This document is made available electronically by the Minnesota Legislative Reference Library as part of an ongoing digital archiving project. http://www.leg.state.mn.us/lrl/lrl.asp

MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF



Office of Information Technologies Systems and Data Unit

Completion Study for the Class of 2001

Computation of the Four-year Graduation and Dropout Rates for School Districts in Minnesota

For information or a copy of this report, contact: Dave Onsrud - dave.onsrud@state.mn.us Office of Information Technologies Systems and Data Unit 1500 Highway 36 West Roseville, MN 55113-4266 651-582-8626

TTY: 651-582-8201

Internet address: http://cfl.state.mn.us/

Estimated Cost of Preparing this Report

This report summarized information that the Department of Children, Families & Learning (CFL) already collects as a part of its normal business functions. Therefore, the cost information reported here does not include the cost of gathering the data but rather is limited to the estimated cost of analyzing the data and preparing the document. The cost of preparing this report is estimated at \$3000.

Purpose of this Report

This report shows the method of computation and results of a longitudinal study tracking Minnesota ninth grade students over a specific time period. The results of the study provide the four-year Graduation and Dropout Rates of Minnesota schools.

Limitations of the Data

The data summarized in this report are based on the Minnesota Automated Reporting Student System (MARSS). Inconsistencies in reporting by different districts throughout the school year and the ability to track individual students over time need to be taken into account when reviewing results of the study.

Release Date

August 2002

You have permission to reproduce and distribute this document from the Minnesota Department of Children, Families & Learning

Upon request, this information will be made available in alternative formats.

Completion Study for the Class of 2001

Introduction

The Minnesota Department of Children, Families & Learning collects detailed data on students served by Minnesota school districts through the Minnesota Automated Reporting Student System (MARSS). The Department has collected student attendance, demographic, and migration data using the MARSS reporting system since 1992. This study reviewed MARSS data over multiple years tracking the records of ninth grade students from a particular school year in order to determine their last reported ending status four years later. Minnesota's four-year Graduation and Dropout rates are then computed using this detailed student information.

MARSS Data Elements

The MARSS system currently collects 37 different pieces of information on each student including gender, birth date, name, and social security number as well as a unique state reporting number. These pieces of information can be used to follow students from year to year. It also collects each student's start and end dates while served by a school, where the student came from, and why the student left. This information can be used to track the progress of a student while enrolled in Minnesota public schools.

When a student stops attending school, district staff determine the reason and record a specific 'status end' code on the student's MARSS record. These 'status end' codes have been defined by the Department and detail 32 reasons why a student record ended (why the student stopped attending school). The reasons are grouped into five categories:

- The student graduated,
- The student *dropped out* of school,
- The student is expected to continue education in high school the following year,
- The student transferred to another educational program outside Minnesota public schools, or
- The student stopped education for reasons of illness, death, or other unusual circumstances.

Students in the Class of 2001

To determine the ending status of students in the Class of 2001, all students who were served by Minnesota schools in grade nine during the 1997/1998 school year were first selected. They were then tracked through the 2000/2001 school year to determine each student's final ending status as reported by the last Minnesota school district serving the student. The final ending status was allocated to a category and is used in the summary reports for the state and district totals.

The preliminary statewide results of this study are shown in <u>Table A</u>. The detailed analysis of how these students were tracked through the four-year period is described in Appendix A.

	TABLE A Preliminary statewide results by category								
Total number of students served	Graduated	Dropped Out	Continued within the MN public school system	Transferred out of the MN public school system	Stopped education	Ending status unknown*			
71,569	51,053	6,426	7,905	1695	182	4,308			

Number of ninth grade students served by Minnesota schools during the 1997/1998 school year and their last reported status after the 2000/2001 school year.

^{*}In some instances, the ending status indicated the student would return to school but no further MARSS records for these particular students could be found in later years. These students were assigned to an 'unknown' category.

Calculation of Percentages

To provide a clearer picture of student achievement within Minnesota, the three main categories of Graduated, Dropped Out, and Continued Enrollment are used. In determining percentages of students served by category, those students who have left the Minnesota public school system and students with ending status unknown are removed from the calculation.

By removing these categories, the total number of students in the sample is reduced and the corresponding percentage for Graduates, Drop Outs, and Continued Enrollments is computed. The adjusted results are illustrated in Table B.

TABLE B Adjusted statewide results and percentages by category

Total Number of	Gradu	ated	Droppe	ed Out	Expected to		
Students	from MN Pub	olic Schools	from MN Put	olic Schools	Continue Enrollment		
65,384	51,053	78.1%	6,426	9.8 %	7,905	12.1%	

Margin of Error ± 1%

Number of ninth grade students served by Minnesota schools during the 1997/1998 school year and their last reported status after the 2000/2001 school year.

Statewide Demographic Totals by Category

The study also selected several of the student's demographic characteristics as reported through MARSS at any time through the four year period. Statewide totals by category and the corresponding percentage within each demographic group are shown in <u>Table C</u>.

TABLE C
Adjusted statewide results and percentages by demographic characteristic

Group	Number Of Students	Gradua	ated	Dropped O		Expector Continue High Sci	nue
Ethnicity							
American Indian	1297	555	42.8%	439	33.9%	303	23.4%
Asian/Pacific Islander	2893	1975	68.3%	389	13.5%	529	18.3%
Hispanic	1264	590	46.7%	381	30.1%	293	23.2%
Black	3398	1309	38.5%	1065	31.3%	1024	30.1%
White	56532	46624	82.5%	4152	7.3%	5756	10.2%
Gender							
Male	33579	25227	75.1%	3767	11.2%	4585	13.7%
Female	31805	25826	81.2%	2659	8.4%	3320	10.4%
Special Education							
Eligible for Service	8636	4700	54.4%	1671	19.4%	2265	26.2%
Not Eligible for Service	56748	46353	81.7%	4755	8.4%	5640	9.9%
Limited English Proficient							
Eligible for Service	2381	1225	51.5%	532	22.3%	624	26.2%
Not Eligible for Service	63003	49828	79.1%	5894	9.4%	7281	11.6%
Economic Status	•			•			·
Eligible for Meal Programs	18662	10785	57.8%	3824	20.5%	4053	21.7%
Not Eligible for Meal Programs	46722	40268	86.2%	2602	5.6%	3852	8.2%

Margin of Error ± 1%

Number of ninth grade students served by Minnesota schools during the 1997/1998 school year and their last reported status after the 2000/2001 school year.

District Totals by Category

Individual district totals by category are detailed in <u>Appendix B</u>. District totals by category differ from the state totals by category. The district totals for the number of students served is limited only to those students whose last record reported through the four-year period is from the same district which last served the student in the first year of the study.

The difference between the state and district totals can be illustrated in the following example:

A ninth grade student was served by Rochester in first year, moved to Winona in the second year, and then graduated from Winona in the fourth year. This student would be included in the graduate counts for the state totals in <u>Tables A, B, and C</u>, but the student would not be included in the graduate counts for either Rochester or Winona in <u>Appendix B</u> (as the student's last reported district was not the same as the student's original district).

Because the district totals are limited to a more stable group of students than the state totals, caution should be used when comparing the district percentages to the state percentages.

ALC Effect

Additionally, district to district comparisons should also be used with caution as certain districts provide instruction in Area Learning Centers (ALCs). ALCs provide an alternative form of instruction for students who may dropout or are significantly behind in their coursework. Students cannot enroll in an ALC unless they fall under a specific definition of a learner 'at risk' of not graduating. Districts that do <u>not</u> host an ALC, but whose students have access to a neighboring ALC, may show a reduced number of dropouts and correspondingly, a reduced number of students served. In some cases, this has the effect of inflating the graduation rate for these districts that neighbor an ALC.

This 'ALC Effect' between neighboring districts can be illustrated in the following two examples:

A ninth grade student is served by Rochester in the first year, later qualifies as an 'at risk' student, enrolls in Rochester's ALC in the second year, and then drops out in the fourth year. This student is included in the state dropout totals and in the dropout totals for Rochester (as the last district is the same as the original district).

A similar ninth grade student is served by Byron (a neighboring district) in the first year. This student later qualifies as an 'at risk' student, transfers to Rochester's ALC in the second year, and eventually drops out in the fourth year. The student is included in the state totals for dropouts but is <u>not</u> included in the dropout counts for either Rochester or Byron (as the last district is not the same as the first district). This example has the effect of removing one student from the dropout total and the total of students served for Byron as shown in Appendix B.

Because of the reduction of numbers in certain categories, districts which neighbor an ALC may have their dropout percentage slightly deflated and their graduation and continuing percentages slightly inflated due to this 'ALC Effect'.

Margin of Error

This study is based on the ability to track students from one year to the next using the MARSS reporting system. Inconsistencies in recording the state reporting number, student name, birth date, social security number or status end code may reduce the accuracy of the matching process over the four-year period and impact the corresponding result totals by category. Additionally, the methods used to match student records from one year to the next may also have inadvertently increased or decreased the number of students included in the results.

To determine an overall margin of error to account for inconsistencies in reporting (and erroneous assumptions used in the tracking procedures), separate reviews were conducted to search for different types of errors in the final calculations. These reviews discovered some minor errors in the MARSS reporting process and slight anomalies in the matching logic used over multiple years. The resulting margin of error for the state results appears to be less than one percent. Details of the reviews and errors found are described in Appendix A.

Summary

This study focused on all ninth grade students served in Minnesota during the 1997/1998 school year. Of those students who could be reliably tracked in the Minnesota public school system over a four-year period, <u>Table B</u> illustrates 78.1% percent graduated from a Minnesota high school. During this same four-year period, 9.8% percent dropped out and apparently, did not return to the Minnesota public school system within four years.

In comparison to the previous Completion Studies, the graduation rate appears to be steady with as slight increase in the continuing rate. A comparison of the statewide results over time is shown in Tables D and E and F.

TABLE D
Adjusted statewide results and percentages by category over time

Year	Number of Students	Graduated	Dropped Out	Continued
Class of 1996	56,217	78.5%	11.3%	10.2%
Class of 1997	59,699	78.2%	11.3%	10.5%
Class of 1998	62,822	78.0%	11.0%	11.0%
Class of 1999	64,254	78.9%	10.7%	10.4%
Class of 2000	65,492	78.5%	10.7%	10.8%
Class of 2001	65,384	78.1%	9.8%	12.1%

Margin of Error ± 1%

Number of ninth grade students served by Minnesota schools and their last reported status within a four year period.

Comparing several years of student activity by using MARSS data exclusively is intended to provide another view of student migration and achievement in addition to the information already reported annually by school districts. The results of this study over multiple years may provide information to measure the success of school districts implementing recent initiatives intended to improve education within the Minnesota public school system.

However, the results as detailed by school district in <u>Appendix B</u> should not be confused with existing graduation and dropout information annually reported by Minnesota schools, nor are they intended to indicate quality of education by school district. Student mobility, cohort characteristics, and individual reporting discrepancies between school districts may also have an impact the detailed district results.

TABLE E
Adjusted statewide results and percentages by category over time by ethnicity

Year	Number of Students	Graduated	Dropped Out	Continued
American Indian				
Class of 1996	945	37.0 %	39.9 %	23.1 %
Class of 1997	1089	41.1 %	37.9 %	21.0 %
Class of 1998	1197	43.4 %	35.4 %	21.2 %
Class of 1999	1252	42.5 %	34.7 %	22.8 %
Class of 2000	1243	42.6 %	34.4 %	23.0 %
Class of 2001	1297	42.8 %	33.9 %	23.4 %
Asian / Pacific Islande				
Class of 1996	1686	69.0 %	16.7 %	14.3 %
Class of 1997	1784	68.1 %	17.8 %	14.1 %
Class of 1998	2085	67.5 %	16.8 %	15.7 %
Class of 1999	2291	68.8 %	15.3 %	15.9 %
Class of 2000	2579	68.0 %	15.7 %	16.3 %
Class of 2001	2893	68.3 %	13.5 %	18.3 %
Hispanic		1		
Class of 1996	790	44.8 %	38.7 %	16.5 %
Class of 1997	993	43.7 %	37.6 %	18.7 %
Class of 1998	1037	49.2 %	32.8 %	18.0 %
Class of 1999	1098	48.2 %	31.2 %	20.6 %
Class of 2000	1204	47.2 %	33.0 %	19.8 %
Class of 2001	1264	46.7 %	30.1 %	23.2 %
Black		T		
Class of 1996	2244	33.1 %	44.0 %	22.9 %
Class of 1997	2506	35.6 %	39.8 %	24.6 %
Class of 1998	2961	35.9 %	38.4 %	25.7 %
Class of 1999	3003	38.6 %	36.4 %	25.0 %
Class of 2000	3284	37.0 %	37.4 %	25.6 %
Class of 2001	3398	38.5 %	31.3 %	30.1 %
White		1 22 4 24	T T	
Class of 1996	50,552	82.1 %	8.7 %	9.1 %
Class of 1997	53,327	81.9 %	8.7 %	9.4 %
Class of 1998	55,542	81.9 %	8.4 %	9.7 %
Class of 1999	56,610	82.9 %	8.2 %	9.0 %
Class of 2000	57,182	82.8 %	8.0 %	9.2 %
Class of 2001	56,532	82.5 %	7.4 %	10.2 %

Margin of Error ± 1%

Number of ninth grade students served by Minnesota schools and their last reported status within a four year period.

