Date of Report:July 1, 2002LCMR Final Work Program ReportDate of Workplan Approval:Project Completion Date:June 30, 2002

I. PROJECT TITLE: By-Products Application to Agricultural, Mineland, and Forest Soils

FINAL REPORT

Project Manager: Affiliation: Mailing Address:	Carl J. Rosen Western Lake Superior Sanitary District University of Minnesota, Department of Soil, Water, and Climate, 1991 Upper Buford Circle, St. Paul, MN 55108		
Telephone: E-mail: Fax: Web Page:	612-625-8114 crosen@soils.umn.edu 612-624-4941 www.soils.umn.edu		
Total Biennial Budget: \$ LCMR: - \$ LCMR Amount Spent:	\$371,000 \$350,000 \$349,443.20	S Match: - S Match Spent:	\$21,000 \$21,000
= LCMR Balance:	\$556.80	= \$ Match Balance:	\$0

Appropriation Language

Carryforward Language: ML 2001, 1<sup>st</sup> Special Session, Chp 2, Sec. 14, Subd. 18 paragraph (a): The availability of the appropriation for the following project is extended to June 30, 2002: ML 1999, Chap. 231, Sec. 16, Subd. 10(g) (010g), By-Products Application to Agricultural, Mineland, and Forest Soils.

- A. Legal Citation: ML 1999, Chap. 231, Sec. 16, Subd. 10(g)
- B. Carryforward Language: The availability of appropriations for the following project is extended to June 30, 2002: ML 2001, 1<sup>st</sup> Special Session, Ch. 2, Sec. 14, Subd. 18 (010g), By-Products Application to Agricultural, Mineland, and Forest Soils.

\$175,000 the first year and \$175,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the Pollution Control Agency for an agreement with the Western Lake Superior Sanitary District to create a Northeast Minnesota consortium of public utilities, wood-products and mining industries to research environmentally sound co-applications of industrial and municipal by-products for agriculture, forestry and mineland reclamation. The appropriation must be matched by at least \$21,000 of nonstate money.

C. Status of Match Requirement: Match commitments from project collaborators for by-product testing and analysis are as follows. Letters of commitment and final receipts on file.

Lake Superior Paper:	\$8,000
Potlatch:	\$3,000
EVTAC	\$6,525
City of Virginia paid Northeast Tech Services	\$1,945
WLSSD: In Kind and cash	\$8,056

#### **II. and III. FINAL PROJECT SUMMARY**

#### **RESEARCH PROJECT**

The overall goal of this project was to evaluate the potential for application and co-application of municipal and industrial by-products in agriculture, forestry, and mineland reclamation with particular emphasis on beneficial use of by-products in northeastern Minnesota.

**Overall Project Outcome and Results** 

A review of literature pertaining to beneficial utilization of by-products indicated that the dominant by-products in the region include wood ash generated from paper mill companies and biosolids from municipalities. Based on chemical characterization of inorganic and organic constituents, these by-products meet existing federal and state limits for beneficial application as soil amendments. The wood ash is a potential liming amendment and potassium source while biosolids can supply organic matter and many nutrients including nitrogen and phosphorus.

A series of laboratory, greenhouse, and field studies conducted over a 3-year period suggest that application and co-application of by-products can be a sustainable management practice for the region. The by-products either increased yield of crops tested or had no effect on yield compared to conventional practices without by-product application. When applied or co-applied at agronomic rates, environmental monitoring indicated no adverse effect of amendments on available metals in soil, levels of nitrate in soil water, or plant uptake of metals. A novel by-product application trenching method was developed for mineland reclamation with hybrid poplar.

Project Results Use and Dissemination

The results from this project are being used by the University of Minnesota and State Agencies to address environmental and production concerns related to by-product application. Two technical workshops, four presentations at national and regional meetings, and more than 20 related presentations and field tours were given. The audiences included scientists, by-product generators and managers, farmers, foresters, mineland reclamation professionals, extension educators, and regulatory agency employees. A literature review and preliminary forestry results can be accessed at:

http://www.cnr.umn.edu/FR/publications/staffpapers/Staffpaper153.PDF and http://www.cnr.umn.edu/FR/publications/staffpapers/Staffpaper162.pdf

# IV. OUTLINE OF PROJECT RESULTS (see Attachment 1)

### **Result I. Evaluation of By-Products:**

# **Final Status June 2002**

LCMR budget \$76,500 -LCMR amt. spent \$76,005.44 LCMR balance \$494.56

Match budget \$13,000 Match spent \$13,000 Match balance \$0

### Result II. Field Research Design, Study, and Monitoring:

**Final Status June 2002** LCMR budget: \$226,000 -LCMR amt. spent: \$225,985.10 LCMR balance \$14.90

Match budget \$8000 Match spent \$8,000 Match balance \$0

# **Result III.** Dissemination and Outreach (Information Sharing):

**Final Status June 2002:** LCMR budget: \$47,500 LCMR amt. spent:\$47,452.66 LCMR amt. left: \$47.34