1%
Norman	0	6	4	0	2	3	7	2	0
	0.0%	50.0%	33.3%	0.0%	16.7%	25.0%	58.3%	16.7%	0.0%
Olmsted	19	158	84	38	44	120	144	49	30
	5.5%	46.1%	24.5%	11.1%	12.8%	35.0%	42.0%	14.3%	8.7%
Otter Tail	6	43	24	12	8	24	37	22	10
	6.5%	46.2%	25.8%	12.9%	8.6%	25.8%	39.8%	23.7%	10.8%
Pennington	4	17	9	2	0	13	12	3	4
	12.5%	53.1%	28.1%	6.3%	0.0%	40.6%	37.5%	9.4%	12.5%
Pine	5	38	24	12	2	27	36	13	5
	6.2%	46.9%	29.6%	14.8%	2.5%	33.3%	44.4%	16.0%	6.2%
Pipestone	0	6	2	2	3	5	5	3	0
	0.0%	46.2%	15.4%	15.4%	23.1%	38.5%	38.5%	23.1%	0.0%
Polk	4	38	36	9	15	31	43	19	9
	3.9%	37.3%	35.3%	8.8%	14.7%	30.4%	42.2%	18.6%	8.8%
Pope	0	4	5	5	1	4	6	4	1
	0.0%	26.7%	33.3%	33.3%	6.7%	26.7%	40.0%	26.7%	6.7%
Ramsey	114	1,780	1,131	700	726	903	2,061	993	494
	2.6%	40.0%	25.4%	15.7%	16.3%	20.3%	46.3%	22.3%	11.1%
Red Lake	1	4	1	2	1	3	3	3	0
	11.1%	44.4%	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%
Redwood	2	8	9	1	7	7	12	6	2
	7.4%	29.6%	33.3%	3.7%	25.9%	25.9%	44.4%	22.2%	7.4%
Renville	4	18	6	6	3	15	14	4	4
	10.8%	48.6%	16.2%	16.2%	8.1%	40.5%	37.8%	10.8%	10.8%
Rice	3	51	31	20	11	28	60	19	9
	2.6%	44.0%	26.7%	17.2%	9.5%	24.1%	51.7%	16.4%	7.8%
Rock	2	5	6	6	1	7	8	2	3
	10.0%	25.0%	30.0%	30.0%	5.0%	35.0%	40.0%	10.0%	15.0%
Roseau	2	6	2	2	1	8	1	2	2
	15.4%	46.2%	15.4%	15.4%	7.7%	61.5%	7.7%	15.4%	15.4%
St. Louis	34	374	223	108	51	204	383	137	66
	4.3%	47.3%	28.2%	13.7%	6.5%	25.8%	48.5%	17.3%	8.4%
Scott	5	47	40	24	12	35	51	23	19
	3.9%	36.7%	31.3%	18.8%	9.4%	27.3%	39.8%	18.0%	14.8%
Sherburne	7	60	26	10	7	32	52	15	11
	6.4%	54.5%	23.6%	9.1%	6.4%	29.1%	47.3%	13.6%	10.0%
Sibley	2	9	8	6	1	11	11	4	0
	7.7%	34.6%	30.8%	23.1%	3.8%	42.3%	42.3%	15.4%	0.0%
Stearns	12	192	79	44	42	104	162	67	36
	3.3%	52.0%	21.4%	11.9%	11.4%	28.2%	43.9%	18.2%	9.8%
Steele	4	28	22	9	7	18	28	13	11
	5.7%	40.0%	31.4%	12.9%	10.0%	25.7%	40.0%	18.6%	15.7%

Table 12 – Page 4

County/Region/ State	Number of Children					Age of Youngest Child			
	0	1	2	3	4 or more	< 1 year	1-5	6-12	> 12
Stevens	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%
Swift	0	10	2	0	0	3	6	0	3
	0.0%	83.3%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	50.0%	0.0%	25.0%
Todd	1	19	21	6	2	12	21	10	6
	2.0%	38.8%	42.9%	12.2%	4.1%	24.5%	42.9%	20.4%	12.2%
Traverse	0	2	1	1	0	1	2	1	0
	0.0%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	0.0%
Wabasha	0	8	2	0	0	6	2	0	2
	0.0%	80.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	60.0%	20.0%	0.0%	20.0%
Wadena	4	22	15	8	4	15	17	13	8
	7.5%	41.5%	28.3%	15.1%	7.5%	28.3%	32.1%	24.5%	15.1%
Waseca	2	18	10	3	4	12	16	8	1
	5.4%	48.6%	27.0%	8.1%	10.8%	32.4%	43.2%	21.6%	2.7%
Washington	9	155	100	57	30	83	162	67	39
	2.6%	44.2%	28.5%	16.2%	8.5%	23.6%	46.2%	19.1%	11.1%
Watonwan	1	9	3	3	2	4	9	4	1
	5.6%	50.0%	16.7%	16.7%	11.1%	22.2%	50.0%	22.2%	5.6%
Wilkin	0	3	6	0	0	2	5	2	0
	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	22.2%	55.6%	22.2%	0.0%
Winona	2	31	24	12	6	19	32	15	9
	2.7%	41.3%	32.0%	16.0%	8.0%	25.3%	42.7%	20.0%	12.0%
Wright	7	80	29	12	3	50	57	16	8
	5.3%	61.1%	22.1%	9.2%	2.3%	38.2%	43.5%	12.2%	6.1%
Yellow Medicine	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	0	1
	0.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	40.0%	0.0%	20.0%
Northwest	11	76	57	17	20	60	70	33	18
	6.1%	42.0%	31.5%	9.4%	11.0%	33.1%	38.7%	18.2%	9.9%
West Central	88	903	538	287	164	505	910	377	188
	4.4%	45.6%	27.2%	14.5%	8.3%	25.5%	46.0%	19.0%	9.5%
Northeast	47	504	321	149	72	285	529	184	95
	4.3%	46.1%	29.4%	13.6%	6.6%	26.1%	48.4%	16.8%	8.7%
Central	67	632	318	153	94	411	527	230	96
	5.3%	50.0%	25.2%	12.1%	7.4%	32.5%	41.7%	18.2%	7.6%
Southwest	11	123	66	45	53	94	128	49	27
	3.7%	41.3%	22.1%	15.1%	17.8%	31.5%	43.0%	16.4%	9.1%
South Central	18	218	128	59	46	146	196	90	37
	3.8%	46.5%	27.3%	12.6%	9.8%	31.1%	41.8%	19.2%	7.9%
Southeast	48	467	283	117	99	312	447	158	97
	4.7%	46.1%	27.9%	11.5%	9.8%	30.8%	44.1%	15.6%	9.6%
Metro Suburban	65	1,069	611	313	216	577	1,008	446	243
	2.9%	47.0%	26.9%	13.8%	9.5%	25.4%	44.3%	19.6%	10.7%
Core Metro	267	4,498	2,613	1,560	1,537	2,374	4,855	2,101	1,145
	2.5%	42.9%	24.9%	14.9%	14.7%	22.7%	46.3%	20.1%	10.9%
Minnesota	622	8,490	4,935	2,700	2,301	4,764	8,670	3,668	1,946
	3.3%	44.6%	25.9%	14.2%	12.1%	25.0%	45.5%	19.3%	10.2%

Table 13. All Children in December 2007 One-eligible-adult DWP Cases by County and Region