TABLE F
Adjusted statewide results and percentages by category over time by demographic group

Year	Number of Graduated Dropped Out		Dropped Out	Continued
Students of Color				
Class of 1996	5665	46.1 %	34.4 %	19.5 %
Class of 1997	6372	46.9 %	33.0 %	20.1 %
Class of 1998	7280	48.1 %	30.9 %	21.0 %
Class of 1999	7644	49.7 %	29.1 %	21.2%
Class of 2000	8310	48.9 %	29.6 %	21.5%
Class of 2001	8852	50.0 %	25.7 %	24.3 %
Special Education Stu	 dents			
Class of 1996	*	*	*	*
Class of 1997	*	*	*	*
Class of 1998	7925	52.5 %	23.1 %	24.4 %
Class of 1999	8229	54.1 %	22.1 %	23.8 %
Class of 2000	8554	55.4 %	21.2 %	23.4 %
Class of 2001	8636	54.4 %	19.4 %	26.2 %
Limited English Profi	cient Students			
Class of 1996	*	*	*	*
Class of 1997	*	*	*	*
Class of 1998	1334	54.1 %	24.9 %	21.0 %
Class of 1999	1718	54.8 %	24.2 %	21.0 %
Class of 2000	1988	48.5 %	29.3 %	22.2 %
Class of 2001	2381	51.5 %	22.3 %	26.2 %

Margin of Error ± 1%

Number of ninth grade students served by Minnesota schools and their last reported status within a four year period.

^{*} Special Education and LEP status were not computed in the first years of the study.

MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF



APPENDIX A

Detailed Methodology

Completion Study for the Class of 2001

For information or a copy of this report, contact: Dave Onsrud - dave.onsrud@state.mn.us Office of Information Technologies Systems and Data Unit 1500 Highway 36 West Roseville, MN 55113-4266 651-582-8626

TTY: 651-582-8201

Internet address: http://cfl.state.mn.us/

Detailed Methodology

Initial Group of Students

The study looked at the 1997/1998 MARSS End of Year database and selected students served in grade nine on or after September 1, 1997, with the following conditions:

- The record was excluded from the study if the STATE AID CATEGORY* was 25, (Adult), 16, 17, 18 (Shared-Time), 14 (Residents of other states), 26 & 28 (nonpublic or private alternative programs), or 98 (Summer withdrawals from the previous year).
- The record was excluded from the study if the MARSS STATUS was 1 (a local error was detected in the MARSS record).
- If the student had more than one record that qualified, the last record (as determined by the STATUS END DATE) was selected. If two records had the same STATUS END DATE for a single student (as occurs with dual enrolled students attending alternative schools) the record with the lower school classification was used. The district serving the student on this last record is referred to as the '1997/1998 Serving District' in Appendix B.

Due to reporting discrepancies, some students in this initial group were assigned two different STATE REPORTING NUMBERS. To correct for this, the STUDENT NAME, DATE OF BIRTH, GENDER, and SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER (where available) were used to locate duplicate records with different STATE REPORTING NUMBERS. After final corrections, the resulting database contained individual records representing 71,569 unique students that were served as ninth graders during the 1997/1998 school year.

Because of this and other reporting discrepancies over multiple years, a separate 'master identification number' was then assigned to each record in the initial group of ninth grade students, independent of the STATE REPORTING NUMBER assigned by each district. Both identifying numbers (as well as several other student demographic elements) were then used to track the records of students through subsequent years.

Subsequent Year Search

The following year's MARSS database was reviewed using a multi-step procedure to accurately identify subsequent records from the initial group of ninth grade students. The first step was to locate all student records from the 1998/1999 MARSS database with matching STATE REPORTING NUMBERS. For the remaining students that could not be found, a special matching routine was developed based on STUDENT NAME, SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER, BIRTH DATE, GENDER and several other demographic characteristics for the students. This procedure found additional records for students whose STATE REPORTING NUMBER had been changed the following year.

A similar process was then used to identify students from the 1999/2000 and 2000/2001 school years: The STATE REPORTING NUMBERS were matched and then the special matching procedure was used to find additional records for individual students in the initial group of ninth grade students.

Since there were now several incidences of students with multiple identifiers, the master identification number assigned to the original student from 1997/1998 was used to keep records for individual students grouped together.

Results of the matching processes over the four-year period were compiled into a single master database sorted by the newly assigned master identification number and date education service stopped (the STATUS END DATE). In this manner, records were aligned in order of sequence from the earliest to the most recent for each student. After final corrections, the master database contained 341,803 records: a complete history of each student from the initial sample as reported

^{*} All MARSS data elements are represented in a SMALL CAPS font style

by school districts in Minnesota. The study then selected the last record for each student and copied the corresponding STATUS END CODE and serving district for those records to the final database which contains one record for each of the 71,569 students served in the original sample.

Major Categories

Each record in the final database was then evaluated to determine the grouping of the STATUS END CODES. The following codes were assigned to the seven major categories:

Status End Code	Category	Condition
08, 09	Graduated	
06,14,15,16,17,18,19, 31,32,33,34,35,37	Dropped Out	
01,02,04,20,22,36,40, 41,42,99	Continued Enrollment	The last record is from the fourth year of the study and implies the student will continue education into a fifth school year.
03	Transferred to Nonpublic	
05	Left State	
11,12,13	Stopped Education	
01,02,04,20,22,40,41,42,99	Ending Status Unknown	The last record is from the first, second or third year of the study and the status end implied the student would continue the following year. However, no later student record was matched in any of the following years' databases.

Margin of Error

This analysis is dependent on several factors including the consistent use of the STATE REPORTING NUMBER, valid matching logic used in comparing records from one year to the next, and consistent MARSS reporting practices used by school districts in Minnesota.

Previous studies of MARSS data have indicated about one percent of the students inadvertently receive a new STATE REPORTING NUMBER within a particular year and two percent of the students have their STATE REPORTING NUMBER inadvertently changed between school years. These discrepancies have gradually been reduced over time with revised MARSS procedures and intensive edit checking by district staff, but the potential still remains for an individual student to be assigned two or more STATE REPORTING NUMBERS over the four-year period.

The logic used for this study attempts to resolve this problem by using other matching criteria besides the STATE REPORTING NUMBER to accurately locate student records from subsequent years. The logic was also designed to provide a means to match records with the 1996/97 MARSS database where almost all students received new STATE REPORTING NUMBERS due to the planned expanded use of the MARSS reporting system.

This logic nearly eliminates the STATE REPORTING NUMBER discrepancies as combinations of two or more demographic elements for a single student would now have to change simultaneously for the student record to be missed in subsequent years. However, the revised logic may have introduced other discrepancies (such as student records matched in error).

To determine a percentage of students matched in error or missed in following years, separate reviews were conducted to either correct the error or to assign a 'margin of error' to the results of the study.

Recycled Identification Numbers / Shared Social Security Numbers

The first review compared all BIRTH DATES for students with the same master ID number in the master database. It found 900 records where the BIRTH DATE did not match on all the records. Of these, it was determined 300 records (affecting 97 students) were matched in error and these records were removed from the master database. In many cases, this occurred when a student left a school district and his or her STATE REPORTING NUMBER was assigned to a new student the following year. In other instances, siblings apparently had been assigned each other's SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER in later years. Errors due to recycling of STATE REPORTING NUMBERS or shared SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBERS have been corrected in the final database.

Students Matched Incorrectly

The second review attempted to determine how many of the remaining students were matched incorrectly. To determine suspected errors, the student's AVERAGE DAILY MEMBERSHIP (ADM) was totaled in each of the four years. Normally, a student would generate about 1.0 ADM if they attended a Minnesota school for the entire year. Student's who had excessive ADM amounts for individual years were selected. There were 946 students whose ADM was excessive for any particular year. The records were then linked to the master database and the student's complete history was then reviewed to determine if all records were matched correctly. Of those, 211 records affecting 63 students appeared to have been incorrectly matched. Errors due to incorrect matching processes have been corrected in the final database.

Service Provided After Graduation

The third review looked at all records in the master database and searched for incidences of a graduation STATUS END CODE followed by a later record. It found 188 incidences where a graduation record preceded another record in the master database. All students appeared to be matched correctly. The records were reviewed and it was determined 79 of the students in the original sample should have the graduation record assigned as the last record for the student. In many cases, this occurred when students were 'dual enrolled' and service did not stop at the same time in both schools. Errors due to service provided after graduation have been corrected in the final database.

Students Missed in Following Years

About 13 percent of the students in the study (9277) could not be found in the 2000/2001 MARSS database. The fourth review attempted to find a percentage of these students and then determine margin of error for the entire study by extrapolating the findings. It used a random sample of 928 students from this group (10 percent of the total not found in the 2000/2001 MARSS database). The review matched the records using less restrictive combinations of student characteristics and then confirmed the match manually. These matching methods included using birth date with only the first two letters of the last name, full name only, and social security numbers without the name or birth date check. This review found four students who should have been included in the final database but were not matched because of changes in student demographic elements between school years. Extrapolating the results to the entire study, 40 students have probably been missed in the 2000/2001 school year with various ending status results (40 / 71,569 = 0.06%). This type of error could not be corrected in the final database, so a 'margin of error' was assigned to the entire study to account for this type of matching error (rounded up to $\pm 1\%$).

MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF



APPENDIX BSchool District Detail

Completion Study for the Class of 2001

For information or a copy of this report, contact: Dave Onsrud - dave.onsrud@state.mn.us Office of Information Technologies Systems and Data Unit 1500 Highway 36 West Roseville, MN 55113-4266 651-582-8626

TTY: 651-582-8201

Internet Address: http://cfl.state.mn.us

Limited to students whose last serving district is the same as their originating district of 1997/1998.

1997/1998 Serving District	Adjusted number of ninth grade students served	repo	nber later orted as luating	report	er later ted as ing out	as continu	ter reported ng education ng school year
0001:01 * AITKIN	97	86	88.7%	6	6.2%	5	5.2%
0001:03 * MINNEAPOLIS	3055	1400	45.8%	1007	33.0%	648	21.2%
0002:01 HILL CITY	33	31	93.9%	1	3.0%	1	3.0%
0004:01 * MCGREGOR	42	40	95.2%	1	2.4%	1	2.4%
0006:03 * SOUTH ST. PAUL	276	200	72.5%	42	15.2%	34	12.3%
0011:01 * ANOKA-HENNEPIN	2625	2137	81.4%	199	7.6%	289	11.0%
0012:01 * CENTENNIAL	400	346	86.5%	16	4.0%	38	9.5%
0013:01 * COLUMBIA HEIGHTS	158	125	79.1%	18	11.4%	15	9.5%
0014:01 * FRIDLEY	181	146	80.7%	13	7.2%	22	12.2%
0015:01 ST. FRANCIS	286	233	81.5%	19	6.6%	34	11.9%
0016:01 * SPRING LAKE PARK	277	235	84.8%	30	10.8%	12	4.3%
0022:01 * DETROIT LAKES	203	168	82.8%	11	5.4%	24	11.8%
0023:01 FRAZEE	89	84	94.4%	4	4.5%	1	1.1%
0031:01 * BEMIDJI	374	312	83.4%	11	2.9%	51	13.6%
0032:01 BLACKDUCK	65	63	96.9%	1	1.5%	1	1.5%
0036:01 KELLIHER	11	11	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
0038:01 * RED LAKE	74	16	21.6%	40	54.1%	18	24.3%
0047:01 SAUK RAPIDS	222	211	95.1%	2	0.9%	9	4.1%
0051:01 FOLEY	152	140	92.1%	8	5.3%	4	2.6%
0062:01 ORTONVILLE	70	67	95.7%	2	2.9%	1	1.4%
0075:01 ST. CLAIR	43	38	88.4%	3	7.0%	2	4.7%
0077:01 * MANKATO	547	459	83.9%	49	9.0%	39	7.1%
0081:01 COMFREY	17	17	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
0084:01 SLEEPY EYE	50	46	92.0%	3	6.0%	1	2.0%
0085:01 SPRINGFIELD	71	69	97.2%	2	2.8%	0	0.0%
0088:01 NEW ULM	208	198	95.2%	3	1.4%	7	3.4%
0091:01 BARNUM	47	43	91.5%	4	8.5%	0	0.0%
0093:01 CARLTON	47	36	76.6%	6	12.8%	5	10.6%
0094:01 * CLOQUET	193	161	83.4%	26	13.5%	6	3.1%
0095:01 CROMWELL	26	25	96.2%	0	0.0%	1	3.9%
0097:01 MOOSE LAKE	59	54	91.5%	4	6.8%	1	1.7%
0099:01 ESKO	66	64	97.0%	2	3.0%	0	0.0%
0100:01 WRENSHALL	28	24	85.7%	3	10.7%	1	3.6%
0108:01 * NORWOOD	105	89	84.8%	6	5.7%	10	9.5%
0110:01 WACONIA	128	127	99.2%	0	0.0%	1	0.8%
0111:01 WATERTOWN-MAYER	91	89	97.8%	1	1.1%	1	1.1%
0112:01 CHASKA	368	348	94.6%	8	2.2%	12	3.3%
0113:01 * WALKER-HACKENSACK-AKELEY	63	51	81.0%	7	11.1%	5	7.9%
0115:01 * CASS LAKE	71	30	42.3%	28	39.4%	13	18.3%
0116:01 PILLAGER	29	26	89.7%	0	0.0%	3	10.3%
0118:01 NORTHLAND COMMUNITY SCHOOLS	38	35	92.1%	2	5.3%	1	2.6%

^{*} During 2000/2001 school year, these districts hosted an Area Learning Center, Public Alternative Program, or Private Alternative Program.

⁽C) Consolidated District - Includes students from the constituent districts if the students were served prior to consolidation.

Limited to students whose last serving district is the same as their originating district of 1997/1998.

