

Capitol Area Architectural Planning Bd

Projects Summary

(\$ in Thousands)

| Project Title | 2010 Agency Priority Ranking | Agency Project Request for State Funds (\$ by Session) | | | | Governor's Recommendations | Governor's Planning Estimate | |
|--|------------------------------|--|---------|------|---------|----------------------------|------------------------------|------|
| | | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | Total | | 2010 | 2012 |
| Capitol Predesign | 1 | \$550 | \$5,000 | \$0 | \$5,550 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Capitol Area Light Rail Transit Mitigation | 2 | 1,250 | 0 | 0 | 1,250 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Project Requests | | \$1,800 | \$5,000 | \$0 | \$6,800 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

Agency Profile At A Glance

- ◆ The board is comprised of 12 members, chaired by the lieutenant governor, with both house and senate representation as well as gubernatorial and city appointees.
- ◆ As the planning and regulatory agency responsible for architectural design and long- range planning for the Capitol area, the CAAPB has exclusive zoning jurisdiction and design review over both the state government complex and the surrounding commercial and residential neighborhoods.
- ◆ The agency's jurisdiction comprises a 60-block area that contains 15 state office buildings (two million gross square feet of office, ceremonial, and public spaces), six blocks of commercial/retail space, 12 residential blocks, and one primary care hospital campus.
- ◆ The non-partisan board is responsible to the legislature and provides capital budget requests for the Capitol Building
- ◆ Since 1986, CAAPB capital budget appropriations totaling \$47.0 million have been dedicated to capitol building projects.
- ◆ The board is charged by Statute for routing and design of the Central Corridor Light Rail Transit (LRT) project through the Capitol area.

Agency Purpose

Capitol Area Architectural Planning Board (CAAPB) was established by the 1967 legislature to ensure the architectural integrity of the Capitol, the buildings adjacent to it, the Capitol grounds, and the Capitol area. Guided by its responsibilities under M.S. 15B, the board works to preserve and enhance the Capitol area’s unique aesthetic and historic character. It also plans and guides its future development by maintaining a framework for physical growth. The agency’s responsibilities are unique on a national level.

Core Functions

As overseer of Capitol area development, the CAAPB's regulatory responsibility for public and private projects covers permitted zoning and all phases of design and construction review. Individual project planning occurs

within a long-range framework for the area's physical development. The CAAPB's *1998 Comprehensive Plan* and the *Specific Actions for Implementation of the Comprehensive Plan* are the framework for its daily agenda, along with the *Zoning and Design Rules for the Capitol Area*, published in January 2000.

Key Service Strategies:

- ◆ Initiate funding requests, promoting timely design, restoration, and maintenance of the Capitol Building.
- ◆ Provide framework for the development and maintenance of the Capitol Mall and its memorials.
- ◆ Manage internal agency operations, human resources, planning, and projects.
- ◆ Provide planning tools and guidelines for future Capitol area development.
- ◆ Coordinate all historical documents for the Capitol area.
- ◆ Provide open communication and coordination with all clientele.

Operations

The 12-member CAAPB is chaired by the lieutenant governor: it includes four gubernatorial and three mayoral appointees, as well as four members from both the house and senate. An advisory committee of two professional architects and one landscape architect, along with four staff, serves the board. The board takes public testimony, reviews staff/advisor reports, and takes action on zoning requests and design review issues. The board meets approximately six times a year.

Focusing their performance on good design and long-range planning, the board is often in a position to coordinate and leverage public improvements in a cost-effective and results-orientated manner with other state agencies, the city of Saint Paul, neighborhood planning bodies, private sector professionals, and most recently the Metropolitan Council.

Key Goals

With equal priority the CAAPB has four key goals.:

- ◆ Enforce and maintain the rules of zoning and design in keeping with the purposes of the CAAPB within the Capitol area.
- ◆ Work in cooperation with the city of Saint Paul and immediate neighborhood districts to ensure that area adjacent to the Capitol area is developed in keeping with the purposes of the board and the comprehensive plan.
- ◆ Monitor, enhance, and maintain the beauty of the Capitol area in keeping with M.S. 15B.01, and the comprehensive plan.
- ◆ Continue to build consensus on the need to restore the Minnesota state capitol building.

