

On motion of Mr. Cleveland, the rules were suspended, the bill read a second time by its title, the original copy taken as the engrossed bill, read a third time, and put upon its final passage,

And the yeas and nays being ordered, there were yeas 18, and nays 0, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were

Messrs. Baldwin, Barney, Bennett, Cleveland, Cook, Fake, Galbraith, Gibbs, Holley, Jones, Lynd, McLaren, McRoberts, Norton, Pell, Sanborn, Smith and Watson.

So the bill was passed and its title agreed to.

Mr. Bennett, from the Committee on Enrollment made the following report:

The Committee on Enrollment beg leave to report that they have examined and found correctly enrolled S. F. Nos. 23, 25, 102, 124, 148, 164 and 172, and have presented the same to His Excellency, the Governor, for his approval and signature.

SAMUEL BENNETT, Chairman.

H. F. No. 170, a bill for an act to change the boundary lines of Aikin county, and to define the same,

Was read a third time and put upon its final passage,

And the yeas and nays being ordered there were yeas 14, and nays 1, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were

Messrs. Baldwin, Bennett, Cleveland, Fake, Gibbs, Heaton, Lynd, McRoberts, Norton, Pell, Reiner, Sanborn, Smith and Watson.

And Mr. McLaren in the negative.

So the bill was passed and its title agreed to.

The following communication was received from His Excellency, the Governor, through the hands of his Private Secretary.

EXECUTIVE OFFICE, Minnesota, }  
St. Paul, March 6, 1861. }

*Hon Ignatius Donnelly, President of the Senate:*

SIR:—I return herewith without my signature a bill to-day presented me, entitled

“An Act relative to the Fort street road in the county of Ramsey, and the extension of Seventh street in the city of St. Paul.”

Section 27 of Article 4 of the Constitution of the State, reads as follows:

“No law shall embrace more than one subject, which shall be expressed in its title.”

This appears to contain two distinct subjects, and would, therefore be worthless for the objects designed, if carried before the Courts for determination. In the mean time a delay would occur, while I understand it is desired that the proceedings un-

der the act should go forward without hindrance. I therefore hasten to return the bill, in order that the Legislature may, if they desire, re-enact in separate statutes of the provisions of this act.

In reference to such new bills I suggest that in view of the fact that the assessments are likely to be found onerous by those who are required to pay them, and particularly so, as an addition to their already heavy taxation, and that, too, when the estimated benefits and increased value of property must remain for a long time speculative and practically unrealized, that a longer period than one year should be afforded, after the sale of property for such assessments, for the redemption thereof.

Respectfully,

ALEX. RAMSEY.

Mr. Smith moved to re-consider the vote by which S. F. No. 152 was passed,

And the yeas and nays being called for and ordered there were yeas 13 and nays 5, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were

Messrs. Baldwin, Barney, Bennett, Cleveland, Galbraith, Hayes, Holley, Lynd, McRoberts, Norton, Pell, Smith and Watson.

Those who voted in the negative were

Messrs. Cook, Gibbs, Heaton, McLaren, and Sanborn.

So the motion to re-consider prevailed.

And the question recurring,—shall the bill pass notwithstanding the veto of the Governor,

And the yeas and nays being called for and ordered there were yeas 11 and nays 9, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were

Messrs. Baldwin, Barney, Bennett, Cleveland, Galbraith, Holley, Lynd, McRoberts, Pell, Reiner and Smith.

Those who voted in the negative were

Messrs. Cook, Fake, Gibbs, Hayes, Heaton, McLaren, Norton, Sanborn and Watson.

So the bill was lost, not having received a two-thirds vote.

H. F. No. 163, a bill for an act to provide for the laying out a State road from Sauk Rapids, near Snake River,

Was read a third time and put upon its final passage.

And the yeas and nays being ordered, there were yeas 12, and nays 7, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were

Messrs. Bennett, Cleveland, Cook, Fake, Galbraith, Heaton, Jones, McLaren, Norton, Pell, Smith and Watson.

Those who voted in the negative were

Messrs. Barney, Gibbs, Hayes, Holley, Lynd, McRoberts and Reiner.

So the bill was passed and its title agreed to.