1997/1998 Serving District	Adjusted number of ninth grade students served	repo	nber later orted as luating	report	er later ed as ing out	as continui	ter reporteding educationing school year
0129:01 MONTEVIDEO	129	126	97.7%	1	0.8%	2	1.6%
0138:01 * NORTH BRANCH	228	203	89.0%	9	4.0%	16	7.0%
0139:01 RUSH CITY	57	49	86.0%	2	3.5%	6	10.5%
0146:01 BARNESVILLE	54	47	87.0%	5	9.3%	2	3.7%
0150:01 HAWLEY	60	59	98.3%	1	1.7%	0	0.0%
0152:01 * MOORHEAD	371	338	91.1%	20	5.4%	13	3.5%
0160:70 MINNESOTA STATE ACADEMIES (C)	9	6	66.7%	0	0.0%	3	33.3%
0162:01 BAGLEY	59	50	84.8%	7	11.9%	2	3.4%
0166:01 * COOK COUNTY	62	49	79.0%	9	14.5%	4	6.5%
0173:01 MOUNTAIN LAKE	39	38	97.4%	0	0.0%	1	2.6%
0175:01 WESTBROOK	30	28	93.3%	1	3.3%	1	3.3%
0177:01 WINDOM	78	74	94.9%	3	3.9%	1	1.3%
0181:01 * BRAINERD	551	412	74.8%	60	10.9%	79	14.3%
0182:01 * CROSBY-IRONTON	110	89	80.9%	16	14.6%	5	4.6%
0186:01 PEQUOT LAKES	84	82	97.6%	0	0.0%	2	2.4%
0191:01 * BURNSVILLE	704	562	79.8%	42	6.0%	100	14.2%
0192:01 * FARMINGTON	229	213	93.0%	2	0.9%	14	6.1%
0194:01 * LAKEVILLE	476	414	87.0%	7	1.5%	55	11.6%
0195:01 RANDOLPH	27	27	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
0196:01 * ROSEMOUNT-APPLE	1720	1514	88.0%	69	4.0%	137	8.0%
0197:01 * WEST ST. PAUL-MENDOTA	269	228	84.8%	11	4.1%	30	11.2%
0199:01 * INVER GROVE	278	232	83.5%	9	3.2%	37	13.3%
0200:01 * HASTINGS	402	360	89.6%	16	4.0%	26	6.5%
0203:01 HAYFIELD	81	79	97.5%	0	0.0%	2	2.5%
0204:01 * KASSON-MANTORVILLE	123	117	95.1%	4	3.3%	2	1.6%
0206:01 ALEXANDRIA	304	287	94.4%	4	1.3%	13	4.3%
0207:01 BRANDON	32	31	96.9%	1	3.1%	0	0.0%
0208:01 EVANSVILLE	24	24	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
0213:01 OSAKIS	51	49	96.1%	0	0.0%	2	3.9%
0227:01 CHATFIELD	63	61	96.8%	2	3.2%	0	0.0%
0229:01 LANESBORO	31	29	93.6%	1	3.2%	1	3.2%
0238:01 MABEL-CANTON	27	26	96.3%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%
0239:01 RUSHFORD-PETERSON	59	58	98.3%	1	1.7%	0	0.0%
0241:01 * ALBERT LEA	310	264	85.2%	37	11.9%	9	2.9%
0242:01 ALDEN	31	31	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
0252:01 CANNON FALLS	105	87	82.9%	0	0.0%	18	17.1%
0253:01 GOODHUE	47	43	91.5%	3	6.4%	1	2.1%
0255:01 * PINE ISLAND	80	75	93.8%	3	3.8%	2	2.5%
0256:01 * RED WING	283	242	85.5%	19	6.7%	22	7.8%
0261:01 ASHBY	21	20	95.2%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%
0264:01 HERMAN-NORCROSS	16	16	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

^{*} During 2000/2001 school year, these districts hosted an Area Learning Center, Public Alternative Program, or Private Alternative Program.

⁽C) Consolidated District - Includes students from the constituent districts if the students were served prior to consolidation.

Class of 2001 **Ending Status of Students**

Limited to students whose last serving district is the same as their originating district of 1997/1998.

The counts represent the adjusted number of ninth grade students served by Minnesota schools during the 1997/1998 school year and their last reported status through the 2000/2001 school year.