Key Measures

Within a biennium, the board and its zoning administrator take timely action on over 50 requests for zoning permits or design review approvals for projects within the Capitol area.

The CAAPB completes reviews and approvals of zoning requests within 60 days of when a request is filed. No building permit can be issued by the city of Saint Paul until the CAAPB issues a zoning permit. The board is currently in process of updating its 2000 *Rules Governing Zoning and Design for the Minnesota State Capitol Area* to be completed by end of 2009, and to include new form-based design standards.

CAAPB staff, the advisory committee, and the board have been involved in all planning efforts focused on the Central Corridor LRT Project in response to M.S. 15B.13 regarding the Capitol area. To date, the CAAPB has worked closely with the city of Saint Paul and the Met Council in approving the alignment and three Capitol area station locations for the LRT through the district. The board must approve each station design for compatibility with the comprehensive plan.

The CAAPB has updated zoning and design rules and its comprehensive plan, as well as launched a newly enhanced website. Based on solid planning tools and guidelines developed in concert with the comprehensive

plan and the zoning and design rules, the board has a positive impact on the overall appearance and beauty of the Capitol area and beyond.

Budget

The CAAPB's base budget is appropriated from the general fund. The current budget allocates 81% for four FTEs, 9% for rent, 1% for LAN costs, and 9% for fundamental business operations: copier, phones, mailing, supplies, and board and advisory committee meetings.

Contact

Capitol Area Architectural and Planning Board
 Suite 204, Administration Building
 50 Sherburne Avenue
 Saint Paul, Minnesota 55155

World Wide Web Home Page: <http://www.caapb.state.mn.us>

Nancy Stark, Executive Secretary
 Phone: (651) 757-1501
 Fax: (651) 296-6718

At A Glance: Agency Long-Range Strategic Goals

- ◆ To upgrade, restore, and maintain the Minnesota State Capitol Building.
- ◆ To preserve and enhance the capitol area’s unique aesthetic and historic character.
- ◆ To plan and guide the capitol area’s future development by maintaining a framework for its physical growth.

The Capitol Area Architecture and Planning Board’s (CAAPB) *Comprehensive Plan*, the zoning design ordinance, and the Department of Administration’s strategic plan provide the basis for the CAAPB’s work. With these tools and current studies, the CAAPB’s capital budget plan will take the approach of studying the larger Capitol Campus picture, and how a long-range master plan will come to influence the Capitol Building’s renovation.

Trends, Policies and Other Issues Affecting the Demand for Services, Facilities, or Capital Programs

The CAAPB, in its fourth decade, is served by two major planning frameworks to guide its work: *The Strategic Plan for Locating State Agencies* (1993, rev. 1995) by the Administration Department, and its own 1998 *Comprehensive Plan for the Minnesota State Capitol Area* and 2009 amendment which focuses on improvements within the capitol area.

As a result of the *1998 Comprehensive Plan*, now amended, and other work by both the state and city of Saint Paul, the *CAAPB’s Rules Governing Zoning and Design for the Minnesota State Capitol Area* were rewritten in 2009. This document governs zoning and design regulations in an approximate 60-block area around the Capitol Building.

The board’s comprehensive plan continues to focus on the capitol area in its larger context as part of the capital city, as well as continued residential development for three capitol area subdistricts: the East Capitol, Rice-University, and Summit Park areas and the block containing a Sears store. It also includes a policy framework for commemorative works in the capitol area, adopted by the Board in 1993.

The *1993 Strategic Plan*, a collaborative effort of the Department of Administration and the CAAPB, incorporated much of the original comprehensive plan’s urban design framework. It has projected development of four to five new state buildings to be sited within the capitol area over the next two decades. Four have been built to date.

The CAAPB’s responsibility for public projects begins with site selection and architectural design competitions and continues its review through design and construction. Upon zoning approval by the Board, the city of St. Paul can then issue a building permit for a project.

Besides review of and permitting new buildings, the CAAPB’s recent planning efforts have included commemorative works, public safety and accessibility improvements, redesigned state parking lots, a much-needed Capitol complex comprehensive sign program, and a lighting master plan for the Capitol Building and its environs, enhancing the security of the area.