County/Region/ State	Number of Children					Age of Youngest Child			
	0	1	2	3	4 or more	< 1 year	1-5	6-12	> 12
Aitkin	1	7	4	3	0	3	6	6	0
	6.7%	46.7%	26.7%	20.0%	0.0%	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	0.0%
Anoka	14	93	56	17	13	38	70	56	29
	7.3%	48.2%	29.0%	8.8%	6.7%	19.7%	36.3%	29.0%	15.0%
Becker	1	7	5	3	1	2	10	4	1
	5.9%	41.2%	29.4%	17.6%	5.9%	11.8%	58.8%	23.5%	5.9%
Beltrami	7	22	19	6	1	12	16	18	9
	12.7%	40.0%	34.5%	10.9%	1.8%	21.8%	29.1%	32.7%	16.4%
Benton	2	3	2	2	0	4	5	0	0
	22.2%	33.3%	22.2%	22.2%	0.0%	44.4%	55.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Big Stone	2	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	0
	66.7%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Blue Earth	4	13	7	3	4	7	16	5	3
	12.9%	41.9%	22.6%	9.7%	12.9%	22.6%	51.6%	16.1%	9.7%
Brown	1	3	2	0	1	2	4	0	1
	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	0.0%	14.3%	28.6%	57.1%	0.0%	14.3%
Carlton	1	6	7	3	0	1	11	3	2
	5.9%	35.3%	41.2%	17.6%	0.0%	5.9%	64.7%	17.6%	11.8%
Carver	3	9	7	2	0	5	5	8	3
	14.3%	42.9%	33.3%	9.5%	0.0%	23.8%	23.8%	38.1%	14.3%
Cass	1	10	7	5	3	5	7	10	4
	3.8%	38.5%	26.9%	19.2%	11.5%	19.2%	26.9%	38.5%	15.4%
Chippewa	2	2	3	0	0	2	1	3	1
	28.6%	28.6%	42.9%	0.0%	0.0%	28.6%	14.3%	42.9%	14.3%
Chisago	2	6	3	6	0	3	9	3	2
	11.8%	35.3%	17.6%	35.3%	0.0%	17.6%	52.9%	17.6%	11.8%
Clay	0	17	5	5	1	2	14	8	4
	0.0%	60.7%	17.9%	17.9%	3.6%	7.1%	50.0%	28.6%	14.3%
Clearwater	3	1	2	0	0	3	2	1	0
	50.0%	16.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	33.3%	16.7%	0.0%
Cook	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cottonwood	1	1	3	0	0	1	2	2	0
	20.0%	20.0%	60.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	0.0%
Crow Wing	10	18	7	3	2	14	21	1	4
	25.0%	45.0%	17.5%	7.5%	5.0%	35.0%	52.5%	2.5%	10.0%
Dakota	9	86	42	20	9	30	68	45	23
	5.4%	51.8%	25.3%	12.0%	5.4%	18.1%	41.0%	27.1%	13.9%
Dodge	0	1	2	1	1	0	5	0	0
	0.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Douglas	1	7	2	4	0	1	10	2	1
	7.1%	50.0%	14.3%	28.6%	0.0%	7.1%	71.4%	14.3%	7.1%
Faribault	0	2	2	1	0	0	0	4	1
	0.0%	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	80.0%	20.0%
Fillmore	0	2	5	2	0	1	6	1	1
	0.0%	22.2%	55.6%	22.2%	0.0%	11.1%	66.7%	11.1%	11.1%
Freeborn	2	10	4	4	0	3	8	5	4
	10.0%	50.0%	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%	15.0%	40.0%	25.0%	20.0%

Table 13 – Page 2

County/Region/ State	Number of Children					Age of Youngest Child			
	0	1	2	3	4 or more	< 1 year	1-5	6-12	> 12
Goodhue	3	11	7	5	0	7	14	4	1
	11.5%	42.3%	26.9%	19.2%	0.0%	26.9%	53.8%	15.4%	3.8%
Grant	0	3	2	2	0	0	5	2	0
	0.0%	42.9%	28.6%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	71.4%	28.6%	0.0%
Hennepin	80	282	165	84	69	135	286	164	95
	11.8%	41.5%	24.3%	12.4%	10.1%	19.9%	42.1%	24.1%	14.0%
Houston	0	5	2	2	3	1	9	0	2
	0.0%	41.7%	16.7%	16.7%	25.0%	8.3%	75.0%	0.0%	16.7%
Hubbard	1	5	5	1	0	1	6	3	2
	8.3%	41.7%	41.7%	8.3%	0.0%	8.3%	50.0%	25.0%	16.7%
Isanti	3	17	6	1	1	4	11	7	6
	10.7%	60.7%	21.4%	3.6%	3.6%	14.3%	39.3%	25.0%	21.4%
Itasca	2	12	8	5	3	6	12	10	2
	6.7%	40.0%	26.7%	16.7%	10.0%	20.0%	40.0%	33.3%	6.7%
Jackson	0	5	0	1	0	3	1	1	1
	0.0%	83.3%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%	50.0%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%
Kanabec	0	5	4	1	0	6	2	2	0
	0.0%	50.0%	40.0%	10.0%	0.0%	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%
Kandiyohi	3	10	18	6	3	8	22	6	4
	7.5%	25.0%	45.0%	15.0%	7.5%	20.0%	55.0%	15.0%	10.0%
Kittson	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Koochiching	2	3	2	0	0	2	2	3	0
	28.6%	42.9%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	28.6%	28.6%	42.9%	0.0%
Lac Qui Parle	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lake	0	0	2	1	0	1	2	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Lake of Woods	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Le Sueur	1	5	5	3	0	4	4	5	1
	7.1%	35.7%	35.7%	21.4%	0.0%	28.6%	28.6%	35.7%	7.1%
Lincoln	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Lyon	0	2	5	1	1	3	4	2	0
	0.0%	22.2%	55.6%	11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%	22.2%	0.0%
McLeod	2	6	7	2	2	4	8	7	0
	10.5%	31.6%	36.8%	10.5%	10.5%	21.1%	42.1%	36.8%	0.0%
Mahnommen	0	2	3	0	2	0	7	0	0
	0.0%	28.6%	42.9%	0.0%	28.6%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Marshall	0	1	3	0	0	0	2	1	1
	0.0%	25.0%	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%
Martin	2	3	2	3	0	2	4	3	1
	20.0%	30.0%	20.0%	30.0%	0.0%	20.0%	40.0%	30.0%	10.0%
Meeker	0	3	3	0	0	0	2	3	1
	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	50.0%	16.7%
Mille Lacs	3	7	6	4	1	4	8	7	2
	14.3%	33.3%	28.6%	19.0%	4.8%	19.0%	38.1%	33.3%	9.5%
Morrison	2	4	1	0	1	2	3	3	0
	25.0%	50.0%	12.5%	0.0%	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%	37.5%	0.0%

Table 13 – Page 3

County/Region State	Number of Children					Age of Youngest Child			
	0	1	2	3	4 or more	< 1 year	1-5	6-12	> 12
Mower	1	10	12	5	2	3	17	5	5
	3.3%	33.3%	40.0%	16.7%	6.7%	10.0%	56.7%	16.7%	16.7%
Murray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nicollet	2	5	5	6	1	3	8	6	2
	10.5%	26.3%	26.3%	31.6%	5.3%	15.8%	42.1%	31.6%	10.5%
Nobles	1	2	9	2	3	5	8	3	1
	5.9%	11.8%	52.9%	11.8%	17.6%	29.4%	47.1%	17.6%	5.9%
Norman	2	3	0	0	1	4	0	1	1
	33.3%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	66.7%	0.0%	16.7%	16.7%
Olmsted	6	51	32	22	9	19	68	23	10
	5.0%	42.5%	26.7%	18.3%	7.5%	15.8%	56.7%	19.2%	8.3%
Otter Tail	3	11	9	3	1	7	8	8	4
	11.1%	40.7%	33.3%	11.1%	3.7%	25.9%	29.6%	29.6%	14.8%
Pennington	2	4	4	0	0	2	3	3	2
	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	30.0%	30.0%	20.0%
Pine	0	9	11	5	3	0	12	11	5
	0.0%	32.1%	39.3%	17.9%	10.7%	0.0%	42.9%	39.3%	17.9%
Pipestone	0	6	0	0	1	2	3	2	0
	0.0%	85.7%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%	28.6%	0.0%
Polk	2	4	5	4	0	3	10	2	0
	13.3%	26.7%	33.3%	26.7%	0.0%	20.0%	66.7%	13.3%	0.0%
Pope	0	1	3	1	0	1	3	1	0
	0.0%	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	0.0%	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	0.0%
Ramsey	60	180	107	49	25	89	155	111	66
	14.3%	42.8%	25.4%	11.6%	5.9%	21.1%	36.8%	26.4%	15.7%
Red Lake	0	3	1	0	1	0	5	0	0
	0.0%	60.0%	20.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Redwood	1	4	4	1	3	2	8	1	2
	7.7%	30.8%	30.8%	7.7%	23.1%	15.4%	61.5%	7.7%	15.4%
Renville	1	3	1	1	0	2	4	0	0
	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%	16.7%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Rice	3	9	11	3	1	4	9	8	6
	11.1%	33.3%	40.7%	11.1%	3.7%	14.8%	33.3%	29.6%	22.2%
Rock	0	2	1	0	0	2	1	0	0
	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Roseau	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	0	0
	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
St. Louis	10	59	22	7	8	15	36	35	20
	9.4%	55.7%	20.8%	6.6%	7.5%	14.2%	34.0%	33.0%	18.9%
Scott	1	11	17	3	5	2	16	15	4
	2.7%	29.7%	45.9%	8.1%	13.5%	5.4%	43.2%	40.5%	10.8%
Sherburne	0	12	11	7	0	4	11	11	4
	0.0%	40.0%	36.7%	23.3%	0.0%	13.3%	36.7%	36.7%	13.3%
Sibley	0	4	2	1	1	2	4	1	1
	0.0%	50.0%	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	50.0%	12.5%	12.5%
Stearns	11	25	23	14	6	16	37	19	7
	13.9%	31.6%	29.1%	17.7%	7.6%	20.3%	46.8%	24.1%	8.9%
Steele	6	2	3	2	1	7	2	4	1
	42.9%	14.3%	21.4%	14.3%	7.1%	50.0%	14.3%	28.6%	7.1%