0270:01 HOPKINS	1997/1998 Serving District	Adjusted number of ninth grade students served	repo	nber later orted as luating	report	er later ed as ing out	as continui	ter reported ng education ng school year
0272:01 EDEN PRAIRIE 598 549 91.8% 9 1.5% 40 6.7% 0273:01 * EDINA 434 429 98.9% 0 0.0% 5 1.2% 0276:01 MINNETONKA 439 398 90.7% 6 1.4% 35 8.0% 0277:01 WESTONKA 147 135 91.8% 3 2.0% 9 6.1% 0278:01 ORONO 184 179 97.3% 3 1.6% 2 1.1% 0279:01 * OSSEO 1300 1149 88.4% 58 4.5% 93 7.2% 0280:01 RICHFIELD 239 200 83.7% 8 3.4% 31 13.0% 0281:01 ROBBINSDALE 726 597 82.2% 29 4.0% 100 13.8% 0282:01 ST. ANTHONY-NEW BRIGHTON 88 84 95.5% 1 1.1% 3 3.4% 0283:01 *ST. LOUIS PARK 273 250 91.6% 18 6.6% 5 1.8% <th>0270:01 HOPKINS</th> <th>541</th> <th>489</th> <th>90.4%</th> <th>11</th> <th>2.0%</th> <th>41</th> <th>7.6%</th>	0270:01 HOPKINS	541	489	90.4%	11	2.0%	41	7.6%
0272:01 EDEN PRAIRIE 598 549 91.8% 9 1.5% 40 6.7% 0273:01 * EDINA 434 429 98.9% 0 0.0% 5 1.2% 0276:01 MINNETONKA 439 398 90.7% 6 1.4% 35 8.0% 0277:01 WESTONKA 147 135 91.8% 3 2.0% 9 6.1% 0278:01 ORONO 184 179 97.3% 3 1.6% 2 1.1% 0279:01 * OSSEO 1300 1149 88.4% 58 4.5% 93 7.2% 0280:01 RICHFIELD 239 200 83.7% 8 3.4% 31 13.0% 0281:01 ROBBINSDALE 726 597 82.2% 29 4.0% 100 13.8% 0282:01 *ST. ANTHONY-NEW BRIGHTON 88 84 95.5% 1 1.1% 3 3.4% 0283:01 *ST. LOUIS PARK 273 250 91.6%<	0271:01 BLOOMINGTON	708	636	89.8%	13	1.8%	59	8.3%
0273:01 * EDINA 434 429 98.9% 0 0.0% 5 1.2% 0276:01 MINNETONKA 439 398 90.7% 6 1.4% 35 8.0% 0277:01 WESTONKA 147 135 91.8% 3 2.0% 9 6.1% 0279:01 * ORONO 184 179 97.3% 3 1.6% 2 1.1% 0279:01 * OSSEO 1300 1149 88.4% 58 4.5% 93 7.2% 0280:01 RICHFIELD 239 200 83.7% 8 3.4% 31 13.0% 0281:01 ROBBINSDALE 726 597 82.2% 29 4.0% 100 13.8% 0282:01 ST. ANTHONY-NEW BRIGHTON 88 84 95.5% 1 1.1% 3 3.4% 0283:01 * ST. LOUIS PARK 273 250 91.6% 18 6.6% 5 1.8% 0284:01 WAYZATA 551 544 98.7% 5 0.9% 2 0.4%	0272:01 EDEN PRAIRIE	598	549	91.8%	9	1.5%	40	
0277:01 WESTONKA 147 135 91.8% 3 2.0% 9 6.1% 0278:01 ORONO 184 179 97.3% 3 1.6% 2 1.1% 0279:01 *OSSEO 1300 1149 88.4% 58 4.5% 93 7.2% 0280:01 RICHFIELD 239 200 83.7% 8 3.4% 31 13.0% 0281:01 ROBBINSDALE 726 597 82.2% 29 4.0% 100 13.8% 0282:01 \$T. ANTHONY-NEW BRIGHTON 88 8 4 95.5% 1 1.1% 3 3.4% 0283:01 *ST. LOUIS PARK 273 250 91.6% 18 6.6% 5 1.8% 0284:01 WAYZATA 551 544 98.7% 5 0.9% 2 0.4% 0286:01 BROOKLYN CENTER 104 85 81.7% 9 8.7% 10 9.6% 0294:01		434	429	98.9%	0	0.0%	5	1.2%
0278:01 ORONO 184 179 97.3% 3 1.6% 2 1.1% 0279:01 * OSSEO 1300 1149 88.4% 58 4.5% 93 7.2% 0280:01 RICHFIELD 239 200 83.7% 8 3.4% 31 13.0% 0281:01 ROBBINSDALE 726 597 82.2% 29 4.0% 100 13.8% 0282:01 ST. ANTHONY-NEW BRIGHTON 88 84 95.5% 1 1.1% 3 3.4% 0283:01 * ST. LOUIS PARK 273 250 91.6% 18 6.6% 5 1.8% 0284:01 WAYZATA 551 544 98.7% 5 0.9% 2 0.4% 0286:01 BROOKLYN CENTER 104 85 81.7% 9 8.7% 10 9.6% 0287:06 * INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT 2 100 11 11.0% 42 42.0% 47 47.0% 0299:01 * PRING GROVE 30	0276:01 MINNETONKA	439	398	90.7%	6	1.4%	35	
0279:01 * OSSEO 1300 1149 88.4% 58 4.5% 93 7.2% 0280:01 RICHFIELD 239 200 83.7% 8 3.4% 31 13.0% 0281:01 ROBBINSDALE 726 597 82.2% 29 4.0% 100 13.8% 0282:01 ST. ANTHONY-NEW BRIGHTON 88 84 95.5% 1 1.1% 3 3.4% 0283:01 * ST. LOUIS PARK 273 250 91.6% 18 6.6% 5 1.8% 0284:01 WAYZATA 551 544 98.7% 5 0.9% 2 0.4% 0286:01 BROOKLYN CENTER 104 85 81.7% 9 8.7% 10 9.6% 0287:06 * INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT 2 100 11 11.0% 42 42.0% 47 47.0% 0297:01 SPRING GROVE 30 30 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0299:01 CALEDONIA 106 100 94.3% 3 2.8% 3	0277:01 WESTONKA	147	135	91.8%	3	2.0%	9	
0279:01 * OSSEO 1300 1149 88.4% 58 4.5% 93 7.2% 0280:01 RICHFIELD 239 200 83.7% 8 3.4% 31 13.0% 0281:01 ROBBINSDALE 726 597 82.2% 29 4.0% 100 13.8% 0282:01 ST. ANTHONY-NEW BRIGHTON 88 84 95.5% 1 1.1% 3 3.4% 0283:01 * ST. LOUIS PARK 273 250 91.6% 18 6.6% 5 1.8% 0284:01 WAYZATA 551 544 98.7% 5 0.9% 2 0.4% 0286:01 BROOKLYN CENTER 104 85 81.7% 9 8.7% 10 9.6% 0287:06 * INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT 2 100 11 11.0% 42 42.0% 47 47.0% 0297:01 * SPRING GROVE 30 30 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0299:01 * CALEDONIA 106 100 94.3% 3 2.8% 3	0278:01 ORONO	184	179	97.3%	3	1.6%	2	1.1%
0280:01 RICHFIELD 239 200 83.7% 8 3.4% 31 13.0% 0281:01 ROBBINSDALE 726 597 82.2% 29 4.0% 100 13.8% 0282:01 ST. ANTHONY-NEW BRIGHTON 88 84 95.5% 1 1.1% 3 3.4% 0283:01 * ST. LOUIS PARK 273 250 91.6% 18 6.6% 5 1.8% 0284:01 WAYZATA 551 544 98.7% 5 0.9% 2 0.4% 0286:01 BROOKLYN CENTER 104 85 81.7% 9 8.7% 10 9.6% 0287:06 * INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT 2 100 11 11.0% 42 42.0% 47 47.0% 0294:01 * HOUSTON 29 29 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0299:01 CALEDONIA 106 100 94.3% 3 2.8% 3 2.8% 0300:01 * LACCESCENT-HOKAH 136	0279:01 * OSSEO	1300	1149	88.4%	58	4.5%	93	
0281:01 ROBBINSDALE 726 597 82.2% 29 4.0% 100 13.8% 0282:01 ST. ANTHONY-NEW BRIGHTON 88 84 95.5% 1 1.1% 3 3.4% 0283:01 * ST. LOUIS PARK 273 250 91.6% 18 6.6% 5 1.8% 0284:01 WAYZATA 551 544 98.7% 5 0.9% 2 0.4% 0286:01 BROOKLYN CENTER 104 85 81.7% 9 8.7% 10 9.6% 0287:06 * INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT 2 100 11 11.0% 42 42.0% 47 47.0% 0294:01 * HOUSTON 29 29 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0297:01 SPRING GROVE 30 30 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0299:01 CALEDONIA 106 100 94.3% 3 2.8% 3 2.8% 0306:01 LAPORTE 26 </td <td>0280:01 RICHFIELD</td> <td></td> <td>200</td> <td>83.7%</td> <td>8</td> <td></td> <td>31</td> <td>13.0%</td>	0280:01 RICHFIELD		200	83.7%	8		31	13.0%
0282:01 ST. ANTHONY-NEW BRIGHTON 88 84 95.5% 1 1.1% 3 3.4% 0283:01 * ST. LOUIS PARK 273 250 91.6% 18 6.6% 5 1.8% 0284:01 WAYZATA 551 544 98.7% 5 0.9% 2 0.4% 0286:01 BROOKLYN CENTER 104 85 81.7% 9 8.7% 10 9.6% 0287:06 * INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT 2 100 11 11.0% 42 42.0% 47 47.0% 0294:01 * HOUSTON 29 29 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0297:01 SPRING GROVE 30 30 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0299:01 CALEDONIA 106 100 94.3% 3 2.8% 3 2.8% 0300:01 * LACRESCENT-HOKAH 136 121 89.0% 6 4.4% 9 6.6% 0308:01 NEVIS 33	0281:01 ROBBINSDALE	726	597				100	
0283:01 * ST. LOUIS PARK 273 250 91.6% 18 6.6% 5 1.8% 0284:01 WAYZATA 551 544 98.7% 5 0.9% 2 0.4% 0286:01 BROOKLYN CENTER 104 85 81.7% 9 8.7% 10 9.6% 0287:06 * INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT 2 100 11 11.0% 42 42.0% 47 47.0% 0294:01 * HOUSTON 29 29 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0297:01 SPRING GROVE 30 30 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0299:01 CALEDONIA 106 100 94.3% 3 2.8% 3 2.8% 0300:01 * LACRESCENT-HOKAH 136 121 89.0% 6 4.4% 9 6.6% 0308:01 NEVIS 33 30 90.9% 1 3.0% 2 6.1% 0314:01 * BRAHAM 72 61 84.7% 0 0.0% 11 15.3%	0282:01 ST. ANTHONY-NEW BRIGHTOI		84		1	1.1%	3	
0284:01 WAYZATA 551 544 98.7% 5 0.9% 2 0.4% 0286:01 BROOKLYN CENTER 104 85 81.7% 9 8.7% 10 9.6% 0287:06 * INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT 2 100 11 11.0% 42 42.0% 47 47.0% 0294:01 * HOUSTON 29 29 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0297:01 SPRING GROVE 30 30 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0299:01 CALEDONIA 106 100 94.3% 3 2.8% 3 2.8% 0300:01 * LACRESCENT-HOKAH 136 121 89.0% 6 4.4% 9 6.6% 0306:01 LAPORTE 26 22 84.6% 1 3.9% 3 11.5% 0309:01 * PARK RAPIDS 144 101 70.1% 31 21.5% 12 8.3% 0316:01 GREENWAY 103 97	0283:01 * ST. LOUIS PARK	273	250	91.6%	18	6.6%	5	
0286:01 BROOKLYN CENTER 104 85 81.7% 9 8.7% 10 9.6% 0287:06 * INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT 2 100 11 11.0% 42 42.0% 47 47.0% 0294:01 * HOUSTON 29 29 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0297:01 SPRING GROVE 30 30 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0299:01 CALEDONIA 106 100 94.3% 3 2.8% 3 2.8% 0300:01 * LACRESCENT-HOKAH 136 121 89.0% 6 4.4% 9 6.6% 0306:01 LAPORTE 26 22 84.6% 1 3.9% 3 11.5% 0308:01 NEVIS 33 30 90.9% 1 3.0% 2 6.1% 0314:01 * BRAHAM 72 61 84.7% 0 0.0% 11 15.3% 0316:01 GREENWAY 103 97 94.2% 1 1.0% 5 4.9% 0317:01 DEER RIVER								
0287:06 * INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT 2 100 11 11.0% 42 42.0% 47 47.0% 0294:01 * HOUSTON 29 29 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0297:01 SPRING GROVE 30 30 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0299:01 CALEDONIA 106 100 94.3% 3 2.8% 3 2.8% 0300:01 * LACRESCENT-HOKAH 136 121 89.0% 6 4.4% 9 6.6% 0306:01 LAPORTE 26 22 84.6% 1 3.9% 3 11.5% 0308:01 NEVIS 33 30 90.9% 1 3.0% 2 6.1% 0309:01 * PARK RAPIDS 144 101 70.1% 31 21.5% 12 8.3% 0314:01 * BRAHAM 72 61 84.7% 0 0.0% 11 15.3% 0316:01 GREENWAY 103 97 94.2% 1 1.0% 5 4.9% 0317:01 DEER RIVER 84 76 90.5% 7 8.3% 1 1.2%								
0294:01 * HOUSTON 29 29 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0297:01 SPRING GROVE 30 30 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0299:01 CALEDONIA 106 100 94.3% 3 2.8% 3 2.8% 0300:01 * LACRESCENT-HOKAH 136 121 89.0% 6 4.4% 9 6.6% 0306:01 LAPORTE 26 22 84.6% 1 3.9% 3 11.5% 0308:01 NEVIS 33 30 90.9% 1 3.0% 2 6.1% 0309:01 * PARK RAPIDS 144 101 70.1% 31 21.5% 12 8.3% 0314:01 * BRAHAM 72 61 84.7% 0 0.0% 11 15.3% 0316:01 GREENWAY 103 97 94.2% 1 1.0% 5 4.9% 0317:01 DEER RIVER 84 76 90.5% 7 8.3% 1 1.2%								
0297:01 SPRING GROVE 30 30 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0299:01 CALEDONIA 106 100 94.3% 3 2.8% 3 2.8% 0300:01 * LACRESCENT-HOKAH 136 121 89.0% 6 4.4% 9 6.6% 0306:01 LAPORTE 26 22 84.6% 1 3.9% 3 11.5% 0308:01 NEVIS 33 30 90.9% 1 3.0% 2 6.1% 0309:01 * PARK RAPIDS 144 101 70.1% 31 21.5% 12 8.3% 0314:01 * BRAHAM 72 61 84.7% 0 0.0% 11 15.3% 0316:01 GREENWAY 103 97 94.2% 1 1.0% 5 4.9% 0317:01 DEER RIVER 84 76 90.5% 7 8.3% 1 1.2%								
0299:01 CALEDONIA 106 100 94.3% 3 2.8% 3 2.8% 0300:01 * LACRESCENT-HOKAH 136 121 89.0% 6 4.4% 9 6.6% 0306:01 LAPORTE 26 22 84.6% 1 3.9% 3 11.5% 0308:01 NEVIS 33 30 90.9% 1 3.0% 2 6.1% 0309:01 * PARK RAPIDS 144 101 70.1% 31 21.5% 12 8.3% 0314:01 * BRAHAM 72 61 84.7% 0 0.0% 11 15.3% 0316:01 GREENWAY 103 97 94.2% 1 1.0% 5 4.9% 0317:01 DEER RIVER 84 76 90.5% 7 8.3% 1 1.2%	0297:01 SPRING GROVE							
0300:01 * LACRESCENT-HOKAH 136 121 89.0% 6 4.4% 9 6.6% 0306:01 LAPORTE 26 22 84.6% 1 3.9% 3 11.5% 0308:01 NEVIS 33 30 90.9% 1 3.0% 2 6.1% 0309:01 * PARK RAPIDS 144 101 70.1% 31 21.5% 12 8.3% 0314:01 * BRAHAM 72 61 84.7% 0 0.0% 11 15.3% 0316:01 GREENWAY 103 97 94.2% 1 1.0% 5 4.9% 0317:01 DEER RIVER 84 76 90.5% 7 8.3% 1 1.2%								
0306:01 LAPORTE 26 22 84.6% 1 3.9% 3 11.5% 0308:01 NEVIS 33 30 90.9% 1 3.0% 2 6.1% 0309:01 * PARK RAPIDS 144 101 70.1% 31 21.5% 12 8.3% 0314:01 * BRAHAM 72 61 84.7% 0 0.0% 11 15.3% 0316:01 GREENWAY 103 97 94.2% 1 1.0% 5 4.9% 0317:01 DEER RIVER 84 76 90.5% 7 8.3% 1 1.2%								
0308:01 NEVIS 33 30 90.9% 1 3.0% 2 6.1% 0309:01 * PARK RAPIDS 144 101 70.1% 31 21.5% 12 8.3% 0314:01 * BRAHAM 72 61 84.7% 0 0.0% 11 15.3% 0316:01 GREENWAY 103 97 94.2% 1 1.0% 5 4.9% 0317:01 DEER RIVER 84 76 90.5% 7 8.3% 1 1.2%								
0309:01 * PARK RAPIDS 144 101 70.1% 31 21.5% 12 8.3% 0314:01 * BRAHAM 72 61 84.7% 0 0.0% 11 15.3% 0316:01 GREENWAY 103 97 94.2% 1 1.0% 5 4.9% 0317:01 DEER RIVER 84 76 90.5% 7 8.3% 1 1.2%								
0314:01 * BRAHAM 72 61 84.7% 0 0.0% 11 15.3% 0316:01 GREENWAY 103 97 94.2% 1 1.0% 5 4.9% 0317:01 DEER RIVER 84 76 90.5% 7 8.3% 1 1.2%								
0316:01 GREENWAY 103 97 94.2% 1 1.0% 5 4.9% 0317:01 DEER RIVER 84 76 90.5% 7 8.3% 1 1.2%								
0317:01 DEER RIVER 84 76 90.5% 7 8.3% 1 1.2%								
0318:01 * GRAND RAPIDS 365 290 79.5% 39 10.7% 36 9.9%								
0319:01 NASHWAUK-KEEWATIN 43 40 93.0% 1 2.3% 2 4.7%								
0323:02 FRANCONIA 1 1 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%								
0330:01 HERON LAKE-OKABENA 40 36 90.0% 0 0.0% 4 10.0%								
0332:01 * MORA 156 121 77.6% 16 10.3% 19 12.2%								
0333:01 OGILVIE 41 35 85.4% 2 4.9% 4 9.8%		41	35	85.4%	2	4.9%	4	
0345:01 * NEW LONDON-SPICER 154 140 90.9% 5 3.3% 9 5.8%	0345:01 * NEW LONDON-SPICER	154	140	90.9%			9	
0347:01 * WILLMAR 348 258 74.1% 48 13.8% 42 12.1%		348	258	74.1%	48		42	
0356:01 LANCASTER 22 22 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%	0356:01 LANCASTER		22	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
0361:01 * INTERNATIONAL FALLS 153 125 81.7% 23 15.0% 5 3.3%	0361:01 * INTERNATIONAL FALLS	153	125		23	15.0%	5	
0362:01 LITTLEFORK-BIG FALLS 28 27 96.4% 1 3.6% 0 0.0%								
0363:01 SOUTH KOOCHICHING 29 27 93.1% 1 3.5% 1 3.5%								
0378:01 DAWSON-BOYD 53 50 94.3% 1 1.9% 2 3.8%								
0381:01 * LAKE SUPERIOR 145 132 91.0% 8 5.5% 5 3.5%								
0390:01 LAKE OF THE WOODS 44 41 93.2% 3 6.8% 0 0.0%								
0391:01 CLEVELAND 36 31 86.1% 2 5.6% 3 8.3%	0391:01 CLEVELAND		31					

^{*} During 2000/2001 school year, these districts hosted an Area Learning Center, Public Alternative Program, or Private Alternative Program.

⁽C) Consolidated District - Includes students from the constituent districts if the students were served prior to consolidation.

Limited to students whose last serving district is the same as their originating district of 1997/1998.

1997/1998 Serving District	Adjusted number of ninth grade students served	repo	ber later rted as uating	d as reported as as continuing		•	
0392:01 LECENTER	57	55	96.5%	2	3.5%	0	0.0%
0394:01 MONTGOMERY-LONSDALE	96	91	94.8%	4	4.2%	1	1.0%
0403:01 IVANHOE	37	37	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
0404:01 LAKE BENTON	22	20	90.9%	2	9.1%	0	0.0%
0409:01 TYLER	61	59	96.7%	0	0.0%	2	3.3%
0413:01 * MARSHALL	204	183	89.7%	12	5.9%	9	4.4%
0414:01 MINNEOTA	55	54	98.2%	1	1.8%	0	0.0%
0417:01 TRACY	79	75	94.9%	3	3.8%	1	1.3%
0423:01 * HUTCHINSON	228	191	83.8%	15	6.6%	22	9.7%
0424:01 LESTER PRAIRIE	28	24	85.7%	1	3.6%	3	10.7%
0432:01 * MAHNOMEN	61	43	70.5%	13	21.3%	5	8.2%
0435:01 * WAUBUN	40	33	82.5%	0	0.0%	7	17.5%
0441:01 MARSHALL COUNTY CENTRAL	33	33	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
0447:01 GRYGLA	13	13	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
0458:01 TRUMAN	34	33	97.1%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%
0463:01 EDEN VALLEY-WATKINS	78	69	88.5%	5	6.4%	4	5.1%
0465:01 LITCHFIELD	148	134	90.5%	8	5.4%	6	4.1%
0466:01 * DASSEL-COKATO	155	145	93.6%	4	2.6%	6	3.9%
0473:01 * ISLE	29	27	93.1%	1	3.5%	1	3.5%
0477:01 PRINCETON	193	186	96.4%	3	1.6%	4	2.1%
0480:01 * ONAMIA	55	50	90.9%	3	5.5%	2	3.6%
0482:01 * LITTLE FALLS	289	253	87.5%	22	7.6%	14	4.8%
0484:01 * PIERZ	84	79	94.1%	4	4.8%	1	1.2%
0485:01 * ROYALTON	59	56	94.9%	2	3.4%	1	1.7%
0486:01 * SWANVILLE	34	32	94.1%	0	0.0%	2	5.9%
0487:01 UPSALA	30	30	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
0492:01 * AUSTIN	346	229	66.2%	81	23.4%	36	10.4%
0495:01 GRAND MEADOW	24	23	95.8%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%
0497:01 LYLE	18	17	94.4%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%
0499:01 LEROY	32	32	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
0500:01 SOUTHLAND	47	45	95.7%	0	0.0%	2	4.3%
0505:01 FULDA	45	45	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
0507:01 NICOLLET	43	43	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
0508:01 ST. PETER	131	122	93.1%	7	5.3%	2	1.5%
0511:01 ADRIAN	49	48	98.0%	1	2.0%	0	0.0%
0514:01 ELLSWORTH	20	20	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
0516:01 ROUND LAKE	32	30	93.8%	2	6.3%	0	0.0%
0518:01 * WORTHINGTON	188	153	81.4%	11	5.9%	24	12.8%
0531:01 BYRON	81	80	98.8%	0	0.0%	1	1.2%
0533:01 DOVER-EYOTA	53	51	96.2%	2	3.8%	0	0.0%
0534:01 STEWARTVILLE	117	111	94.9%	6	5.1%	0	0.0%

^{*} During 2000/2001 school year, these districts hosted an Area Learning Center, Public Alternative Program, or Private Alternative Program.