In 1998, the CAAPB adopted a *Policy for Works of Art in the Minnesota State Capitol*, as developed by the Minnesota Historical Society (MHS), the Department of Administration, and the CAAPB. The policy establishes standards and design guidelines, along with a process for the review and acceptance of new art, and restoration of existing art in the Capitol.

After 20 years of studies for the Central Corridor, the Light Rail Transit (LRT) route through the capitol area was officially designated in January 2008 by the Board. This alignment along University Avenue to Robert Street and on into downtown Saint Paul via Cedar Street, serves all of the capitol area with three stations (Rice Street and Leif Erikson Park, Robert Street and the Freeman Building, and on Cedar Street south of I-94 freeway in front of the Andersen Building). The CAAPB Advisory Committee and staff along with the Administration Department have worked diligently the past two years with the LRT team on the design details to assure safety, accessibility, and quality of materials throughout the capitol area.

Provide a Self-Assessment of the Condition, Suitability, and Functionality of Present Facilities, Capital Projects, or Assets

Preserving the Capitol Building has been a high priority for the CAAPB for the past 30 years, but only since the mid-1980s has the legislature become fully

involved in the effort. Maintenance of the building was deferred, for the most part, until a structural emergency required action.

After nearly 100 years, building systems and equipment have outlived their advancement into this 21st century, and the Minnesota State Capitol's interior materials and furnishings are in need of restoration and refurbishing. The state must bring the facility up to code and invest in its infrastructure for it to sustain another 100 years.

With the historical markers of the Capitol's centennial in 2005, and, in 2008, the state's 150th anniversary of statehood, the CAAPB, Administration, and MHS are pursuing to complete restoration/renovation of the building and environs with requests for legislative appropriations in 2012.

The CAAPB's overall responsibility for the Capitol and its grounds, as well as the buildings in the Capitol Complex, is primarily to protect existing assets and to plan for future investments. Campus development, in response to both state government needs and those of the public, has required broad flexibility in the CAAPB's overall planning. The impact of the recent economic downturn and budget reductions leaves the Capitol Building and surrounding mall vulnerable to lower levels of maintenance than the public and employees have come to appreciate and expect. The board foresees the need to increase mall memorial budgets for maintenance from the typical ten to 20 percent.

Increasing use of the Capitol Mall for public events and proposals for memorials require the board to refine the long-range plans for mall development. With these uses has come a growing concern for improved personal safety and access for both the general public and the disabled, and the need to balance open green space with that of future memorials.

Agency Process Used to Arrive at These Capital Requests

An initial capital project list was developed by examining unfunded requests from previous years and assessing their compatibility with the capitol area comprehensive plan and other long-range goals. CAAPB staff then consulted with several departments to discuss related projects. In the case of the Capitol Building, this process included the Minnesota Historical Society, Capitol Security, and the Administration Department, as well as the CAAPB's

consulting architect for the Capitol Building restoration. Throughout the entire process, CAAPB staff worked closely with the Administration Department to ensure that proposals for the future years are coordinated.

Once the information had been incorporated into the preliminary list of capital budget requests, staff reviewed the requests with the Capitol Area Board and its Architectural Advisory Committee.

Agency Capital Budget Projects During Last Six Years (2000-2007)

The CAAPB's request continues to focus on restoration of the Capitol Building. Since the 2001 predesign, the following changes have occurred that have greatly influenced the course of recommended restorations:

- ◆ Heightened security due to 9/11
- ◆ Code compliant accessibility and life-safety issues
- ◆ Increased mechanical requirements
- ◆ Increased energy costs, lack of efficiencies, and sustainability
- ◆ Technological advances
- ◆ Increase in public participation in government

With partial design money appropriated in 2005 and 2006, a new design solution that evolved differs from the 2001 predesign and began to address the issues noted above.

Since 1985, capital budget appropriations totaling \$47 million have been dedicated to Capitol Building projects, ranging from fire management systems updates, re-roofing, repair of the lantern and the dome, restoration/renovation of the Quadriga, reconstruction of all terraces and the Capitol Building Cafeteria. The 2001 Minnesota State Capitol Predesign Study to guide future interior restoration/renovation of the Capitol was also part of this funding.

