June 21, 1983

The Honorable Harry A. Sieben, Jr.
Speaker of the House
276 State Office Building
St. Paul, Minnesota 55155

Dear Speaker Sieben:

On June 8, I advised you of my intention to line-veto two provisions of House File No. 1290. In that letter, a copy of which is enclosed, I also indicated that I intended that the funds so vetoed be restored to the general appropriations for the affected agencies.

I understand that there is a question whether vetoed funds can be restored to the general appropriation, or whether they should be deemed to cancel back to the General Fund. I do not believe this issue has been litigated before in Minnesota. Because of this legal uncertainty, I do not believe it appropriate to insist that the affected funds be restored to the general appropriations. If the programs for which I have exercised this line-veto authority need additional funding, we will pursue alternate sources.

I will keep you advised as matters progress. Thank you very much.

Sincerely,

RUDY PERPICH
Governor

DISPOSITION OF BILLS UPON ADJOURNMENT

REPORT PURSUANT TO JOINT RULE 3.02(a)

Pursuant to joint rule 3.02(a), H.F. Nos. 449 and 1149 which were being considered by a Conference Committee at the time of adjournment were returned to the House, laid on the table and the Conference Committees were discharged.

REPORT PURSUANT TO HOUSE RULE 9.4

Pursuant to House rule 9.4, the following bills were returned to the standing committee last acting on the bill:

H. F. Nos. 86, 124, 397, 450, 625, 666, 788, 948, 955, 1042 and 1089 and S. F. No. 784 to the Committee on Appropriations.
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