⁽C) Consolidated District - Includes students from the constituent districts if the students were served prior to consolidation.

Limited to students whose last serving district is the same as their originating district of 1997/1998.

1997/1998 Serving District	Adjusted number of ninth grade students served	repo	nber later orted as luating	repor	Number later represented as as continuing ed dropping out the following sch		ng education
0535:01 * ROCHESTER	1130	920	81.4%	133	11.8%	77	6.8%
0542:01 BATTLE LAKE	28	27	96.4%	1	3.6%	0	0.0%
0544:01 * FERGUS FALLS	257	210	81.7%	26	10.1%	21	8.2%
0545:01 HENNING	37	37	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
0547:01 PARKERS PRAIRIE	49	48	98.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.0%
0548:01 PELICAN RAPIDS	86	79	91.9%	6	7.0%	1	1.2%
0549:01 * PERHAM	141	122	86.5%	11	7.8%	8	5.7%
0550:01 UNDERWOOD	29	28	96.6%	1	3.5%	0	0.0%
0553:01 NEW YORK MILLS	55	52	94.6%	0	0.0%	3	5.5%
0561:01 GOODRIDGE	18	17	94.4%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%
0564:01 * THIEF RIVER FALLS	148	127	85.8%	1	0.7%	20	13.5%
0577:01 * WILLOW RIVER	34	29	85.3%	4	11.8%	1	2.9%
0578:01 * PINE CITY	129	106	82.2%	11	8.5%	12	9.3%
0581:01 EDGERTON	17	17	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
0592:01 CLIMAX	10	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
0593:01 * CROOKSTON	176	140	79.6%	16	9.1%	20	11.4%
0595:01 * EAST GRAND FORKS	169	146	86.4%	17	10.1%	6	3.6%
0599:01 FERTILE-BELTRAMI	56	51	91.1%	3	5.4%	2	3.6%
0600:01 FISHER	22	21	95.5%	0	0.0%	1	4.6%
0601:01 FOSSTON	62	58	93.6%	1	1.6%	3	4.8%
0621:01 * MOUNDS VIEW	858	739	86.1%	52	6.1%	67	7.8%
0622:01 * NORTH ST PAUL-MAPLEWOOD	721	649	90.0%	34	4.7%	38	5.3%
0623:01 * ROSEVILLE	379	362	95.5%	4	1.1%	13	3.4%
0624:01 * WHITE BEAR LAKE	670	632	94.3%	13	1.9%	25	3.7%
0625:01 * ST. PAUL	2695	1699	63.0%	388	14.4%	608	22.6%
0627:01 OKLEE	22	18	81.8%	1	4.6%	3	13.6%
0628:01 PLUMMER	17	16	94.1%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%
0630:01 RED LAKE FALLS	47	47	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
0640:01 WABASSO	52	52	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
0656:01 * FARIBAULT	352	292	83.0%	41	11.7%	19	5.4%
0659:01 * NORTHFIELD	271	225	83.0%	20	7.4%	26	9.6%
0671:01 HILLS-BEAVER CREEK	20	18	90.0%	0	0.0%	2	10.0%
0676:01 BADGER	12	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%
0682:01 ROSEAU	104	103	99.0%	1	1.0%	0	0.0%
0690:01 * WARROAD	106	88	83.0%	11	10.4%	7	6.6%
0695:01 CHISHOLM	86	77	89.5%	2	2.3%	7	8.1%
0696:01 ELY	70	68	97.1%	1	1.4%	1	1.4%
0698:01 * FLOODWOOD	19	17	89.5%	2	10.5%	0	0.0%
0700:01 HERMANTOWN	140	136	97.1%	0	0.0%	4	2.9%
0701:01 * HIBBING	301	224	74.4%	32	10.6%	45	15.0%
0704:01 PROCTOR	160	152	95.0%	3	1.9%	5	3.1%
*: :::==:=::				•		•	

^{*} During 2000/2001 school year, these districts hosted an Area Learning Center, Public Alternative Program, or Private Alternative Program.

⁽C) Consolidated District - Includes students from the constituent districts if the students were served prior to consolidation.

Limited to students whose last serving district is the same as their originating district of 1997/1998.

	1997/1998 Serving District	Adjusted number of ninth grade students served	Number later reported as graduating		Number later reported as dropping out		Number later reported as continuing education the following school year	
0706:01 *	VIRGINIA	144	135	93.8%	4	2.8%	5	3.5%
0709:01 *	DULUTH	998	774	77.6%	157	15.7%	67	6.7%
0712:01	MOUNTAIN IRON-BUHL	43	42	97.7%	1	2.3%	0	0.0%
0716:01	BELLE PLAINE	88	87	98.9%	0	0.0%	1	1.1%
0717:01	JORDAN	78	77	98.7%	1	1.3%	0	0.0%
0719:01	PRIOR LAKE	268	247	92.2%	4	1.5%	17	6.3%
0720:01	SHAKOPEE	188	172	91.5%	6	3.2%	10	5.3%
0721:01	NEW PRAGUE	181	176	97.2%	2	1.1%	3	1.7%
0726:01	BECKER	104	100	96.2%	1	1.0%	3	2.9%
0727:01	BIG LAKE	99	88	88.9%	8	8.1%	3	3.0%
0728:01 *	ELK RIVER	580	465	80.2%	45	7.8%	70	12.1%
0738:01	HOLDINGFORD	82	2	2.4%	2	2.4%	78	95.1%
0739:01	KIMBALL	75	68	90.7%	5	6.7%	2	2.7%
0740:01	MELROSE	156	151	96.8%	3	1.9%	2	1.3%
0741:01	PAYNESVILLE	93	91	97.9%	1	1.1%	1	1.1%
0742:01 *	ST. CLOUD	827	650	78.6%	47	5.7%	130	15.7%
0743:01	SAUK CENTRE	118	114	96.6%	0	0.0%	4	3.4%
0745:01	ALBANY	142	133	93.7%	2	1.4%	7	4.9%
0748:01	SARTELL	191	188	98.4%	1	0.5%	2	1.1%
0750:01	ROCORI	195	193	99.0%	2	1.0%	0	0.0%
	BLOOMING PRAIRIE	87	79	90.8%	5	5.8%	3	3.5%
0761:01 *	OWATONNA	377	332	88.1%	28	7.4%	17	4.5%
	MEDFORD	33	31	93.9%	1	3.0%	1	3.0%
	HANCOCK	18	18	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
0769:01 *		100	98	98.0%	1	1.0%	1	1.0%
	CHOKIO-ALBERTA	21	21	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	KERKHOVEN-MURDOCK-SUNBURG	43	39	90.7%	2	4.7%	2	4.7%
0777:01 *		84	77	91.7%	6	7.1%	1	1.2%
0786:01	BERTHA-HEWITT	40	37	92.5%	2	5.0%	1	2.5%
	BROWERVILLE	52	50	96.2%	2	3.9%	0	0.0%
	BROWNS VALLEY	3	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	WHEATON AREA SCHOOL	47	47	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	ELGIN-MILLVILLE	47	44	93.6%	2	4.3%	1	2.1%
	PLAINVIEW	81	81	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	WABASHA-KELLOGG	63	57	90.5%	1	1.6%	5	7.9%
	LAKE CITY	109	100	91.7%	5	4.6%	4	3.7%
	VERNDALE	26	22	84.6%	0	0.0%	4	15.4%
	SEBEKA	32	32	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MENAHGA	48	47	97.9%	0	0.0%	1	2.1%
0829:01 * '		176	154	87.5%	19	10.8%	3	1.7%
	FOREST LAKE	549	470	85.6%	49	8.9%	30	5.5%
0001.01		0.70	710	55.576	40	0.070	00	0.070

^{*} During 2000/2001 school year, these districts hosted an Area Learning Center, Public Alternative Program, or Private Alternative Program.

⁽C) Consolidated District - Includes students from the constituent districts if the students were served prior to consolidation.

Class of 2001 **Ending Status of Students**

Limited to students whose last serving district is the same as their originating district of 1997/1998.

The counts represent the adjusted number of ninth grade students served by Minnesota schools during the 1997/1998 school year and their last reported status through the 2000/2001 school year.