Table 13 – Page 4

County/Region/ State	Number of Children					Age of Youngest Child			
	0	1	2	3	4 or more	< 1 year	1-5	6-12	> 12
Stevens	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Swift	1	1	1	2	0	2	2	1	0
	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	0.0%	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	0.0%
Todd	1	6	3	4	1	4	5	4	2
	6.7%	40.0%	20.0%	26.7%	6.7%	26.7%	33.3%	26.7%	13.3%
Traverse	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	0
	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Wabasha	3	2	2	2	2	3	6	2	0
	27.3%	18.2%	18.2%	18.2%	18.2%	27.3%	54.5%	18.2%	0.0%
Wadena	1	4	2	0	0	2	4	0	1
	14.3%	57.1%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	28.6%	57.1%	0.0%	14.3%
Waseca	3	3	1	3	0	6	4	0	0
	30.0%	30.0%	10.0%	30.0%	0.0%	60.0%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Washington	4	37	13	8	4	10	36	13	7
	6.1%	56.1%	19.7%	12.1%	6.1%	15.2%	54.5%	19.7%	10.6%
Watonwan	0	2	3	0	0	0	4	0	1
	0.0%	40.0%	60.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	80.0%	0.0%	20.0%
Wilkin	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	2	0
	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%
Winona	2	12	4	1	3	4	10	4	4
	9.1%	54.5%	18.2%	4.5%	13.6%	18.2%	45.5%	18.2%	18.2%
Wright	0	17	8	3	2	2	13	11	4
	0.0%	56.7%	26.7%	10.0%	6.7%	6.7%	43.3%	36.7%	13.3%
Yellow Medicine	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0
	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Northwest	6	17	15	5	2	9	25	7	4
	13.3%	37.8%	33.3%	11.1%	4.4%	20.0%	55.6%	15.6%	8.9%
West Central	32	120	75	38	15	57	121	70	32
	11.4%	42.9%	26.8%	13.6%	5.4%	20.4%	43.2%	25.0%	11.4%
Northeast	16	88	45	19	11	28	70	57	24
	8.9%	49.2%	25.1%	10.6%	6.1%	15.6%	39.1%	31.8%	13.4%
Central	25	117	100	46	18	54	135	84	33
	8.2%	38.2%	32.7%	15.0%	5.9%	17.6%	44.1%	27.5%	10.8%
Southwest	9	25	27	7	10	25	32	16	5
	11.5%	32.1%	34.6%	9.0%	12.8%	32.1%	41.0%	20.5%	6.4%
South Central	13	40	29	20	7	26	48	24	11
	11.9%	36.7%	26.6%	18.3%	6.4%	23.9%	44.0%	22.0%	10.1%
Southeast	26	115	84	49	22	52	154	56	34
	8.8%	38.9%	28.4%	16.6%	7.4%	17.6%	52.0%	18.9%	11.5%
Metro Suburban	33	242	138	56	31	88	204	140	68
	6.6%	48.4%	27.6%	11.2%	6.2%	17.6%	40.8%	28.0%	13.6%
Core Metro	140	462	272	133	94	224	441	275	161
	12.7%	42.0%	24.7%	12.1%	8.5%	20.3%	40.1%	25.0%	14.6%
Minnesota	300	1,226	785	373	210	563	1,230	729	372
	10.4%	42.4%	27.1%	12.9%	7.3%	19.5%	42.5%	25.2%	12.9%

Table 14. Family Violence, Chemical Dependency Diagnosis, and Severe Mental Health Diagnosis for December 2007 One-eligible-adult MFIP and DWP Cases by Large County and Region

	MFIP Family Violence Exemption or Extension in 1995-2007		Adult Chemical Dependency Diagnosis in 2005-2007		Adult Severe Mental Health Diagnosis in 2005-2007	
	MFIP	DWP	MFIP	DWP	MFIP	DWP
Anoka	50	0	212	33	421	48
	5.5%	0.0%	23.5%	17.1%	46.6%	24.9%
Beltrami	19	0	289	13	249	14
	2.5%	0.0%	38.7%	23.6%	33.3%	25.5%
Dakota	35	1	177	18	312	30
	4.7%	0.6%	23.6%	10.8%	41.7%	18.1%
Hennepin	381	9	1,187	67	1838	91
	6.3%	1.3%	19.7%	9.9%	30.5%	13.4%
Olmsted	21	2	65	21	125	30
	6.1%	1.7%	19.0%	17.5%	36.4%	25.0%
Ramsey	373	6	865	46	1,613	69
	8.4%	1.4%	19.4%	10.9%	36.2%	16.4%
St. Louis	61	3	262	18	407	31
	7.7%	2.8%	33.2%	17.0%	51.5%	29.2%
Washington	24	3	78	9	169	14
	6.8%	4.5%	22.2%	13.6%	48.1%	21.2%
All Other Counties	273	14	1,165	144	1,961	270
	5.8%	1.3%	24.8%	13.2%	41.8%	24.8%
Northwest	6	0	37	5	78	13
	3.3%	0.0%	20.4%	11.1%	43.1%	28.9%
West Central	84	3	659	45	785	62
	4.2%	1.1%	33.3%	16.1%	39.6%	22.1%
Northeast	78	5	350	25	552	54
	7.1%	2.8%	32.0%	14.0%	50.5%	30.2%
Central	95	4	327	47	521	84
	7.5%	1.3%	25.9%	15.4%	41.2%	27.5%
Southwest	7	0	44	7	112	22
	2.3%	0.0%	14.8%	9.0%	37.6%	28.2%
South Central	45	1	91	12	195	27
	9.6%	0.9%	19.4%	11.0%	41.6%	24.8%
Southeast	45	5	205	42	387	66
	4.4%	1.7%	20.2%	14.2%	38.2%	22.3%
Metro Suburban	123	5	535	73	1,014	109
	5.4%	1.0%	23.5%	14.6%	44.6%	21.8%
Core Metro	754	15	2,052	113	3,451	160
	7.2%	1.4%	19.6%	10.3%	32.9%	14.5%
Minnesota	1,237	38	4,300	369	7,095	597
	6.5%	1.3%	22.6%	12.8%	37.2%	20.6%

Table 15. December 2007 One-eligible-adult MFIP Cases with Exceptions, Exemptions, or Extensions to the Life Time Limit, MFIP Counted Months, and New MFIP Cases in 2007 by County and Region

County/Region/ State	Number of Cases	Exemption, Exception, and Extended				Counted Months			New Cases in 2007			
		Exempt/Excepted Cases		Extended Cases		Mean	Over 48	Months	Total		Out-of-State	
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent		Count		Percent	Count	Percent	
Aitkin	38	3	7.9%	0	0.0%	20	4	10.5%	6	15.8%	0	0.0%
Anoka	903	86	9.5%	124	13.7%	26	219	24.3%	208	23.0%	27	3.0%
Becker	127	14	11.0%	9	7.1%	23	24	18.9%	34	26.8%	*	
Beltrami	747	436	58.4%	18	2.4%	14	61	8.2%	118	15.8%	*	
Benton	91	9	9.9%	7	7.7%	21	13	14.3%	28	30.8%	*	
Big Stone	7	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	20	2	28.6%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%
Blue Earth	146	12	8.2%	18	12.3%	26	32	21.9%	35	24.0%	*	
Brown	50	1	2.0%	4	8.0%	24	8	16.0%	10	20.0%	*	
Carlton	62	5	8.1%	7	11.3%	24	12	19.4%	12	19.4%	*	
Carver	61	6	9.8%	2	3.3%	20	6	9.8%	19	31.1%	*	
Cass	215	14	6.5%	15	7.0%	25	36	16.7%	34	15.8%	0	0.0%
Chippewa	27	2	7.4%	1	3.7%	18	2	7.4%	7	25.9%	*	
Chisago	82	8	9.8%	3	3.7%	18	7	8.5%	23	28.0%	*	
Clay	173	22	12.7%	10	5.8%	23	29	16.8%	49	28.3%	15	8.7%
Clearwater	49	7	14.3%	5	10.2%	19	6	12.2%	16	32.7%	*	
Cook	8	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	15	0	0.0%	4	50.0%	0	0.0%
Cottonwood	30	2	6.7%	2	6.7%	26	7	23.3%	10	33.3%	0	0.0%
Crow Wing	182	13	7.1%	6	3.3%	21	22	12.1%	42	23.1%	5	
Dakota	749	75	10.0%	78	10.4%	26	155	20.7%	148	19.8%	18	2.4%
Dodge	30	4	13.3%	3	10.0%	16	3	10.0%	11	36.7%	*	
Douglas	55	2	3.6%	4	7.3%	24	9	16.4%	11	20.0%	*	
Faribault	24	2	8.3%	3	12.5%	19	5	20.8%	10	41.7%	*	
Fillmore	27	2	7.4%	1	3.7%	17	3	11.1%	8	29.6%	*	
Freeborn	88	11	12.5%	1	1.1%	16	7	8.0%	31	35.2%	5	5.7%
Goodhue	109	7	6.4%	6	5.5%	21	16	14.7%	34	31.2%	6	

