Mr. Malmberg moved that the General orders be passed by for the day.
Which motion prevailed.

Mr. Teigen, A. F., moved that the report of the committee of the whole House for Tuesday the 20th day of February, 1917, and recorded on page 17 of the House Journal for Tuesday, February 20, 1917, be reconsidered in so far as it applies to the recommendation for indefinite postponement of H. F. No. 78.

Mr. Peterson, A. M., moved the previous question.

Which motion prevailed.

The question being taken on the adoption of the motion to reconsider Committee's Report on H. F. No. 78.

And the roll being called, there were yeas 47, and nays 51, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Bendixen, Donovan, Lennon, Peterson, A. M., Stevens,
Bernard, Dwyer, Levin, Pittenger, Stone,
Bessette, Girling, Madigan, Reed, Reed,
Bjorklund, Hale, Moeller, G. H., Ryberg, Swanson, H. A.,
Boock, J. W., Harrison, J. M., Nordgren, Teigen, A. F.,
Carmichael, Hicken, Nordlin, Teigen, L. O.,
Child, Howard, Novak, Siegel, Warner,
Christianson, T., Johnson, Olien, Solom,
Corning, Kuntz, Pendergast, Southwick,
Devol, Lang, Peterson, A., Steen,

Those who voted in the negative were:

Anderson, Gerlich, Konzen, Neuman, Sliter,
Baldwin, Grant, Lee, Orr, Stenwick,
Bjorge, Green, H. M., Leonard, Swanson, S. J.,
Burrows, Gullickson, McLaughlin, Pattison, Swenson,
Christianson, A. B., Hammer, Malmberg, Tollefson,
Cumming, Harrison, H. H., Marschalk, Peterson, O. M., Welch,
Davies, J., Hinds, Miner, Pratt, Winter,
Dealand, Hompe, Moen, Praxel,
Flikkie, Hulbert, Mossman, Putnam,
Frisch, Indrehus, Neitzel, Rodenberg,
Frye, Knutson, Nett, Sears,

So the motion was lost.

Mr. Bessette moved that S. F. No. 426 and H. F. No. 589, be referred to the Committee on Engrossment for comparison.

Which motion prevailed.

MESSAGE FROM THE GOVERNOR.

STATE OF MINNESOTA,
EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT,
ST. PAUL, FEBRUARY 21, 1917.

Hon. R. J. Parker,
Speaker of the House of Representatives.

Sir: I return herewith without my approval for the reasons
hereafter stated H. F. No. 260, "A bill for an act setting apart to the use of the Supreme Court and state law library certain further space in the Capitol Building and giving the Supreme Court control of alterations and making it responsible for the expenditure of money therefor and for the maintenance of the state law library and for the space to be used by the court."

I object to Section one of said bill on the ground that I will gladly, without legislative enactment, assign the space as requested therein for the use of the Supreme Court and the state library. The proposed legislation would in my opinion be a bad precedent for if each official or department of the state government should seek, through the legislature, to be permanently located in a certain portion of the Capitol Building great inconvenience would often times result, the members of the Legislature would be continually embarrassed by requests for space and if the space is determined by legislation, changes even though satisfactory to all concerned and which may be desired by them when the Legislature is not in session, would be impossible without a violation of the law.

I object to Section two thereof on the ground that it is placing upon the members of the Supreme Court an unnecessary inconvenience which they should not be required to assume.

Very truly yours,

J. A. A. Burnquist,
Governor.

Mr. Pratt moved that the Governor's veto be printed in the Journal and laid on the table.
Which motion prevailed.

Mr. Nordgren moved that H. F. No. 706 be recalled from the Committee on General Legislation and re-referred to the Committee on State Hospitals.
Which motion prevailed.

Mr. Moeller, G. H., moved that the rules be so far suspended that H. F. No. 151 be made a Special Order for next Tuesday at 11 o'clock A. M.
Which motion prevailed.

ENGROSSED BILLS.

Mr. Davies from the Committee on Engrossment reported that the committee had examined, read, and compared and found truly engrossed—
H. F. No. 275.
Which report was agreed to.