0832:01 * MAHTOMED 197	1997/1998 Serving District	Adjusted number of ninth grade students served	Number later reported as graduating		Number later reported as dropping out		Number later reported as continuing education the following school year	
083-01 STILLWATER	0832:01 * MAHTOMEDI	197	185	93.9%	1	0.5%	11	5.6%
0836:01 BUTTERFIELD	0833:01 * SOUTH WASHINGTON COUNTY	995	916	92.1%	65	6.5%	14	1.4%
0837-01 MADELIA	0834:01 * STILLWATER	682	623	91.4%	11	1.6%	48	7.0%
0846:01 ST. JAMES 92 84 91.3% 5 5.4% 3 3.3% 0846:01 BRECKENIDGE 80 72 90.0% 6 7.5% 2 2.5% 0850:01 ROTHSAY 13 13 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0852:01 CAMPBELL-TINTAH 14 14 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0858:01 ST. CHARLES 70 69 98.6% 1 1.4% 0 0.0% 0861:01 WINONA 393 297 75.6% 45 11.5% 51 13.0% 0876:01 ANNANDALE 129 120 93.0% 10 2.8% 15 4.2% 0877:01 BUFFALO 359 334 93.0% 10 2.8% 15 4.2% 0879:01 DELANO 142 140 98.6% 2 1.4% 0 0.0% 0881:01 MONTICELLO 232	0836:01 BUTTERFIELD	19	19	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
0846:01 BRECKENRIDGE 80 72 90.0% 6 7.5% 2 2.5% 085:001 ROTHSAY 13 13 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 085:01 CAMPBELL-TINTAH 14 14 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 085:01 ST. CHARLES 70 69 98.6% 1 1.4% 0 0.0% 085:01 WINONA 393 297 75.6% 45 11.5% 51 13.0% 087:01 ANNANDALE 129 120 93.0% 6 4.7% 3 2.3% 087:01 *BUFFALO 359 334 93.0% 10 2.8% 15 4.2% 087:01 *BUFFALO 359 334 93.0% 10 2.8% 15 4.2% 087:01 *BUFFALO 359 334 93.0% 10 2.8% 1 1.6% 087:901 *BUFFALO 359 334 93.0% 10	0837:01 MADELIA	46	40	87.0%	5	10.9%	1	2.2%
0850:01 ROTHSAY 13 13 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0852:01 CAMPBELLTINTAH 14 14 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0857:01 EWISTON 62 61 98.6% 1 1.4% 0 0.0% 0858:01 ST. CHARLES 70 69 98.6% 1 1.4% 0 0.0% 0861:01 WINONA 393 297 75.6% 45 11.5% 51 13.0% 0870:01 ANNANDALE 129 120 93.0% 6 4.7% 3 2.23% 0877:01 BUFFALO 359 334 93.0% 10 2.8% 15 4.2% 0879:01 DELANO 142 140 98.6% 2 1.4% 0 0.0% 0892:01 MONTICELLO 232 220 94.8% 9 3.9% 3 1.3% 0883:01 RST. MICHAEL-ALBERTVILLE <	0840:01 ST. JAMES	92	84	91.3%	5	5.4%	3	3.3%
0852:01 CAMPBELL-TINTAH 14 14 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0857:01 LEWISTON 62 61 98.4% 0 0.0% 1 1.6% 0858:01 ST. CHARLES 70 69 98.6% 1 1.4% 0 0.0% 0861:01 WINONA 393 297 75.6% 45 11.5% 51 13.0% 0876:01 ANNANDALE 129 120 93.0% 6 4.7% 3 2.3% 0877:01 BUFFALO 359 334 93.0% 10 2.8% 15 4.2% 0879:01 DELANO 142 140 98.6% 2 1.4% 0 0.0% 0882:01 MAPLE LAKE 61 55 902.% 5 8.2% 1 1.6% 0882:01 MONTICELLO 232 220 94.8% 9 3.9% 3 1.3% 0885:01 ST. MICHAEL-ALBERTVILLE	0846:01 BRECKENRIDGE	80	72	90.0%	6	7.5%	2	2.5%
0857:01 LEWISTON 62 61 98.4% 0 0.0% 1 1.6% 0858:01 ST. CHARLES 70 69 98.6% 1 1.1% 0 0.0% 0861:01 *WINONA 393 297 75.6% 45 11.5% 51 13.0% 0877:01 ANNANDALE 129 120 93.0% 6 4.7% 3 2.3% 0877:01 BUFFALO 359 334 93.0% 10 2.8% 15 4.2% 087:01 DELANO 142 140 98.6% 2 1.4% 0 0.0% 0882:01 MONTICELLO 232 220 94.8% 9 3.9% 3 1.3% 0882:01 ST. MICHABL-ALBERTVILLE 115 107 93.0% 6 5.2% 2 1.7% 0891:01 CAMBRIDGE-ISANTI 303 292 96.4% 9 3.0% 2 2.6% 091:201 MILCA	0850:01 ROTHSAY	13	13	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
0858:01 ST. CHARLES 70 69 98.6% 1 1.4% 0 0.0% 0861:01 * WINONA 393 297 75.6% 45 11.5% 51 13.0% 0876:01 ANNANDALE 129 120 93.0% 10 2.8% 15 4.2% 087:01 **BUFFALO 359 334 93.0% 10 2.8% 15 4.2% 087:01 **DELANO 142 140 98.6% 2 1.4% 0 0.0% 0881:01 **MAPLE LAKE 61 55 90.2% 5 8.2% 1 1.6% 0882:01 **MONTICELLO 232 220 94.8% 9 3.9% 3 1.3% 0885:01 **ST.MICHAEL-ALBERTVILLE 170 166 97.7% 2 1.2% 2 1.2% 0891:01 **CAMBY 77 75 97.4% 0 0.0% 2 2.6% 091:01 **MILCA 133 117 88.0% 3 2.3% 13 9.8%	0852:01 CAMPBELL-TINTAH	14	14	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
0861:01 * WINONA 393 297 75.6% 45 11.5% 51 13.0% 0876:01 ANNANDALE 129 120 93.0% 6 4.7% 3 2.3% 0877:01 * BUFFALO 359 334 93.0% 10 2.8% 15 4.2% 0879:01 DELANO 142 140 98.6% 2 1.4% 0 0.0% 0881:01 MAPLE LAKE 61 55 90.2% 5 8.2% 1 1.6% 0882:01 * MONTICELLO 232 220 94.8% 9 3.9% 3 1.3% 0883:01 * ROCKFORD 115 107 93.0% 6 5.2% 2 1.7% 0891:01 CANBY 77 75 97.4% 0 0.0% 2 2.6% 0911:01 CAMBRIDGE-ISANTI 303 292 96.4% 9 3.0% 2 0.7% 0912:01 * MILACA 133 117 88.0% 3 2.3% 13 9.8% 0914:01	0857:01 LEWISTON	62	61	98.4%	0	0.0%	1	1.6%
0876:01 ANNANDALE 129 120 93.0% 6 4.7% 3 2.3% 0877:01 BUFFALO 359 334 93.0% 10 2.8% 15 4.2% 0879:01 DELANO 142 140 98.6% 2 1.4% 0 0.0% 0881:01 MAPLE LAKE 61 55 90.2% 5 8.2% 1 1.6% 0882:01 MONTICELLO 232 220 94.8% 9 3.9% 3 1.3% 0885:01 ST. MICHAEL-ALBERTVILLE 170 166 97.7% 2 1.2% 2 1.7% 0891:01 CANBY 77 75 97.4% 0 0.0% 2 2.6% 0911:01 CAMBRIDGE-ISANTI 303 292 96.4% 9 3.0% 2 0.7% 0912:01 MILACA 133 117 88.0% 3 2.3% 13 9.8% 0915:52 SOUTHERN PLAINS ED. COOP.<	0858:01 ST. CHARLES	70	69	98.6%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%
0877:01 * BUFFALO 359 334 93.0% 10 2.8% 15 4.2% 0879:01 DELANO 142 140 98.6% 2 1.4% 0 0.0% 0881:01 MAPLE LAKE 61 55 90.2% 5 8.2% 1 1.6% 0882:01 * MONTICELLO 232 220 94.8% 9 3.9% 3 1.3% 0885:01 * ROCKFORD 115 107 93.0% 6 5.2% 2 1.7% 0885:01 * ST. MICHAEL-ALBERTVILLE 170 166 97.7% 2 1.2% 2 1.2% 0891:01 CAMBYI 77 75 97.4% 0 0.0% 2 2.6% 0911:01 CAMBRIDGE-ISANTI 303 292 96.4% 9 3.0% 2 0.7% 0912:01 * MILACA 133 117 88.0% 3 2.3% 13 9.8% 0914:01 ULEN-HITTERDAL 29 26 89.7% 1 3.5% 2 18.2%	0861:01 * WINONA	393	297	75.6%	45	11.5%	51	13.0%
0879:01 DELANO 142 140 98.6% 2 1.4% 0 0.0% 0881:01 MAPLE LAKE 61 55 90.2% 5 8.2% 1 1.6% 0882:01 MAPLE LAKE 61 55 90.2% 5 8.2% 1 1.6% 0882:01 MAPLE LAKE 61 55 90.2% 5 8.2% 1 1.6% 0882:01 MAPLE LAKE 170 150 91.30% 6 5.2% 2 1.7% 0885:01 ST. MICHAEL-ALBERTVILLE 170 166 97.7% 2 1.2% 2 1.2% 0891:01 CANBY 77 75 97.4% 0 0.0% 2 2.6% 0911:01 CANBY 77 75 97.4% 0 0.0% 2 2.6% 0911:01 CAMBRIDGE-ISANTI 303 292 96.8% 9 3.0% 2 0.7% 0912:01 MILACA 133 117 88.0% 3 2.3% 13 9.8% 0914:01 ULEN-HITTERDAL 29 26 89.7% 1 3.5% 2 6.9% 0915:52 SOUTHERN PLAINS ED. COOP. 11 2 18.2% 7 63.6% 2 18.2% 0916:06 N.E. METRO INTERMEDIATE DIST. 46 4 8.7% 7 15.2% 35 76.1% 0917:06 INTERMEDIATE DIST. 46 4 8.7% 7 15.2% 35 76.1% 0930:53 REGION 4-LAKES COUNTRY 15 3 20.0% 11 73.3% 1 6.7% 0930:53 CARVER-SCOTT EDUCATIONAL 9 1 11.1% 4 44.4% 4 44.4% 0957:51 OAK LAND VOC CNTR 26 4 15.4% 10 38.5% 12 46.2% 0996:51 WIGHT TECH CNTR 11 2 18.2% 9 81.8% 0 0.0% 0993:52 MINNESOTA RIVER VALLEY SPED C 15 4 26.7% 0 0.0% 11 73.3% 1 14.3% 115:34 BUG-O-NAY-GE-SHIG 10 6 60.0% 3 30.0% 1 10.0% 1435:34 CIRCLE OF LIFE 14 3 21.4% 8 57.1% 3 21.4% 21.4% 215:01 TRITON 88 80 90.9% 8 9.0% 1 1.1% 21.25:01 TRITON 88 80 90.9% 3 3.4% 5 5.7% 213:01 MAPLE RIVER 103 98 95.2% 1 1.0% 4 3.9% 213:01 MAPLE RIVER 103 98 95.2% 1 1.0% 4 3.9% 213:01 MAPLE RIVER 103 98 95.2% 1 1.0% 4 3.9% 213:01 MAPLE RIVER 103 98 95.2% 1 1.0% 4 3.9% 213:01 MAPLE RIVER 103 98 95.2% 1 1.0% 4 3.9% 213:01 MAPLE RIVER 103 98.5% 109.7% 100.7% 100.7% 100.7% 100.7% 100.7% 100.7% 100.7% 100.7% 100.7%	0876:01 ANNANDALE	129	120	93.0%	6	4.7%	3	2.3%
0881:01 MAPLE LAKE 61 55 90.2% 5 8.2% 1 1.6% 0882:01 * MONTICELLO 232 220 94.8% 9 3.9% 3 1.3% 0883:01 * ROCKFORD 115 107 93.0% 6 5.2% 2 1.7% 0885:01 ST. MICHAEL-ALBERTVILLE 170 166 97.7% 2 1.2% 2 1.2% 0891:01 CANBY 77 75 97.4% 0 0.0% 2 2.6% 0911:01 CAMBRIDGE-ISANTI 303 292 96.4% 9 3.0% 2 0.7% 0912:01 * MILACA 133 117 88.0% 3 2.3% 13 9.8% 0914:01 ULEN-HITTERDAL 29 26 89.7% 1 3.5% 2 6.9% 0915:02 * SOUTHERN PLAINS ED. COOP. 11 2 18.2% 7 63.6% 2 18.2% 0915:06 * N.E. METRO INTERMEDIATE DIST. 46 4 8.7% 7 15.2% 35 76.1% 0917:06 * INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT 9 20 6 30.0% 10 50.0% 4 20.0% 0926:83 * REGION 4-LAKES COUNTRY 15 3 20.0% 11 73.3% 1 6.7% 0930:53 * CARVER-SCOTT EDUCATIONAL 9 1 11.1% 4 44.4% 4 44.4% 0957:51 * OAK LAND VOC CNTR 26 4 15.4% 10 38.5% 12 46.2% 0966:51 * WRIGHT TECH CNTR 11 2 18.2% 9 81.8% 0 0.0% 0.0993:52 MINNESOTA RIVER VALLEY SPED C 15 4 26.7% 0 0.0% 11 73.3% 1 11.3% 115:34 BUG-O-NAY-GE-SHIG 10 6 60.0% 3 30.0% 1 10.0% 1435:34 CIRCLE OF LIFE 14 3 21.4% 8 57.1% 3 21.4% 2071:01 LAKE CRYSTAL-WELLCOME 89 80 89.9% 8 9.0% 1 11.1% 213:01 MAPLE RIVER 103 98 95.2% 1 1.0% 4 3.9% 2137:01 * KINOSLAND 72 66 91.7% 3 4.2% 3 4.2% 2137:01 * KINOSLAND 72 66 91.7% 3 4.2% 3 4.2% 2137:01 * KINOSLAND 72 66 91.7% 3 4.2% 3 4.2% 2140;01 * ST. LOUIS COUNTY 228 216 94.7% 6 2.6% 6	0877:01 * BUFFALO	359	334	93.0%	10	2.8%	15	4.2%
0881:01 MAPLE LAKE 61 55 90.2% 5 8.2% 1 1.6% 0882:01 * MONTICELLO 232 220 94.8% 9 3.9% 3 1.3% 0883:01 * ROCKFORD 115 107 93.0% 6 5.2% 2 1.7% 0885:01 ST. MICHAEL-ALBERTVILLE 170 166 97.7% 2 1.2% 2 1.2% 0891:01 CANBY 77 75 97.4% 0 0.0% 2 2.6% 0911:01 CAMBRIDGE-ISANTI 303 292 96.4% 9 3.0% 2 0.7% 0912:01 * MILACA 133 117 88.0% 3 2.3% 13 9.8% 0915:52 * SOUTHERN PLAINS ED. COOP. 11 2 18.2% 7 63.6% 2 18.2% 0915:06 * N.E. METRO INTERMEDIATE DIST. 46 4 8.7% 7 15.2% 35 76.1% 0917:06 * INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT 9 20 6	0879:01 DELANO	142	140	98.6%	2	1.4%	0	0.0%
0882:01 * MONTICELLO 232 220 94.8% 9 3.9% 3 1.3% 0883:01 * ROCKFORD 115 107 93.0% 6 5.2% 2 1.7% 0885:01 * ST. MICHAEL-ALBERTVILLE 170 166 97.7% 2 1.2% 2 1.2% 0891:01 * CANBY 77 75 97.4% 0 0.0% 2 2.6% 091:01 * CAMBRIDGE-ISANTI 303 292 96.4% 9 3.0% 2 0.7% 0912:01 * MILACA 133 117 88.0% 3 2.3% 13 9.8% 0915:02 * SOUTHERN PLAINS ED. COOP. 11 2 18.2% 7 63.6% 2 18.2% 0916:06 * N.E. METRO INTERMEDIATE DIST. 46 4 8.7% 7 15.2% 35 76.1% 0917:06 * INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT 9 20 6 30.0% 10 50.0% 4 20.0% 0926:83 * REGION 4-LAKES COUNTRY 15 3 20.0% 11 <	0881:01 MAPLE LAKE	61	55	90.2%	5	8.2%	1	
0883:01 * ROCKFORD 115 107 93.0% 6 5.2% 2 1.7% 0885:01 ST. MICHAEL-ALBERTVILLE 170 166 97.7% 2 1.2% 2 1.2% 0891:01 CANBY 77 75 97.4% 0 0.0% 2 2.6% 0911:01 CAMBRIDGE-ISANTI 303 292 96.4% 9 3.0% 2 0.7% 0912:01 *MILACA 133 117 88.0% 3 2.3% 13 9.8% 0914:01 ULEN-HITTERDAL 29 26 89.7% 1 3.5% 2 6.9% 0915:52 * SOUTHERN PLAINS ED. COOP. 11 2 18.2% 7 63.6% 2 18.2% 0916:06 * N.E. METRO INTERMEDIATE DIST. 46 4 8.7% 7 15.2% 35 76.1% 0917:06 * INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT 9 20 6 30.0% 10 50.0% 4 20.0% 0930:53 * CARVER-SCOTT EDUCATIONAL 9 1 11.1% 4.44.4% <	0882:01 * MONTICELLO	232	220	94.8%	9	3.9%	3	
0885:01 ST. MICHAEL-ALBERTVILLE 170 166 97.7% 2 1.2% 2 1.2% 0891:01 CANBY 77 75 97.4% 0 0.0% 2 2.6% 0911:01 CAMBRIDGE-ISANTI 303 292 96.4% 9 3.0% 2 0.7% 0912:01 *MILACA 133 117 88.0% 3 2.3% 13 9.8% 0914:01 ULEN-HITTERDAL 29 26 89.7% 1 3.5% 2 6.9% 0915:52 *SOUTHERN PLAINS ED. COOP. 11 2 18.2% 7 63.6% 2 18.2% 0916:06 * N.E. METRO INTERMEDIATE DIST. 46 4 8.7% 7 15.2% 35 76.1% 0917:06 *INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT9 20 6 30.0% 10 50.0% 4 20.0% 0926:83 *REGION 4-LAKES COUNTRY 15 3 20.0% 11 73.3% 1 6.7%		115	107	93.0%	6	5.2%	2	