Table 15 – Page 2

County/Region/ State	Number of Cases	Exemption, Exception, and Extended				Counted Months			New Cases in 2007			
		Exempt/Excepted Cases		Extended Cases		Mean	Over 48	Months	Total		Out-of-State	
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Grant	11	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	10	1	9.1%	6	54.5%	*	
Hennepin	6,024	548	9.1%	754	12.5%	27	1,475	24.5%	1,207	20.0%	264	4.4%
Houston	45	4	8.9%	1	2.2%	21	7	15.6%	8	17.8%	*	
Hubbard	48	5	10.4%	3	6.3%	23	11	22.9%	17	35.4%	*	
Isanti	91	3	3.3%	6	6.6%	19	9	9.9%	29	31.9%	*	
Itasca	152	8	5.3%	20	13.2%	26	36	23.7%	43	28.3%	*	
Jackson	14	2	14.3%	0	0.0%	11	2	14.3%	6	42.9%	*	
Kanabec	48	6	12.5%	3	6.3%	15	4	8.3%	16	33.3%	*	
Kandiyohi	150	23	15.3%	6	4.0%	17	15	10.0%	46	30.7%	7	4.7%
Kittson	2	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	33	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Koochiching	28	3	10.7%	2	7.1%	23	4	14.3%	7	25.0%	*	
Lac Qui Parle	3	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	25	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%
Lake	15	2	13.3%	1	6.7%	17	1	6.7%	4	26.7%	0	0.0%
Lake of the Woods	7	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	16	1	14.3%	3	42.9%	0	0.0%
Le Sueur	43	3	7.0%	1	2.3%	21	5	11.6%	12	27.9%	*	
Lincoln	6	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	27	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	*	
Lyon	59	3	5.1%	6	10.2%	24	11	18.6%	12	20.3%	*	
McLeod	65	5	7.7%	4	6.2%	15	6	9.2%	22	33.8%	0	0.0%
Mahnomen	88	5	5.7%	14	15.9%	31	24	27.3%	11	12.5%	0	0.0%
Marshall	11	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	21	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Martin	38	4	10.5%	0	0.0%	18	3	7.9%	17	44.7%	*	
Meeker	30	3	10.0%	3	10.0%	21	5	16.7%	8	26.7%	0	0.0%
Mille Lacs	61	9	14.8%	3	4.9%	24	11	18.0%	12	19.7%	0	0.0%
Morrison	53	4	7.5%	1	1.9%	19	5	9.4%	12	22.6%	*	
Mower	101	13	12.9%	1	1.0%	18	10	9.9%	27	26.7%	*	

Table 15 – Page 3

County/Region/ State	Number of Cases	Exemption, Exception, and Extended				Counted Months			New Cases in 2007			
		Exempt/Excepted Cases		Extended Cases		Mean	Over 48	Months	Total		Out-of-State	
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Murray	5	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	30	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%
Nicollet	87	8	9.2%	3	3.4%	21	9	10.3%	16	18.4%	*	
Nobles	70	10	14.3%	6	8.6%	22	11	15.7%	20	28.6%	*	
Norman	12	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	18	1	8.3%	4	33.3%	*	
Olmsted	343	44	12.8%	12	3.5%	18	37	10.8%	101	29.4%	12	3.5%
Otter Tail	93	11	11.8%	3	3.2%	19	10	10.8%	28	30.1%	6	6.5%
Pennington	32	5	15.6%	1	3.1%	15	1	3.1%	11	34.4%	0	0.0%
Pine	81	6	7.4%	3	3.7%	21	7	8.6%	25	30.9%	0	0.0%
Pipestone	13	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	23	3	23.1%	4	30.8%	*	
Polk	102	9	8.8%	8	7.8%	20	13	12.7%	39	38.2%	10	9.8%
Pope	15	0	0.0%	2	13.3%	28	4	26.7%	2	13.3%	0	0.0%
Ramsey	4,451	372	8.4%	1,111	25.0%	33	1,696	38.1%	702	15.8%	134	3.0%
Red Lake	9	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	23	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	*	
Redwood	27	2	7.4%	1	3.7%	17	3	11.1%	9	33.3%	*	
Renville	37	9	24.3%	2	5.4%	18	4	10.8%	12	32.4%	*	
Rice	116	10	8.6%	9	7.8%	21	15	12.9%	32	27.6%	11	9.5%
Rock	20	3	15.0%	0	0.0%	18	3	15.0%	7	35.0%	*	
Roseau	13	3	23.1%	1	7.7%	15	2	15.4%	6	46.2%	0	0.0%
St. Louis	790	48	6.1%	84	10.6%	27	182	23.0%	169	21.4%	27	3.4%
Scott	128	17	13.3%	14	10.9%	22	24	18.8%	41	32.0%	*	
Sherburne	110	8	7.3%	4	3.6%	20	18	16.4%	29	26.4%	*	
Sibley	26	7	26.9%	0	0.0%	15	2	7.7%	4	15.4%	0	0.0%
Stearns	369	45	12.2%	25	6.8%	21	54	14.6%	94	25.5%	17	4.6%
Steele	70	4	5.7%	4	5.7%	22	11	15.7%	17	24.3%	*	
Stevens	2	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	34	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%

Table 15 – Page 4

County/Region/ State	Number of Cases	Exemption, Exception, and Extended				Counted Months			New Cases in 2007			
		Exempt/Excepted Cases		Extended Cases		Mean	Over 48	Months	Total		Out-of-State	
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Swift	12	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	16	1	8.3%	3	25.0%	0	0.0%
Todd	49	4	8.2%	2	4.1%	22	6	12.2%	13	26.5%	5	10.2%
Traverse	4	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	29	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%
Wabasha	10	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	23	2	20.0%	4	40.0%	*	
Wadena	53	3	5.7%	3	5.7%	23	10	18.9%	13	24.5%	*	
Waseca	37	5	13.5%	5	13.5%	19	5	13.5%	12	32.4%	*	
Washington	351	35	10.0%	33	9.4%	26	81	23.1%	78	22.2%	6	1.7%
Watonwan	18	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	16	0	0.0%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%
Wilkin	9	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	20	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%
Winona	75	6	8.0%	9	12.0%	26	19	25.3%	12	16.0%	*	
Wright	131	15	11.5%	4	3.1%	14	7	5.3%	44	33.6%	*	
Yellow Medicine	5	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	19	0	0.0%	2	40.0%	*	
Northwest	181	18	9.9%	10	5.5%	19	20	11.0%	62	34.3%	12	6.6%
West Central	1,980	540	27.3%	99	5.0%	20	262	13.2%	412	20.8%	45	2.3%
Northeast	1,093	70	6.4%	114	10.4%	26	239	21.9%	245	22.4%	34	3.1%
Central	1,264	141	11.2%	70	5.5%	19	153	12.1%	365	28.9%	34	2.7%
Southwest	298	24	8.1%	19	6.4%	21	50	16.8%	86	28.9%	22	7.4%
South Central	469	42	9.0%	34	7.2%	22	69	14.7%	118	25.2%	16	3.4%
Southeast	1,014	107	10.6%	48	4.7%	20	130	12.8%	285	28.1%	49	4.8%
Metro Suburban	2,274	227	10.0%	254	11.2%	25	492	21.6%	517	22.7%	59	2.6%
Core Metro	10,475	920	8.8%	1,865	17.8%	25	3,171	30.3%	1,909	18.2%	398	3.8%
Minnesota	19,048	2,089	11.0%	2,513	13.2%	26	4,586	24.1%	3,999	21.0%	669	3.5%

* For cells with fewer than 5 cases, data has been removed to protect individual identities.