With exception of the 2007 Capital Budget Request for the Capitol's Restoration submitted by Administration and supported by the CAAPB, the following are the CAAPB's Capital Budget Requests since 2000 with appropriated funds noted:

| | | <u>Requested</u> | <u>Appropriated</u> |
|---------------|--|------------------|---------------------|
| 2000 | - Interior Predesign (2001) | \$318K | \$300K |
| | - Restore Room 123 | \$872K | 0 |
| | - Lighting/Security & Assc. | \$545K | 0 |
| 2002 | - Interior SD & DD Design | \$2.1M | 0 |
| | - G-2 nd floors paint and plaster | \$646K (GF) | \$ 54K |
| | Elevators (doors and grille) | \$1.933M | 0 |
| | - Complex – Signage | \$712K | 0 |
| 2004 | - Interior SD Design | \$1.2M | 0 |
| | Pre-design for Capitol Expansion | \$500K | 0 |
| | - G-2 nd floors paint and plaster | \$1.305 (GF) | 0 |
| | 3 rd floor paint and plaster | \$500K* | 0 |
| | Dome restoration | \$1.370M** | 0 |
| | - Complex – Signage | \$773K | 0 |
| 2005 | - Phase 1 – East Wing Design | \$1.8M | \$1.2M |
| | Restoration East Wing | \$20.285M | 0 |
| | Phase 2 – West Wing Design | \$1.4M | 0 |
| | East Wing relocation & reoccupation | \$2.916M | 0 |
| | - (3 rd floor paint and plaster) | 0 | \$1.170M* |
| 2006 | - Note: same request as in 2005 | \$26.4M | 0 |
| | - Dome restoration | 0 | \$1.4M** |
| | - Continue SD Design | — | \$1.0M |
| 2007 | - Capitol Building Restoration | \$130.0M | 0 |
| TOTALS | | | <u>\$5.1M</u> |

cited over \$1 billion in expenditures or commitments spent nationwide, with millions more to come.

Inadequate funding or lack of commitment to the needs of a 100-year-old building has hampered the balance of phased work to be done on the Capitol. Meanwhile, the building's infrastructure is being taxed to its limits, its architecture requires restoration, and the price tag for these projects is increasing as the building ages. While this would require substantial funding to address, it pales in comparison to the cost of replacing the Capitol Building, which has an estimated replacement value of \$800 million, if it could ever be totally replaced.

In 2007, an appropriation of \$250,000 was made to the LCC to facilitate a Capitol Restoration Working Group. In early 2009, the working group disbanded.

Nationally, as state Capitol Buildings continue to age, they continue to serve the need of the citizens and their governments, and many are finding that the bill for deferred maintenance and upkeep has finally come due. A 1999 article in Saint Paul's Legal Ledger (September 7, 1999, by Glenn Adams)

Capitol Predesign

2010 STATE APPROPRIATION REQUEST: \$550,000

AGENCY PROJECT PRIORITY: 1 of 2

PROJECT LOCATION: 65A Saint Paul

Project At A Glance

The Capitol Area Architectural and Planning Board (CAAPB) requests the following funding:

- ◆ \$550,000 for a revised and expanded predesign for the Capitol Building and State Office Building, addressing all parts of the legislative branch and the appropriate offices of the executive branch. The result will eventually serve as a comprehensive master plan for the Capitol Campus, building upon recent work on amendments to the 1998 Comprehensive Plan for the Minnesota State Capitol Area, and the 2009 Rules Governing Zoning and Design for the Minnesota State Capitol Area, Minn. Rules Chapter 2400, both authorized by the Legislature and Governor in 2007.

Project Description

The predesign and the planning effort will assess development capacity, long-range parking plans and growth management of institutional uses in the Capitol Campus. Together, these efforts will also include an assessment of past predesign work for the Capitol Building (revisiting both the 2001 and the 2006 work) in the hope of developing options for an acceptable plan to address the needs for the Capitol Building in the context of campus wide access, security, energy efficiency, asset preservation, and issues of code compliance.