0891:01 CANBY 77 75 97.4% 0 0.0% 2 2.6% 0911:01 CAMBRIDGE-ISANTI 303 292 96.4% 9 3.0% 2 0.7% 0912:01 * MILACA 133 117 88.0% 3 2.3% 13 9.8% 0914:01 ULEN-HITTERDAL 29 26 89.7% 1 3.5% 2 6.9% 0915:52 * SOUTHERN PLAINS ED. COOP. 11 2 18.2% 7 63.6% 2 18.2% 0916:06 * N.E. METRO INTERMEDIATE DIST. 46 4 8.7% 7 15.2% 35 76.1% 0917:06 * INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT 9 20 6 30.0% 10 50.0% 4 20.0% 0926:83 * REGION 4-LAKES COUNTRY 15 3 20.0% 11 73.3% 1 6.7% 0930:53 * CARVER-SCOTT EDUCATIONAL 9 1 11.1% 4 44.4% 4 44.4% 095:51 * OAK LAND VOC CNTR 26 4 <td>0885:01 ST. MICHAEL-ALBERTVILLE</td> <td>170</td> <td>166</td> <td>97.7%</td> <td>2</td> <td></td> <td>2</td> <td>1.2%</td>	0885:01 ST. MICHAEL-ALBERTVILLE	170	166	97.7%	2		2	1.2%
0911:01 CAMBRIDGE-ISANTI 303 292 96.4% 9 3.0% 2 0.7% 0912:01 * MILACA 133 117 88.0% 3 2.3% 13 9.8% 0914:01 ULEN-HITTERDAL 29 26 89.7% 1 3.5% 2 6.9% 0915:52 * SOUTHERN PLAINS ED. COOP. 11 2 18.2% 7 63.6% 2 18.2% 0916:06 * N.E. METRO INTERMEDIATE DIST. 46 4 8.7% 7 15.2% 35 76.1% 0917:06 * INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT 9 20 6 30.0% 10 50.0% 4 20.0% 0917:06 * INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT 9 20 6 30.0% 10 50.0% 4 20.0% 0926:83 * REGION 4-LAKES COUNTRY 15 3 20.0% 11 73.3% 1 6.7% 0930:53 * CARVER-SCOTT EDUCATIONAL 9 1 11.1% 4 44.4% 4 44.4% 0966:51 * WRIGHT TECH ONTR 11	0891:01 CANBY	77	75	97.4%	0		2	
0912:01 * MILACA 133 117 88.0% 3 2.3% 13 9.8% 0914:01 ULEN-HITTERDAL 29 26 89.7% 1 3.5% 2 6.9% 0915:52 * SOUTHERN PLAINS ED. COOP. 11 2 18.2% 7 63.6% 2 18.2% 0916:06 * N.E. METRO INTERMEDIATE DIST. 46 4 8.7% 7 15.2% 35 76.1% 0917:06 * INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT 9 20 6 30.0% 10 50.0% 4 20.0% 0926:83 * REGION 4-LAKES COUNTRY 15 3 20.0% 11 73.3% 1 6.7% 0930:53 * CARVER-SCOTT EDUCATIONAL 9 1 11.1% 4 44.4% 4 44.4% 0957:51 * OAK LAND VOC CNTR 26 4 15.4% 10 38.5% 12 46.2% 0966:51 * WRIGHT TECH CNTR 11 2 18.2% 9 81.8% 0 0.0% 0993:52 MINNESOTA RIVER VALLEY SP ED C 15 4 26.7% 0 0.0% 11 73.3% 115:34 BUG-O-NAY-GE-SHIG 10 6 60.0% 3 30.0% 1 10.0% 1435:34 CIRCLE OF LIFE 14 3 21.4% 8 57.1% 3 21.4% 2071:01 LAKE CRYSTAL-WELLCOME 89 80 89.9% 8 9.0% 1 1.1% 215:01 TRITON 88 80 90.9% 3 3.4% 5 5.7% 2134:01 UNITED SOUTH CENTRAL 83 74 89.2% 7 8.4% 2 2.4% 2135:01 MAPLE RIVER 103 COUNTY 228 216 94.7% 6 2.6% 6 2.6% 6 2.6%	0911:01 CAMBRIDGE-ISANTI	303	292	96.4%	9	3.0%	2	
0914:01 ULEN-HITTERDAL 29 26 89.7% 1 3.5% 2 6.9% 0915:52 * SOUTHERN PLAINS ED. COOP. 11 2 18.2% 7 63.6% 2 18.2% 0916:06 * N.E. METRO INTERMEDIATE DIST. 46 4 8.7% 7 15.2% 35 76.1% 0917:06 * INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT 9 20 6 30.0% 10 50.0% 4 20.0% 0926:83 * REGION 4-LAKES COUNTRY 15 3 20.0% 11 73.3% 1 6.7% 0930:53 * CARVER-SCOTT EDUCATIONAL 9 1 11.1% 4 44.4% 4 44.4% 0957:51 * OAK LAND VOC CNTR 26 4 15.4% 10 38.5% 12 46.2% 0966:51 * WRIGHT TECH CNTR 11 2 18.2% 9 81.8% 0 0.0% 1094:34 FOND DU LAC OJIBWAY 7 2 28.6% 4 57.1% 1 14.3% 1115:34 BUG-O-NAY-GE-SHIG 10 6	0912:01 * MILACA	133	117	88.0%		2.3%	13	9.8%
0916:06 * N.E. METRO INTERMEDIATE DIST. 46 4 8.7% 7 15.2% 35 76.1% 0917:06 * INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT 9 20 6 30.0% 10 50.0% 4 20.0% 0926:83 * REGION 4-LAKES COUNTRY 15 3 20.0% 11 73.3% 1 6.7% 0930:53 * CARVER-SCOTT EDUCATIONAL 9 1 11.1% 4 44.4% 4 44.4% 0957:51 * OAK LAND VOC CNTR 26 4 15.4% 10 38.5% 12 46.2% 0966:51 * WRIGHT TECH CNTR 11 2 18.2% 9 81.8% 0 0.0% 0993:52 MINNESOTA RIVER VALLEY SP ED C 15 4 26.7% 0 0.0% 11 73.3% 1094:34 FOND DU LAC OJIBWAY 7 2 28.6% 4 57.1% 1 14.3% 1115:34 BUG-O-NAY-GE-SHIG 10 6 60.0% 3 30.0% 1 10.0% 1435:34 CIRCLE OF LIFE 14 3 21.4% 8 57.1% 3 21.4% 2071:01 LAKE CRYSTAL-WELLCOME	0914:01 ULEN-HITTERDAL	29	26	89.7%		3.5%	2	
0916:06 * N.E. METRO INTERMEDIATE DIST. 46 4 8.7% 7 15.2% 35 76.1% 0917:06 * INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT 9 20 6 30.0% 10 50.0% 4 20.0% 0926:83 * REGION 4-LAKES COUNTRY 15 3 20.0% 11 73.3% 1 6.7% 0930:53 * CARVER-SCOTT EDUCATIONAL 9 1 11.1% 4 44.4% 4 44.4% 0957:51 * OAK LAND VOC CNTR 26 4 15.4% 10 38.5% 12 46.2% 0966:51 * WRIGHT TECH CNTR 11 2 18.2% 9 81.8% 0 0.0% 0993:52 MINNESOTA RIVER VALLEY SP ED C 15 4 26.7% 0 0.0% 11 73.3% 1094:34 FOND DU LAC OJIBWAY 7 2 28.6% 4 57.1% 1 14.3% 1115:34 BUG-O-NAY-GE-SHIG 10 6 60.0% 3 30.0% 1 10.0% 1435:34 CIRCLE OF LIFE 14 3 21.4% 8 57.1% 3 21.4% 2071:01 LAKE CRYSTAL-WELLCOME	0915:52 * SOUTHERN PLAINS ED. COOP.	11	2	18.2%	7	63.6%	2	18.2%
0926:83 * REGION 4-LAKES COUNTRY 15 3 20.0% 11 73.3% 1 6.7% 0930:53 * CARVER-SCOTT EDUCATIONAL 9 1 11.1% 4 44.4% 4 44.4% 0957:51 * OAK LAND VOC CNTR 26 4 15.4% 10 38.5% 12 46.2% 0966:51 * WRIGHT TECH CNTR 11 2 18.2% 9 81.8% 0 0.0% 0993:52 MINNESOTA RIVER VALLEY SP ED C 15 4 26.7% 0 0.0% 11 73.3% 1094:34 FOND DU LAC OJIBWAY 7 2 28.6% 4 57.1% 1 14.3% 115:34 BUG-O-NAY-GE-SHIG 10 6 60.0% 3 30.0% 1 10.0% 1435:34 CIRCLE OF LIFE 14 3 21.4% 8 57.1% 3 21.4% 2071:01 LAKE CRYSTAL-WELLCOME 89 80 89.9% 8 9.0% 1 1.1% 2135:01 TRITON 88 80 90.9% 3 3.4%<		46	4	8.7%	7	15.2%	35	76.1%
0926:83 * REGION 4-LAKES COUNTRY 15 3 20.0% 11 73.3% 1 6.7% 0930:53 * CARVER-SCOTT EDUCATIONAL 9 1 11.1% 4 44.4% 4 44.4% 0957:51 * OAK LAND VOC CNTR 26 4 15.4% 10 38.5% 12 46.2% 0966:51 * WRIGHT TECH CNTR 11 2 18.2% 9 81.8% 0 0.0% 0993:52 MINNESOTA RIVER VALLEY SP ED C 15 4 26.7% 0 0.0% 11 73.3% 1094:34 FOND DU LAC OJIBWAY 7 2 28.6% 4 57.1% 1 14.3% 115:34 BUG-O-NAY-GE-SHIG 10 6 60.0% 3 30.0% 1 10.0% 1435:34 CIRCLE OF LIFE 14 3 21.4% 8 57.1% 3 21.4% 2071:01 LAKE CRYSTAL-WELLCOME 89 80 89.9% 8 9.0% 1 1.1% 2135:01 TRITON 88 80 90.9% 3 3.4%<								
0930:53 * CARVER-SCOTT EDUCATIONAL 9 1 11.1% 4 44.4% 4 44.4% 0957:51 * OAK LAND VOC CNTR 26 4 15.4% 10 38.5% 12 46.2% 0966:51 * WRIGHT TECH CNTR 11 2 18.2% 9 81.8% 0 0.0% 0993:52 MINNESOTA RIVER VALLEY SP ED C 15 4 26.7% 0 0.0% 11 73.3% 1094:34 FOND DU LAC OJIBWAY 7 2 28.6% 4 57.1% 1 14.3% 115:34 BUG-O-NAY-GE-SHIG 10 6 60.0% 3 30.0% 1 10.0% 1435:34 CIRCLE OF LIFE 14 3 21.4% 8 57.1% 3 21.4% 2071:01 LAKE CRYSTAL-WELLCOME 89 80 89.9% 8 9.0% 1 1.1% 2135:01 TRITON 88 80 90.9% 3 3.4% 5 5.7% 2135:01 MAPLE RIVER 103 98 95.2% 1 1.0% 4 3.9% 2137:01 * KINGSLAND 72 66 91.7%								
0957:51 * OAK LAND VOC CNTR 26 4 15.4% 10 38.5% 12 46.2% 0966:51 * WRIGHT TECH CNTR 11 2 18.2% 9 81.8% 0 0.0% 0993:52 MINNESOTA RIVER VALLEY SP ED C 15 4 26.7% 0 0.0% 11 73.3% 1094:34 FOND DU LAC OJIBWAY 7 2 28.6% 4 57.1% 1 14.3% 1115:34 BUG-O-NAY-GE-SHIG 10 6 60.0% 3 30.0% 1 10.0% 1435:34 CIRCLE OF LIFE 14 3 21.4% 8 57.1% 3 21.4% 2071:01 LAKE CRYSTAL-WELLCOME 89 80 89.9% 8 9.0% 1 1.1% 2125:01 TRITON 88 80 90.9% 3 3.4% 5 5.7% 2134:01 UNITED SOUTH CENTRAL 83 74 89.2% 7 8.4% 2 2.4% 2135:01 MAPLE RIVER 103 98 95.2% 1 1.0% 4 3.9% 2137:01 * KINGSLAND 72 66 91.7% 3 4.2% 3 4.2% 2142:01 ST. LOUIS COUNTY 228 216 94.7% 6 2.6% 6 2.6%								
0966:51 * WRIGHT TECH CNTR 11 2 18.2% 9 81.8% 0 0.0% 0993:52 MINNESOTA RIVER VALLEY SP ED C 15 4 26.7% 0 0.0% 11 73.3% 1094:34 FOND DU LAC OJIBWAY 7 2 28.6% 4 57.1% 1 14.3% 1115:34 BUG-O-NAY-GE-SHIG 10 6 60.0% 3 30.0% 1 10.0% 1435:34 CIRCLE OF LIFE 14 3 21.4% 8 57.1% 3 21.4% 2071:01 LAKE CRYSTAL-WELLCOME 89 80 89.9% 8 90.0% 1 1.1% 2125:01 TRITON 88 80 90.9% 3 3.4% 5 5.7% 2134:01 UNITED SOUTH CENTRAL 83 74 89.2% 7 8.4% 2 2.4% 2135:01 MAPLE RIVER 103 98 95.2% 1 1.0% 4 3.9% 2137:01 * KINGSLAND 72 66 91.7% 3 4.2% 3 4.2% 2142:01 ST. LOUIS COUNTY 228 216 94.7% 6 2.6% 6 2.6%								
0993:52 MINNESOTA RIVER VALLEY SP ED C 15 4 26.7% 0 0.0% 11 73.3% 1094:34 FOND DU LAC OJIBWAY 7 2 28.6% 4 57.1% 1 14.3% 1115:34 BUG-O-NAY-GE-SHIG 10 6 60.0% 3 30.0% 1 10.0% 1435:34 CIRCLE OF LIFE 14 3 21.4% 8 57.1% 3 21.4% 2071:01 LAKE CRYSTAL-WELLCOME 89 80 89.9% 8 9.0% 1 1.1% 2125:01 TRITON 88 80 90.9% 3 3.4% 5 5.7% 2134:01 UNITED SOUTH CENTRAL 83 74 89.2% 7 8.4% 2 2.4% 2135:01 MAPLE RIVER 103 98 95.2% 1 1.0% 4 3.9% 2137:01 * KINGSLAND 72 66 91.7% 3 4.2% 3 4.2% 2142:01 ST. LOUIS COUNTY 228 216 94.7% 6 2.6% 6 2.6% <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
1094:34 FOND DU LAC OJIBWAY 7 2 28.6% 4 57.1% 1 14.3% 1115:34 BUG-O-NAY-GE-SHIG 10 6 60.0% 3 30.0% 1 10.0% 1435:34 CIRCLE OF LIFE 14 3 21.4% 8 57.1% 3 21.4% 2071:01 LAKE CRYSTAL-WELLCOME 89 80 89.9% 8 9.0% 1 1.1% 2125:01 TRITON 88 80 90.9% 3 3.4% 5 5.7% 2134:01 UNITED SOUTH CENTRAL 83 74 89.2% 7 8.4% 2 2.4% 2135:01 MAPLE RIVER 103 98 95.2% 1 1.0% 4 3.9% 2137:01 * KINGSLAND 72 66 91.7% 3 4.2% 3 4.2% 2142:01 ST. LOUIS COUNTY 228 216 94.7% 6 2.6% 6 2.6%		15	4	26.7%		0.0%	11	
1115:34 BUG-O-NAY-GE-SHIG 10 6 60.0% 3 30.0% 1 10.0% 1435:34 CIRCLE OF LIFE 14 3 21.4% 8 57.1% 3 21.4% 2071:01 LAKE CRYSTAL-WELLCOME 89 80 89.9% 8 9.0% 1 1.1% 2125:01 TRITON 88 80 90.9% 3 3.4% 5 5.7% 2134:01 UNITED SOUTH CENTRAL 83 74 89.2% 7 8.4% 2 2.4% 2135:01 MAPLE RIVER 103 98 95.2% 1 1.0% 4 3.9% 2137:01 * KINGSLAND 72 66 91.7% 3 4.2% 3 4.2% 2142:01 ST. LOUIS COUNTY 228 216 94.7% 6 2.6% 6 2.6%	1094:34 FOND DU LAC OJIBWAY	7	2	28.6%	4	57.1%	1	14.3%
1435:34 CIRCLE OF LIFE 14 3 21.4% 8 57.1% 3 21.4% 2071:01 LAKE CRYSTAL-WELLCOME 89 80 89.9% 8 9.0% 1 1.1% 2125:01 TRITON 88 80 90.9% 3 3.4% 5 5.7% 2134:01 UNITED SOUTH CENTRAL 83 74 89.2% 7 8.4% 2 2.4% 2135:01 MAPLE RIVER 103 98 95.2% 1 1.0% 4 3.9% 2137:01 * KINGSLAND 72 66 91.7% 3 4.2% 3 4.2% 2142:01 ST. LOUIS COUNTY 228 216 94.7% 6 2.6% 6 2.6%	1115:34 BUG-O-NAY-GE-SHIG	10	6	60.0%	3	30.0%	1	
2125:01 TRITON 88 80 90.9% 3 3.4% 5 5.7% 2134:01 UNITED SOUTH CENTRAL 83 74 89.2% 7 8.4% 2 2.4% 2135:01 MAPLE RIVER 103 98 95.2% 1 1.0% 4 3.9% 2137:01 * KINGSLAND 72 66 91.7% 3 4.2% 3 4.2% 2142:01 ST. LOUIS COUNTY 228 216 94.7% 6 2.6% 6 2.6%	1435:34 CIRCLE OF LIFE	14	3	21.4%	8		3	
2125:01 TRITON 88 80 90.9% 3 3.4% 5 5.7% 2134:01 UNITED SOUTH CENTRAL 83 74 89.2% 7 8.4% 2 2.4% 2135:01 MAPLE RIVER 103 98 95.2% 1 1.0% 4 3.9% 2137:01 * KINGSLAND 72 66 91.7% 3 4.2% 3 4.2% 2142:01 ST. LOUIS COUNTY 228 216 94.7% 6 2.6% 6 2.6%			_					
2134:01 UNITED SOUTH CENTRAL 83 74 89.2% 7 8.4% 2 2.4% 2135:01 MAPLE RIVER 103 98 95.2% 1 1.0% 4 3.9% 2137:01 * KINGSLAND 72 66 91.7% 3 4.2% 3 4.2% 2142:01 ST. LOUIS COUNTY 228 216 94.7% 6 2.6% 6 2.6%								
2135:01 MAPLE RIVER 103 98 95.2% 1 1.0% 4 3.9% 2137:01 * KINGSLAND 72 66 91.7% 3 4.2% 3 4.2% 2142:01 ST. LOUIS COUNTY 228 216 94.7% 6 2.6% 6 2.6%								
2137:01 * KINGSLAND 72 66 91.7% 3 4.2% 3 4.2% 2142:01 ST. LOUIS COUNTY 228 216 94.7% 6 2.6% 6 2.6%								
2142:01 ST. LOUIS COUNTY 228 216 94.7% 6 2.6% 6 2.6%								
	2143:01 WATERVILLE-ELYSIAN-MORRISTOW	69	65	94.2%	3	4.4%	1	1.5%