Table 16. December 2007 One-eligible-adult DWP Cases with MFIP Counted Months and New DWP Cases in 2007 by Large County and Region

County/Region/ State	Number of Cases	Counted Months			New Cases in 2007			
		Mean	Over 48	Months	Total		Out-of-State	
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Prcnt
Anoka	193	10	4	2.1%	89	46.1%	20	10.4%
Beltrami	55	9	2	3.6%	23	41.8%	*	
Dakota	166	10	2	1.2%	82	49.4%	22	13.3%
Hennepin	680	12	45	6.6%	353	51.9%	156	22.9%
Olmsted	120	10	1	0.8%	49	40.8%	16	13.3%
Ramsey	421	10	17	4.0%	230	54.6%	97	23.0%
St. Louis	106	14	8	7.5%	46	43.4%	12	11.3%
Washington	66	10	2	3.0%	30	45.5%	10	15.2%
All Other Counties	1,087	9	32	2.9%	508	46.7%	128	11.8%
Northwest	45	10	2	4.4%	19	42.2%	5	11.1%
West Central	280	9	8	2.9%	117	41.8%	18	6.4%
Northeast	179	11	9	5.0%	84	46.9%	15	8.4%
Central	306	10	11	3.6%	140	45.8%	39	12.7%
Southwest	78	8	4	5.1%	47	60.3%	18	23.1%
South Central	109	10	3	2.8%	53	48.6%	12	11.0%
Southeast	296	10	5	1.7%	127	42.9%	42	14.2%
Metro Suburban	500	10	9	1.8%	240	48.0%	61	12.2%
Core Metro	1,101	11	62	5.6%	583	53.0%	253	23.0%
Minnesota	2,894	10	113	3.9%	1,410	48.7%	463	16.0%

* For cells with fewer than 5 cases, data has been removed to protect individual identities.

Table 17. December 2007 One-eligible-adult MFIP Cases Receiving Food-only Assistance, Budgeted Earnings, Working Adults, Earned Income, and Month Work Hours by County and Region

County/Region/ State	Welfare		Monthly Work and Income					
	Food-only MFIP		Budgeted Earnings		Working		Income	Work Hours
	Count	Percent	Count	Mean	Count	Percent	Mean	Mean
Aitkin	7	18.4%	16	\$279	19	50.0%	\$624	81
Anoka	87	9.6%	184	\$423	337	37.3%	\$859	92
Becker	19	15.0%	39	\$400	51	40.2%	\$663	83
Beltrami	70	9.4%	134	\$470	225	30.1%	\$872	100
Benton	13	14.3%	28	\$486	41	45.1%	\$753	93
Big Stone	4	57.1%	4	*	5	71.4%	\$649	85
Blue Earth	25	17.1%	53	\$410	71	48.6%	\$719	84
Brown	12	24.0%	28	\$468	31	62.0%	\$816	101
Carlton	9	14.5%	21	\$362	26	41.9%	\$753	87
Carver	6	9.8%	7	\$371	21	34.4%	\$730	85
Cass	22	10.2%	37	\$493	66	30.7%	\$730	92
Chippewa	4	14.8%	6	\$461	14	51.9%	\$743	75
Chisago	17	20.7%	16	\$386	45	54.9%	\$793	84
Clay	24	13.9%	51	\$438	74	42.8%	\$737	88
Clearwater	1	2.0%	6	\$209	14	28.6%	\$708	71
Cook	1	12.5%	2	*	3	37.5%	*	*
Cottonwood	5	16.7%	7	\$475	15	50.0%	\$814	94
Crow Wing	25	13.7%	54	\$379	86	47.3%	\$734	90
Dakota	60	8.0%	143	\$379	260	34.7%	\$855	85
Dodge	4	13.3%	13	\$402	12	40.0%	\$898	86
Douglas	5	9.1%	14	\$250	20	36.4%	\$552	74
Faribault	3	12.5%	8	\$406	9	37.5%	\$821	103
Fillmore	3	11.1%	10	\$463	11	40.7%	\$890	109
Freeborn	14	15.9%	30	\$332	45	51.1%	\$624	73
Goodhue	8	7.3%	27	\$346	41	37.6%	\$665	76
Grant	0	0.0%	3	*	4	36.4%	*	*
Hennepin	728	12.1%	830	\$493	1,857	30.8%	\$861	94
Houston	6	13.3%	15	\$276	21	46.7%	\$676	95
Hubbard	5	10.4%	12	\$435	16	33.3%	\$701	85
Isanti	11	12.1%	20	\$380	35	38.5%	\$763	90
Itasca	14	9.2%	24	\$408	47	30.9%	\$710	85
Jackson	1	7.1%	4	*	6	42.9%	\$654	76
Kanabec	10	20.8%	11	\$468	21	43.8%	\$1,011	111
Kandiyohi	13	8.7%	40	\$394	54	36.0%	\$799	96
Kittson	0	0.0%	0	*	1	50.0%	*	*
Koochiching	2	7.1%	6	\$357	14	50.0%	\$577	67
Lac Qui Parle	1	33.3%	3	*	3	100.0%	*	*
Lake	0	0.0%	2	*	3	20.0%	*	*
Lake of the Woods	1	14.3%	4	*	4	57.1%	*	*
Le Sueur	6	14.0%	15	\$340	21	48.8%	\$621	81
Lincoln	0	0.0%	3	*	0	0.0%	*	*
Lyon	13	22.0%	28	\$535	36	61.0%	\$993	110
McLeod	7	10.8%	16	\$427	27	41.5%	\$752	85
Mahnomen	5	5.7%	16	\$346	22	25.0%	\$787	91
Marshall	1	9.1%	1	*	7	63.6%	\$454	69
Martin	8	21.1%	12	\$451	25	65.8%	\$603	77
Meeker	5	16.7%	12	\$386	14	46.7%	\$915	105
Mille Lacs	7	11.5%	10	\$277	17	27.9%	\$743	82
Morrison	5	9.4%	15	\$314	21	39.6%	\$505	64
Mower	15	14.9%	42	\$384	63	62.4%	\$762	90

Table 17 – Page 2

County/Region/ State	Welfare		Monthly Work and Income					
	Food-only MFIP		Budgeted Earnings		Working		Income	Work Hours
	Count	Percent	Count	Mean	Count	Percent	Mean	Mean
Murray	0	0.0%	0	*	1	20.0%	*	*
Nicollet	17	19.5%	26	\$402	42	48.3%	\$744	83
Nobles	11	15.7%	15	\$473	31	44.3%	\$673	79
Norman	1	8.3%	3	*	5	41.7%	\$744	86
Olmsted	36	10.5%	85	\$431	150	43.7%	\$968	104
Otter Tail	7	7.5%	31	\$387	41	44.1%	\$775	85
Pennington	1	3.1%	11	\$293	14	43.8%	\$641	80
Pine	15	18.5%	14	\$553	33	40.7%	\$926	104
Pipestone	4	30.8%	5	*	6	46.2%	\$1,008	116
Polk	9	8.8%	20	\$396	31	30.4%	\$744	83
Pope	0	0.0%	4	*	6	40.0%	\$685	73
Ramsey	520	11.7%	780	\$490	1,403	31.5%	\$861	94
Red Lake	1	11.1%	3	*	4	44.4%	*	*
Redwood	9	33.3%	9	\$625	15	55.6%	\$1,003	114
Renville	2	5.4%	8	\$285	11	29.7%	\$676	83
Rice	10	8.6%	21	\$471	40	34.5%	\$813	90
Rock	4	20.0%	7	\$393	10	50.0%	\$893	108
Roseau	1	7.7%	2	*	5	38.5%	\$1,705	124
St. Louis	84	10.6%	194	\$389	307	38.9%	\$733	87
Scott	13	10.2%	22	\$42	49	38.3%	\$903	101
Sherburne	8	7.3%	16	\$0	38	34.5%	\$748	72
Sibley	6	23.1%	8	\$432	12	46.2%	\$745	78
Stearns	44	11.9%	82	\$429	145	39.3%	\$792	91
Steele	10	14.3%	15	\$570	24	34.3%	\$953	110
Stevens	0	0.0%	0	*	0	0.0%	*	*
Swift	1	8.3%	2	*	2	16.7%	*	*
Todd	7	14.3%	10	\$439	19	38.8%	\$693	91
Traverse	1	25.0%	2	*	3	75.0%	*	*
Wabasha	1	10.0%	3	*	4	40.0%	*	*
Wadena	7	13.2%	12	\$506	22	41.5%	\$854	93
Waseca	2	5.4%	11	\$403	17	45.9%	\$936	105
Washington	45	12.8%	76	\$457	128	36.5%	\$809	88
Watsonwan	5	27.8%	9	\$420	13	72.2%	\$769	81
Wilkin	3	33.3%	4	*	6	66.7%	\$549	67
Winona	13	17.3%	25	\$399	25	33.3%	\$757	98
Wright	8	6.1%	29	\$247	47	35.9%	\$740	76
Yellow Medicine	2	40.0%	2	*	4	80.0%	*	*