By statute, the Capitol Area Architectural and Planning Board is charged, among its other responsibilities, to preserve and enhance the dignity, beauty, and architectural integrity of the Capitol, the buildings immediately adjacent to it, the Capitol grounds, and the capitol area.

A list of the following documents, as prepared by the CAAPB, as well as the Department of Administration, would be available in support of the master plan's development:

- ◆ Comprehensive Plan for the Minnesota State Capitol Area, 1998. (Amendments to be completed in 2009.)
- ◆ Specific Actions for Implementation of the Comprehensive Plan for the Minnesota State Capitol Area, 1998.
- ◆ Rules Governing Zoning and Design for the Minnesota State Capitol Area, 2000. (Revisions to be completed in 2009.)
- ◆ Department of Administration's Strategic Plan for Locating State Agencies, 1993.
- ◆ Supplement (to above Strategic Plan), 1995.
- ◆ Parking Area Design Framework – Guidelines for Planning Parking Areas in the Minnesota State Capitol Area, 1992.
- ◆ History of the Minnesota State Capitol Area, 1985.

Working with the Administration Department and the Historical Society, the CAAPB, as that body charged with planning functions on the Capitol Campus, seeks to work with all stakeholders to develop a report to the Legislature and Governor for 2012 for a phased, comprehensive bonding program to address the full range of needs for both the Capitol Building and the Campus itself.

To that end, a new process is proposed to involve and solicit input from a variety of stakeholders. This group could include, but not be limited to, representatives from the three branches of government, including both elected officials and staff, as well as others with an interest in the future of the Capitol Campus development and the Capitol Building, including the public, the Administration Department, Minnesota Management and Budget, the Historical Society, Disabilities Council, and others. The goal would be to evaluate all past reports, surveys, and analyses, along with current studies, to reach a consensus on the best capital improvement plan for the building in light of the Capitol Campus and future change to the district.

The Capitol Building, recognized nationally, will be 105 years old, and it is becoming increasingly expensive and inefficient to try to maintain systems and infrastructure that have long outlasted their normal lifespan. Current systems and building materials evaluations will be analyzed in support of

Capitol Predesign

achieving the ideal plan to avoid the inefficiencies of yet more emergency repairs and piecemeal efforts that are not sound investments of state resources.

Impact on Agency Operating Budgets (Facilities Notes)

None.

Previous Appropriations for this Project

In 2001, \$300,000 was spent on a predesign specific to the Capitol Building, and again in 2006, after the passage of time without follow-up work, another predesign, followed by a schematic on a plan with appropriations of \$2.4 million.

In 2007, the CAAPB received a one-time funding of \$65,000 to update the "Comprehensive Plan for the Minnesota State Capitol Area" and the "Rules Governing Zoning and Design for the Minnesota State Capitol Area," pursuant to the Board's statutory responsibility, and in order to meet MN Statutes Chapter 473.864, Subd. 1 and 2, which requires review every ten years.

These two documents, nearing completion, guide the CAAPB in executing its responsibilities and jurisdiction within the capitol area. They, along with other analyses and studies, will contribute to establishing a consensus master plan for long term viability of the Capitol Campus and the Minnesota State Capitol Building.

Other Considerations

None.

Project Contact Person

Paul Mandell
Principal Planner
204 Administration Building
50 Sherburne Avenue
Saint Paul, Minnesota 55155
Phone: (651) 757-1507
Fax: (651) 296-6718
E-mail: paul.mandell@state.mn.us

Governor's Recommendations

The Governor does not recommend capital funds for this request.