^{*} During 2000/2001 school year, these districts hosted an Area Learning Center, Public Alternative Program, or Private Alternative Program.

⁽C) Consolidated District - Includes students from the constituent districts if the students were served prior to consolidation.

Limited to students whose last serving district is the same as their originating district of 1997/1998.

1997/1998 Serving District	Adjusted number of ninth grade students served	Number later reported as graduating		Number later reported as dropping out		Number later reported as continuing education the following school year	
2144:01 * CHISAGO LAKES	246	219	89.0%	10	4.1%	17	6.9%
2149:01 MINNEWASKA	145	142	97.9%	1	0.7%	2	1.4%
2154:01 EVELETH-GILBERT	107	99	92.5%	3	2.8%	5	4.7%
2155:01 WADENA-DEER CREEK	92	86	93.5%	2	2.2%	4	4.4%
2159:01 BUFFALO LAKE-HECTOR	54	53	98.2%	1	1.9%	0	0.0%
2164:01 DILWORTH-GLYNDON-FELTON	75	72	96.0%	1	1.3%	2	2.7%
2165:01 HINCKLEY-FINLAYSON	61	56	91.8%	1	1.6%	4	6.6%
2167:01 LAKEVIEW	52	51	98.1%	0	0.0%	1	1.9%
2168:01 N.R.H.E.G.	65	61	93.9%	4	6.2%	0	0.0%
2169:01 * MURRAY COUNTY CENTRAL	69	62	89.9%	2	2.9%	5	7.3%
2170:01 STAPLES-MOTLEY	118	117	99.2%	1	0.9%	0	0.0%
2171:01 KITTSON CENTRAL	33	33	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
2172:01 * KENYON-WANAMINGO	65	63	96.9%	2	3.1%	0	0.0%
2174:01 * PINE RIVER-BACKUS	93	76	81.7%	3	3.2%	14	15.1%
2176:01 WARREN-ALVARADO-OSLO	44	44	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
2180:01 * M.A.C.C.R.A.Y.	79	74	93.7%	1	1.3%	4	5.1%
2184:01 * LUVERNE	89	79	88.8%	5	5.6%	5	5.6%
2190:01 YELLOW MEDICINE EAST	105	92	87.6%	4	3.8%	9	8.6%
2198:01 FILLMORE CENTRAL	72	71	98.6%	0	0.0%	1	1.4%
2215:01 NORMAN COUNTY EAST	33	31	93.9%	2	6.1%	0	0.0%
2310:01 SIBLEY EAST	100	96	96.0%	4	4.0%	0	0.0%
2311:01 CLEARBROOK-GONVICK	44	40	90.9%	4	9.1%	0	0.0%
2342:01 WEST CENTRAL AREA	67	65	97.0%	1	1.5%	1	1.5%
2358:01 TRI-COUNTY	32	32	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
2364:01 BELGRADE-BROOTEN-ELROSA	72	72	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
2365:01 G.F.W.	89	81	91.0%	2	2.3%	6	6.7%
2396:01 A.C.G.C.	93	87	93.6%	3	3.2%	3	3.2%
2397:01 * LESUEUR-HENDERSON	96	80	83.3%	10	10.4%	6	6.3%
2448:01 MARTIN COUNTY WEST	78	69	88.5%	4	5.1%	5	6.4%
2527:01 * NORMAN COUNTY WEST	29	29	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
2534:01 BIRD ISLAND-OLIVIA-LAKE LILLIA	70	67	95.7%	1	1.4%	2	2.9%
2536:01 GRANADA HUNTLEY-EAST CHAIN	24	20	83.3%	2	8.3%	2	8.3%
2580:01 * EAST CENTRAL	73	46	63.0%	20	27.4%	7	9.6%
2609:01 WIN-E-MAC	39	35	89.7%	3	7.7%	1	2.6%
2683:01 GREENBUSH-MIDDLE RIVER	45	45	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
2687:01 HOWARD LAKE-WAVERLY-WINSTE	ED 53	52	98.1%	1	1.9%	0	0.0%
2689:01 * PIPESTONE-JASPER	109	87	79.8%	11	10.1%	11	10.1%
2711:01 MESABI EAST	93	87	93.6%	5	5.4%	1	1.1%
2752:01 FAIRMONT AREA SCHOOLS	174	159	91.4%	8	4.6%	7	4.0%
2753:01 LONG PRAIRIE-GREY EAGLE	103	100	97.1%	1	1.0%	2	1.9%
2754:01 CEDAR MOUNTAIN	31	30	96.8%	0	0.0%	1	3.2%

^{*} During 2000/2001 school year, these districts hosted an Area Learning Center, Public Alternative Program, or Private Alternative Program.

⁽C) Consolidated District - Includes students from the constituent districts if the students were served prior to consolidation.

Limited to students whose last serving district is the same as their originating district of 1997/1998.

	997/1998 Serving District	Adjusted number of ninth grade students served	Number later reported as graduating		repor	Number later reported as dropping out		er reported ng education g school year
2758:01 * R	REDWOOD FALLS	109	101	92.7%	5	4.6%	3	2.8%
2759:01 E	EAGLE VALLEY	47	42	89.4%	2	4.3%	3	6.4%
2805:01 Z	ZUMBROTA-MAZEPPA	74	64	86.5%	3	4.1%	7	9.5%
2835:01 J	IANESVILLE-WALDORF-PEMBERTO	66	61	92.4%	4	6.1%	1	1.5%
2853:01 L	AC QUI PARLE VALLEY	93	89	95.7%	1	1.1%	3	3.2%
2854:01 * A	ADA-BORUP	34	33	97.1%	0	0.0%	1	2.9%
2856:01 S	STEPHEN-ARGYLE CENTRAL	34	33	97.1%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%
2859:01 * 0	GLENCOE-SILVER LAKE	148	134	90.5%	11	7.4%	3	2.0%
2860:01 B	BLUE EARTH AREA PUBLIC SCHOOL	111	107	96.4%	4	3.6%	0	0.0%
2884:01 R	RED ROCK CENTRAL	62	61	98.4%	0	0.0%	1	1.6%
2886:01 G	GLENVILLE-EMMONS (C)	49	47	95.9%	0	0.0%	2	4.1%
2887:01 N	MCLEOD WEST SCHOOLS (C)	30	29	96.7%	1	3.3%	0	0.0%
2888:01 C	CLINTON-GRACEVILLE-BEARDSLEY	60	53	88.3%	6	10.0%	1	1.7%
2889:01 L	AKE PARK AUDUBON DISTRICT (C)	49	48	98.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.0%
2890:01 R	RENVILLE COUNTY WEST (C)	81	72	88.9%	3	3.7%	6	7.4%
2895:01 * J	IACKSON COUNTY CENTRAL (C)	97	85	87.6%	8	8.3%	4	4.1%
4000:07 C	CITY ACADEMY	3	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%
4003:07 N	NEW HEIGHTS CHARTER SCHOOL	7	6	85.7%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%
4006:07 S	SKILLS FOR TOMORROW CHARTER	6	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	0	0.0%
4007:07 N	MINNESOTA NEW COUNTRY	12	6	50.0%	0	0.0%	6	50.0%
4008:07 P	PACT CHARTER SCHOOL	12	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%
4017:07 N	MINNESOTA TRANSITIONS	11	3	27.3%	5	45.5%	3	27.3%
4019:07 S	ST. PAUL FAMILY LEARNING CENTE	1	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
4028:07 E	ECI' NOMPA WOONSPE	1	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
6004:61 * F	RESHWATER ED. DIST.	13	2	15.4%	7	53.9%	4	30.8%
6012:61 * Z	ZUMBRO ED. DIST.	7	0	0.0%	6	85.7%	1	14.3%
6013:61 * F	HIAWATHA VALLEY ED. DIST.	7	0	0.0%	5	71.4%	2	28.6%
6014:61 * R	RUNESTONE AREA ED. DIST.	3	0	0.0%	2	66.7%	1	33.3%
6018:61 * N	MINNESOTA RIVER VALLEY ED. DIS	13	2	15.4%	8	61.5%	3	23.1%
6049:61 * R	RIVER BEND ED. DIST.	19	4	21.1%	15	79.0%	0	0.0%
6074:50 * C	CENTRAL MINNESOTA JOINT	18	3	16.7%	7	38.9%	8	44.4%
9999:99 S	Statewide Totals	65384	51053	78.1%	6426	9.8%	7905	12.1%

^{*} During 2000/2001 school year, these districts hosted an Area Learning Center, Public Alternative Program, or Private Alternative Program. (C) Consolidated District - Includes students from the constituent districts if the students were served prior to consolidation.