Table 17 – Page 3

County/Region/ State	Welfare		Monthly Work and Income					
	Food-only MFIP		Budgeted Earnings		Working		Income	Work Hours
	Count	Percent	Count	Mean	Count	Percent	Mean	Mean
Northwest	14	7.7%	40	\$341	67	37.0%	\$718	80
West Central	207	10.5%	448	\$425	700	35.4%	\$763	90
Northeast	117	10.7%	265	\$379	419	38.3%	\$717	86
Central	143	11.3%	286	\$400	483	38.2%	\$794	90
Southwest	59	19.8%	95	\$492	148	49.7%	\$823	95
South Central	84	17.9%	170	\$418	241	51.4%	\$738	87
Southeast	120	11.8%	286	\$402	436	43.0%	\$827	94
Metro Suburban	228	10.0%	448	\$413	840	36.9%	\$846	89
Core Metro	1,248	11.9%	1,610	\$491	3,260	31.1%	\$861	94
Minnesota	2,220	11.7%	3,648	\$446	6,594	34.6%	\$826	92

* For cells with less than 5 cases, data were removed to protect individual information.

Table 18. December 2007 One-eligible-adult MFIP Cases with Sanctions and Child Support Payments by County and Region

County/Region/ State	Sanctions		Child Support: Current Payments		
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Median
Aitkin	3	7.9%	11	28.9%	\$100
Anoka	72	8.0%	150	16.6%	\$169
Becker	10	7.9%	26	20.5%	\$140
Beltrami	26	3.5%	48	6.4%	\$151
Benton	14	15.4%	17	18.7%	\$193
Big Stone	0	0.0%	3	42.9%	*
Blue Earth	10	6.8%	34	23.3%	\$122
Brown	1	2.0%	16	32.0%	\$81
Carlton	7	11.3%	14	22.6%	\$141
Carver	7	11.5%	12	19.7%	\$222
Cass	25	11.6%	32	14.9%	\$140
Chippewa	0	0.0%	5	18.5%	\$86
Chisago	15	18.3%	26	31.7%	\$188
Clay	16	9.2%	23	13.3%	\$120
Clearwater	7	14.3%	11	22.4%	\$124
Cook	2	25.0%	2	25.0%	*
Cottonwood	0	0.0%	11	36.7%	\$247
Crow Wing	10	5.5%	46	25.3%	\$157
Dakota	61	8.1%	139	18.6%	\$158
Dodge	2	6.7%	6	20.0%	\$51
Douglas	5	9.1%	13	23.6%	\$176
Faribault	1	4.2%	4	16.7%	*
Fillmore	4	14.8%	8	29.6%	\$197
Freeborn	7	8.0%	21	23.9%	\$239
Goodhue	7	6.4%	28	25.7%	\$169
Grant	1	9.1%	3	27.3%	*
Hennepin	354	5.9%	723	12.0%	\$143
Houston	4	8.9%	15	33.3%	\$170
Hubbard	5	10.4%	15	31.3%	\$157
Isanti	18	19.8%	16	17.6%	\$180
Itasca	12	7.9%	32	21.1%	\$96
Jackson	0	0.0%	3	21.4%	*
Kanabec	8	16.7%	11	22.9%	\$50
Kandiyohi	23	15.3%	25	16.7%	\$154
Kittson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	*
Koochiching	0	0.0%	11	39.3%	\$124
Lac Qui Parle	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	*
Lake	1	6.7%	5	33.3%	\$211
Lake of the Woods	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	*
Le Sueur	3	7.0%	8	18.6%	\$234
Lincoln	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	*
Lyon	7	11.9%	13	22.0%	\$29
McLeod	4	6.2%	19	29.2%	\$95
Mahnomen	9	10.2%	7	8.0%	\$157
Marshall	0	0.0%	5	45.5%	\$48
Martin	5	13.2%	5	13.2%	\$278
Meeker	2	6.7%	8	26.7%	\$218
Mille Lacs	3	4.9%	19	31.1%	\$163

Table 18 – Page 2

County/Region/ State	Sanctions		Child Support: Current Payments		
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Median
Morrison	5	9.4%	16	30.2%	\$153
Mower	8	7.9%	20	19.8%	\$191
Murray	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	*
Nicollet	5	5.7%	29	33.3%	\$186
Nobles	2	2.9%	19	27.1%	\$107
Norman	0	0.0%	2	16.7%	*
Olmsted	32	9.3%	56	16.3%	\$236
Otter Tail	10	10.8%	13	14.0%	\$152
Pennington	3	9.4%	5	15.6%	\$186
Pine	8	9.9%	16	19.8%	\$261
Pipestone	0	0.0%	2	15.4%	*
Polk	6	5.9%	29	28.4%	\$164
Pope	0	0.0%	7	46.7%	\$250
Ramsey	243	5.5%	564	12.7%	\$145
Red Lake	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	*
Redwood	1	3.7%	5	18.5%	\$72
Renville	4	10.8%	5	13.5%	\$164
Rice	21	18.1%	18	15.5%	\$141
Rock	2	10.0%	6	30.0%	\$152
Roseau	1	7.7%	2	15.4%	*
St. Louis	76	9.6%	148	18.7%	\$136
Scott	9	7.0%	25	19.5%	\$206
Sherburne	9	8.2%	22	20.0%	\$123
Sibley	1	3.8%	6	23.1%	\$147
Stearns	25	6.8%	59	16.0%	\$190
Steele	9	12.9%	15	21.4%	\$50
Stevens	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	*
Swift	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	*
Todd	3	6.1%	11	22.4%	\$138
Traverse	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	*
Wabasha	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	*
Wadena	6	11.3%	13	24.5%	\$280
Waseca	4	10.8%	8	21.6%	\$215
Washington	28	8.0%	74	21.1%	\$146
Watsonwan	1	5.6%	5	27.8%	\$0
Wilkin	1	11.1%	3	33.3%	*
Winona	9	12.0%	16	21.3%	\$146
Wright	25	19.1%	21	16.0%	\$146
Yellow Medicine	0	0.0%	2	40.0%	*
Northwest	11	6.1%	45	24.9%	\$169
West Central	139	7.0%	289	14.6%	\$156
Northeast	101	9.2%	223	20.4%	\$131
Central	143	11.3%	238	18.8%	\$166
Southwest	14	4.7%	73	24.5%	\$107
South Central	31	6.6%	115	24.5%	\$135
Southeast	104	10.3%	204	20.1%	\$185
Metro Suburban	192	8.4%	426	18.7%	\$169
Core Metro	597	5.7%	1,287	12.3%	\$144
Minnesota	1,332	7.0%	2,900	15.2%	\$150

* For cells with fewer than 5 cases, data have been removed to protect individual information.