| TOTAL PROJECT COSTS All Years and Funding Sources | Prior Years | FY 2010-11 | FY 2012-13 | FY 2014-15 | TOTAL |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| 1. Property Acquisition | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. Predesign Fees | 300 | 550 | 0 | 0 | 850 |
| 3. Design Fees | 2,400 | 0 | 5,000 | 0 | 7,400 |
| 4. Project Management | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5. Construction Costs | 42,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42,700 |
| 6. One Percent for Art | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7. Relocation Expenses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8. Occupancy | 2,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,000 |
| 9. Inflation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 47,400 | 550 | 5,000 | 0 | 52,950 |

| CAPITAL FUNDING SOURCES | Prior Years | FY 2010-11 | FY 2012-13 | FY 2014-15 | TOTAL |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| State Funds : | | | | | |
| G.O Bonds/State Bldgs | 47,400 | 550 | 5,000 | 0 | 52,950 |
| State Funds Subtotal | 47,400 | 550 | 5,000 | 0 | 52,950 |
| Agency Operating Budget Funds | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Federal Funds | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Government Funds | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Private Funds | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 47,400 | 550 | 5,000 | 0 | 52,950 |

| CHANGES IN STATE OPERATING COSTS | Changes in State Operating Costs (Without Inflation) | | | |
|--|---|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| | FY 2010-11 | FY 2012-13 | FY 2014-15 | TOTAL |
| Compensation -- Program and Building Operation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Program Related Expenses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Building Operating Expenses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Building Repair and Replacement Expenses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| State-Owned Lease Expenses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nonstate-Owned Lease Expenses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Expenditure Subtotal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Revenue Offsets | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Change in F.T.E. Personnel | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

| SOURCE OF FUNDS FOR DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS (for bond-financed projects) | Amount | Percent of Total |
|---|---------------|-----------------------------|
| General Fund | 550 | 100.0% |
| User Financing | 0 | 0.0% |

| STATUTORY AND OTHER REQUIREMENTS | |
|---|---|
| Project applicants should be aware that the following requirements will apply to their projects after adoption of the bonding bill. | |
| No | MS 16B.335 (1a): Construction/Major Remodeling Review (by Legislature) |
| Yes | MS 16B.335 (3): Predesign Review Required (by Administration Dept) |
| No | MS 16B.335 and MS 16B.325 (4): Energy Conservation Requirements |
| No | MS 16B.335 (5): Information Technology Review (by Office of Technology) |
| No | MS 16A.695: Public Ownership Required |
| No | MS 16A.695 (2): Use Agreement Required |
| Yes | MS 16A.695 (4): Program Funding Review Required (by granting agency) |
| No | Matching Funds Required (as per agency request) |
| No | MS 16A.642: Project Cancellation in 2015 |

Capitol Area Light Rail Transit Mitigation

2010 STATE APPROPRIATION REQUEST: \$1,250,000

AGENCY PROJECT PRIORITY: 2 of 2

PROJECT LOCATION: Capitol Area, Saint Paul

Project At A Glance

The Capitol Area Architectural and Planning Board (CAAPB) requests the following funding:

- ◆ \$1.25 million for Light Rail Transit (LRT) project elements deemed essential by the Capitol Area Board to mitigate the industrial appearance of what is contained in the Met Council's baseline. Without these essential elements the public experience will lack the quality appropriate to the Minnesota State Capitol and surrounding area as stated in MN Stat. 15B.

Project Description

The Central Corridor Light Rail Transit (CCLRT) project will have a very visible impact on the Capitol Area and its environs. After working for years on the project, first with county planners and now, for the past three years with the Met Council and its consultants, coordinating all the time with the City of Saint Paul, the project is at a critically important stage in design work.

This request for \$1.25 million is for those design elements developed after lengthy discussions with the above mentioned groups, as well as with the Administration Department, the Historical Society, and SHPO, and then refinement review and approval by CAAPB Architectural Advisors. At its October 16, 2009, meeting, the CAAPB voted to approve the list of essential mitigation design elements recommended by staff and Advisors, deemed "betterments" by the project planners at Met Council and therefore "ineligible" for inclusion in the project funding.

All Board members viewed these selected elements as "essential and critically important" to mitigate the visual impact of LRT in the capitol area, given the high quality of design and building materials they have been charged to protect and preserve. These elements are:

- ◆ An ornamental rail for the plaza at the Capitol Building's north steps, replacing an existing safety fence.
- ◆ The enhancement of painted, fluted OCS catenary poles (those holding the wires and running between the tracks the full length of the corridor) with bases, to then be compatible with existing infrastructure and the level of quality sought by the City for the Avenue and for downtown Saint Paul.
- ◆ Landscaping enhancements on medians.
- ◆ Landscape and sidewalk improvements on boulevards beyond the base of replacements in kind.
- ◆ Lighting improvements at a higher, more energy-efficient and effective level along University Avenue, again, to at the same time, be consistent with plans by the City of Saint Paul.