Table 19. December 2007 Two-eligible-adult MFIP Cases with Exceptions, Exemptions, or Extensions to the Lifetime Limit, MFIP Counted Months, and New MFIP Cases in 2007 by County and Region

County/Region/ State	Number of Cases	Exemption, Exception, and Extended				Counted Months			New Cases in 2007			
		Exempt/Excepted Cases		Extended Cases		Mean	Over 48 Months		Total		Out-of-State	
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Aitkin	10	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Anoka	150	9	6.0%	15	10.0%	22	28	18.7%	43	28.7%	20	13.3%
Becker	29	2	6.9%	2	6.9%	23	5	17.2%	7	24.1%	0	0.0%
Beltrami	185	108	58.4%	4	2.2%	14	13	7.0%	25	13.5%	*	
Benton	13	0	0.0%	2	15.4%	32	4	30.8%	2	15.4%	0	0.0%
Big Stone	1	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	60	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Blue Earth	30	1	3.3%	1	3.3%	27	4	13.3%	4	13.3%	*	
Brown	10	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	19	1	10.0%	4	40.0%	0	0.0%
Carlton	11	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	28	1	9.1%	3	27.3%	*	
Carver	9	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	20	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%
Cass	36	3	8.3%	1	2.8%	24	8	22.2%	8	22.2%	0	0.0%
Chippewa	3	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	23	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%
Chisago	16	3	18.8%	1	6.3%	15	2	12.5%	6	37.5%	0	0.0%
Clay	47	5	10.6%	2	4.3%	18	3	6.4%	12	25.5%	*	
Clearwater	8	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	19	0	0.0%	2	25.0%	*	
Cook	0	0		0			0		0		0	
Cottonwood	2	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	37	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Crow Wing	31	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	21	3	9.7%	9	29.0%	*	
Dakota	85	9	10.6%	3	3.5%	21	11	12.9%	20	23.5%	*	
Dodge	3	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	0	0.0%
Douglas	11	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11	0	0.0%	3	27.3%	0	0.0%
Faribault	3	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	14	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Fillmore	5	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	23	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%
Freeborn	7	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	12	0	0.0%	6	85.7%	0	0.0%
Goodhue	18	0	0.0%	1	5.6%	22	3	16.7%	3	16.7%	0	0.0%
Grant	3	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	26	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	*	
Hennepin	612	48	7.8%	32	5.2%	26	109	17.8%	109	17.8%	30	4.9%
Houston	10	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	25	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	*	
Hubbard	9	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	27	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%
Isanti	14	0	0.0%	1	7.1%	18	2	14.3%	6	42.9%	0	0.0%

Table 19 – Page 2

County/Region/ State	Number of Cases	Exemption, Exception, and Extended				Counted Months			New Cases in 2007			
		Exempt/Excepted		Extended Cases		Mean	Over 48 Months		Total		Out-of-State	
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Itasca	32	6	18.8%	2	6.3%	23	9	28.1%	10	31.3%	0	0.0%
Jackson	5	2	40.0%	0	0.0%	11	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%
Kanabec	13	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	35	6	46.2%	2	15.4%	*	
Kandiyohi	22	4	18.2%	0	0.0%	15	1	4.5%	5	22.7%	*	
Kittson	1	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	37	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Koochiching	10	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	11	0	0.0%	4	40.0%	0	0.0%
Lac Qui Parle	2	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	21	0	0.0%	0		0	0.0%
Lake	6	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	0	0.0%	4	66.7%	*	
Lake of the Woods	3	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	23	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	*	
Le Sueur	10	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	29	3	30.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lincoln	0	0		0			0		0		0	
Lyon	11	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	21	2	18.2%	5	45.5%	0	0.0%
McLeod	7	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	22	1	14.3%	3	42.9%	*	
Mahnomen	20	4	20.0%	2	10.0%	27	4	20.0%	3	15.0%	0	0.0%
Marshall	4	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15	0	0.0%	1		0	0.0%
Martin	7	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	30	3	42.9%	3	42.9%	0	0.0%
Meeker	9	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	14	0	0.0%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%
Mille Lacs	10	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	21	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%
Morrison	12	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	17	1	8.3%	5	41.7%	0	0.0%
Mower	13	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	20	1	7.7%	2	15.4%	0	0.0%
Murray	2	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%
Nicollet	13	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	22	2	15.4%	4	30.8%	0	0.0%
Nobles	7	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	12	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%
Norman	4	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	17	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%
Olmsted	39	2	5.1%	0	0.0%	19	5	12.8%	7	17.9%	*	
Otter Tail	16	3	18.8%	0	0.0%	17	1	6.3%	4	25.0%	*	
Pennington	1	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	23	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pine	22	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	19	3	13.6%	6	27.3%	0	0.0%
Pipestone	2	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	25	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Polk	19	0	0.0%	1	5.3%	18	3	15.8%	8	42.1%	*	

Table 19 – Page 3

County/Region/ State	Number of Cases	Exemption, Exception, and Extended				Counted Months			New Cases in 2007			
		Exempt/Excepted		Extended Cases		Mean	Over 48 Months		Total		Out-of-State	
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Pope	2	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ramsey	717	49	6.8%	96	13.4%	27	144	20.1%	130	18.1%	48	6.7%
Red Lake	3	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	26	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	*	
Redwood	4	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	2	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%
Renville	9	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	31	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	0	
Rice	17	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	20	1	5.9%	3	17.6%	0	
Rock	3	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	0	0.0%	2	66.7%	0	0.0%
Roseau	1	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	*	
St. Louis	127	9	7.1%	10	7.9%	25	21	16.5%	24	18.9%	*	
Scott	18	1	5.6%	2	11.1%	24	4	22.2%	5	27.8%	*	
Sherburne	18	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	27	5	27.8%	5	27.8%	0	0.0%
Sibley	6	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	17	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	0	0.0%
Stearns	57	3	5.3%	2	3.5%	18	6	10.5%	14	24.6%	*	
Steele	13	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	23	2	15.4%	2	15.4%	0	0.0%
Stevens	2	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	14	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Swift	0	0		0			0		0		0	
Todd	15	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	23	2	13.3%	3	20.0%	0	0.0%
Traverse	1	0		0		9	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Wabasha	6	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	17	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%
Wadena	18	2	11.1%	2	11.1%	27	5	27.8%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%
Waseca	5	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	7	0	0.0%	2	40.0%	*	
Washington	36	3	8.3%	1	2.8%	23	5	13.9%	8	22.2%	0	0.0%
Watsonwan	1	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Wilkin	1	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Winona	15	1	6.7%	1	6.7%	18	1	6.7%	4	26.7%	0	0.0%
Wright	11	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	14	1	9.1%	5	45.5%	0	0.0%
Yellow Medicine	0	0		0			0					

Table 19 – Page 4

County/Region/ State	Number of Cases	Exemption, Exception, and Extended				Counted Months			New Cases in 2007			
		Exempt/Excepted		Extended Cases		Mean	Over 48 Months		Total		Out-of-State	
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Northwest	33	1	3.0%	2	6.1%	18	4	12.1%	12	36.4%	*	
West Central	450	129	28.7%	14	3.1%	18	47	10.4%	86	19.1%	8	1.8%
Northeast	196	15	7.7%	13	6.6%	23	31	15.8%	45	23.0%	6	3.1%
Central	205	13	6.3%	9	4.4%	21	32	15.6%	51	24.9%	5	2.4%
Southwest	42	5	11.9%	0	0.0%	17	4	9.5%	13	31.0%	0	0.0%
South Central	85	7	8.2%	2	2.4%	23	13	15.3%	19	22.4%	*	
Southeast	151	8	5.3%	2	1.3%	19	15	9.9%	33	21.9%	*	
Metro Suburban	323	27	8.4%	22	6.8%	22	51	15.8%	84	26.0%	22	6.8%
Core Metro	1,377	97	7.0%	128	9.3%	26	253	18.4%	239	17.4%	78	5.7%
Minnesota	2,862	302	10.6%	192	6.7%	23	450	15.7%	582	20.3%	129	4.5%

* For cells with fewer than 5 cases, data have been removed to protect individual identities.

Table 20. December 2007 Two-eligible-adult MFIP Cases Receiving Food-only Assistance, Budgeted Earnings, Working Adults, Earned Income, and Month Work Hours by County and Region