These improvements secured the unanimous approval of the CAAPB as all viewed these as essential to mitigate the industrial look of Met Council's baseline offering, inappropriate without enhancements for the capitol area and its environs.

Impact on Agency Operating Budgets (Facilities Notes)

None.

Previous Appropriations for this Project

None.

Other Considerations

All cost estimates were developed by Met Council consultants and include contingency and inflation to 2011 (when bids will be submitted), so the costs submitted are all-inclusive. They are submitted respective of the statutory charge (MN Stat. 15B) for the CAAPB; to protect, preserve and enhance the beauty, dignity and architectural integrity of the Capitol Area, and likewise, to

Capitol Area Light Rail Transit Mitigation

ensure that station design and track alignment are developed in a manner compatible with the Comprehensive Plan and the Board's design criteria.

Project Contact Person

Paul Mandell, Principal Planner
204 Administration Building
50 Sherburne Avenue
Saint Paul, Minnesota 55155
Phone: (651) 757-1507
Fax: (651) 296-6718
Email: paul.mandell@state.mn.us

Governor's Recommendations

The Governor does not recommend capital funds for this request.

Capitol Area Architectural Planning Bd

Project Detail

Capitol Area Light Rail Transit Mitigation

(\$ in Thousands)

| TOTAL PROJECT COSTS All Years and Funding Sources | Prior Years | FY 2010-11 | FY 2012-13 | FY 2014-15 | TOTAL |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| 1. Property Acquisition | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. Predesign Fees | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3. Design Fees | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Project Management | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5. Construction Costs | 0 | 1,241 | 0 | 0 | 1,241 |
| 6. One Percent for Art | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7. Relocation Expenses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8. Occupancy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9. Inflation | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| TOTAL | 0 | 1,250 | 0 | 0 | 1,250 |

| CAPITAL FUNDING SOURCES | Prior Years | FY 2010-11 | FY 2012-13 | FY 2014-15 | TOTAL |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| State Funds : | | | | | |
| G.O Bonds/State Bldgs | 0 | 1,250 | 0 | 0 | 1,250 |
| State Funds Subtotal | 0 | 1,250 | 0 | 0 | 1,250 |
| Agency Operating Budget Funds | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Federal Funds | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Government Funds | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Private Funds | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 0 | 1,250 | 0 | 0 | 1,250 |

| CHANGES IN STATE OPERATING COSTS | Changes in State Operating Costs (Without Inflation) | | | |
|--|---|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| | FY 2010-11 | FY 2012-13 | FY 2014-15 | TOTAL |
| Compensation -- Program and Building Operation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Program Related Expenses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Building Operating Expenses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Building Repair and Replacement Expenses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| State-Owned Lease Expenses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nonstate-Owned Lease Expenses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Expenditure Subtotal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Revenue Offsets | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Change in F.T.E. Personnel | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

| SOURCE OF FUNDS FOR DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS (for bond-financed projects) | Amount | Percent of Total |
|--|---------------|-------------------------|
| General Fund | 1,250 | 100.0% |
| User Financing | 0 | 0.0% |

| STATUTORY AND OTHER REQUIREMENTS | |
|---|---|
| Project applicants should be aware that the following requirements will apply to their projects after adoption of the bonding bill. | |
| No | MS 16B.335 (1a): Construction/Major Remodeling Review (by Legislature) |
| No | MS 16B.335 (3): Predesign Review Required (by Administration Dept) |
| No | MS 16B.335 and MS 16B.325 (4): Energy Conservation Requirements |
| No | MS 16B.335 (5): Information Technology Review (by Office of Technology) |
| No | MS 16A.695: Public Ownership Required |
| No | MS 16A.695 (2): Use Agreement Required |
| No | MS 16A.695 (4): Program Funding Review Required (by granting agency) |
| No | Matching Funds Required (as per agency request) |
| No | MS 16A.642: Project Cancellation in 2015 |