County/Region/ State	Number of Cases	Welfare		Monthly Work and Income					
		Food-only MFIP		Budgeted Earnings		Working		Income	Work Hours
		Count	Percent	Count	Mean	Count	Percent	Mean	Mean
Aitkin	10	1	10.0%	6	\$381	6	60.0%	\$1,063	133
Anoka	150	21	14.0%	50	\$573	82	54.7%	\$1,037	110
Becker	29	4	13.8%	11	\$611	12	41.4%	\$947	104
Beltrami	185	25	13.5%	47	\$630	86	46.5%	\$1,119	141
Benton	13	4	30.8%	5	\$568	10	76.9%	\$1,838	165
Big Stone	1	1	100.0%	1	*	1	100.0%	*	*
Blue Earth	30	7	23.3%	18	\$755	24	80.0%	\$1,028	130
Brown	10	2	20.0%	5	\$453	9	90.0%	\$1,047	125
Carlton	11	1	9.1%	6	\$299	9	81.8%	\$718	90
Carver	9	2	22.2%	1	*	5	55.6%	\$1,299	118
Cass	36	4	11.1%	10	\$620	15	41.7%	\$1,368	145
Chippewa	3	0	0.0%	3	*	3	100.0%	*	*
Chisago	16	1	6.3%	5	\$538	9	56.3%	\$878	96
Clay	47	9	19.1%	18	\$581	29	61.7%	\$1,030	124
Clearwater	8	0	0.0%	2	*	5	62.5%	\$462	106
Cook	0	0		0	*	0		*	*
Cottonwood	2	0	0.0%	1	*	1	50.0%	*	*
Crow Wing	31	2	6.5%	11	\$555	17	54.8%	\$1,207	126
Dakota	94	13	13.8%	30	\$526	51	54.3%	\$961	102
Dodge	3	0	0.0%	2	*	2	66.7%	*	*
Douglas	11	3	27.3%	7	\$592	10	90.9%	\$1,263	135
Faribault	3	0	0.0%	1	*	1	33.3%	*	*
Fillmore	6	1	16.7%	1	*	3	50.0%	*	*
Freeborn	11	3	27.3%	6	\$678	6	54.5%	\$1,382	133
Goodhue	18	2	11.1%	7	\$375	9	50.0%	\$893	96
Grant	4	0	0.0%	2	*	1	25.0%	*	*
Hennepin	660	159	24.1%	185	\$722	389	58.9%	\$1,279	133
Houston	10	2	20.0%	7	\$412	6	60.0%	\$1,404	204
Hubbard	9	1	11.1%	5	\$432	8	88.9%	\$860	108
Isanti	14	0	0.0%	5	\$392	9	64.3%	\$813	101
Itasca	32	5	15.6%	9	\$663	19	59.4%	\$983	120
Jackson	5	0	0.0%	1	*	1	20.0%	*	*
Kanabec	13	0	0.0%	6	\$302	9	69.2%	\$896	116
Kandiyohi	22	3	13.6%	11	\$473	16	72.7%	\$1,015	119
Kittson	1	0	0.0%	1	*	0	0.0%	*	*
Koochiching	10	0	0.0%	0	*	7	70.0%	\$1,047	91
Lac Qui Parle	2	0	0.0%	1	*	2	100.0%	*	*
Lake	6	2	33.3%	4	*	4	66.7%	*	*
Lake of the Woods	3	1	33.3%	0	*	2	66.7%	*	*
Le Sueur	10	1	10.0%	5	\$279	5	50.0%	\$723	107
Lincoln	0	0		0	*	0		*	*
Lyon	11	3	27.3%	5	\$736	6	54.5%	\$1,255	145
McLeod	7	0	0.0%	1	*	4	57.1%	*	*
Mahnomen	20	0	0.0%	6	\$401	9	45.0%	\$1,305	124
Marshall	4	0	0.0%	0	*	3	75.0%	*	*

Table 20 – Page 2

County/Region/ State	Number of Cases	Welfare		Monthly Work and Income					
		Food-only MFIP		Budgeted Earnings		Working		Income	Work Hours
		Count	Percent	Count	Mean	Count	Percent	Mean	Mean
Martin	7	2	28.6%	5	\$398	6	85.7%	\$1,012	109
Meeker	9	0	0.0%	4	*	6	66.7%	\$1,186	108
Mille Lacs	10	2	20.0%	5	\$424	4	40.0%	*	*
Morrison	12	0	0.0%	7	\$289	7	58.3%	\$910	130
Mower	13	2	15.4%	8	\$636	9	69.2%	\$1164	116
Murray	2	0	0.0%	1	*	2	100.0%	*	*
Nicollet	13	5	38.5%	9	\$689	12	92.3%	\$1,049	109
Nobles	7	2	28.6%	4	*	4	57.1%	*	*
Norman	4	0	0.0%	1	*	3	75.0%	*	*
Olmsted	39	10	25.6%	15	\$588	22	56.4%	\$1,148	135
Otter Tail	16	5	31.3%	10	\$663	11	68.8%	\$1,138	120
Pennington	1	0	0.0%	0	*	0	0.0%	*	*
Pine	22	5	22.7%	8	\$626	12	54.5%	\$1,126	137
Pipestone	2	1	50.0%	1	*	0	0.0%	*	*
Polk	19	2	10.5%	5	\$407	14	73.7%	\$872	82
Pope	2	0	0.0%	0	*	2	100.0%	*	*
Ramsey	717	166	23.2%	288	\$763	433	60.4%	\$1,297	141
Red Lake	3	0	0.0%	1	*	3	100.0%	*	*
Redwood	4	0	0.0%	0	*	1	25.0%	*	*
Renville	9	2	22.2%	2	*	3	33.3%	*	*
Rice	17	3	17.6%	8	\$595	10	58.8%	\$930	108
Rock	3	0	0.0%	2	*	3	100.0%	*	*
Roseau	1	1	100.0%	1	*	1	100.0%	*	*
St. Louis	127	23	18.1%	57	\$482	76	59.8%	\$983	120
Scott	18	1	5.6%	5	\$603	11	61.1%	\$1,277	126
Sherburne	18	2	11.1%	5	\$553	8	44.4%	\$754	86
Sibley	6	1	16.7%	3	*	6	100.0%	\$1,441	98
Stearns	57	7	12.3%	21	\$716	27	47.4%	\$1,373	124
Steele	13	2	15.4%	6	\$728	6	46.2%	\$1,361	119
Stevens	2	0	0.0%	2	*	2	100.0%	*	*
Swift	0	0		0	*	0		*	*
Todd	15	3	0.0%	9	\$416	10	66.7%	\$842	98
Traverse	1	0		0	*	1	100.0%	*	*
Wabasha	6	1	16.7%	3	*	5	83.3%	\$1,037	95
Wadena	18	4	22.2%	11	\$408	16	88.9%	\$775	93
Waseca	5	0	0.0%	2	*	3	60.0%	*	*
Washington	36	8	22.0%	14	\$586	25	69.4%	\$1,143	123
Watsonwan	1	0	0.0%	0	*	1	100.0%	*	*
Wilkin	1	0	0.0%	0	*	0	0.0%	*	*
Winona	15	1	6.7%	6	\$474	9	60.0%	\$1,284	142
Wright	11	2	18.2%	3	*	5	45.5%	\$386	69
Yellow Medicine	0	0		0	*	0		*	*
Northwest	33	3	9.1%	9	\$443	24	72.7%	\$907	88
West Central	450	61	13.6%	158	\$555	243	54.0%	\$1,085	128
Northeast	196	32	16.3%	82	\$489	121	61.7%	\$ 983	118
Central	205	27	13.2%	76	\$562	113	55.1%	\$1,117	117
Southwest	42	7	16.7%	20	\$616	24	57.1%	\$1,099	124
South Central	85	18	21.2%	48	\$594	67	78.8%	\$1,045	118
Southeast	151	27	17.9%	69	\$550	87	57.6%	\$1,141	126
Metro Suburban	323	46	14.2%	105	\$565	183	56.7%	\$1,044	110
Core Metro	1,377	325	23.6%	473	\$743	822	59.7%	\$1,283	138
Minnesota	2,862	546	19.1%	1,040	\$640	1,684	58.8%	\$1,174	128

* For cells with fewer than 5 cases, data have been removed to protect private information.

Table 21. December 2007 Two-eligible-adult Cases with Sanctions and Child Support Payments by Large County and Region

County/Region/ State	Sanctions		Child Support: Current Payments		
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Median
Anoka	17	11.3%	2	1.3%	*
Beltrami	10	5.4%	9	4.9%	\$114
Dakota	8	8.5%	9	9.6%	\$98
Hennepin	47	7.1%	25	3.8%	\$78
Olmsted	7	17.9%	3	7.7%	*
Ramsey	45	6.3%	20	2.8%	\$192
St. Louis	14	11.0%	5	3.9%	\$102
Washington	4	11.1%	1	2.8%	*
All Other Counties	96	11.2%	69	8.1%	\$194
Northwest	6	18.2%	5	15.2%	\$173
West Central	32	7.1%	33	7.3%	\$153
Northeast	20	10.2%	11	5.6%	\$194
Central	32	15.6%	15	7.3%	\$220
Southwest	2	4.8%	3	7.1%	*
South Central	8	9.4%	9	10.6%	\$173
Southeast	25	16.6%	8	5.3%	\$80
Metro Suburban	31	9.6%	14	4.3%	\$74
Core Metro	92	6.7%	45	3.3%	\$129
Minnesota	248	8.7%	143	5.0%	\$159

* For cells with less than 5 cases, data have been removed to protect individual information.